

# Supplementation Of Probiotic (Bacillus Subtilis) For Improvement Of Growth Performance And Digestive Enzymes Activity Of Puntius Javanicus Under Intensive Aquaculture System

*by Seto W*

---

**Submission date:** 06-Apr-2022 07:50AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1802900454

**File name:** 2.\_JAPS\_-\_Supplementation\_of\_Probiotic.pdf (202.21K)

**Word count:** 5689

**Character count:** 29120

## SUPPLEMENTATION OF PROBIOTIC (*Bacillus subtilis*) FOR IMPROVEMENT OF GROWTH PERFORMANCE AND DIGESTIVE ENZYMES ACTIVITY OF *PUNTIUS JAVANICUS* UNDER INTENSIVE AQUACULTURE SYSTEM

D. Rachmawati\*, I. Samidjan, S. Windarto and Asep A. Prihanto

Aquaculture Department, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto SH, Tembalang, Semarang, 50275, Central Java, Indonesia  
Dept. Fishery Product Technology, Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science, Brawijaya University, Jl. Veteran, Malang, 65145, East Java, Indonesia  
Corresponding Author's email: dianarachmawati1964@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The experiment was conducted to examine effects of different doses of *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation in feed on protein digestibility, growth and activity of digestive enzymes of *P. javanicus* on intensive farming system. *P. javanicus* fingerlings used best animals. The treatments were *B. subtilis* probiotic on feed. Those were treatments A (0 CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed), B (0.75 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed), C (1.50 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed) and D (2.25 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed). Observed parameters were protein digestibility (ADC<sub>p</sub>), efficiency of feed utilization (EFU), ratio of feed conversion (FCR), ratio of protein efficiency (PER), relative growth rate (RGR), survival rate (SR), digestive enzymes activity and quality of water. The result showed that supplementation of *B. subtilis* on feeds significantly (P≤0.05) affected ADC<sub>p</sub>, EFU, FCR, PER, RGR, SR and digestive enzyme activities. The experiment was conducted under the viable water quality. The optimum supplementation dose of *B. subtilis* was 1.50 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed resulted in the highest value of ADC<sub>p</sub> (75.28%), EFU (74.06%), FCR (1.52), PER (3.78), RGR (3.23% / day), SR (98.33%) and digestive enzymes (protease and amylase) activities were 4.32, 3.42 U g<sup>-1</sup> protein, respectively.

**Key words:** probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis*, growth performance, *Puntius javanicus*.

<https://doi.org/10.36899/JAPS.2021.1.0214>

Published online August 26, 2020

### INTRODUCTION

The java barb (*Puntius javanicus*) is one of the potential Indonesian native fish and one of the fishery commodities favored by the community. This has led to an increase in java barb production so that market demand can be fulfilled by implementing the intensive fish culture. The success of intensive java barb cultivation is determined by the availability of quality feed. Quality feed is an important factor in intensive aquaculture and it is the largest cost in intensive fish production processes (Tawwab *et al.*, 2010), however, quality feed should not only contain nutrients that meet fish requirements, but also make fish resistant to the disease so that it remains healthy and can increase growth (Goda *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, various efforts need to be made to improve the quality of feed. One of these efforts is by adding probiotics into the feed.

Probiotics are living microbes when given in sufficient quantities can bring about healthier state of the host and can increase balance of microbes in the digestive tract (Nayak, 2010). Nowadays, the main strategy used is the probiotics supplementation into fish feed that can improve growth (Ghosh *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore, Iribarren *et al.*, (2012) expressed that the probiotics

supplementation into feed can increase growth and efficiency of feed utilization so that it can reduce the accumulation of waste in the water. The probiotics use in fish aquaculture activities to boost growth and increase the ability of resistance to disease has been reported by Nandi *et al.*, (2017). The rapid probiotics implementation in fish aquaculture was reported by Gatesoupe, (1999) moreover Rengpipat *et al.* (2000) disclosed that proper use of probiotics in aquaculture increased the number of microbes in the intestine, and increased feed absorption, thereby increasing fish growth rates and decreasing ratio of feed conversion (FCR) (Wang *et al.*, 2005). Gatesoupe, (2008) also stated that probiotics can improve the digestion of fish by producing digestive enzymes in the intestine leading to increased growth performance. The addition of probiotics into feed can improve the performance of growth and immune response that has been reported in *Oreochromis niloticus* (Wang *et al.*, 2008<sup>a</sup>) and Halibut larvae (Bjornsdottir *et al.*, 2010); survival rate and activity of digestive enzyme in *Penaeus vannamei* larvae (Zhou *et al.*, 2009); to increase feed conversion, growth and increase weight in salmon (Taoka *et al.*, 2006; Bagheri *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2008<sup>b</sup>).

One of bacteria believed to be able to improve the quality of feed and the fish immune system is *Bacillus subtilis* which is spore-forming bacterium generally

applied as a probiotic. *B. subtilis* can raise survival and growth of cultivated fish (Gomez-Gil *et al.*, 2008) and has the ability to secrete protease, lipase and amylase (Wang *et al.*, 2008<sup>b</sup>). Some studies on *Bacillus subtilis* supplementation into feed for different species included *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (Keysami *et al.*, 2007), *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Liu *et al.*, 2009, 2010; Tseng *et al.*, 2009), *Labeo rohita* (Kumar *et al.*, 2006; Nandi *et al.*, 2017), *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Adineh *et al.*, 2013), and *Sparus aurata* (Arig *et al.*, 2013).

Research on *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation in java barb feed has not yet been done. Based on this reason, the study was conducted to improve protein digestibility, growth and immune response of java barb. The objectives of the study were to examine probiotic supplementation effects of *B. subtilis* in the feed on protein digestibility, growth and activity of digestive enzymes of java barb on intensive aquaculture system.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Samples:** There were 2,400 samples of Java barb fingerlings with the weight ranging from 3.28 to 3.40 g. The fish were obtained from the Freshwater Hatchery and Cultivation Work Unit, Ngrajek, Magelang, Central Java, Indonesia. The fish fingerling used had the uniformed weight, healthy, and there were no deformities which were then acclimatized for 2 weeks and fasted one day before the study (Rachmawati *et al.*, 2017). Before the implementation of the study, java barb fingerling were weighed to determine the initial weight. The study has been conducted for 60 days. The weighing process to determine the weight gain of java barb was done every week.

**Cultivation Preparation:** The dimension of cultivation nets was 1x1x1 m<sup>3</sup>. There were 16 pieces which were placed in a 6 x 10 m<sup>2</sup> ground pond with a water level of 1.25 m. Java barb cultivation in this study was carried out intensively with fingerling stocking densities of 150 fish / m<sup>2</sup>. For protein digestibility analysis, a cultivation container in the form of a fiber tub with a volume of 500 liters of water was used as a place to accommodate fish feces. Collection of fish feces was done every day a few hours after the fish were fed. Fish feces was collected, then filtered, put into sample bottles and stored in the refrigerator.

**Bacillus subtilis:** *Bacillus subtilis* was retrieved from the digestive system of *Clarias gariepinus* which was obtained from the Division of Fish Health Management of Freshwater Hatchery and Cultivation Work Unit, Ngrajek, Magelang, Central Java, Indonesia. *B. subtilis* culture was prepared by following the method adopted by Kumar *et al.* (2006) that pure *B. subtilis* culture was inoculated into a 500 mL volume cone tube filled with broth. The bacterium has been incubated for 24 hours at

30° C in an incubator. Then it was centrifuged at 10,000 g for 20 minutes at 4° Celcius and the floating waste was removed, while the sediment was diluted in saline based phosphate (PBS; pH 7.2). Furthermore, the measurement of the mixture was done using a technique of spread plate on agar nutrient, was fertilized for 24 hours at 30° C. The suspension of pure bacteria was reserved at 4° C and used according to the treatments.

**Research Methods and Feed Preparation:** The experiment was laid out under completely randomized design. There were 4 treatments and 4 replicates per treatment. The treatment in this study was supplementation of different doses of *B. subtilis* probiotics in the feed. This research was carried out in the Freshwater Hatchery and Cultivation Work Unit, Ngrajek, Magelang, Central Java, Indonesia from March to June 2018.

The feed used was artificial feed with 30% protein content supplemented with probiotics *B. subtilis* by spraying on feed according to the doses of treatment A (0 CFU g<sup>1</sup> feed), B (0.75 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>1</sup> feed), C (1.50 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>1</sup> feed) and D (2.25 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>1</sup> feed) and added 0.5% Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for protein digestibility analysis. The dose determination of *B. subtilis* probiotics in this study was modified findings by Kumar *et al.*, (2006) who reported that *B. subtilis* probiotic doses of 1.5 x 10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>1</sup> feed showed the best growth in *Labeo rohita*. The feed fingerling given during the study was 5% / biomass weight / day with the feeding frequency of three times a day.

**Digestive Enzymes Analysis:** Analysis of the digestive enzymes activity using crude extract of the digestive tract of java barb referred to the Sandeepa and Ammani (2015), while the measurement of total protein activity referred to the method of Brandford (1976). Meanwhile protease activity and amylase activity referred to Anson's method (1938) and Rick and Stegbauer's methods (1984), respectively.

**Parameters:** The parameters observed included protein digestibility (ADCP) using the method of Fenucci (1983), efficiency of feed utilization (EFU), ratio of feed conversion (FCR) and ratio of protein efficiency (PER) using the Tacon's method (1995), relative growth rate (RGR) and survival rate (SR) using the NRC (1993) method, digestive enzyme activity, and water quality. The observed parameters were:

$$ADCP = 100 \left( \frac{\% Cr_2O_3 \text{ in diet} \times \% \text{ protein in excreta}}{\% Cr_2O_3 \text{ in excreta} \times \% \text{ protein in diet}} \right)$$

$$EFU = \frac{(\text{Final body weight} - \text{Initial body weight})}{\text{The amount of feed consumed}} \times 100\%$$

$$FCR = \frac{\text{The consumed feed weight}}{(\text{Final body weight} + \text{Total dead fish weight}) - \text{Initial body weight}} \times 100\%$$

$$PER = \frac{\text{Final body weight} - \text{Initial body weight}}{\text{Initial body weight}} \times 100\%$$

The consumed feed weight x Protein content of feed  

$$\text{RGR} = \frac{\text{Final body weight} - \text{Initial body weight}}{\text{Initial body weight} \times \text{Time experiment}} \times 100\%$$

$$\text{SR} = \frac{\text{Final body count}}{\text{Initial body count}} \times 100\%$$

**Water quality:** Observed parameters of water quality included temperature, pH and DO. They were enumerated daily using Water Quality Checker and ammonia. The measurement was conducted at the starting time, intermediate and at the end of the study using the APHA method (1995).

**Statistical analysis:** The observed parameter data (ADCP, EFU, FCR, PER, RGR, SR and digestive

enzyme activity) were analyzed using ANOVA test. If the ANOVA analysis had significantly high ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) or significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) influenced on those parameters, they were followed by Duncan's multiple region test to find out the mean difference among treatments (Steel *et al.*, 1996). Parameters of water quality data were descriptively analyzed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of digestive enzymes and the parameters of water quality were displayed in the Table 1, 2 and 3.

**Table 1. Initial weight, final weight, ADCp, EFU, FCR, RGR, PER and SR of Java barb fingerling during the study.**

Experiment Data	Treatments			
	A	B	C	D
Initial weight (g)	3.34±0.06	3.28±0.04	3.40 ±0.03	3.36 ±0.01
Final weight(g)	28.19±0,04 <sup>c</sup>	34.53±0,02 <sup>b</sup>	38.69±0,05 <sup>b</sup>	45.24±0,03 <sup>a</sup>
ADC <sub>p</sub>	52.63±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	62.14±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	75.28±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	63.89±0.07 <sup>b</sup>
EFU (%)	45.19±0.56 <sup>c</sup>	63.26±0.86 <sup>b</sup>	74.06±0.68 <sup>a</sup>	65.63±0.73 <sup>b</sup>
FCR	2.58±0.16 <sup>c</sup>	2.05±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	1.52±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	1.98±0.14 <sup>b</sup>
RGR (%)	1.87±0.24 <sup>c</sup>	2.36±0.21 <sup>b</sup>	3.78±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	2.64±0.74 <sup>b</sup>
PER	1.56±0.13 <sup>c</sup>	2.21±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	3.23±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	2.37±0.13 <sup>b</sup>
SR (%)	78.33±2.45 <sup>c</sup>	88.33±2.23 <sup>b</sup>	98.33±2.32 <sup>a</sup>	88.33±2.54 <sup>b</sup>

Note different superscripts of mean values show a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 2. Digestive enzymes values in the digestive system of Java barb fed with enrichment of *B. subtilis* probiotics during the study.**

Activity (U g-1 protein)	Treatments			
	A	B	C	D
Total protein	2.26 ±0,13 <sup>d</sup>	3.23 ±0,16 <sup>c</sup>	5.28 ±0,18 <sup>a</sup>	4.18 ±0,25 <sup>a</sup>
Protease	1.65 ±0,15 <sup>c</sup>	1.83 ±0,21 <sup>c</sup>	4.32 ±0,27 <sup>a</sup>	2.75 ±0,26 <sup>b</sup>
Amylase	1.48±0,02 <sup>c</sup>	2.08±0,03 <sup>b</sup>	3.42±0,17 <sup>a</sup>	2.23 ±0,14 <sup>b</sup>

Note: different superscripts of mean values show a significant difference ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

**Table 3. Water quality parameters during the study.**

Treatment	Water Quality			
	Temperature (°C)	pH	DO (mg/l)	NH <sub>3</sub> (%)
A	25 - 30	7.21 - 7.43	3.68 - 4.97	0.002 - 0.004
B	25 - 30	7.06 - 7.52	3.74 - 4.78	0.002 - 0.004
C	25 - 30	7.31 - 7.48	3.63 - 4.59	0.002 - 0.004
D	25 - 30	7.29 - 7.37	3.72 - 4.68	0.002 - 0.004
Feasibility	14-38*	6.50 - 8.5*	>2*	≤0.1*

Note : \* Boyd (2003)

The results of observations of water quality parameters in Table 3 showed that the parameters of water quality during the study were still within the feasible range values according to the reference, it concluded that it was suitable for Java barb cultivation.

The results of the analysis of the variance of *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation of the different doses

had significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) influenced on digestibility of protein (ADCp) of Java barb fish fingerling (*Puntius javanicus*). Java barb fed with *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation ( $0.75 - 2.25 \times 10^7$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed) had higher ADCP values than without supplementation ( $0$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> feed). It was suspected that *B. subtilis* has the ability to produce protease enzymes so that the digestive

activity of the protein runs optimally. *B. subtilis* probiotics produce protease enzymes that can increase protein digestion activities (Verschuere *et al.*, 2000; Ziaei-Nejad *et al.*, 2006; Jafaryan *et al.*, 2011). The highest ADCP value was obtained from Java barb fed C ( $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed), this was thought to be an effective dose for *B. subtilis* to generate protease enzymes in the digestive tract of Java barb fingerling so that digestibility of feed protein runs maximum. This condition was supported by the results of the analysis of digestive enzymes on the observation of total proteins and proteases in the digestive tract of Java barb fingerling fed C (Table 2) having the highest total protein and protease activity of ( $5.28 U g^{-1}$  protein and  $4.32 U g^{-1}$  protein) compared to feed B ( $4.18 U g^{-1}$  protein and  $2.75 U g^{-1}$  protein), B ( $2.23 U g^{-1}$  protein and  $1.83 U g^{-1}$  protein) and A ( $2.26 U g^{-1}$  protein and  $1.65 U g^{-1}$  protein).

Java barb (*P. javanicus*) fed with *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation in the treatments B, C and D ( $0.75 - 2.25 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed) had a higher EFU value ( $63.26 - 74.06\%$ ) compared to without supplementation in the treatment A ( $45.19\%$ ). This was supported by the results of research on observations of Java barb fingerling digestive enzymes (Table 2) which showed that feed with enrichment of *B. subtilis* probiotics can increase the activity of digestive enzymes of Java barb, therefore the efficiency of feed utilization can be maximized. The results of these studies indicated that supplementation of *B. subtilis* probiotic in the feed can improve the efficiency of utilization of Java barb feed. This was confirmed by the opinion of El-Haroun *et al.* (2006) which stated that the addition of probiotics into the feed caused fish to be able to utilize nutrients more efficiently so as to improve the efficiency of feed utilization. Furthermore Lara-Flores *et al.* (2003) stated that the probiotics supplementation into the feeds could result in a higher efficiency of feed utilization compared to without the addition of probiotics. Moreover, Merrifield *et al.* (2010) suggested that the probiotics supplementation into the feed of tilapia and other species led to better nutrient absorption and efficiency of feed utilization. The findings of the same study were reported by Bogut *et al.*, (1998) on *Cyprinus carpio*, Wang, (2007) and Zhou *et al.* (2009) on *Litopenaeus vannamei* and Wu *et al.* (2012) on *Ctenopharyngodon idella*.

The FCR value of Java barb fed with *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation ( $0.75 - 2.25 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed) decreased further by  $2.05 - 1.52$  compared to no additions ( $0\% / kg$  of feed) which was  $2.58$ . The findings showed that *B. subtilis* supplementation in feed can reduce FCR due to protein digestibility and efficiency of feed utilization increases so that the feed conversion ratio decreases. Taoka *et al.* (2006), Bagheri *et al.* (2008), Wang *et al.* (2008<sup>a</sup>) reported that the *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation in feed could reduce the feed conversion ratio of Salmon. Java barb fingerling fed as in the

treatment C ( $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed) resulted in the lowest FCR value indicated by the highest protein digestibility and efficiency of feed utilization ( $75.28\%$  and  $74.06\%$ ) compared to treatments D ( $63.89\%$  and  $65.63\%$ ), B ( $62.14\%$  and  $63.26\%$ ) and A ( $52.63\%$  and  $45.19\%$ ). The results of similar studies were reported on *Cyprinus carpio* (Wang and Xu, 2006), *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Wang, 2007; Zhou *et al.*, 2009), *Ctenopharyngodon idella*, (Wu *et al.*, 2012), *S. aurata* (Linás *et al.*, 2005, 2006; Díaz-Rosales *et al.*, 2006; Suzer *et al.*, 2008; Avella *et al.*, 2010).

The RGR value of Java barb fed with *B. subtilis* supplementation was  $0.75 - 2.25 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed increased from  $2.38 - 3.78\%$  / day compared to without supplementation ( $0$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed) of  $1.87\%$  / day. The findings were the same as with the opinion of Merrifield *et al.* (2010) which stated that probiotic addition increases fish growth effectively. Lara-Flores *et al.* (2003) reported that the probiotics supplementation into the feed produced higher growth compared to without the addition of probiotics. The results of the study by Taoka *et al.* (2006), Bagheri *et al.* (2008), Wang *et al.* (2008<sup>a</sup>) reported that the *B. subtilis* probiotic addition in the feed can increase the growth of Salmon. Java barb fed as in the treatment C ( $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed) had the highest RGR value of  $3.78\%$  / day followed by the treatments D ( $2.64\%$  / day), B ( $2.36\%$  / day) and A ( $1.87\%$  / day). The Java barb fed as in the treatment C had the highest RGR value, it was assumed that the dose of *B. subtilis* is  $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed was an effective dose of *B. subtilis* in increasing growth because at that dose the digestive enzymes in digestion of Java barb fingerling run optimally. The statement was supported by observations of digestive enzyme activity in Table 2 which showed that the highest digestive enzyme (total protein, protease and amylase) activity was obtained from Java barb fingerling fed in the treatment C compared to other treatments. In addition, Java barb fed as in the treatment C had the highest ADCP and EFU values ( $75.28\%$  and  $74.06\%$ ) compared to treatments D ( $63.89\%$  and  $65.63\%$ ), B ( $62.14\%$  and  $63.26\%$ ) and A ( $52.63\%$  and  $45.19\%$ ). The results of a similar study were reported by Wang, (2007); Zhou *et al.* (2009); Wu *et al.* (2012); Macey and Coyne, (2005), Wang *et al.* (2005), Wang and Xu, (2006).

Java barb fingerling fed with *B. subtilis* supplementation of  $0.75 - 2.25 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed had a higher PER value than without supplementation ( $0$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed). The findings revealed that the *B. subtilis* addition in the feed could increase protein digestibility and the number of protease enzymes in the digestive tract of Java barb fingerling, so that the formation of proteins of the Java barb increased. Lara-Flores *et al.* (2003) suggested that the addition of probiotics into the feed can boost digestibility of protein and protein efficiency which causes an increase in fish protein. The same results were

occured on sea bass (Tovar *et al.*, 2010), *Cyprinus carpio* (Bogut *et al.*, 1998), *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Wang, 2007; Zhou *et al.* 2009) and *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Wu *et al.*, 2012).

The results of the variance analysis disclosed that the *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation at different doses in the feed had significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) affected on the Java barb fingerling survival. The findings of this study indicated that the survival rate of Java barb rised with the supplementation of *B. subtilis* probiotic in the feed. It was suspected that *B. subtilis* probiotics can increase the fish's resistance so as to improve the Java barb survival. Taoka *et al.* (2006), Bagheri *et al.* (2008), Wang *et al.* (2008<sup>a</sup>) reported that the *B. subtilis* probiotics addition in the feed can improve the survival of Salmon. The findings of a similar research were also reported by Wang, (2007), Avella *et al.* (2010), Wen-Ying *et al.* (2010) and Mohapatra *et al.* (2012).

The findings of the variance analysis revealed that the different dosages of *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation in the feed had significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) influenced on the digestive enzymes activity of Java barb. Observations of digestive enzyme activities (Table 2) showed higher levels of digestive enzyme activities (total protein, protease, amylase) obtained on Java barb fed with *B. subtilis* probiotic supplementation (treatments B, C and D) compared to feed without supplementation (treatment A). The results of the same study was reported by Ziaei-Nejad *et al.* (2006). They suggested that the activity of digestive enzymes of shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus indicus*) fed with supplementation with *Bacillus* sp. was higher than those of without supplementation. The observation of the digestive enzyme activity of Java barb fingerling in the treatment C had a higher value of digestive enzyme activity than those of the treatments D, B and A. This was presumed to be a dose of probiotic *B. Subtilis* of  $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed was the best dose to boost the amount of microbes in the intestine digestive tract of Java barb fingerling. This finding was the same as with the study result of Rengpipat *et al.* (2000) which stated that the right dose of probiotics in the feed can increase the number of microbes in the intestine so that the enzymes activity in the digestive system increases.

**Conclusion:** Supplementation of *B. subtilis* into feed increased protein digestibility, growth and digestive enzymes activity of Java barb (*P. javanicus*) which was cultured in intensive aquaculture system. The dose of  $1.50 \times 10^7$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  feed was the best dose of *B. subtilis* supplementation in the feed of Java barb (*P. javanicus*).

**Acknowledgment:** The author would like to thank the Head of the Freshwater Hatchery and Cultivation Work Unit, Ngrajek, Magelang, Central Java, Indonesia for providing facilities and infrastructures during the research.

## REFERENCES

- Adineh, H., H. Jafaryan, J. Sahandi and M. Alizadhe (2013). Effect of *Bacillus* spp. Probiotic on Growth and Feeding Performance of Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) Larvae. J. Vet. Med. 16: 29-36.
- Anson M. L (1938). The estimation of pepsin, trypsin, papain, and cathepsin with hemoglobin. J. Gen Physiol. 22:79-89.
- Arig, N., C. Suzer, A. Gökvardar, F. Başaran, D. Çoban, S. Yıldırım, H. O. Kamacı, K. Fırat and Ş. Saka (2013). Effects of Probiotic (*Bacillus* sp.) Supplementation during Larval Development of Gilthead Sea Bream (*Sparus aurata*, L.). Turk J Fish Aquat Sc. 13: 407-414.
- APHA (1995). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association Washington. D.C.185P.
- Avella, M.A., G. Gioacchini, O. Decamp, P. Makridis, C. Bracciatelli, and O. Carnevali (2010). Application of multi-species of *Bacillus* in sea bream larviculture. Aquaculture. 305:12-19.
- Bagheri, T., S.A. Hedayati, V. Yavari, M. Alizade, A. Farzanfar (2008). Growth, survival and gut microbial load of rainbow trout (*Onchorhynchus mykiss*) fry given diet supplemented with probiotic during the two months of first feeding. J. Fish Aquat Sci. 8: 43-48.
- Bjornsdottir, R., E.G. Karadottir, J. Johannsdottir, E.E. Thorarinsdottir, H. Smaradottir, S. Sigurgisladdottir and B.K. Gudmundsdottir (2010). Selection of bacteria and the effects of bacterial treatment of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus* L.) eggs and larvae. Aquaculture. 302: 219-227.
- Bogut, I., Z. Milakovic, Z. Bukvic, S. Brkic and R. Zimmer (1998). Influence of probiotic *Streptococcus faecium* M74 on growth and content of intestinal microflora in carp *Cyprinus carpio*. Czech. J. Anim. Sci. 43: 231-235.
- Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. J. Anal Biochem. 72: 248-254.
- Díaz-Rosales, P., I. Salinas, A. Rodríguez, A. Cuesta, M. Chabrilón, M.C. Balebona, M.Á. Morinigo, M.Á. Esteban and J. Meseguer (2006). Gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*, L.) innate immune response after dietary administration of heat-inactivated potential probiotics. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 20: 482-492.
- El-Haroun, E.R., S.A.M. Goda and M.A.K. Chowdury (2006). Effect of dietary probiotic Biogen® supplementation as a growth promoter on growth performance and feed utilization of Nile

- tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.). Aquac Res. 37: 1473-1480.
- Fenucci, J.L. (1981). Studies on The Nutrition of Marine Shrimp of The Penaeus Faculty of Department of Biology, University of Houston, Houston, Texas, USA (Ph D Thesis).
- Gatesoupe, F. J. (1999). The use of probiotics in aquaculture. Aquaculture, 180: 147-165.
- Gatesoupe, F.J. (2008). Updating the importance of lactic acid bacteria in fish farming: natural occurrence and probiotic treatments. J. Mol Microbiol Biotechnol. 14: 107-114.
- Ghosh, K., S.K. Sen and A.K. Ray (2003). Supplementation of an isolated fish gut bacterium, *Bacillus circulans*, in formulated diets for rohu, *Labeo rohita*, fingerlings. J. Aquacult-Bamid. 55: 13-21.
- Goda, A.M.A., H.A.H.H. Mabrouk, M.A.E. Wafa and T.M. El-Affifi (2012). Effect of Using Baker's Yeast and Exogenous Digestive Enzymes as Growth Promoters on Growth, Feed Utilization and Hematological Indices of Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* Fingerling. J. of Agric Sci Tech. 2: 15-28.
- Gomes, L.C., R.P. Brinn, J.L. Marcon, L.A. Dantas, F.R. Brandao, J.S. Abreu, D.M.M Comb, B. Baldiser-otto (2008). Using Efinol L during transportation of Marbled hatchetfish, *Carnegiella strigata* (Günther). Aquac Res, 39: 1292-1298.
- Iribarren, D., P. Dagá, M.T. Moreira, G. Feijoo (2012). Potential environmental effects of probiotics used in aquaculture. Aquacult Int, 20: 779-789.
- Jafaryan, H., M.M. Taati, M. and Jafarzadeh (2011). The enhancement of growth parameters in common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) larvae using probiotic in rearing tanks and feeding by various *Artemia nauplii*. AACL Bioflux, 4: 511-518.
- Keysami, M. A., C.R. Saad, K. Sijam, H.M. Daud and A.R. Alimon (2007). Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* on growth development and survival of larvae *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (de Man). Aquac Nut, 13: 131-136.
- Kumar, R., S.C. Mukherjee, K. Pani Prasad and A.K Pal (2006). Evaluation of bacillus subtilis as a probiotic to Indian major carp *Labeo rohita* (ham.). Aquac Res. 37:1215-1221.
- Lara-Flores, M., M.A. Olvera-Novoa, B.E. Guzman-Mendez and W. Lopez-Madrid (2003). Use of the bacteria *Streptococcus faecium* and *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as growth promoters in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture. 216: 193-201.
- Liu, C.H., C.S. Chiu, P.L. Lin and S.W. Wang (2009). Improvement in the growth performance of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by a protease producing probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20 from natto. J. of Appl Microbiol. 107: 1031-1041.
- Liu, K.F., C.H. Chiu, Y.L. Shiu, W. Cheng and C.H. Liu (2010). Effects of the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20, on the survival, development, stress tolerance, and immune status of white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei* larvae. Fish Shellfish Immun, 28: 837-844.
- Macey, B.M. and V.E. Coyne (2005). Improved growth rate and disease resistance in farmed *Haliotis midae* through probiotic treatment. Aquaculture, 245: 249-26.
- Merrifield. D. L., A. Dimitroglou, G. Bradley, R.T.M. Baker and S.J. David (2010). Probiotic applications for Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum) I. Effects on growth performance, feed utilization, intestinal microbiota and related health criteria. Aquacult. Nutr. 16: 504-510.
- Mohapatra, S., T. Chakraborty, A.K. Prusty, P. Das, K. Paniprasad and K.N. Mohanta. 2012. Use of different microbial probiotics in the diet of rohu, *Labeo rohita* fingerlings; effects on growth, nutrient digestibility and retention, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora. Aquacult. Nutr. 18:1-11.
- Nandi, A., G. Banerjee, S. Kumar D.K. Ghosh and A.K Ray (2017). Probiotic efficiency of *Bacillus* sp. in *Labeo rohita* challenged by *Aeromonas hydrophila*: assessment of stress profile, haemato-biochemical parameters and immune responses. J. Aquac Res. 48: 4334-4345.
- Nayak, S.K (2010). Probiotics and immunity: a fish perspectives. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 29: 2-14.
- [NRC] National Research Council (1993). Nutrient requirements of fish. [Washington DC: National Academy Press], 124p.
- Rachmawati, D., S. Istiyanto and M. Maizirwan (2017). Effect of phytase on growth performance, feed utilization efficiency and nutrient digestibility in Fingerlings of *Chanos chanos* (Forsskal 1775). Philipp. J. Sci. 146: 237-245.
- Rick, W. and H.P. Stegbauer (1984). *Alfa-amylase: measurement of reducing groups. (In: Methods of enzymatic analysis. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Weinhein, Germany: Chemie Verlag p. 885-889.*
- Rengpipat, S., S. Rukpratanporn, S. Piyatiratitivorakul and P. Menasaveta (2000). Immunity enhancement in black tiger shrimp (*Penaeus monodon*) by a probiont bacterium (*Bacillus* S11). Aquaculture. 191: 271-288.
- Sandeepa and Ammani (2015). Effect Of Probiotic Bacterium on Growth and Biochemical

- Parameters of Shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*. Int J. Recent Sci Res. 6: 2871-2875.
- Salinas, I., A. Cuesta, M.Á. Esteban and J. Meseguer (2005). Dietary administration of *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* and *Bacillus subtilis*, single or combined, on gilthead seabream cellular innate immune responses. Fish Shellfish Immunol, 19: 67-77.
- Salinas, I., P. Díaz-Rosales, A. Cuesta, J. Meseguer, M. Chabrilón, M.Á. Morinigo and M.Á. Esteban (2006). Effect of heat-inactivated fish and non-fish derived probiotics on the innate immune parameters of a teleost fish (*Sparus aurata* L.). Vet Immunol Immunop. 111: 279-286.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D.A. Dickey (1996). Principles and Procedures of Statistics 3rd ed (New York: McGraw Hill International Book Company, Inc)
- Suzer, C., D. Çoban, H.O. Kamacı, S. Saka, K. Firat, Ö. Otcuoglu and H. Küçükşarı (2008). *Lactobacillus* spp. bacteria as probiotics in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*, L.) larvae: effects on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. Aquaculture. 280: 140-145.
- Tacon, A.G. (1995). The Nutrition and Feeding of Farmed Fish and Shrimp-A Training Manual (FAO of The United Nations Brazil) pp 106-109.
- Taoka, Y., H. Maeda, J.Y. Jo, M.J. Jeon, S.C. Bai, W.J. Lee, K. Yuge and S. Koshio (2006). Growth, stress tolerance and non-specific immune response of Japanese flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* to probiotics in a closed recirculating system. Fish Sci. 72: 310-321.
- Tawwab, M.A., M.A.A. Mousa and M. A. Mohammed (2010). Use of Live Baker's Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in Practical Diet to Enhance the Growth Performance of Galilee Tilapia, *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (L.), and Its Resistance to Environmental Copper Toxicity. J World Aquac Soc. 41: 214-223.
- Tawwab, M. A., M. A. A. Mousa and M. A. Mohammed. (2010). Use of Live Baker's Yeast, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, in Practical Diet to Enhance the Growth Performance of Galilee Tilapia, *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (L.), and Its Resistance to Environmental Copper Toxicity. Journal of The World Aquaculture Society, 41(S2):214-223.
- Tovar-Ramírez, D., D. Mazurais, J.F. Gatesoupe, P. Quazuguel, C.L. Cahu, J.L. Zambonino-Infante (2010). Dietary probiotic live yeast modulates antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression of sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larvae. Aquaculture. 300:142-147.
- Tseng, D.Y., P.L. Ho, S.Y. Huang, S.C. Cheng, Y.L. Shiu, C.S. Chiu, C.H. Liu (2009). Enhancement of immunity and disease resistance in the white shrimp, *Litopenaeus vannamei*, by the probiotic, *Bacillus subtilis* E20. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 26:339-344.
- Verschuere, L., G. Rombaut, P. Sorgeloos and W. Verstraete, 2000. Probiotic bacteria as biological control agents in aquaculture. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 64: 655-671.
- Wang, Y.B., Z. R. Xu and M. S. Xia (2005). The effectiveness of commercial probiotics in Northern White Shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei* L.) ponds, Fishery Science, 71, 1034-103.
- Wang, Y.B. and Z.R. Xu (2006). Effect of probiotics for common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) based on growth performance and digestive enzyme activities. Anim Feed Sci Tech. 127: 283-292.
- Wang, Y.B., 2007. Effect of probiotics on growth performance and digestive enzyme activity of the shrimp *Penaeus vannamei*. Aquaculture. 269: 259-264.
- Wang, Y.B., Z.Q. Tian, J.T. Yao, W.F. Li (2008a). Effect of probiotics, *Enterococcus faecium*, on tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) growth performance and immune response. Aquaculture. 277: 203-207.
- Wang, Y.B., J.R. Li, J. Lin (2008b). Probiotics in aquaculture: challenges and outlook. Aquaculture. 281:1-4.
- Wen-Ying, S., F. Ling-Lin, I. Wei-Fen, Z. Yao-Rong (2010). Effect of dietary supplementation with *Bacillus subtilis* on growth, performance, immune response and antioxidant activities of the shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*). Aquaculture Research, 41: 1691-1698.
- Wu, Z.X., X.Feng, L.L. Xie, X.Y. Peng, J. Yuan and X.X. Chen (2012). Effect of *Bacillus subtilis* Ch9 for grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Valenciennes, 1844), on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 28: 721-727.
- Zhou, X.X., Y.B. Wang, and W.F. Li (2009). Effect of probiotic on larvae shrimp (*Penaeus vannamei*) based on water quality, survival rate and digestive enzyme activities. Aquaculture. 287:349-353.
- Ziaei-Nejad, S., M.H. Rezaeib, G.A. Takami, D.L. Lovettd, A. Mirvaghefia, M. Shakourie (2006). The effect of *Bacillus* spp. bacteria used as probiotics on digestive enzyme activity, survival and growth in the Indian white shrimp *Fenneropenaeus indicus*. Aquaculture. 252: 516-524.



# Supplementation Of Probiotic (Bacillus Subtilis) For Improvement Of Growth Performance And Digestive Enzymes Activity Of Puntius Javanicus Under Intensive Aquaculture System

## ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

## PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1 S. Mohapatra. "Aquaculture and stress management: a review of probiotic intervention : Stress management by probiotics administration", Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 04/2012  
Publication 1 %
- 2 N. Vermeulen. "Glutamine deamidation by cereal-associated lactic acid bacteria", Journal of Applied Microbiology, 4/13/2007  
Publication 1 %
- 3 M.M. Shahzad, S. Bashir, S.M. Hussain, A. Javid, M. Hussain, N. Ahmed, M.K.A. Khan, M. Furqan, I. Liaqat, T. Rafique, F. Khalid. "Effectiveness of phytase pre-treatment on growth performance, nutrient digestibility and mineral status of common carp (Cyprinus carpio) juveniles fed Moringa by-product based diet", Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, 2021 1 %

4

ARIĞ, Nihan, SÜZER, Cüneyt, GÖKVARDAR, Alize, BAŞARAN, Fatih, ÇOBAN, Deniz, YILDIRIM, Şükrü, KAMACI, H. Okan, FIRAT, Kürşat and SAKA, Şahin. "Effects of probiotic (Bacillus sp.) supplementation during larval development of Gilthead Sea Bream (Sparus aurata, L.)", TÜBİTAK, 2013.

Publication

---

1 %

5

W Suryaningsih, R Maulana, I Istiqomah, A Isnansetyo. "In vitro adhesion of Bacillus sp. and Enterobacter sp. probiotics on intestinal epithelial cells of red tilapia (Oreochromis sp.) and the application effects on the fish growth and survival rate", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

6

I I Arisa, Z Zulfikar, M Muhammadar, N Nurfadillah, S Mellisa. "Study on the addition of Caulerpa lentillifera on growth and survival rate of saline tilapia Oreochromis niloticus, L", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

7

Seyed Hossein Hoseinifar, Maryam Dadar, Einar Ringø. "Modulation of nutrient digestibility and digestive enzyme activities in

<1 %

## aquatic animals: The functional feed additives scenario", Aquaculture Research, 2017

Publication

---

8

De, Debasis, T. K. Ghoshal, R. Ananda Raja, and Sujeet Kumar. "Growth performance, nutrient digestibility and digestive enzyme activity in Asian seabass, *Lates calcarifer* juveniles fed diets supplemented with cellulolytic and amylolytic gut bacteria isolated from brackishwater fish", Aquaculture Research, 2013.

Publication

---

<1 %

9

Ming-Chao Yu. "Effects of dietary *Bacillus* and medicinal herbs on the growth, digestive enzyme activity, and serum biochemical parameters of the shrimp *Litopenaeus vannamei*", Aquaculture International, 10/2008

Publication

---

<1 %

10

Lin, Y.H.. "Dietary lipid requirement of grouper, *Epinephelus malabaricus*, and effects on immune responses", Aquaculture, 20030714

Publication

---

<1 %

11

A.K. Ray. "Enzyme-producing bacteria isolated from fish gut: a review", Aquaculture Nutrition, 04/2012

Publication

---

<1 %

12

Maryam Mirbakhsh, Babak Ghaednia, Mohammad Jalil Zorriehzahra, Fariba Esmaeili, Caterina Faggio. " Dietary mixed and sprayed probiotic improves growth performance and digestive enzymes of juvenile whiteleg shrimp ( , Boone, 1931) ", Journal of Applied Aquaculture, 2022

Publication

<1 %

13

Davies, S.J.. "Response of common carp fry fed diets containing a pea seed meal (*Pisum sativum*) subjected to different thermal processing methods", Aquaculture, 20100701

Publication

<1 %

14

Jibin Lin, Qiuhui Zeng, Chunxiao Zhang, Kai Song, Kangle Lu, Ling Wang, Samad Rahimnejad. "Effects of *Bacillus subtilis* supplementation in soybean meal-based diets on growth performance, diet digestibility and gut health in bullfrog *Lithobates catesbeianus*", Aquaculture Reports, 2020

Publication

<1 %

15

Ricky Djauhari, Widanarni ., Sukenda ., Muhammad Agus Suprayudi, Muhammad Zairin Jr.. "Characterization of *Bacillus* sp. NP5 and its Application as Probiotic for Common Carp (*Cyprinus carpio*)", Research Journal of Microbiology, 2016

Publication

<1 %

16

A Mahdhi, Z Hmila, K Chaieb, F Kamoun, A Bakhrouf. "Probiotic properties of halophilic Bacillus strains enhance protection of Artemia culture against pathogenic Vibrio", Aquatic Biology, 2011

Publication

---

<1 %

17

Carmen Lobo, Silvana Tapia-Paniagua, Xabier Moreno-Ventas, F. Javier Alarcón et al. "Benefits of probiotic administration on growth and performance along metamorphosis and weaning of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*)", Aquaculture, 2014

Publication

---

<1 %

18

Juan Pablo Lazo, José Pablo Fuentes-Quesada, Gloria Villareal-Rodarte, María Teresa Viana, Benjamín Baron-Sevilla. "The effect of dietary n-3 LC-PUFA levels on growth, survival, and feed utilization in juvenile *Totoaba macdonaldi*", Aquaculture, 2020

Publication

---

<1 %

19

Z. X. Wu. "Effect of probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* Ch9 for grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Valenciennes, 1844), on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities and intestinal microflora : Probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* Ch9 effect on grass carp", Journal of Applied Ichthyology, 03/2012

Publication

---

<1 %

20

Greeshma James, Bini C. Das, Seena Jose, Rejish Kumar V.J. "Bacillus as an aquaculture friendly microbe", Aquaculture International, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

21

Guang Yang, Xiaolin Cui, Shu Liu, Jing Lu et al. "Effects of dietary Lactobacillus helveticus on the growth rate, disease resistance and intestinal health of pond loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*)", Aquaculture, 2021

Publication

---

<1 %

22

Hamed Kolangi Miandare, Ali Taheri Mirghaed, Marjan Hosseini, Nastaran Mazloumi, Ashkan Zargar, Sajad Nazari. "Dietary Immunogen ® modulated digestive enzyme activity and immune gene expression in *Litopenaeus vannamei* post larvae", Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 2017

Publication

---

<1 %

23

Hamsah ., Widanarni ., Alimuddin ., Munti Yuhana, M. Zairin Junior. "Bacterial Population, Activity of Enzymes and Growth Rate of Pacific White Shrimp Larvae Administered *Pseudoalteromonas piscicida* and Mannan-oligosaccharides through Bioencapsulation of *Artemia* sp.", Research Journal of Microbiology, 2017

Publication

---

<1 %

24

Lining Tang, Kai Huang, Jun Xie, Dan Yu, Lei Sun, Qing Huang, Yanjun Bi. "1-Deoxynojirimycin from *Bacillus subtilis* improves antioxidant and antibacterial activities of juvenile *Yoshitomi tilapia*", *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 2017

Publication

---

<1 %

25

Natalia Ha, Gabriel Fernandes Alves Jesus, André Fernando Nascimento Gonçalves, Nandara Soares de Oliveira et al. "Sardine (*Sardinella* spp.) protein hydrolysate as growth promoter in South American catfish (*Rhamdia quelen*) feeding: Productive performance, digestive enzymes activity, morphometry and intestinal microbiology", *Aquaculture*, 2019

Publication

---

<1 %

26

Vadstein, Olav, Øivind Bergh, François-Joel Gatesoupe, Jorge Galindo-Villegas, Victoriano Mulero, Simona Picchiatti, Giuseppe Scapigliati, Pavlos Makridis, Yngvar Olsen, Kristof Dierckens, Tom Defoirdt, Nico Boon, Peter De Schryver, and Peter Bossier. "Microbiology and immunology of fish larvae : Microbiology and immunology of fish larvae", *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 2013.

Publication

---

<1 %

27

"Marine Microbiology", Wiley, 2013

28

A. Newaj-Fyzul, A.H. Al-Harbi, B. Austin.  
"Review: Developments in the use of  
probiotics for disease control in aquaculture",  
Aquaculture, 2014

Publication

<1 %

29

Adriána Fečkaninová, Jana Koščová, Dagmar  
Mudroňová, Petra Schusterová et al.  
"Characterization of two novel lactic acid  
bacteria isolated from the intestine of  
rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*,  
Walbaum) in Slovakia", Aquaculture, 2019

Publication

<1 %

30

Bidhan C. De, D. K. Meena, B. K. Behera,  
Pronob Das, P. K. Das Mohapatra, A. P.  
Sharma. "Probiotics in fish and shellfish  
culture: immunomodulatory and  
ecophysiological responses", Fish Physiology  
and Biochemistry, 2014

Publication

<1 %

31

Li, Jianguang, Yongping Xu, Liji Jin, and Xiaoyu  
Li. "Effects of a probiotic mixture (*Bacillus*  
*subtilis* YB-1 and *Bacillus cereus* YB-2) on  
disease resistance and non-specific immunity  
of sea cucumber, *Apostichopus japonicus*  
(Selenka)", Aquaculture Research, 2014.

Publication

<1 %



32

Mehdi Soltani, Koushik Ghosh, Seyed Hossein Hoseinifar, Vikash Kumar, Alan J. Lymbery, Suvra Roy, Einar Ringø. " Genus , promising probiotics in aquaculture: Aquatic animal origin, bio-active components, bioremediation and efficacy in fish and shellfish ", Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

33

Ning Bao, Tongjun Ren, Yuzhe Han, Fuqiang Wang, Fei Chen, Zhiqiang Jiang. "Alteration of growth, intestinal Lactobacillus, selected immune and digestive enzyme activities in juvenile sea cucumber *Apostichopus japonicus*, fed dietary multiple probiotics", Aquaculture International, 2017

Publication

&lt;1 %

34

Pan Wu, Liying Xie, Xiaozhen Wu, Yanling Wang, Yuan Wu, Ning Li, Ying Zhang, Zhaobo Chen. " Effect of -Treated Wastewater on Yield, Digestive Enzymes, Antioxidants, Nonspecific Immunity, and Intestinal Microbiota of Common Carp ", North American Journal of Aquaculture, 2019

Publication

&lt;1 %

35

Rui-Peng He, Jie Feng, Xiang-Li Tian, Shuang-Lin Dong, Bin Wen. " Effects of dietary supplementation of probiotics on the growth, activities of digestive and non-specific

&lt;1 %

immune enzymes in hybrid grouper ( ♂ × ♀ )  
", Aquaculture Research, 2017

Publication

---

36

Takavar Mohammadian, Mahdiah Nasirpour,  
Mohammad Reza Tabandeh, Mehrzad  
Mesbah. "Synbiotic effects of  $\beta$ -glucan,  
mannan oligosaccharide and *Lactobacillus*  
*casei* on growth performance, intestine  
enzymes activities, immune-hematological  
parameters and immune-related gene  
expression in common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*:  
An experimental infection with *Aeromonas*  
*hydrophila*", Aquaculture, 2019

Publication

---

37

X. GENG. "Effects of dietary probiotic on the  
growth performance, non-specific immunity  
and disease resistance of cobia, *Rachycentron*  
*canadum* : Effect of dietary probiotic on  
growth and immunity of cobia", Aquaculture  
Nutrition, 02/2012

Publication

---

38

Yeh, Shinn-Ping, Chiu-Hsia Chiu, Ya-Li Shiu,  
Zhe-Lin Huang, and Chiu-Hung Liu. "Effects of  
diets supplemented with either individual or  
combined probiotics, *Bacillus subtilis* E20 and  
*Lactobacillus plantarum* 7-40, on the immune  
response and disease resistance of the mud

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

crab, *Scylla paramamosain* (Estampador)",  
Aquaculture Research, 2012.

Publication

---

39

Seyed Hossein Hoseinifar, Yun-Zhang Sun, Anran Wang, Zhigang Zhou. "Probiotics as Means of Diseases Control in Aquaculture, a Review of Current Knowledge and Future Perspectives", *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 2018

Publication

---

40

Usha Jyoti Maji, Sriprakash Mohanty, Avinash Pradhan, Nikhil Kumar Maiti. "Immune modulation, disease resistance and growth performance of Indian farmed carp, *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton), in response to dietary consortium of putative lactic acid bacteria", *Aquaculture International*, 2017

Publication

---

41

"Bacilli and Agrobiotechnology: Phytostimulation and Biocontrol", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019

Publication

---

42

Mir Hamed Sayed Hassani, Ayoub Yousefi Jourdehi, Ali Hosseinpour Zelti, Alireza Shenavar Masouleh, Frozan Bagherzadeh Lakani. "Effects of commercial superzist probiotic on growth performance and hematological and immune indices in

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

<1 %

# fingerlings *Acipenser baerii*", Aquaculture International, 2019

Publication

---

---

Exclude quotes      On

Exclude matches      Off

Exclude bibliography      On