

## **Lampiran Peer Review Korespondensi Proses Submit Publikasi Internasional**

Judul Makalah : Strategising Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village  
Policymaking as a Realisation of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on  
Semarang Regency

Reputasi : Terindeks Scopus – Q2

<b>No</b>	<b>Item</b>	<b>Tanggal</b>	<b>Halaman</b>
1	[JSSER] Submission Acknowledgement	18 Oktober 2018	2
2	[JSSER] Revisions Required	29 Oktober 2018	4
3	[JSSER] Review Responses	2 November 2018	20
4	[JSSER] Accept Submission	3 November 2018	39
5	[JSSER] Final Paper for JSSER	5 November 2018	40
6	[JSSER] Letter of Acceptance	6 November 2018	41
7	[JSSER] Proofreading	12 November 2018	43
8	Online Version	Desember 2018	59

## [JSSER] Submission Acknowledgement

---

Dari: Ani Purwanti (ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com)

Kepada: dergiparkposta@ulakbim.gov.tr

Tanggal: Jumat, 30 September 2018 16.30 WIB

---

Dear Editor,

We would like to submit article entitled, "Strategizing Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village Policymaking as a Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on Semarang Regency", for consideration for publication on Journal of Social Studies Education Research.

We hope this paper will be accepted for publication in your journal. We are looking forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Best regards,

Ani Purwanti



Manuscript-Ani Purwanti.docx  
90kB

## [JSSER] Submission Acknowledgement

---

Dari: bulent tarman (btarman@gmail.com)

Kepada: ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com

Tanggal: Kamis, 18 Oktober 2018 12.35 WIB

---

Dear Ani Purwanti,

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "Strategizing Local Regulation On Women Representation in Village Policy-Making as A Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study in Semarang Regency" to Journal of Social Studies Education Research. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL: <http://jsser.org/author/submission/5000216046>

Username: tsuryanto

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Bulent Tarman  
Journal of Social Studies Education Research

-----  
Assoc. Prof.  
Bulent TARMAN, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, Research in Social Sciences and Technology (<http://ressat.org/index.php/ressat>)  
Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Social Studies Education Research ([jsser.org](http://jsser.org))  
Email: [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

-----  
This e-mail may contain information that privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination, distribution or copying of any information from this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by electronic mail at [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

-----  
Assoc. Prof.  
Bulent TARMAN, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, Research in Social Sciences and Technology (<http://ressat.org/index.php/ressat>)  
Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Social Studies Education Research ([jsser.org](http://jsser.org))  
Email: [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

-----  
This e-mail may contain information that privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination, distribution or copying of any information from this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by electronic mail at [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

## [JSSER] Revisions Required

---

Dari: Bulent Tarman (btarman@gmail.com)  
Kepada: ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com  
Cc: budiispriyarso@ymail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com  
Tanggal: Senin, 29 Oktober 2018 15.29 WIB

---

Ani Purwanti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Social Studies Education Research, "Strategizing Local Regulation On Women Representation in Village Policy-Making as A Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study in Semarang Regency".

Our decision is to: "Revisions required".

Please see the comments of the reviewers given below and revise your manuscript by using the Track Changes feature of the Word program. Proofreading whole paper and remove spelling and grammar mistakes is necessary. Make sure to Proof-edited by a native English language specialist. Please make sure to use APA 6 version throughout the manuscript. It is highly recommended to cite most recent references relevant to this study. Please revise your manuscript in 3 days and upload it through the online management system of the journal and please confirm your recipient of this message by replying to [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)  
Best regards,

Bulent Tarman, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, JSSER

-----

### Reviewer A:

JSSER Referee Evaluation Form  
Başlığın içerik ile uygunluğu/Relevance of the title with content \*

The Content is consistent and relevant to the issues and Objective of the study

Yayın Türü/ Type of manuscript (Research, Lit. review etc.) \*

This manuscript very useful to explore and Literature accessible to examine

Çalışmanın Kalitesi/ Quality of the work \*

Good Research and can be continued in publications

Teorik Çerçeve /Theoretical Framework \*

The Theoretical Framework has fulfilled the elements conveyed

Amaç ve Gerekçe/ Objectives and Rationale \*

Research Objectives and what the author conveyed are very rational

Yöntem/Method \*

The author used the qualitative method, and the author has been explained in this article

Bulgular/Findings \*

The results of this study present preliminary data on the progress towards gender equality in politics through the context of Semarang Regency. So, this research strongly supports government programs in Indonesia, especially the City of Semarang.

Sonuç/Conclusion \*

Simply and easily understood by readers and other researchers

Akışkanlık/smoothness \*

excellent work

Orijinallik/Originality \*

This research includes having authenticity and emphasis on areas that need attention for further researchers. It will even be a concern for the Indonesian government

---

Reviewer B:

JSSER Referee Evaluation Form

Başlığın içerik ile uygunluğu/Relevance of the title with content \*

The title and content has Relevance

Yayın Türü/ Type of manuscript (Research, Lit. review etc.) \*

Review literature is very easy to understand, and the author has used a system that can facilitate the consistency of examination of the study.

Çalışmanın Kalitesi/ Quality of the work \*

Overall, the Quality of the work has been excellent and consistent between the Issues presented. However, maybe because of different systems several words are close together

Teorik Çerçeve /Theoretical Framework \*

The Author has used several relevant theories to support studies such as theory and methods in socio-legal research; Political Theory.

Amaç ve Gerekçe/ Objectives and Rationale \*

The Objective research is appropriate, and the rationale is appropriate and even has novelty for future research

Yöntem/Method \*

As described by the author, the type of research in this article is socio-legal research. The method used by the author is sufficient to support the study.

Bulgular/Findings \*

The findings are regarding the establishment of a purposive, inclusive and sensitive political system on women's issues that are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in women's social life in the village.

Sonuç/Conclusion \*

The author and consistent have well conveyed the conclusion until the end of the study

Akışkanlık/smoothness \*

Actually, I understand the author's intentions, but some words must be subject to special attention.

Orijinallik/Originality \*

This research generally has originality, because of the regulation in regions is different

Düzen ve Denge/ Order and Balance \*

Overall, this study has been consistent and balanced

Editöre Not/ Note for Editor \*

Attention: To use of words according to academic science

Yazar/lara Not/Note for Author/s \*

Some words to be the focus of attention and improvement

-----

 Rev-AniP.docx  
91kB

# **Strategising Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village Policymaking as a Realisation of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on Semarang Regency**

Ani Purwanti, Budi Ispriyarso, Dyah Wijaningsih  
Diponegoro University, Indonesia, Faculty of Law, Diponegoro University, Indonesia

## **ABSTRACT**

*Since 2015, Indonesia has been part of an international resolution called Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in which gender equality is a critical objective for the betterment of human lives. Accordingly, the Indonesian government has started to pay attention to women's political representation, and it has stipulated policies and regulations regarding women's political participation at every policymaking level. Starting from the state context, the issue of women's representation has recently been expanded to village policymaking through the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014). Given the escalating trend of decentralisation and democratisation of villages, local governments have also implemented initiatives regarding women's political participation, which have inspired the present article. This article explores the extent to which local regulation corresponds to the implementation of the Village Act concerning women's representatives in the Village Representative Council (VRC). To investigate this, the research is conducted in Semarang Regency, where the local government has promulgated two policies in this regard. The research method used in this article is the sociolegal approach, whereby a statute or regulation is analysed through empirical facts, whether quantitative, qualitative or both. As a conclusion, we find that the mechanism of women's representation as stipulated by the Semarang regency government is more focussed on quality than quantity, thereby stressing the role of women representatives and women constituent groups, not only in the context of policymaking in the VRC but also in village politics at large.*

**Keywords:** *Policymaking, Women's Representation, Village Politics, Sustainable Development Goals, Gender Equality, Semarang Regency*

## **INTRODUCTION**

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of global goals set in 2015 through Resolution 70/1 of the United Nations General Assembly; the signing state parties are obliged to commit to achieving such goals by 2030 at the latest. SDGs encompass five basic principles of development, as follows: people, the planet, prosperity, peace and partnership. These basic principles are interdependent and inseparable for a harmonious progression between human and nature to reach a more sustainable life in the future. As an international resolution, the signed state parties have declared their commitments to alleviating poverty, hunger, illiteracy, injustice, inequality, and gender-based discrimination. As one of the signers, the Indonesian government has supported the resolution by stipulating Presidential Decree No. 59/2017 on SDGs. This resolution has clearly had positive effects in Indonesia, as its human development index (HDI) is reported to have increased steadily since the inception of SDGs (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2016). Notwithstanding the increase of Indonesia's HDI, the progression seems to be

unevenly enjoyed among different groups of people. Apparently, the rates of gender-based discrimination and inequality are still significant (UNDP, 2016).

The Indonesian government is still committed on tackling this gender-based inequality and discrimination. This is evident in that the government has promulgated policies and regulations seeking to promote gender equality and alleviate gender-based discrimination. One of these is affirmative action on women's political participation. This policy is intended to generate an opportunity for women to be elected as representatives in legislative institutions at all administrative levels, from the highest entity – the state – to the lowest tier of government – the village. Regarding the latter, a provision for women's political participation is stipulated through the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014 on Villages) and its derivative regulations, Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014, which mandate a substantive representation of women on the Village Representative Council (VRC), a village legislative institution. In addition, several local governments have engaged in initiatives to provide a policy in that regard. One of these is the Semarang Regency government, which has enacted two policies regarding women's political participation in the VRC, namely Regency Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, which represent the focus of this article.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Gender Equality and Sustainable Development

Gender equality is one of the critical indicators of sustainable development. Several studies have asserted the importance of gender equality as both a human rights issue and a precondition for sustainable development. However, it is often, if not always, the case that efforts to elevate the status of women in society to be equal to men are hindered by the patriarchy prevailing in the social and legal systems. The reports from the UNDP show that gender equality is also a critical factor of good governance, whereby reserving opportunities for women to be involved in policymaking can support the empowerment of society at large, and especially women ([Indonesia, Strategy, & Plan, 2017; United Nations, 2015; UNDP, 2016](#)) [UNDP Indonesia, 2016; 2017; UN Women, 2016](#)). This creates a potential to build a better social system in which human rights and gender equality become fundamental social norms that are institutionalised into social behaviours.

The SDGs include gender equality as one of the core goals. Sustainable development depends on an equitable distribution of resources, and it cannot be achieved without gender equality. Otherwise, the effects of unsustainable development will intensify the gender inequality, where the disproportionate capacity of citizens to realise their rights as women and men will be situated and perceived differently due to economic, social, and environmental shocks and stresses ([Gupta & Vegelin, 2016; Neumayer & Plumper, 2016](#)). [As SDGs \(United Nations, 2015\)](#).

Women's political representation is one of the ways of achieving gender equality and combatting gender-based discrimination. The quota system is the most common approach in policy for securing women's representation in institutions and policymaking ([Choi, 2018; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Clayton, 2015; Dahlerup, 2005, 2007, 2013; Dahlerup & Freidenvall, 2005; Krook, 2008; Purwanti, 2015; Schwindt-Bayer, 2009; Siregar, 2005](#)). The quota system basically allots opportunities exclusively to a certain group – that is, women – as a means of ensuring inclusivity so that their opinions and interests can be heard or included in a deliberative process. However, several previous studies have suggested that the quota system alone cannot ensure that women's interests – let alone women's rights – will be considered in the deliberations that occur ([Bird, Saalfeld, & Wüst, 2010; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Celis, 2013; Clayton, 2015;](#)



Maggio, 2007; Pande, 2003)(Bird, 2003; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Maggio, 2007; Pande, 2003; Seregig, 2018 et al18). Strategising a policy supporting gender equality in politics through a quota system is not enough, as the problem lies in its foundation, concerning whether representation must be presented tangibly or substantively (Mansbridge, 1999; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Wängnerud, 2010). The representativeness of political representation can only be secured if deliberative democracy is upheld, maintaining disagreement and consensus, checks and balances of claims, inclusivity and equal respect through streamlined communication between the representatives and the represented (Celis, Childs, Kantola, & Krook, 2008; Darcy, Welch, & Clark, 1994; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Wängnerud, 2010).

### Women's Political Representation in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Point 5 of the SDGs is 'achiev[ing] gender equality and empower[ing] all women and girls'. This goal point, along with the other 17,6 represents the three core elements that need to be harmonised to achieve sustainable development, which are economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection. Considering the three core elements, the goal of achieving gender equality and women's empowerment is expanded into nine targets, one of which is 'ensur[ing] women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of policy-making in political, economic, and public life'. Furthermore, this target has indicators of achievement, which are as follows: 1) the increasing proportion of seats held by women compared to men in national parliaments and local governments, and 2) the increasing proportion of women compared to men in managerial positions.

The signed state parties are expected to create and implement strategic policies, supported by integrated national funding and financing frameworks, to achieve the SDGs. Furthermore, there are three policy criteria that ought to be applied for advancing women's political representation, which are as follows: 1) gender-sensitive rules and procedures in parliament, 2) equal participation and empowerment of women as elected representatives and 3) legal frameworks for promoting gender equality in society. The first criterion is the principle pillar of a gender-friendly and non-discriminatory political environment that can substantiate a substantive representation in practice by validating the views, perspectives and priorities on gender equality. It is expected to create a gender-sensitive parliament able to respond to the interests of both men and women in its policymaking and remove the barriers to women's participation, thereby offering a positive example to society at large. The actions that can be taken to create a gender-sensitive parliament are as follows: 1) establishing a gender equality committee, 2) establishing a multi-portfolio committee, 3) creating a women's caucus or council and 4) establishing internal party networks that are not necessarily referred to in the political party, as these will be supportive, capacity-building groups for women representatives. The second criterion refers to increasing the proportion of women representatives and their capacity. In this criterion, actions that are often taken include temporary special measures or affirmative action. A common form of affirmative action that is widely implemented is the quota system, which mandates a number of reserved positions or seats that are exclusively for women and make up a designated minimum amount, commonly about 25–50% of the total parliamentarians. Finally, the third criterion refers to the drafting and enactment

**Commented [.1]:** Please check the last citation here. Should it be 'Seregig et al., 2018'?

**Commented [.2]:** If there are 17 points altogether, this should be changed to '16'.

**Commented [.3]:** What proportions are being sought? Is the target just to increase these proportions, or is it to increase them by a specific amount?

of laws regarding gender equality in society, the promotion of which is often conducted by women representatives with interest in issues related to gender-based discrimination and violence.

## RESEARCH METHOD

Sociological research is the method employed in this article due to the need to determine the implications of law or regulation for the empirical context of social life (Banakar & Travers, 2005). This article focusses on the implementation of two local regulations of Semarang Regency, namely Regency Government Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. These are analysed in comparison with empirical data concerning the laws' on-site implementation. Primarily quantitative (statistical) data are presented as the empirical results.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Women's Political Participation in Indonesian Village Law

Since the early 2000s, the Indonesian government has been embracing the global action toward democratisation as initiated through the Millennium Development Goals and their successors, the SDGs. Regarding action concerning point 5 of the SDGs, which relates to gender equality, the Indonesian government has taken an initial action by establishing affirmative action for women's political participation in legislative elections (Berg, 2015; Purwanti, 2015; Siregar, 2005). The current active affirmative action provision is stipulated in the Political Party Act (Law No. 2/2011) and ~~General Election Act~~ Legislative Election Act (Law No. 7/2017). The provisions regulate a form of legislative quota whereby a political party is mandated to include women up to 30% minimum of the total of party in its membership and its electoral candidates ~~and up to a 30% minimum of the total~~. Given the increasing number of women representatives in legislative bodies – which only mandated by the affirmative action (the Political Party Act and Legislative Election Act) ~~which were only available~~ at the state, provincial and municipal levels – the issue of expanding women's participation to other fields of policymaking, such as the village level, is also attracting more attention. This has resulted in the inclusion of affirmative action provisions in the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014).

The momentum of the Village Act's promulgation corresponds to the issues of expanded democratisation, decentralisation and distribution of wealth through to the lowest tier of state-recognised community, which is the village and customary village (Antlöv, Wetterberg, & Dharmawan, 2016; Salim, Bulan, Untung, Laksono, & Brock, 2017; Vel & Bedner, 2015; Veljac, 2016). The stipulations of the Village Act have essentially democratised the village governance system, in which the separation of powers is maintained through the presence of an executive – a village government led by a village leader – and a legislative institution, namely the Village Representative Council (VRC). Like in a typical democratic government, the policymaking procedures on policy and regulations involve cooperation between the executive and legislative branches. The village leader and members of the VRC are elected differently: Where the former is elected through a ballot election, the latter is either selected through ballot election or the deliberation of community constituents. Moreover, the Village Act stipulates that the VRC must

**Commented [.4]:** Please clarify this, as it's not clear whether 30% is the minimum or whether there are may be different minimums for different groups.

**Commented [.5]:** Are you saying that legislative bodies only exist at these levels in Indonesia or that data are only available from these groups? Please clarify this.

**Commented [.6]:** Are these two different things? If so, how are they different?

consists of an odd number of members in the range of five to nine people. Regarding the member formation of the VRC, the provision of affirmative action is implemented through the Village Act.

Given the progressive policy advocacy of the bigger context of democratisation, the proposal of including women's participation in village governance has also received substantial support. Eventually, this proposal was stipulated in the Village Act and its derivative regulations (Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014), in which the stipulation of VRC – the 'parliament' of the village – includes one woman representative as a minimum. It must be noted, ~~however~~, that the reserved seat is only provided for women representatives who have been elected by ~~women's interest constituents~~ ~~constituents~~, ~~female villagers that have been registered as voters~~. ~~This indicates an intentional interpretation from the lawmakers which supposed a women representative as a direct representation of the voices, opinions, and objectives of women villagers for the betterment of their lives. However, although there is only one reserved seat for women representative, The provision the Village Act does not exactly constrict women's participation to one person per se.; Article 58 paragraph (1) of the Village Act stipulates further about this as "The number of members of the Village Representative Council shall be set in odd amount, at 5 (five) and at most 9 (nine), with considerations of the territory, women, population, and the financial capacity of the village." as women candidates can also be elected by other community types of constituent than women constituents. Therefore, the affirmative action within the Village Act's electoral design is not based on descriptive representative whereby the representativeness is based on the shared similarities between the representative and the represented. But instead, the design is principally based on substantive representative whereby the representative is ought and bound to advocate the voices and agenda of the people they represented (See further in Celis et al., 2008; Jr. & Pitkin, 1969; Mackay, 2008; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Tenenbaum & Griffiths, 2001; Urbinati & Warren, 2008; Wängnerud, 2010)s. ~~This is due to the formation of representation in the VRC based on considerations of the territorial, female, population, and financial capacities of the village.~~~~

Furthermore, the VRC has the same type of rights and obligations as the usual parliament of state, such as the following: 1) the right to supervise, 2) the right to speak and state opinions and 3) the right to budget. Moreover, the VRC members also acquire individual rights as representatives, such as the following:

1. The right to propose a village legislation;
2. The right to question;
3. The right to speak and state opinions;
4. The right to elect and be elected;
5. The right to gain remunerations from the village budget; and
6. Additional privileges, such as the following: a) access to capacity-building facilities, such as education, workshops, policy briefs, technical mentoring, and on-site visitation, and b) prestigious recognition from the regional government (province or municipality) for outstanding achievements.

Furthermore, the VRC members also hold obligations as stipulated by the regulations, such as the following:

**Commented [.7]:** I'm not sure what this term means. Are you saying that women are elected by women? Otherwise, what constituents does this refer to?

**Field Code Changed**

**Formatted: Font: Italic**

**Commented [.8]:** As a capacity, does this simply refer to the number of women there?

**Formatted: Font: Italic**

**Formatted: Font: Italic**

1. Holding and practicing the values of *Pancasila*, the 1945 Constitution, and maintaining social harmony;
2. Embodying democratic principles that promote gender equality and gender-based justice on practicing governance;
3. Prioritising social interests above those of the self or group;
4. Respecting the existing sociocultural values, customs and traditions of the village;
5. Maintaining the ethics and norms in cooperation with other village governmental institutions or village institutions; and
6. Safeguarding and conveying people's interests in accordance with the performance of good governance.

The policymaking procedures in the villages not only focus on the deliberation of the executive and legislative, but they also include community constituents in the deliberation. The site of such debate is called as the Village Deliberative Forum, which has the following functions; 1) allowing the government to consult the society – through the constituent representatives – on policy and regulations, and 2) allowing the government to report its conduct and actions taken during the administration period. Hence, the Village Deliberative Forum is a type of general assembly in which the village government, VRC and representatives of community constituents can meet and deliberate on village affairs. The forum also manifests the right of the VRC to question the village government regarding governmental affairs. Regarding women's participation, women's ~~interest-constituents~~ constituents can also be involved in the forum, as mandated by the Village Act. Therefore, there is a dual way for women to participate in village policymaking, either internally as members of the VRC or externally through the Village Deliberative Forum.

The political system of village policymaking and administrative bureaucracy as stipulated in the Village Act shows characteristics that fit the custom and tradition of village as a tight-knit community. The deliberation process in policymaking is designed to be as accommodative as possible, where the communications between the representatives and the constituents – villagers and interest groups – are responsive and sensitive to the villagers' needs (Antlöv, 2003; Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Antlöv et al., 2016; Salim et al., 2017). Given the democratic purpose of the Village Act, the political system's design is intended not only for community-driven development but also for the advancement of underprivileged, marginalised groups, such as women (Bebbington, Dharmawan, Fahmi, & Guggenheim, 2004; Salim et al., 2017).

### **Women's Political Participation in Semarang Regency**

Semarang Regency is a district located in Central Java Province, Indonesia, and its area covers about 950.21 km<sup>2</sup>. It consists of 19 subdistricts and 235 lower administrations (208 villages and 27 *kelurahan*)<sup>1</sup>. Its population was statistically reported as 1 014 198 citizens in the latest census, which took place in 2017. In terms of gender, the population of Semarang Regency is

<sup>1</sup> *Kelurahan* is an equivalent administration of the village; thus, it is the lowest tier government available. *Kelurahan* does not have the same independency as the village, as it is heavily bureaucratized, authorised and supervised directly by the municipality/regency government.

reported to comprise 515 874 female citizens and 498 324 male citizens. Regarding the VRCs in Semarang Regency, every village is reported to have a VRC; thus, there are 208 Councils in total; ~~and an annual routine pattern of Village Deliberative Forum to the least as mandated by the Village Act. The Village Deliberative Forum is also often held as needed for various occasions, such as when the VRC wants to question the village government regarding governmental affairs, or sometimes as an annual report event by the village government.~~

Since the inception of the Village Act in 2014, the government of Semarang Regency has supported it with great enthusiasm. Soon, ~~the Semarang Regency government the local government~~ adopted corresponding regulations for the implementation of the Village Act. Especially, the government of Semarang Regency has established local regulations corresponding to the VRC through the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. Both are local regulations that are intended to specify the implementation of the VRC – the council, election, ~~socialisation~~ of the election, campaigns, voting system, tools, and so on – via specific procedures.

The local regulations specify electoral procedures of the VRC members concerning representation of two groups – ~~women and the territory population~~. Regarding women's representation, the local regulations stipulate the mechanism of election of women representatives as follows:

1. It is intended that women's representation on the VRC will be secured by electing one female candidate as a representative;
2. The female representative will be a female villager who has fulfilled the requirements of applying for candidacy, by which she shall be proven to have experiences and expertise on voicing and advocating for women's interests; and
3. The election of said female representative shall be done by female villagers who have a right to vote, in that they have been registered with the local electoral commission.

According to the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, the election of woman representatives in VRC only includes female villagers – who have the right to vote and are authorised through citizen recognition – to ensure the representation of women not only in a physical sense, but also in interest alignment between the prospective representatives and the constituents. The election procedure starts from the selection of prospective female candidates. The two regulations stipulate that, under territorial consideration, there can only be one female prospective candidate to be delegated as the female candidate of that territory's constituents. The delegation is determined by deliberative consensus of women's ~~interest-constituents~~ constituents of the community group (*rukun warga*)<sup>2</sup> and neighbourhood group (*rukun tetangga*)<sup>3</sup>. The forum of this deliberation consists of two members

<sup>2</sup> A community group is a social unit where the population and territory constitute the administrative territory of a village or *kelurahan*. The community group usually consists of around 3–10 neighbourhood groups.

<sup>3</sup> The neighbourhood group is the smallest social unit, consisting of around 10–50 families in a designated area.

**Commented [.9]:** Your intended meaning is not clear. Are you saying that a forum must be held at least once a year? Please clarify.

**Commented [.10]:** I don't quite follow this. Does this refer to Semarang Regency? If so, I'm not sure how this is different from the previous sentence. Please review.

**Commented [.11]:** I'm not sure what this means in the present context. Can it be explained?

**Commented [.12]:** I changed this slightly to make the two groups more parallel (both made up of people).

of the Family Welfare Programme<sup>4</sup>, one representing the community group and the other representing the neighbourhood group, and two women's interest figures. Subsequently, the selected prospective candidate officially becomes the women's representation candidate from that territorial constituency. The next and final step is the village-level election, where one female candidate is selected as the women's representative of the Village Representative Forum. There are two mechanisms of election available, namely a consensus forum and a direct voting system. In either of these, the election of women representatives will be undertaken by village-level women's ~~interest-constituents~~constituents, including one member of the village branch of the Family Welfare Programme, one member of the community group branch of the Family Welfare Programme and two women's interest figures.


In their implementation, the local regulations of Semarang Regency (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) have proven to be effective for strategising policies to ensure women's representation in the VRCs. This is shown by statistical data. There were 1574 members throughout all the VRCs in Semarang Regency in 2018. However, the tenure periods of these members were not homogenous, as 1119 members were new representatives, with a tenure period of 2017–2023, and 455 were from the old tenure period of

---

<sup>4</sup> The Family Welfare Programme – or *Pembinaan Kesejahteraan Keluarga* abbreviated as PKK in Indonesian – is a community-based organisation that targets the empowerment of women – commonly housewives – and their families. This organisation is a staple of civil society organisations in most villages and other modern settlements in Indonesia.

2014–2020. The gender balance of the VRC members is illustrated in the following figures 1.1 and 1.2s.

**Commented [.13]:** I would recommend giving figure numbers and legends for each figure in your document. Please note that I have described them as figures rather than tables because they are visual representations rather than lists of data.



*Figure 1.1 (top) and figure 1.2 (bottom). Source: Village Empowerment Agency, Semarang Regency Government, 2018*

As displayed in the figures, there is indeed a discrepancy in terms of gender representation in the VRC of Semarang Regency. Women representatives only comprise 17.41% of the total representatives. However, there is a unique finding concerning the tenure discrepancy. As mentioned above, the composition of membership in the VRCs in Semarang Regency is mixed, with old and new tenure representatives. From the empirical research, it is reported that 30 villages out of 208 have old tenure representatives, all of which have no women’s representation

whatsoever. It must be noted that the old tenure was authorised in 2014, before the promulgation of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, at a time when the Village Act was not widely known or implemented. Furthermore, the gender discrepancy of old tenure representatives is much greater than that of new representatives: The former ratio is 10.375 to 1, while the latter is 3.78 to 1. Regardless, from the interview with the Head of the Village Empowerment Agency of the Semarang Regency government, the government intends to include women's representation more than in the old tenure councils, in which women's representation was nonexistent.

The results of this research present preliminary data on the progression toward gender equality in politics through the context of the Semarang Regency. They indicate that the promulgation of local regulations (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) in response to the Village Act have contributed to the proliferation of women representatives in Semarang Regency's villages. Moreover, the local regulations provide a more substantial improvement to the principles of representation via not only descriptive representation through physical resemblances – women representing women – but also a substantive representation in which the representative also embodies the intentions and interests of the constituents she is representing. This is shown through the direct participation of constituents to the election and policymaking process. The local regulations of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 show an exhaustive mechanism of accountability checking for representatives in which deliberation and consensus are the core principles. This is unsurprising, however, as deliberation and consensus are the living customs in Indonesian villages' social systems (Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Cohen, 1996). After all, the deliberative concept of representation works well in a tight-knit, custom-based community like a village (Cohen, 1996; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Mansbridge, 2018). Through such mechanisms, the communication between the representatives and represented is kept streamlined and as fluid as possible; thus, the political and interest alignment between the representatives and the represented can be kept linear. This indicates that the mechanism for improving women's participation has been designed to be gender sensitive, both for female representatives and women constituents, so that the objectives of gender equality development can be realised concomitantly with the village's development at large.

## CONCLUSION

In response to the trend of decentralisation and democratisation of local government, as mandated by the Village Act and SDGs, the issue of gender equality and women's political participation has also expanded to the village context. The government of Semarang Regency has responded to this by establishing the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, two regulations in which women's political participation is established and ensured in the village decision-making process. The statistical data have shown a substantial improvement in women's representation in VRCs, as all newly tenured VRCs have at least one female representative. Assessing the situation from the perspective of the mechanisms and purposes stipulated by the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, it can be concluded that these two regulations provide an exhaustive



mechanism for ensuring women's political representation in both the quantitative and qualitative senses. The two regulations have adopted the living customary tradition of deliberative social politics and modern democracy governance, which affects the guarantee of accountability and representativeness of women representatives when it comes to putting women's interests forward in policymaking. Therefore, the women's political representation provisions in the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 indicate a purposive establishment of a political system that is inclusive and sensitive to women's issues, which are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in the social life of women in the village.

### BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Ani Purwanti is a lecturer in the Faculty of Law, Diponegoro University, who focusses on gender issues in the context of political representation, violence against women and gender justice. Along with fellow lecturers, Budi Ispriyarso and Dyah Wijaningsih, she led a research team on women's political participation in villages throughout Indonesia, including Semarang Regency.

### REFERENCES

- Antlöv, H. (2003). Village government and rural development in Indonesia: The new democratic framework. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 39(2), 193-214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074910302013>
- Antlöv, H., & Wetterberg, A. (2011). *Citizen engagement, deliberative spaces and the consolidation of a post-authoritarian democracy: The case of Indonesia* (Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy No. 8). Visby: Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy
- Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2017). Village governance, community life and the 2014 Village Law in Indonesia. *Bulletion of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161-183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- Banakar, R., & Travers, M. (2005). *Theory and method in socio-legal research*. Oxford: Hart Publishing & Oñati International Series in Law and Society.
- Bebbington, A., Dharmawan, L., Fahmi, E., & Guggenheim, S., (2004). Village politics, culture, and community-driven development: Insights from Indonesia. *Progress in Development Studies*, 4(3), 187-205. doi: 10.1191/1464993404ps085oa
- Bird, K., Saalfeld, T., & Wüst, A. M. (2010). *The political representation of immigrants and minorities: Voters, parties and parliaments in liberal democracies*. London and New York: Routledge
- Bjarnegård, E., & Zetterberg, P. (2014). Why Are Representational Guarantees Adopted for Women and Minorities? Comparing Constituency Formation and Electoral Quota Design Within Countries. *Representation*, 50(3), 307-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344893.2014.951171>
- Celis, K. (2013). Representativity in times of diversity: The political representation of women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 41, 179-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2013.07.007>

- Celis, K., Childs, S., Knatola, J., & Krook, M. L. (2008). Rethinking Women's Substantive Representation. *Representation*, 44(2), 99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Choi, N. (2018). Women's political pathways in Southeast Asia. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 0(0), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2018.1523683>
- Clayton, A. (2015). Women's political engagement under quota-mandated female representation: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment, *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(3), 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Cohen, J. (1997). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407-437). Massachusetts: MIT Press
- Dahlerup, D (Ed.). (2005). *Women, Quotas and Politics*. London: Routledge
- \_\_\_\_\_. (2007). Electoral gender quotas: Between equality of opportunity and equality of result. *Representation*, 43(2), 73-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890701363227>
- Darcy, R., Clark, J. & Welch, S. (1994). *Women, Elections & Representation* (Revised edition). Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press
- Gupta, J. & Vegelin, C. (2016). Sustainable development goals and inclusive development. *International Environment Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 16(3), 433-488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z>
- Karpowitz, C. F. & Mansbridge, J. (2005). Disagreement and consensus: The need for dynamic updating in public deliberation. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 1(1), 348-364
- Krook, M. L. (2008). Quota laws for women in politics: Implications for feminist practice. *Social Politics*, 15(3), 345-368. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxn014>
- Johnsson-Latham, G. (2007). *A study on gender equality as a prerequisite for sustainable development* (Report to the Environment Advisory Council, Sweden 2007:2). Sweden: Ministry of the Environment
- Mackay, F. (2008). 'Think' conceptions of substantive representation: women, gender, and political institutions. *Journal of Representative Democracy*, 44(2), 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079607>
- Maggio, J. (2007). "Can subaltern be heard?": Political theory, translation, representation, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 32(4), 419-443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030437540703200403>
- Mansbridge, J. (1999). Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "Yes." *The Journal of Politics*, 61(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2647821>
- \_\_\_\_\_. (2003). Rethinking Representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4). 515-528
- Pande, R. (2003). Can mandated political representation increase policy influence for disadvantaged minorities? Theory and evidence from India. *American Economic Review*, 93(4), 1132-1151. <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803769206232>
- Pitkin, H. F. (1969). The concept of representation. *Political Science Quarterly*, 84(4), 678-680. DOI: 10.2307/2147153
- Purwanti, A. (2015). Quota Law's for women in politics: Implementation in Indonesia. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics, and Law*, 6(4), 28-36
- Salim, A., Bulan, W. R., Untung, B., Laksono, I. & Brock, K. (2017). *Indonesia's Village Law: Enabler or constraint for more accountable governance?* (Making All Voices Count Research Report), Brighton: Institute of Development Studies
- Sanbonmatsu, K. (2003). Gender-related political knowledge and the descriptive representation of

- women, *Political Behavior*, 25(4), 367-388.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/B:POBE.0000004063.83917.2d>
- Schwindt-Bayer, L. (2009). Making quotas work: The effect of gender quota laws on the election of women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 34(1), 5-28.  
<https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>
- Siregar, W. Z. B. (2005). Parliamentary representation of women in Indonesia: The struggle for a quota. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 11(3), 36-72.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2005.11665993>
- United Nations. (2014). *The World Survey on the Role of Women in Development* (Report of the Secretary-General), New York: Author. Retrieved from  
<http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2014/10/world-survey-2014>
- UN Women. (2016). *Women and Sustainable Development Goals*. New York: Author.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/eb010586>
- United Nations Development Programme Indonesia. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016*. Indonesia: Author
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2017). *Change makers: Programming for gender equality and helping men and women in Indonesia shape a fairer world* (UNDP Indonesia Gender Equality Strategy and Action Plan 2017-2020). Washington D. C.: Author. Retrieved from  
[http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20\(Spread\).pdf](http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20(Spread).pdf)
- Urbinati, N. & Warren, M. E. (2008). The concept of representation in contemporary democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 387-412.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053006.190533>
- Vel, J. A. C., Zakaria, Y. & Bedner A. W. (2017). Law-Making as a Strategy for Change: Indonesia's New Village Law, *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 1-25, doi:10.1017/als.2017.21
- Vel, J. A. C., W. Berenschot and R. Daro Minarchek. (2016). *New Law, New Villages? Changing Rural Indonesia* (Report of the Workshop), Leiden: Koninklijk Instituut voor Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (KITLV). Retrieved from: <http://www.kitlv.nl/workshop-new-law-new-villages-changing-rural-indonesia/>
- Vel, J. A. C. & Bedner, A. W. (2015). Decentralisation and village governance in Indonesia: The return of the nagari and the 2014 village law. *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 47(3), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2015.1109379>
- Wängnerud, L. (2010). *Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation*, 12, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>
- World Bank. (2016). *The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development : Goals , Targets and Indicators*, New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

## [JSSER] Review Responses

---

Dari: Ani Purwanti (ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com)  
Kepada: btarman@gmail.com  
Cc: budiispriyarso@gmail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com  
Tanggal: Jumat, 2 November 2018 14.24 WIB

---

Dear JSSER reviewers,

Here is our revision according to your suggestions. We are very sorry for the late due to several lagging factors in our revision process. Regardless, we hope that you can accept the result.

Kind regards,

Ani Purwanti,  
Corresponding Author



Rev-AniP-JSSER.docx  
97kB

Pada Senin, 29 Oktober 2018 15.29.55 GMT+7, Bülent Tarman <dergiparkposta@ulakbim.gov.tr> menulis:

Ani Purwanti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Social Studies Education Research, "Strategizing Local Regulation On Women Representation in Village Policy-Making as A Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study in Semarang Regency".

Our decision is to: **"Revisions required"**.

Please see the comments of the reviewers given below and revise your manuscript by using the Track Changes feature of the Word program. Proofreading whole paper and remove spelling and grammar mistakes is necessary. Make sure to Proof-edited by a native English language specialist. Please make sure to use APA 6 version throughout the manuscript. It is highly recommended to cite most recent references relevant to this study. Please revise your manuscript in 3 days and upload it through the online management system of the journal and please confirm your recipient of this message by replying to [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

Best regards,

Bulent Tarman, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, JSSER

---

**Reviewer A:**

JSSER Referee Evaluation Form  
Başlığın içerik ile uygunluğu/Relevance of the title with content \*

The Content is consistent and relevant to the issues and Objective of the study

Yayın Türü/ Type of manuscript (Research, Lit. review etc.) \*

This manuscript very useful to explore and Literature accessible to examine

Çalışmanın Kalitesi/ Quality of the work \*

Good Research and can be continued in publications

Teorik Çerçeve /Theoretical Framework \*

The Theoretical Framework has fulfilled the elements conveyed

Amaç ve Gerekçe/ Objectives and Rationale \*

Research Objectives and what the author conveyed are very rational

Yöntem/Method \*

The author used the qualitative method, and the author has been explained in this article

Bulgular/Findings \*

The results of this study present preliminary data on the progress towards gender equality in politics through the context of Semarang Regency. So, this research strongly supports government programs in Indonesia, especially the City of Semarang.

Sonuç/Conclusion \*

Simply and easily understood by readers and other researchers

Akışkanlık/smoothness \*

excellent work

Orijinallik/Originality \*

This research includes having authenticity and emphasis on areas that need attention for further researchers. It will even be a concern for the Indonesian government

-----  
-----  
**Reviewer B:**

JSSER Referee Evaluation Form

Başlığın içerik ile uygunluğu/Relevance of the title with content \*

The title and content has Relevance

Yayın Türü/ Type of manuscript (Research, Lit. review etc.) \*

Review literature is very easy to understand, and the author has used a system that can facilitate the consistency of examination of the study.

Çalışmanın Kalitesi/ Quality of the work \*

Overall, the Quality of the work has been excellent and consistent between the Issues presented. However, maybe because of different systems several words are close together

#### Teorik Çerçeve /Theoretical Framework \*

The Author has used several relevant theories to support studies such as theory and methods in socio-legal research; Political Theory.

#### Amaç ve Gerekçe/ Objectives and Rationale \*

The Objective research is appropriate, and the rationale is appropriate and even has novelty for future research

#### Yöntem/Method \*

As described by the author, the type of research in this article is socio-legal research. The method used by the author is sufficient to support the study.

#### Bulgular/Findings \*

The findings are regarding the establishment of a purposive, inclusive and sensitive political system on women's issues that are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in women's social life in the village.

#### Sonuç/Conclusion \*

The author and consistent have well conveyed the conclusion until the end of the study

#### Akışkanlık/smoothness \*

Actually, I understand the author's intentions, but some words must be subject to special attention.

#### Orijinallik/Originality \*

This research generally has originality, because of the regulation in regions is different

#### Düzen ve Denge/ Order and Balance \*

Overall, this study has been consistent and balanced

#### Editöre Not/ Note for Editor \*

Attention: To use of words according to academic science

#### Yazar/lara Not/Note for Author/s \*

Some words to be the focus of attention and improvement

-----



Proofreading-2-11-2018-Final-Prof-Ani-UNDIP.docx  
90.2kB

## Strategising Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village Policymaking as a Realisation of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on Semarang Regency

Ani Purwanti, Budi Ispriyarso, & Dyah Wijaningsih

### Abstract

Since 2015, Indonesia has been part of an international resolution called Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in which gender equality is a critical objective for the betterment of human lives. Accordingly, the Indonesian government has started to pay attention to women's political representation, and it has stipulated policies and regulations regarding women's political participation at every policymaking level. Starting from the state context, the issue of women's representation has recently been expanded to village policymaking through the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014). Given the escalating trend of decentralisation and democratisation of villages, local governments have also implemented initiatives regarding women's political participation, which have inspired the present article. This article explores the extent to which local regulation corresponds to the implementation of the Village Act concerning women representatives in the Village Representative Council (VRC). To investigate this, the research is conducted in Semarang Regency, where the local government has promulgated two policies in this regard. The research method used in this article is the sociolegal approach, whereby a statute or regulation is analysed through empirical facts, whether quantitative, qualitative or both. As a conclusion, we find that the mechanism of women's representation as stipulated by the Semarang regency government is more focussed on quality than quantity, thereby stressing the role of women representatives and women constituent groups, not only in the context of policymaking in the VRC but also in village politics at large.

**Key words:** Policymaking, Women's Representation, Sustainable Development Goals, Gender Equality, Semarang Regency

### Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of global goals set in 2015 through Resolution 70/1 of the United Nations **General Assembly**; the signing state parties are obliged to commit to achieving such goals by 2030 at the latest. SDGs encompass five basic principles of development, as follows: people, the planet, prosperity, peace and partnership. These basic principles are interdependent and inseparable for a harmonious progression between human and nature to reach a more sustainable life in the future. As an international resolution, the signed state parties have declared their commitments to alleviating poverty, hunger, illiteracy, injustice,



inequality, and gender-based discrimination. As one of the signers, the Indonesian government has supported the resolution by stipulating Presidential Decree No. 59/2017 on SDGs. As further response, the Indonesian government under Joko Widodo's presidency has also initiated national development strategy called *Nawacita*. This strategy puts forward the agenda of democratization, development equality and good governance through goals such as; restoration of public trust towards the integrity of democratic institutions, strengthening the developments on underdeveloped regions and villages, and eradicating corruption (Seregig, et al., 2018). This resolution has clearly had positive effects in Indonesia, as its human development index (HDI) is reported to have increased steadily since the inception of SDGs (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2016). Notwithstanding the increase of Indonesia's HDI, the progression seems to be unevenly enjoyed among different groups of people. Apparently, the rates of gender-based discrimination and inequality are still significant (UNDP, 2016).

The Indonesian government is still committed on tackling this gender-based inequality and discrimination. This is evident in that the government has promulgated policies and regulations seeking to promote gender equality and alleviate gender-based discrimination. One of these is affirmative action on women's political participation. This policy is intended to generate an opportunity for women to be elected as representatives in legislative institutions at all administrative levels, from the highest entity – the state – to the lowest tier of government – the village. Regarding the latter, a provision for women's political participation is stipulated through the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014 on Villages) and its derivative regulations, Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014, which mandate a substantive representation of women on the Village Representative Council (VRC), a village legislative institution. In addition, several local governments have engaged in initiatives to provide a policy in that regard. One of these is the Semarang Regency government, which has enacted two policies regarding women's political participation in the VRC, namely Regency Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, which represent the focus of this article.

## Literature Review

### Gender Equality and Sustainable Development

Gender equality is one of the critical indicators of sustainable development. Several studies have asserted the importance of gender equality as both a human rights issue and a precondition for

sustainable development. However, it is often, if not always, the case that efforts to elevate the status of women in society to be equal to men are hindered by the patriarchy prevailing in the social and legal system. The reports from the UNDP show that gender equality is also a critical factor of good governance, whereby reserving opportunities for women to be involved in policymaking can support the empowerment of society at large, and especially women (UNDP Indonesia, 2016; 2017; UN Women, 2016). This creates a potential to build a better social system in which human rights and gender equality become fundamental social norms that are institutionalised into social behaviours.

The SDGs include gender equality as one of the core goals. Sustainable development depends on an equitable distribution of resources, and it cannot be achieved without gender equality. Otherwise, the effects of unsustainable development will intensify the gender inequality, where the disproportionate capacity of citizens to realise their rights as women and men will be situated and perceived differently due to economic, social, and environmental shocks and stresses (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016).

Women's political representation is one of the ways of achieving gender equality and combatting gender-based discrimination. The quota system is the most common approach in policy for securing women's representation in institutions and policymaking (Choi, 2018; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Clayton, 2015; Dahlerup, 2005, 2007; Krook, 2008; Purwanti, 2015; Schwindt-Bayer, 2009; Siregar, 2005). The quota system basically allots opportunities exclusively to a certain group – that is, women – as a means of ensuring inclusivity so that their opinions and interests can be heard or included in a deliberative process. However, several previous studies have suggested that the quota system alone cannot ensure that women's interests – let alone women's rights – will be considered in the deliberations that occur (Bird, Saalfeld, & Wüst, 2010; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Celis, 2013; Clayton, 2015; Maggio, 2007; Pande, 2003). Strategising a policy supporting gender equality in politics through a quota system is not enough, as the problem lies in its foundation, concerning whether representation must be presented tangibly or substantively (Mansbridge, 1999; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Wängnerud, 2010). The representativeness of political representation can only be secured if deliberative democracy is upheld, maintaining disagreement and consensus, checks and balances of claims, inclusivity and equal respect through streamlined communication between the representatives and the

represented (Celis, Childs, Kantola, & Krook, 2008; Darcy, Welch, & Clark, 1994; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Wängnerud, 2010).

### **Women's Political Representation in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**

Point 5 of the SDGs is 'achiev[ing] gender equality and empower[ing] all women and girls'. This goal point, along with the other 16 represents the three core elements that need to be harmonised to achieve sustainable development, which are economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection. Considering the three core elements, the goal of achieving gender equality and women's empowerment is expanded into nine targets, one of which is 'ensur[ing] women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of policy-making in political, economic, and public life'. Furthermore, this target has indicators of achievement, which are as follows: 1) the increasing proportion of seats held by women compared to men in national parliaments and local governments, and 2) the increasing proportion of women compared to men in managerial positions.

The signed state parties are expected to create and implement strategic policies, supported by integrated national funding and financing frameworks, to achieve the SDGs. Furthermore, there are three policy criteria that ought to be applied for advancing women's political representation, which are as follows: 1) gender-sensitive rules and procedures in parliament, 2) equal participation and empowerment of women as elected representatives and 3) legal frameworks for promoting gender equality in society. The first criterion is the principle pillar of a gender-friendly and non-discriminatory political environment that can substantiate a substantive representation in practice by validating the views, perspectives and priorities on gender equality. It is expected to create a gender-sensitive parliament able to respond to the interests of both men and women in its policymaking and remove the barriers to women's participation, thereby offering a positive example to society at large. The actions that can be taken to create a gender-sensitive parliament are as follows: 1) establishing a gender equality committee, 2) establishing a multi-portfolio committee, 3) creating a women's caucus or council and 4) establishing internal party networks that are not necessarily referred to in the political party, as these will be supportive, capacity-building groups for women representatives. The second criterion refers to increasing the proportion of women representatives and their capacity. In this criterion, actions that are often taken include temporary special measures or affirmative action. A common form of affirmative action that is widely implemented is the quota system, which mandates a number of

reserved positions or seats that are exclusively for women and make up a designated minimum amount, commonly about 25–50% of the total parliamentarians. Finally, the third criterion refers to the drafting and enactment of laws regarding gender equality in society, the promotion of which is often conducted by women representatives with interest in issues related to gender-based discrimination and violence.

### **Research Method**

Sociolegal research is the method employed in this article due to the need to determine the implications of law or regulation for the empirical context of social life (Banakar & Travers, 2005). This article focusses on the implementation of two local regulations of Semarang Regency, namely Regency Government Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. These are analysed in comparison with empirical data concerning the laws' on-site implementation. Primarily quantitative (statistical) data are presented as the empirical results.

### **Results, Discussion, and Conclusion**

#### **Women's Political Participation in Indonesian Village Law**

Since the early 2000s, the Indonesian government has been embracing the global action toward democratisation as initiated through the Millennium Development Goals and their successors, the SDGs. Regarding action concerning point 5 of the SDGs, which relates to gender equality, the Indonesian government has taken an initial action by establishing affirmative action for women's political participation in legislative elections (Purwanti, 2015; Siregar, 2005). The current active affirmative action provision is stipulated in the Political Party Act (Law No. 2/2011) and Legislative Election Act (Law No. 7/2017). The provisions regulate a form of legislative quota whereby a political party is mandated to include women up to 30% minimum of the total of party membership and its electoral candidates. Given the increasing number of women representatives in legislative bodies – which only mandated by the affirmative action (the Political Party Act and Legislative Election Act at the state, provincial and municipal levels – the issue of expanding women's participation to other fields of policymaking, such as the village level, is also attracting more attention. This has resulted in the inclusion of affirmative action provisions in the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014).

The momentum of the Village Act's promulgation corresponds to the issues of expanded democratisation, decentralisation and distribution of wealth through to the lowest tier of state-recognised community, which is the village and customary village (Antlöv, Wetterberg, & Dharmawan, 2016; Salim, Bulan, Untung, Laksono, & Brock, 2017; Vel & Bedner, 2015; Veljac, 2016). The stipulations of the Village Act have essentially democratised the village governance system, in which the separation of powers is maintained through the presence of an executive – a village government led by a village leader – and a legislative institution, namely the Village Representative Council (VRC). Like in a typical democratic government, the policymaking procedures on policy and regulations involve cooperation between the executive and legislative branches. The village leader and members of the VRC are elected differently: Where the former is elected through a ballot election, the latter is either selected through ballot election or the deliberation of community constituents. Moreover, the Village Act stipulates that the VRC must consist of an odd number of members in the range of five to nine people. Regarding the member formation of the VRC, the provision of affirmative action is implemented through the Village Act.

Given the progressive policy advocacy of the bigger context of democratisation, the proposal of including women's participation in village governance has also received substantial support. Eventually, this proposal was stipulated in the Village Act and its derivative regulations (Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014), in which the stipulation of VRC – the 'parliament' of the village – includes one woman representative as a minimum. It must be noted that the reserved seat is only provided for women representatives who have been elected by women constituents, female villagers that have been registered as voters. This indicates an intentional interpretation from the lawmakers which supposed a women representative as a direct representation of the voices, opinions, and objectives of women villagers for the betterment of their lives. However, although there is only one reserved seat for women representative, the Village Act does not exactly constrict women's participation to one person *per se*. Article 58 paragraph (1) of the Village Act stipulates further about this as "*The number of members of the Village Representative Council shall be set in odd amount, at 5 (five) and at most 9 (nine), with considerations of the territory, women, population, and the financial capacity of the village.*" women candidates can also be elected by other types of constituent than women constituents. Therefore, the affirmative action within the Village

Act's electoral design is not based on descriptive representative whereby the representativeness is based on the shared similarities between the representative and the represented. But instead, the design is principally based on substantive representative whereby the representative is ought and bound to advocate the voices and agenda of the people they represented (See further in Celis et al., 2008; Jr. & Pitkin, 1969; Mackay, 2008; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Tenenbaum & Griffiths, 2001; Urbinati & Warren, 2008; Wängnerud, 2010)

Furthermore, the VRC has the same type of rights and obligations as the usual parliament of state, such as the following: 1) the right to supervise, 2) the right to speak and state opinions and 3) the right to budget. Moreover, the VRC members also acquire individual rights as representatives, such as the following:

1. The right to propose a village legislation;
2. The right to question;
3. The right to speak and state opinions;
4. The right to elect and be elected;
5. The right to gain remunerations from the village budget; and
6. Additional privileges, such as the following: a) access to capacity-building facilities, such as education, workshops, policy briefs, technical mentoring, and on-site visitation, and b) prestigious recognition from the regional government (province or municipality) for outstanding achievements.

Furthermore, the VRC members also hold obligations as stipulated by the regulations, such as the following:

1. Holding and practicing the values of *Pancasila*, the 1945 Constitution, and maintaining social harmony;
2. Embodying democratic principles that promote gender equality and gender-based justice on practicing governance;
3. Prioritising social interests above those of the self or group;
4. Respecting the existing sociocultural values, customs and traditions of the village;
5. Maintaining the ethics and norms in cooperation with other village governmental institutions or village institutions; and
6. Safeguarding and conveying people's interests in accordance with the performance of good governance.

The policymaking procedures in the villages not only focus on the deliberation of the executive and legislative, but they also include community constituents in the deliberation. The site of such debate is called as the Village Deliberative Forum, which has the following functions; 1) allowing the government to consult the society – through the constituent representatives – on policy and regulations, and 2) allowing the government to report its conduct and actions taken during the administration period. Hence, the Village Deliberative Forum is a type of general assembly in which the village government, VRC and representatives of community constituents can meet and deliberate on village affairs. The forum also manifests the right of the VRC to question the village government regarding governmental affairs. Regarding women's participation, women's constituents can also be involved in the forum, as mandated by the Village Act. Therefore, there is a dual way for women to participate in village policymaking, either internally as members of the VRC or externally through the Village Deliberative Forum.

The political system of village policymaking and administrative bureaucracy as stipulated in the Village Act shows characteristics that fit the custom and tradition of village as a tight-knit community. The deliberation process in policymaking is designed to be as accommodative as possible, where the communications between the representatives and the constituents – villagers and interest groups – are responsive and sensitive to the villagers' needs (Antlöv, 2003; Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Antlöv et al., 2016; Salim et al., 2017). Given the democratic purpose of the Village Act, the political system's design is intended not only for community-driven development but also for the advancement of underprivileged, marginalised groups, such as women (Bebbington, Dharmawan, Fahmi, & Guggenheim, 2004; Salim et al., 2017).

### **Women's Political Participation in Semarang Regency**

Semarang Regency is a district located in Central Java Province, Indonesia, and its area covers about 950.21 km<sup>2</sup>. It consists of 19 subdistricts and 235 lower administrations (208 villages and 27 *kelurahan*)<sup>1</sup>. Its population was statistically reported as 1 014 198 citizens in the latest census, which took place in 2017. In terms of gender, the population of Semarang Regency is reported to comprise 515 874 female citizens and 498 324 male citizens. Regarding the VRCs in Semarang Regency, every village is reported to have a VRC; thus, there are 208 Councils in total. **The**

---

<sup>1</sup> *Kelurahan* is an equivalent administration of the village; thus, it is the lowest tier government available. *Kelurahan* does not have the same independency as the village, as it is heavily bureaucratized, authorized and supervised directly by the municipality/regency government.



Village Deliberative Forum is also often held as needed for various occasions, such as when the VRC wants to question the village government regarding governmental affairs, or sometimes as an annual report event by the village government.

Since the inception of the Village Act in 2014, the government of Semarang Regency has supported it with great enthusiasm. Soon, the Semarang Regency government adopted corresponding regulations for the implementation of the Village Act. Especially, the government of Semarang Regency has established local regulations corresponding to the VRC through the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. Both are local regulations that are intended to specify the implementation of the VRC – the council, election, socialisation of the election, campaigns, voting system, tools, and so on – via specific procedures.

The local regulations specify electoral procedures of the VRC members concerning representation of two groups – women and the territory population. Regarding women’s representation, the local regulations stipulate the mechanism of election of women representatives as follows:


1. It is intended that women’s representation on the VRC will be secured by electing one female candidate as a representative;
2. The female representative will be a female villager who has fulfilled the requirements of applying for candidacy, by which she shall be proven to have experiences and expertise on voicing and advocating for women’s interests; and
3. The election of said female representative shall be done by female villagers who have a right to vote, in that they have been registered with the local electoral commission.

According to the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, the election of woman representatives in VRC only includes female villagers – who have the right to vote and are authorised through citizen recognition – to ensure the representation of women not only in a physical sense, but also in interest alignment between the prospective representatives and the constituents. The election procedure starts from the selection of prospective female candidates. The two regulations stipulate that, under territorial consideration, there can only be one female prospective candidate to be delegated as the female candidate of that territory’s constituents. The delegation is determined by deliberative consensus



of women's constituents of the community group (*rukun warga*)<sup>2</sup> and neighbourhood group (*rukun tetangga*)<sup>3</sup>. The forum of this deliberation consists of two members of the Family Welfare Programme<sup>4</sup>, one representing the community group and the other representing the neighbourhood group, and two women's interest figures. Subsequently, the selected prospective candidate officially becomes the women's representation candidate from that territorial constituency. The next and final step is the village-level election, where one female candidate is selected as the women's representative of the Village Representative Forum. There are two mechanisms of election available, namely a consensus forum and a direct voting system. In either of these, the election of women representatives will be undertaken by village-level women's constituents, including one member of the village branch of the Family Welfare Programme, one member of the community group branch of the Family Welfare Programme and two women's interest figures.

In their implementation, the local regulations of Semarang Regency (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) have proven to be effective for strategising policies to ensure women's representation in the VRCs. This is shown by statistical data. There were 1574 members throughout all the VRCs in Semarang Regency in 2018. However, the tenure periods of these members were not homogenous, as 1119 members were new representatives, with a tenure period of 2017–2023, and 455 were from the old tenure period of 2014–2020. The gender balance of the VRC members is illustrated in the following figures 1.1 and 1.2.



<sup>2</sup> A community group is a social unit where the population and territory constitute the administrative territory of a village or *kelurahan*. The community group usually consists of around 3–10 neighbourhood groups.

<sup>3</sup> The neighbourhood group is the smallest social unit, consisting of around 10–50 families in a designated area.

<sup>4</sup> The Family Welfare Programme – or *Pembinaan Kesejahteraan Keluarga* abbreviated as PKK in Indonesian – is a community-based organisation that targets the empowerment of women – commonly housewives – and their families. This organisation is a staple of civil society organisations in most villages and other modern settlements in Indonesia.




Figure 1.1 (top) and figure 1.2 (bottom). Source: Village Empowerment Agency, Semarang Regency Government, 2018

As displayed in the figures, there is indeed a discrepancy in terms

of gender representation in the VRC of Semarang Regency. Women representatives only comprise 17.41% of the total representatives. However, there is a unique finding concerning the tenure discrepancy. As mentioned above, the composition of membership in the VRCs in Semarang Regency is mixed, with old and new tenure representatives. From the empirical research, it is reported that 30 villages out of 208 have old tenure representatives, all of which have no women's representation whatsoever. It must be noted that the old tenure was authorised in 2014, before the promulgation of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, at a time when the Village Act was not widely known or implemented. Furthermore, the gender discrepancy of old tenure representatives is much greater than that of new representatives: The former ratio is 10.375 to 1, while the latter is 3.78 to 1. Regardless, from the interview with the Head of the Village Empowerment Agency of the Semarang Regency government, the government intends to include women's representation more than in the old tenure councils, in which women's representation was non-existent.

The results of this research present preliminary data on the progression toward gender equality in politics through the context of the Semarang Regency. They indicate that the promulgation of local regulations (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) in response to the Village Act have contributed to the proliferation of women representatives in Semarang Regency's villages. Moreover, the local regulations provide a more substantial improvement to the principles of representation via not only descriptive representation through physical resemblances – women representing women – but also a substantive representation in which the representative also embodies the intentions and interests of the constituents she is representing. This is shown through the direct participation of

constituents to the election and policymaking process. The local regulations of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 show an exhaustive mechanism of accountability checking for representatives in which deliberation and consensus are the core principles. This is unsurprising, however, as deliberation and consensus are the living customs in Indonesian villages' social systems (Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Cohen, 1996). After all, the deliberative concept of representation works well in a tight-knit, custom-based community like a village (Cohen, 1996; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Mansbridge, 2018). Through such mechanisms, the communication between the representatives and represented is kept streamlined and as fluid as possible; thus, the political and interest alignment between the representatives and the represented can be kept linear. This indicates that the mechanism for improving women's participation has been designed to be gender sensitive, both for female representatives and women constituents, so that the objectives of gender equality development can be realised concomitantly with the village's development at large.

### **Conclusion**

In response to the trend of decentralisation and democratisation of local government, as mandated by the Village Act and SDGs, the issue of gender equality and women's political participation has also expanded to the village context. The government of Semarang Regency has responded to this by establishing the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, two regulations in which women's political participation is established and ensured in the village decision-making process. The statistical data have shown a substantial improvement in women's representation in VRCs, as all newly tenured VRCs have at least one female representative. Assessing the situation from the perspective of the mechanisms and purposes stipulated by the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, it can be concluded that these two regulations provide an exhaustive mechanism for ensuring women's political representation in both the quantitative and qualitative senses. The two regulations have adopted the living customary tradition of deliberative social politics and modern democracy governance, which affects the guarantee of accountability and representativeness of women representatives when it comes to putting women's interests forward in policymaking. Therefore, the women's political representation provisions in the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 indicate a purposive establishment of a political system that is inclusive and sensitive to women's issues,

which are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in the social life of women in the village.

### References

- Antlöv, H. (2003). Village government and rural development in Indonesia: The new democratic framework. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 39(2), 193-214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074910302013>
- Antlöv, H., & Wetterberg, A. (2011). *Citizen engagement, deliberative spaces and the consolidation of a post-authoritarian democracy: The case of Indonesia* (Working Paper of Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy No. 8). Visby: Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy
- Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2017). Village governance, community life and the 2014 Village Law in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161-183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- Banakar, R., & Travers, M. (2005). *Theory and method in socio-legal research*. Oxford: Hart Publishing & Oñati International Series in Law and Society.
- Bebbington, A., Dharmawan, L., Fahmi, E., & Guggenheim, S., (2004). Village politics, culture, and community-driven development: Insights from Indonesia. *Progress in Development Studies*, 4(3), 187-205. doi: 10.1191/1464993404ps085oa
- Bird, K., Saalfeld, T., & Wüst, A. M. (2010). *The political representation of immigrants and minorities: Voters, parties and parliaments in liberal democracies*. London and New York: Routledge
- Bjarnegård, E., & Zetterberg, P. (2014). Why Are Representational Guarantees Adopted for Women and Minorities? Comparing Constituency Formation and Electoral Quota Design Within Countries. *Representation*, 50(3), 307–320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344893.2014.951171>
- Celis, K. (2013). Representativity in times of diversity: The political representation of women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 41, 179-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2013.07.007>
- Celis, K., Childs, S., Knatola, J., & Krook, M. L. (2008). Rethinking Women's Substantive Representation. *Representation*, 44(2), 99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Choi, N. (2018). Women's political pathways in Southeast Asia. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 0(0), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2018.1523683>
- Clayton, A. (2015). Women's political engagement under quota-mandated female representation: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment, *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(3), 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Cohen, J. (1997). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407-437), Massachusetts: MIT Press
- Dahlerup, D (Ed.). (2005). *Women, Quotas and Politics*. London: Routledge
- \_\_\_\_\_. (2007). Electoral gender quotas: Between equality of opportunity and equality of result. *Representation*, 43(2), 73-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890701363227>
- Darcy, R., Clark, J. & Welch, S. (1994). *Women, Elections & Representation* (Revised edition). Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press
- Gupta, J. & Vegelin, C. (2016). Sustainable

- development goals and inclusive development. *International Environment Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 16(3), 433-488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z>
- Karpowitz, C. F. & Mansbridge, J. (2005). Disagreement and consensus: The need for dynamic updating in public deliberation. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 1(1), 348-364
- Krook, M. L. (2008). Quota laws for women in politics: Implications for feminist practice. *Social Politics*, 15(3), 345-368, <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxn014>
- Johnsson-Latham, G. (2007). *A study on gender equality as a prerequisite for sustainable development* (Report to the Environment Advisory Council, Sweden 2007:2). Sweden: Ministry of the Environment
- Mackay, F. (2008). 'Think' conceptions of substantive representation: women, gender, and political institutions. *Journal of Representative Democracy*, 44(2), 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079607>
- Maggio, J. (2007). "Can subaltern be heard?": Political theory, translation, representation, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 32(4), 419-443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030437540703200403>
- Mansbridge, J. (1999). Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "Yes." *The Journal of Politics*, 61(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2647821>
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2003). Rethinking Representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4). 515-528
- Pande, R. (2003). Can mandated political representation increase policy influence for disadvantaged minorities? Theory and evidence from India. *American Economic Review*, 93(4), 1132-1151, <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803769206232>
- Pitkin, H. F. (1969). The concept of representation. *Political Science Quarterly*, 84(4), 678-680. DOI: 10.2307/2147153
- Purwanti, A. (2015). Quota law's for women in politics: Implementation in Indonesia. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics, and Law*, 6(4), 28-36
- Salim, A., Bulan, W. R., Untung, B., Laksono, I. & Brock, K. (2017). *Indonesia's Village Law: Enabler or constraint for more accountable governance?* (Making All Voices Count Research Report), Brighton: Institute of Development Studies
- Sanbonmatsu, K. (2003). Gender-related political knowledge and the descriptive representation of women, *Political Behavior*, 25(4), 367-388. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:POBE.0000004063.83917.2d>
- Schwindt-Bayer, L. (2009). Making quotas work: The effect of gender quota laws on the election of women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 34(1). 5-28. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>
- Seregig, I. K., Suryanto, T., Hartono, B., Rivai, E. & Prasetyawati, E. (2018). Preventing the acts of corruption through legal community education. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 9(2), 138-159
- Siregar, W. Z. B. (2005). Parliamentary representation of women in Indonesia: The struggle for a quota. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 11(3), 36-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2005.11665993>
- United Nations. (2014). *The World Survey on the Role of Women in Development* (Report of the Secretary-General), New York: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2014/10/world-survey-2014>
- UN Women. (2016). *Women and Sustainable Development Goals*. New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb010586>
- United Nations Development Programme Indonesia. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016*.

- Indonesia: Author
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2017). *Change makers: Programming for gender equality and helping men and women in Indonesia shape a fairer world* (UNDP Indonesia Gender Equality Strategy and Action Plan 2017-2020). Washington D. C.: Author. Retrieved from [http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20\(Spread\).pdf](http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20(Spread).pdf)
- Urbinati, N. & Warren, M. E. (2008). The concept of representation in contemporary democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 387-412. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053006.190533>
- Vel, J. A. C., Zakaria, Y. & Bedner A. W. (2017). Law-Making as a Strategy for Change: Indonesia's New Village Law, *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 1-25, doi:10.1017/als.2017.21
- Vel, J. A. C., W. Berenschot and R. Daro Minarchek. (2016). *New Law, New Villages? Changing Rural Indonesia* (Report of the Workshop), Leiden: Koninklijk Instituut voor Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (KITLV). Retrieved from: <http://www.kitlv.nl/workshop-new-law-new-villages-changing-rural-indonesia/>
- Vel, J. A. C. & Bedner, A. W. (2015). Decentralisation and village governance in Indonesia: The return of the nagari and the 2014 village law. *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 47(3), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2015.1109379>
- Wängnerud, L. (2010). *Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation*, 12, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>
- World Bank. (2016). *The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: Goals , Targets and Indicators*, New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

## [JSSER] Accept Submission

---

Dari: Bulent Tarman (btarman@gmail.com)  
Kepada: ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com  
Cc: budiispriyarso@ymail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com  
Tanggal: Sabtu, 3 November 2018 02.27 WIB

---

Ani Purwanti:

We have reached a decision regarding your submission to Journal of Social Studies Education Research, "Strategizing Local Regulation On Women Representation in Village Policy-Making as A Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study in Semarang Regency".

Our decision is to: "accept submission."

Thank you for taking the time to revise the manuscript and address the required revisions. Please expect to get further instructions to follow in the near future for the publication procedure.

Best regards,

Bulent Tarman, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, JSSER



## [JSSER] Final Paper for JSSER

---

Dari: Ani Purwanti (ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com)

Kepada: btarman@gmail.com

Cc: budiispriyarso@ymail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com

Tanggal: Senin, 5 November 2018 16.17 WIB

---

Dear Prof. Bulent,

Herewith I send you my final version of paper that has been adjusted to JSSER's standard and writing template. Thank you for the opportunity you have given me. It has been such a bless to work with you.

Sincerely,

Ani Purwanti  
Corresponding Author



Ani Purwanti et al JSSER Paper Adjusted.docx  
82.5kB



## [JSSER] Letter of Acceptance

---

Dari: Bulent Tarman (btarman@gmail.com)  
Kepada: ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com  
Cc: budiispriyarso@ymail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com  
Tanggal: Selasa, 6 November 2018 13.10 WIB

---

Please find it attached.  
regards,

-----  
Assoc. Prof.  
Bulent TARMAN, Ph.D  
Editor-in-Chief, Research in Social Sciences and Technology (<http://ressat.org/index.php/ressat>)  
Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Social Studies Education Research ([jsser.org](http://jsser.org))  
Email: [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)

-----  
This e-mail may contain information that privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any unauthorized dissemination, distribution or copying of any information from this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you receive this e-mail in error, please notify me immediately by electronic mail at [btarman@gmail.com](mailto:btarman@gmail.com)



Letter of Acceptance JSSER Dr. Ani Purwanti.pdf  
280kB



**E-ISSN: 1309-9108**

***Journal of Social Studies Education Research (JSSER)***

[www.jsser.org](http://www.jsser.org)

**Subject: Letter of Acceptance**

Dear Dr. Ani Purwanti:

I am pleased to write this letter of acceptance to you for the research entitled " *Strategizing Local Regulation On Women Representation in Village Policy-Making as A Realization of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study in Semarang Regency*". Your paper is scheduled to be published on the next regular issue (Vol. 9, issue:4) of Journal of Social Studies Education Research (December, 2018). The paper will be open access and available online at the website of the journal in December, 2018.

Best regards,

06.11.2018

Assoc. Prof. Bulent Tarman

Editor-in-Chief,

Journal of Social Studies Education  
Research (JSSER)

btarman@gmail.com

***Journal of Social Studies Education Research (JSSER) E-ISSN: 1309-9108***

*Yesilova Mah. Caldiran Cad. 29/11 Etimesgut Ankara/Turkey 06796*

## [JSSER] Proofreading

---

Dari: Bulent Tarman (btarman@gmail.com)  
Kepada: ani\_purwanti81@yahoo.com  
Cc: budiispriyarso@ymail.com; dyah.wijaningsih@gmail.com  
Tanggal: Senin, 12 November 2018 22.46 WIB

---

Dear Ani Purwanti,  
Thank you for your reply and checking to make sure all is well aligned with the process.  
There is no need to do anything at this point. You will be notified if there is any further progress needed in the future.  
Best regards,  
Bulent



Proofreading Dr. Ani Purwanti.pdf  
1.095kB

## Strategising Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village Policymaking as a Realisation of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on Semarang Regency

Ani Purwanti, Budi Ispriyarso, & Dyah Wijaningsih

### Abstract

*Since 2015, Indonesia has been part of an international resolution called Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in which gender equality is a critical objective for the betterment of human lives. Accordingly, the Indonesian government has started to pay attention to women's political representation, and it has stipulated policies and regulations regarding women's political participation at every policymaking level. Starting from the state context, the issue of women's representation has recently been expanded to village policymaking through the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014). Given the escalating trend of decentralisation and democratisation of villages, local governments have also implemented initiatives regarding women's political participation, which have inspired the present article. This article explores the extent to which local regulation corresponds to the implementation of the Village Act concerning women representatives in the Village Representative Council (VRC). To investigate this, the research is conducted in Semarang Regency, where the local government has promulgated two policies in this regard. The research method used in this article is the sociolegal approach, whereby a statute or regulation is analysed through empirical facts, whether quantitative, qualitative or both. As a conclusion, we find that the mechanism of women's representation as stipulated by the Semarang regency government is more focussed on quality than quantity, thereby stressing the role of women representatives and women constituent groups, not only in the context of policymaking in the VRC but also in village politics at large.*

**Key words:** *Policymaking, Women's Representation, Sustainable Development Goals, Gender Equality, Semarang Regency*

### Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of global goals set in 2015 through Resolution 70/1 of the United Nations General Assembly; the signing state parties are obliged to commit to achieving such goals by 2030 at the latest. SDGs encompass five basic principles of development, as follows: people, the planet, prosperity, peace and partnership. These basic principles are interdependent and inseparable for a harmonious progression between human and nature to reach a more sustainable life in the future. As an international resolution, the signed state parties have declared their commitments to alleviating poverty, hunger, illiteracy, injustice,

inequality, and gender-based discrimination. As one of the signers, the Indonesian government has supported the resolution by stipulating Presidential Decree No. 59/2017 on SDGs. As further response, the Indonesian government under Joko Widodo's presidency has also initiated national development strategy called *Nawacita*. This strategy puts forward the agenda of democratization, development equality and good governance through goals such as; restoration of public trust towards the integrity of democratic institutions, strengthening the developments on underdeveloped regions and villages, and eradicating corruption (Seregig, et al., 2018). This resolution has clearly had positive effects in Indonesia, as its human development index (HDI) is reported to have increased steadily since the inception of SDGs (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2016). Notwithstanding the increase of Indonesia's HDI, the progression seems to be unevenly enjoyed among different groups of people. Apparently, the rates of gender-based discrimination and inequality are still significant (UNDP, 2016).

The Indonesian government is still committed on tackling this gender-based inequality and discrimination. This is evident in that the government has promulgated policies and regulations seeking to promote gender equality and alleviate gender-based discrimination. One of these is affirmative action on women's political participation. This policy is intended to generate an opportunity for women to be elected as representatives in legislative institutions at all administrative levels, from the highest entity – the state – to the lowest tier of government – the village. Regarding the latter, a provision for women's political participation is stipulated through the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014 on Villages) and its derivative regulations, Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014, which mandate a substantive representation of women on the Village Representative Council (VRC), a village legislative institution. In addition, several local governments have engaged in initiatives to provide a policy in that regard. One of these is the Semarang Regency government, which has enacted two policies regarding women's political participation in the VRC, namely Regency Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, which represent the focus of this article.

## Literature Review

### Gender Equality and Sustainable Development

Gender equality is one of the critical indicators of sustainable development. Several studies have asserted the importance of gender equality as both a human rights issue and a precondition for

sustainable development. However, it is often, if not always, the case that efforts to elevate the status of women in society to be equal to men are hindered by the patriarchy prevailing in the social and legal system. The reports from the UNDP show that gender equality is also a critical factor of good governance, whereby reserving opportunities for women to be involved in policymaking can support the empowerment of society at large, and especially women (UNDP Indonesia, 2016; 2017; UN Women, 2016). This creates a potential to build a better social system in which human rights and gender equality become fundamental social norms that are institutionalised into social behaviours.

The SDGs include gender equality as one of the core goals. Sustainable development depends on an equitable distribution of resources, and it cannot be achieved without gender equality. Otherwise, the effects of unsustainable development will intensify the gender inequality, where the disproportionate capacity of citizens to realise their rights as women and men will be situated and perceived differently due to economic, social, and environmental shocks and stresses (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016).

Women's political representation is one of the ways of achieving gender equality and combatting gender-based discrimination. The quota system is the most common approach in policy for securing women's representation in institutions and policymaking (Choi, 2018; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Clayton, 2015; Dahlerup, 2005, 2007; Krook, 2008; Purwanti, 2015; Schwindt-Bayer, 2009; Siregar, 2005). The quota system basically allots opportunities exclusively to a certain group – that is, women – as a means of ensuring inclusivity so that their opinions and interests can be heard or included in a deliberative process. However, several previous studies have suggested that the quota system alone cannot ensure that women's interests – let alone women's rights – will be considered in the deliberations that occur (Bird, Saalfeld, & Wüst, 2010; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Celis, 2013; Clayton, 2015; Maggio, 2007; Pande, 2003). Strategising a policy supporting gender equality in politics through a quota system is not enough, as the problem lies in its foundation, concerning whether representation must be presented tangibly or substantively (Mansbridge, 1999; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Wängnerud, 2010). The representativeness of political representation can only be secured if deliberative democracy is upheld, maintaining disagreement and consensus, checks and balances of claims, inclusivity and equal respect through streamlined communication between the representatives and the represented

(Celis, Childs, Kantola, & Krook, 2008; Darcy, Welch, & Clark, 1994; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Wängnerud, 2010).

### **Women's Political Representation in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**

Point 5 of the SDGs is 'achiev[ing] gender equality and empower[ing] all women and girls'. This goal point, along with the other 16 represents the three core elements that need to be harmonised to achieve sustainable development, which are economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection. Considering the three core elements, the goal of achieving gender equality and women's empowerment is expanded into nine targets, one of which is 'ensur[ing] women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of policy-making in political, economic, and public life'. Furthermore, this target has indicators of achievement, which are as follows: 1) the increasing proportion of seats held by women compared to men in national parliaments and local governments, and 2) the increasing proportion of women compared to men in managerial positions.

The signed state parties are expected to create and implement strategic policies, supported by integrated national funding and financing frameworks, to achieve the SDGs. Furthermore, there are three policy criteria that ought to be applied for advancing women's political representation, which are as follows: 1) gender-sensitive rules and procedures in parliament, 2) equal participation and empowerment of women as elected representatives and 3) legal frameworks for promoting gender equality in society. The first criterion is the principle pillar of a gender-friendly and non-discriminatory political environment that can substantiate a substantive representation in practice by validating the views, perspectives and priorities on gender equality. It is expected to create a gender-sensitive parliament able to respond to the interests of both men and women in its policymaking and remove the barriers to women's participation, thereby offering a positive example to society at large. The actions that can be taken to create a gender-sensitive parliament are as follows: 1) establishing a gender equality committee, 2) establishing a multi-portfolio committee, 3) creating a women's caucus or council and 4) establishing internal party networks that are not necessarily referred to in the political party, as these will be supportive, capacity-building groups for women representatives. The second criterion refers to increasing the proportion of women representatives and their capacity. In this criterion, actions that are often taken include temporary special measures or affirmative action. A common form of affirmative action that is widely implemented is the quota system, which mandates a number of reserved positions or seats

that are exclusively for women and make up a designated minimum amount, commonly about 25–50% of the total parliamentarians. Finally, the third criterion refers to the drafting and enactment of laws regarding gender equality in society, the promotion of which is often conducted by women representatives with interest in issues related to gender-based discrimination and violence.

### **Research Method**

Sociolegal research is the method employed in this article due to the need to determine the implications of law or regulation for the empirical context of social life (Banakar & Travers, 2005). This article focusses on the implementation of two local regulations of Semarang Regency, namely Regency Government Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. These are analysed in comparison with empirical data concerning the laws' on-site implementation. Primarily quantitative (statistical) data are presented as the empirical results.

### **Results, Discussion, and Conclusion**

#### **Women's Political Participation in Indonesian Village Law**

Since the early 2000s, the Indonesian government has been embracing the global action toward democratisation as initiated through the Millennium Development Goals and their successors, the SDGs. Regarding action concerning point 5 of the SDGs, which relates to gender equality, the Indonesian government has taken an initial action by establishing affirmative action for women's political participation in legislative elections (Purwanti, 2015; Siregar, 2005). The current active affirmative action provision is stipulated in the Political Party Act (Law No. 2/2011) and Legislative Election Act (Law No. 7/2017). The provisions regulate a form of legislative quota whereby a political party is mandated to include women up to 30% minimum of the total of party membership and its electoral candidates. Given the increasing number of women representatives in legislative bodies – which only mandated by the affirmative action (the Political Party Act and Legislative Election Act at the state, provincial and municipal levels – the issue of expanding women's participation to other fields of policymaking, such as the village level, is also attracting more attention. This has resulted in the inclusion of affirmative action provisions in the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014).

The momentum of the Village Act's promulgation corresponds to the issues of expanded democratisation, decentralisation and distribution of wealth through to the lowest tier of state-



recognised community, which is the village and customary village (Antlöv, Wetterberg, & Dharmawan, 2016; Salim, Bulan, Untung, Laksono, & Brock, 2017; Vel & Bedner, 2015; Veljac, 2016). The stipulations of the Village Act have essentially democratised the village governance system, in which the separation of powers is maintained through the presence of an executive – a village government led by a village leader – and a legislative institution, namely the Village Representative Council (VRC). Like in a typical democratic government, the policymaking procedures on policy and regulations involve cooperation between the executive and legislative branches. The village leader and members of the VRC are elected differently: Where the former is elected through a ballot election, the latter is either selected through ballot election or the deliberation of community constituents. Moreover, the Village Act stipulates that the VRC must consist of an odd number of members in the range of five to nine people. Regarding the member formation of the VRC, the provision of affirmative action is implemented through the Village Act. Given the progressive policy advocacy of the bigger context of democratisation, the proposal of including women's participation in village governance has also received substantial support. Eventually, this proposal was stipulated in the Village Act and its derivative regulations (Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014), in which the stipulation of VRC – the 'parliament' of the village – includes one woman representative as a minimum. It must be noted that the reserved seat is only provided for women representatives who have been elected by women constituents, female villagers that have been registered as voters. This indicates an intentional interpretation from the lawmakers which supposed a women representative as a direct representation of the voices, opinions, and objectives of women villagers for the betterment of their lives. However, although there is only one reserved seat for women representative, the Village Act does not exactly constrict women's participation to one person *per se*. Article 58 paragraph (1) of the Village Act stipulates further about this as "*The number of members of the Village Representative Council shall be set in odd amount, at 5 (five) and at most 9 (nine), with considerations of the territory, women, population, and the financial capacity of the village.*" women candidates can also be elected by other types of constituent than women constituents. Therefore, the affirmative action within the Village Act's electoral design is not based on descriptive representative whereby the representativeness is based on the shared similarities between the representative and the represented. But instead, the design is principally based on substantive representative whereby the representative is ought and bound to advocate the

voices and agenda of the people they represented (See further in Celis et al., 2008; Jr. & Pitkin, 1969; Mackay, 2008; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Tenenbaum & Griffiths, 2001; Urbinati & Warren, 2008; Wängnerud, 2010)

Furthermore, the VRC has the same type of rights and obligations as the usual parliament of state, such as the following: 1) the right to supervise, 2) the right to speak and state opinions and 3) the right to budget. Moreover, the VRC members also acquire individual rights as representatives, such as the following:

1. The right to propose a village legislation;
2. The right to question;
3. The right to speak and state opinions;
4. The right to elect and be elected;
5. The right to gain remunerations from the village budget; and
6. Additional privileges, such as the following: a) access to capacity-building facilities, such as education, workshops, policy briefs, technical mentoring, and on-site visitation, and b) prestigious recognition from the regional government (province or municipality) for outstanding achievements.

Furthermore, the VRC members also hold obligations as stipulated by the regulations, such as the following:

1. Holding and practicing the values of *Pancasila*, the 1945 Constitution, and maintaining social harmony;
2. Embodying democratic principles that promote gender equality and gender-based justice on practicing governance;
3. Prioritising social interests above those of the self or group;
4. Respecting the existing sociocultural values, customs and traditions of the village;
5. Maintaining the ethics and norms in cooperation with other village governmental institutions or village institutions; and
6. Safeguarding and conveying people's interests in accordance with the performance of good governance.

The policymaking procedures in the villages not only focus on the deliberation of the executive and legislative, but they also include community constituents in the deliberation. The site of such debate is called as the Village Deliberative Forum, which has the following functions; 1) allowing

the government to consult the society – through the constituent representatives – on policy and regulations, and 2) allowing the government to report its conduct and actions taken during the administration period. Hence, the Village Deliberative Forum is a type of general assembly in which the village government, VRC and representatives of community constituents can meet and deliberate on village affairs. The forum also manifests the right of the VRC to question the village government regarding governmental affairs. Regarding women's participation, women's constituents can also be involved in the forum, as mandated by the Village Act. Therefore, there is a dual way for women to participate in village policymaking, either internally as members of the VRC or externally through the Village Deliberative Forum.

The political system of village policymaking and administrative bureaucracy as stipulated in the Village Act shows characteristics that fit the custom and tradition of village as a tight-knit community. The deliberation process in policymaking is designed to be as accommodative as possible, where the communications between the representatives and the constituents – villagers and interest groups – are responsive and sensitive to the villagers' needs (Antlöv, 2003; Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Antlöv et al., 2016; Salim et al., 2017). Given the democratic purpose of the Village Act, the political system's design is intended not only for community-driven development but also for the advancement of underprivileged, marginalised groups, such as women (Bebbington, Dharmawan, Fahmi, & Guggenheim, 2004; Salim et al., 2017).

### **Women's Political Participation in Semarang Regency**

Semarang Regency is a district located in Central Java Province, Indonesia, and its area covers about 950.21 km<sup>2</sup>. It consists of 19 subdistricts and 235 lower administrations (208 villages and 27 *kelurahan*)<sup>1</sup>. Its population was statistically reported as 1 014 198 citizens in the latest census, which took place in 2017. In terms of gender, the population of Semarang Regency is reported to comprise 515 874 female citizens and 498 324 male citizens. Regarding the VRCs in Semarang Regency, every village is reported to have a VRC; thus, there are 208 Councils in total. The Village Deliberative Forum is also often held as needed for various occasions, such as when the VRC

---

<sup>1</sup> *Kelurahan* is an equivalent administration of the village; thus, it is the lowest tier government available. *Kelurahan* does not have the same independency as the village, as it is heavily bureaucratized, authorized and supervised directly by the municipality/regency government.

wants to question the village government regarding governmental affairs, or sometimes as an annual report event by the village government.

Since the inception of the Village Act in 2014, the government of Semarang Regency has supported it with great enthusiasm. Soon, the Semarang Regency government adopted corresponding regulations for the implementation of the Village Act. Especially, the government of Semarang Regency has established local regulations corresponding to the VRC through the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. Both are local regulations that are intended to specify the implementation of the VRC – the council, election, socialisation of the election, campaigns, voting system, tools, and so on – via specific procedures.


The local regulations specify electoral procedures of the VRC members concerning representation of two groups – women and the territory population. Regarding women’s representation, the local regulations stipulate the mechanism of election of women representatives as follows:

1. It is intended that women’s representation on the VRC will be secured by electing one female candidate as a representative;
2. The female representative will be a female villager who has fulfilled the requirements of applying for candidacy, by which she shall be proven to have experiences and expertise on voicing and advocating for women’s interests; and
3. The election of said female representative shall be done by female villagers who have a right to vote, in that they have been registered with the local electoral commission.

According to the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, the election of woman representatives in VRC only includes female villagers – who have the right to vote and are authorised through citizen recognition – to ensure the representation of women not only in a physical sense, but also in interest alignment between the prospective representatives and the constituents. The election procedure starts from the selection of prospective female candidates. The two regulations stipulate that, under territorial consideration, there can only be one female prospective candidate to be delegated as the female candidate of that territory’s constituents. The delegation is determined by deliberative consensus of women’s constituents of

the community group (*rukun warga*)<sup>2</sup> and neighbourhood group (*rukun tetangga*)<sup>3</sup>. The forum of this deliberation consists of two members of the Family Welfare Programme<sup>4</sup>, one representing the community group and the other representing the neighbourhood group, and two women's interest figures. Subsequently, the selected prospective candidate officially becomes the women's representation candidate from that territorial constituency. The next and final step is the village-level election, where one female candidate is selected as the women's representative of the Village Representative Forum. There are two mechanisms of election available, namely a consensus forum and a direct voting system. In either of these, the election of women representatives will be undertaken by village-level women's constituents, including one member of the village branch of the Family Welfare Programme, one member of the community group branch of the Family Welfare Programme and two women's interest figures.

In their implementation, the local regulations of Semarang Regency (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) have proven to be effective for strategising policies to ensure women's representation in the VRCs. This is shown by statistical data. There were 1574 members throughout all the VRCs in Semarang Regency in 2018. However, the tenure periods of these members were not homogenous, as 1119 members were new representatives, with a tenure period of 2017–2023, and 455 were from the old tenure period of 2014–2020. The gender balance of the VRC members is illustrated in the following figures 1.1 and 1.2.



<sup>2</sup> A community group is a social unit where the population and territory constitute the administrative territory of a village or *kelurahan*. The community group usually consists of around 3–10 neighbourhood groups.

<sup>3</sup> The neighbourhood group is the smallest social unit, consisting of around 10–50 families in a designated area.

<sup>4</sup> The Family Welfare Programme – or *Pembinaan Kesejahteraan Keluarga* abbreviated as PKK in Indonesian – is a community-based organisation that targets the empowerment of women – commonly housewives – and their families. This organisation is a staple of civil society organisations in most villages and other modern settlements in Indonesia.




Figure 1.1 (top) and figure 1.2 (bottom). Source: Village Empowerment Agency, Semarang Regency Government, 2018

As displayed in the figures, there is indeed a discrepancy in terms

of gender representation in the VRC of Semarang Regency. Women representatives only comprise 17.41% of the total representatives. However, there is a unique finding concerning the tenure discrepancy. As mentioned above, the composition of membership in the VRCs in Semarang Regency is mixed, with old and new tenure representatives. From the empirical research, it is reported that 30 villages out of 208 have old tenure representatives, all of which have no women's representation whatsoever. It must be noted that the old tenure was authorised in 2014, before the promulgation of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, at a time when the Village Act was not widely known or implemented. Furthermore, the gender discrepancy of old tenure representatives is much greater than that of new representatives: The former ratio is 10.375 to 1, while the latter is 3.78 to 1. Regardless, from the interview with the Head of the Village Empowerment Agency of the Semarang Regency government, the government intends to include women's representation more than in the old tenure councils, in which women's representation was non-existent.

The results of this research present preliminary data on the progression toward gender equality in politics through the context of the Semarang Regency. They indicate that the promulgation of local regulations (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) in response to the Village Act have contributed to the proliferation of women representatives in Semarang Regency's villages. Moreover, the local regulations provide a more substantial improvement to the principles of representation via not only descriptive representation through physical resemblances – women representing women – but also a substantive representation in which the representative also embodies the intentions and interests of the constituents she is representing. This is shown through the direct participation of constituents to

the election and policymaking process. The local regulations of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 show an exhaustive mechanism of accountability checking for representatives in which deliberation and consensus are the core principles. This is unsurprising, however, as deliberation and consensus are the living customs in Indonesian villages' social systems (Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Cohen, 1996). After all, the deliberative concept of representation works well in a tight-knit, custom-based community like a village (Cohen, 1996; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Mansbridge, 2018). Through such mechanisms, the communication between the representatives and represented is kept streamlined and as fluid as possible; thus, the political and interest alignment between the representatives and the represented can be kept linear. This indicates that the mechanism for improving women's participation has been designed to be gender sensitive, both for female representatives and women constituents, so that the objectives of gender equality development can be realised concomitantly with the village's development at large.

### **Conclusion**

In response to the trend of decentralisation and democratisation of local government, as mandated by the Village Act and SDGs, the issue of gender equality and women's political participation has also expanded to the village context. The government of Semarang Regency has responded to this by establishing the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, two regulations in which women's political participation is established and ensured in the village decision-making process. The statistical data have shown a substantial improvement in women's representation in VRCs, as all newly tenured VRCs have at least one female representative. Assessing the situation from the perspective of the mechanisms and purposes stipulated by the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, it can be concluded that these two regulations provide an exhaustive mechanism for ensuring women's political representation in both the quantitative and qualitative senses. The two regulations have adopted the living customary tradition of deliberative social politics and modern democracy governance, which affects the guarantee of accountability and representativeness of women representatives when it comes to putting women's interests forward in policymaking. Therefore, the women's political representation provisions in the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 indicate a purposive establishment of a



political system that is inclusive and sensitive to women's issues, which are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in the social life of women in the village.

### References

- Antlöv, H. (2003). Village government and rural development in Indonesia: The new democratic framework. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 39(2), 193-214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074910302013>
- Antlöv, H., & Wetterberg, A. (2011). *Citizen engagement, deliberative spaces and the consolidation of a post-authoritarian democracy: The case of Indonesia* (Working Paper of Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy No. 8). Visby: Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy
- Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2017). Village governance, community life and the 2014 Village Law in Indonesia. *Bulletion of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161-183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- Banakar, R., & Travers, M. (2005). *Theory and method in socio-legal research*. Oxford: Hart Publishing & Oñati International Series in Law and Society.
- Bebbington, A., Dharmawan, L., Fahmi, E., & Guggenheim, S., (2004). Village politics, culture, and community-driven development: Insights from Indonesia. *Progress in Development Studies*, 4(3), 187-205. doi: 10.1191/1464993404ps085oa
- Bird, K., Saalfeld, T., & Wüst, A. M. (2010). *The political representation of immigrants and minorities: Voters, parties and parliaments in liberal democracies*. London and New York: Routledge
- Bjarnegård, E., & Zetterberg, P. (2014). Why Are Representational Guarantees Adopted for Women and Minorities? Comparing Constituency Formation and Electoral Quota Design Within Countries. *Representation*, 50(3), 307-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344893.2014.951171>
- Celis, K. (2013). Representativity in times of diversity: The political representation of women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 41, 179-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2013.07.007>
- Celis, K., Childs, S., Knatola, J., & Krook, M. L. (2008). Rethinking Women's Substantive Representation. *Representation*, 44(2), 99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Choi, N. (2018). Women's political pathways in Southeast Asia. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 0(0), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2018.1523683>
- Clayton, A. (2015). Women's political engagement under quota-mandated female representation: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment, *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(3), 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Cohen, J. (1997). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407-437), Massachusetts: MIT Press
- Dahlerup, D (Ed.). (2005). *Women, Quotas and Politics*. London: Routledge
- \_\_\_\_\_. (2007). Electoral gender quotas: Between equality of opportunity and equality of result. *Representation*, 43(2), 73-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890701363227>
- Darcy, R., Clark, J. & Welch, S. (1994). *Women, Elections & Representation* (Revised edition). Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press
- Gupta, J. & Vegelin, C. (2016). Sustainable



- development goals and inclusive development. *International Environment Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 16(3), 433-488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z>
- Karpowitz, C. F. & Mansbridge, J. (2005). Disagreement and consensus: The need for dynamic updating in public deliberation. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 1(1), 348-364
- Krook, M. L. (2008). Quota laws for women in politics: Implications for feminist practice. *Social Politics*, 15(3), 345-368, <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxn014>
- Johnsson-Latham, G. (2007). *A study on gender equality as a prerequisite for sustainable development* (Report to the Environment Advisory Council, Sweden 2007:2). Sweden: Ministry of the Environment
- Mackay, F. (2008). 'Think' conceptions of substantive representation: women, gender, and political institutions. *Journal of Representative Democracy*, 44(2), 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079607>
- Maggio, J. (2007). "Can subaltern be heard?": Political theory, translation, representation, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 32(4), 419-443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030437540703200403>
- Mansbridge, J. (1999). Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "Yes." *The Journal of Politics*, 61(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2647821>
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2003). Rethinking Representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4). 515-528
- Pande, R. (2003). Can mandated political representation increase policy influence for disadvantaged minorities? Theory and evidence from India. *American Economic Review*, 93(4), 1132-1151, <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803769206232>
- Pitkin, H. F. (1969). The concept of representation. *Political Science Quarterly*, 84(4), 678-680. DOI: 10.2307/2147153
- Purwanti, A. (2015). Quota law's for women in politics: Implementation in Indonesia. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics, and Law*, 6(4), 28-36
- Salim, A., Bulan, W. R., Untung, B., Laksono, I. & Brock, K. (2017). *Indonesia's Village Law: Enabler or constraint for more accountable governance?* (Making All Voices Count Research Report), Brighton: Institute of Development Studies
- Sanbonmatsu, K. (2003). Gender-related political knowledge and the descriptive representation of women, *Political Behavior*, 25(4), 367-388. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:POBE.0000004063.83917.2d>
- Schwindt-Bayer, L. (2009). Making quotas work: The effect of gender quota laws on the election of women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 34(1). 5-28. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>
- Seregig, I. K., Suryanto, T., Hartono, B., Rivai, E. & Prasetyawati, E. (2018). Preventing the acts of corruption through legal community education. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 9(2), 138-159
- Siregar, W. Z. B. (2005). Parliamentary representation of women in Indonesia: The struggle for a quota. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 11(3), 36-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2005.11665993>
- United Nations. (2014). *The World Survey on the Role of Women in Development* (Report of the Secretary-General), New York: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2014/10/world-survey-2014>
- UN Women. (2016). *Women and Sustainable Development Goals*. New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb010586>
- United Nations Development Programme Indonesia. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016*.

- Indonesia: Author  
 \_\_\_\_\_, (2017). *Change makers: Programming for gender equality and helping men and women in Indonesia shape a fairer world* (UNDP Indonesia Gender Equality Strategy and Action Plan 2017-2020). Washington D. C.: Author. Retrived from [http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20\(Spread\).pdf](http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20(Spread).pdf)
- Urbinati, N. & Warren, M. E. (2008). The concept of representation in contemporary democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 387-412. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053006.190533>
- Vel, J. A. C., Zakaria, Y. & Bedner A. W. (2017). Law-Making as a Strategy for Change: Indonesia's New Village Law, *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 1-25, doi:10.1017/als.2017.21
- Vel, J. A. C., W. Berenschot and R. Daro Minarchek. (2016). *New Law, New Villages? Changing Rural Indonesia* (Report of the Workshop), Leiden: Koninklijk Instituut voor Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (KITLV). Retrieved from: <http://www.kitlv.nl/workshop-new-law-new-villages-changing-rural-indonesia/>
- Vel, J. A. C. & Bedner, A. W. (2015). Decentralisation and village governance in Indonesia: The return of the nagari and the 2014 village law. *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 47(3), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2015.1109379>
- Wängnerud, L. (2010). *Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation*, 12, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>
- World Bank. (2016). *The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: Goals , Targets and Indicators*, New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>

## Strategising Local Regulations on Women's Representation in Village Policymaking as a Realisation of Sustainable Development Goals: A Study on Semarang Regency

Ani Purwanti, Budi Ispriyarso, & Dyah Wijaningsih

### Abstract

Since 2015, Indonesia has been part of an international resolution called Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in which gender equality is a critical objective for the betterment of human lives. Accordingly, the Indonesian government has started to pay attention to women's political representation, and it has stipulated policies and regulations regarding women's political participation at every policymaking level. Starting from the state context, the issue of women's representation has recently been expanded to village policymaking through the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014). Given the escalating trend of decentralisation and democratisation of villages, local governments have also implemented initiatives regarding women's political participation, which have inspired the present article. This article explores the extent to which local regulation corresponds to the implementation of the Village Act concerning women representatives in the Village Representative Council (VRC). To investigate this, the research is conducted in Semarang Regency, where the local government has promulgated two policies in this regard. The research method used in this article is the sociolegal approach, whereby a statute or regulation is analysed through empirical facts, whether quantitative, qualitative or both. As a conclusion, we find that the mechanism of women's representation as stipulated by the Semarang regency government is more focussed on quality than quantity, thereby stressing the role of women representatives and women constituent groups, not only in the context of policymaking in the VRC but also in village politics at large.

**Key words:** *Policymaking, Women's Representation, Sustainable Development Goals, Gender Equality, Semarang Regency*

### Introduction

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are a set of global goals set in 2015 through Resolution 70/1 of the United Nations General Assembly; the signing state parties are obliged to commit to achieving such goals by 2030 at the latest. SDGs encompass five basic principles of development, as follows: people, the planet, prosperity, peace and partnership. These basic principles are interdependent and inseparable for a harmonious progression between human and nature to reach a more sustainable life in the future. As an international resolution, the signed state parties have declared their commitments to alleviating poverty, hunger, illiteracy, injustice, inequality, and gender-based discrimination. As one of the signers, the Indonesian government has

supported the resolution by stipulating Presidential Decree No. 59/2017 on SDGs. As further response, the Indonesian government under Joko Widodo's presidency has also initiated national development strategy called *Nawacita*. This strategy puts forward the agenda of democratization, development equality and good governance through goals such as; restoration of public trust towards the integrity of democratic institutions, strengthening the developments on underdeveloped regions and villages, and eradicating corruption (Seregig, et al., 2018). This resolution has clearly had positive effects in Indonesia, as its human development index (HDI) is reported to have increased steadily since the inception of SDGs (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2016). Notwithstanding the increase of Indonesia's HDI, the progression seems to be unevenly enjoyed among different groups of people. Apparently, the rates of gender-based discrimination and inequality are still significant (UNDP, 2016).

The Indonesian government is still committed on tackling this gender-based inequality and discrimination. This is evident in that the government has promulgated policies and regulations seeking to promote gender equality and alleviate gender-based discrimination. One of these is affirmative action on women's political participation. This policy is intended to generate an opportunity for women to be elected as representatives in legislative institutions at all administrative levels, from the highest entity – the state – to the lowest tier of government – the village. Regarding the latter, a provision for women's political participation is stipulated through the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014 on Villages) and its derivative regulations, Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014, which mandate a substantive representation of women on the Village Representative Council (VRC), a village legislative institution. In addition, several local governments have engaged in initiatives to provide a policy in that regard. One of these is the Semarang Regency government, which has enacted two policies regarding women's political participation in the VRC, namely Regency Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, which represent the focus of this article.

## **Literature Review**

### **Gender Equality and Sustainable Development**

Gender equality is one of the critical indicators of sustainable development. Several studies have asserted the importance of gender equality as both a human rights issue and a precondition for sustainable development. However, it is often, if not always, the case that efforts to elevate the

status of women in society to be equal to men are hindered by the patriarchy prevailing in the social and legal system. The reports from the UNDP show that gender equality is also a critical factor of good governance, whereby reserving opportunities for women to be involved in policymaking can support the empowerment of society at large, and especially women (UNDP Indonesia, 2016; 2017; UN Women, 2016). This creates a potential to build a better social system in which human rights and gender equality become fundamental social norms that are institutionalised into social behaviours.

The SDGs include gender equality as one of the core goals. Sustainable development depends on an equitable distribution of resources, and it cannot be achieved without gender equality. Otherwise, the effects of unsustainable development will intensify the gender inequality, where the disproportionate capacity of citizens to realise their rights as women and men will be situated and perceived differently due to economic, social, and environmental shocks and stresses (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016).

Women's political representation is one of the ways of achieving gender equality and combatting gender-based discrimination. The quota system is the most common approach in policy for securing women's representation in institutions and policymaking (Choi, 2018; Kagema, 2018; Karakus, 2018; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Clayton, 2015; Dahlerup, 2005, 2007; Krook, 2008; Purwanti, 2015; Schwindt-Bayer, 2009; Siregar, 2005). The quota system basically allots opportunities exclusively to a certain group – that is, women – as a means of ensuring inclusivity so that their opinions and interests can be heard or included in a deliberative process. However, several previous studies have suggested that the quota system alone cannot ensure that women's interests – let alone women's rights – will be considered in the deliberations that occur (Bird, Saalfeld, & Wüst, 2010; Bjarnegård & Zetterberg, 2014; Celis, 2013; Clayton, 2015; Maggio, 2007; Pande, 2003). Strategising a policy supporting gender equality in politics through a quota system is not enough, as the problem lies in its foundation, concerning whether representation must be presented tangibly or substantively (Mansbridge, 1999; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Wängnerud, 2010). The representativeness of political representation can only be secured if deliberative democracy is upheld, maintaining disagreement and consensus, checks and balances of claims, inclusivity and equal respect through streamlined communication between the representatives and the represented (Celis, Childs, Kantola, & Krook, 2008; Darcy, Welch, & Clark, 1994; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Wängnerud, 2010).

**Women's Political Representation in Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**

Point 5 of the SDGs is 'achiev[ing] gender equality and empower[ing] all women and girls'. This goal point, along with the other 16 represents the three core elements that need to be harmonised to achieve sustainable development, which are economic growth, social inclusion and environmental protection. Considering the three core elements, the goal of achieving gender equality and women's empowerment is expanded into nine targets, one of which is 'ensur[ing] women's full and effective participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of policy-making in political, economic, and public life'. Furthermore, this target has indicators of achievement, which are as follows: 1) the increasing proportion of seats held by women compared to men in national parliaments and local governments, and 2) the increasing proportion of women compared to men in managerial positions.

The signed state parties are expected to create and implement strategic policies, supported by integrated national funding and financing frameworks, to achieve the SDGs. Furthermore, there are three policy criteria that ought to be applied for advancing women's political representation, which are as follows: 1) gender-sensitive rules and procedures in parliament, 2) equal participation and empowerment of women as elected representatives and 3) legal frameworks for promoting gender equality in society. The first criterion is the principle pillar of a gender-friendly and non-discriminatory political environment that can substantiate a substantive representation in practice by validating the views, perspectives and priorities on gender equality. It is expected to create a gender-sensitive parliament able to respond to the interests of both men and women in its policymaking and remove the barriers to women's participation, thereby offering a positive example to society at large. The actions that can be taken to create a gender-sensitive parliament are as follows: 1) establishing a gender equality committee, 2) establishing a multi-portfolio committee, 3) creating a women's caucus or council and 4) establishing internal party networks that are not necessarily referred to in the political party, as these will be supportive, capacity-building groups for women representatives. The second criterion refers to increasing the proportion of women representatives and their capacity. In this criterion, actions that are often taken include temporary special measures or affirmative action. A common form of affirmative action that is widely implemented is the quota system, which mandates a number of reserved positions or seats that are exclusively for women and make up a designated minimum amount, commonly about 25–50% of the total parliamentarians. Finally, the third criterion refers to the drafting and enactment

of laws regarding gender equality in society, the promotion of which is often conducted by women representatives with interest in issues related to gender-based discrimination and violence.

### **Research Method**

Sociolegal research is the method employed in this article due to the need to determine the implications of law or regulation for the empirical context of social life (Banakar & Travers, 2005). This article focusses on the implementation of two local regulations of Semarang Regency, namely Regency Government Regulation No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. These are analysed in comparison with empirical data concerning the laws' on-site implementation. Primarily quantitative (statistical) data are presented as the empirical results.

### **Results, Discussion, and Conclusion**

#### **Women's Political Participation in Indonesian Village Law**

Since the early 2000s, the Indonesian government has been embracing the global action toward democratisation as initiated through the Millennium Development Goals and their successors, the SDGs. Regarding action concerning point 5 of the SDGs, which relates to gender equality, the Indonesian government has taken an initial action by establishing affirmative action for women's political participation in legislative elections (Purwanti, 2015; Siregar, 2005). The current active affirmative action provision is stipulated in the Political Party Act (Law No. 2/2011) and Legislative Election Act (Law No. 7/2017). The provisions regulate a form of legislative quota whereby a political party is mandated to include women up to 30% minimum of the total of party membership and its electoral candidates. Given the increasing number of women representatives in legislative bodies – which only mandated by the affirmative action (the Political Party Act and Legislative Election Act at the state, provincial and municipal levels – the issue of expanding women's participation to other fields of policymaking, such as the village level, is also attracting more attention. This has resulted in the inclusion of affirmative action provisions in the stipulation of the Village Act (Law No. 6/2014).

The momentum of the Village Act's promulgation corresponds to the issues of expanded democratisation, decentralisation and distribution of wealth through to the lowest tier of state-recognised community, which is the village and customary village (Antlöv, Wetterberg, & Dharmawan, 2016; Salim, Bulan, Untung, Laksono, & Brock, 2017; Vel & Bedner, 2015; Veljac,

2016). The stipulations of the Village Act have essentially democratised the village governance system, in which the separation of powers is maintained through the presence of an executive – a village government led by a village leader – and a legislative institution, namely the Village Representative Council (VRC). Like in a typical democratic government, the policymaking procedures on policy and regulations involve cooperation between the executive and legislative branches. The village leader and members of the VRC are elected differently: Where the former is elected through a ballot election, the latter is either selected through ballot election or the deliberation of community constituents. Moreover, the Village Act stipulates that the VRC must consist of an odd number of members in the range of five to nine people. Regarding the member formation of the VRC, the provision of affirmative action is implemented through the Village Act. Given the progressive policy advocacy of the bigger context of democratisation, the proposal of including women’s participation in village governance has also received substantial support. Eventually, this proposal was stipulated in the Village Act and its derivative regulations (Government Regulation No. 43/2014 and Ministerial Regulation of Home Affairs No. 110/2014), in which the stipulation of VRC – the ‘parliament’ of the village – includes one-woman representative as a minimum. It must be noted that the reserved seat is only provided for women representatives who have been elected by women constituents, female villagers that have been registered as voters. This indicates an intentional interpretation from the lawmakers which supposed a women representative as a direct representation of the voices, opinions, and objectives of women villagers for the betterment of their lives. However, although there is only one reserved seat for women representative, the Village Act does not exactly constrict women’s participation to one person *per se*. Article 58 paragraph (1) of the Village Act stipulates further about this as “*The number of members of the Village Representative Council shall be set in odd amount, at 5 (five) and at most 9 (nine), with considerations of the territory, women, population, and the financial capacity of the village.*” women candidates can also be elected by other types of constituent than women constituents. Therefore, the affirmative action within the Village Act’s electoral design is not based on descriptive representative whereby the representativeness is based on the shared similarities between the representative and the represented. But instead, the design is principally based on substantive representative whereby the representative is ought and bound to advocate the voices and agenda of the people they represented (See further in Celis et al., 2008; Jr. & Pitkin,



1969; Mackay, 2008; Sanbonmatsu, 2003; Tenenbaum & Griffiths, 2001; Urbinati & Warren, 2008; Wängnerud, 2010)

Furthermore, the VRC has the same type of rights and obligations as the usual parliament of state, such as the following: 1) the right to supervise, 2) the right to speak and state opinions and 3) the right to budget. Moreover, the VRC members also acquire individual rights as representatives, such as the following:

1. The right to propose a village legislation;
2. The right to question;
3. The right to speak and state opinions;
4. The right to elect and be elected;
5. The right to gain remunerations from the village budget; and
6. Additional privileges, such as the following: a) access to capacity-building facilities, such as education, workshops, policy briefs, technical mentoring, and on-site visitation, and b) prestigious recognition from the regional government (province or municipality) for outstanding achievements.

Furthermore, the VRC members also hold obligations as stipulated by the regulations, such as the following:

1. Holding and practicing the values of *Pancasila*, the 1945 Constitution, and maintaining social harmony;
2. Embodying democratic principles that promote gender equality and gender-based justice on practicing governance;
3. Prioritising social interests above those of the self or group;
4. Respecting the existing sociocultural values, customs and traditions of the village;
5. Maintaining the ethics and norms in cooperation with other village governmental institutions or village institutions; and
6. Safeguarding and conveying people's interests in accordance with the performance of good governance.

The policymaking procedures in the villages not only focus on the deliberation of the executive and legislative, but they also include community constituents in the deliberation. The site of such debate is called as the Village Deliberative Forum, which has the following functions; 1) allowing the government to consult the society – through the constituent representatives – on policy and

regulations, and 2) allowing the government to report its conduct and actions taken during the administration period. Hence, the Village Deliberative Forum is a type of general assembly in which the village government, VRC and representatives of community constituents can meet and deliberate on village affairs. The forum also manifests the right of the VRC to question the village government regarding governmental affairs. Regarding women's participation, women's constituents can also be involved in the forum, as mandated by the Village Act. Therefore, there is a dual way for women to participate in village policymaking, either internally as members of the VRC or externally through the Village Deliberative Forum.

The political system of village policymaking and administrative bureaucracy as stipulated in the Village Act shows characteristics that fit the custom and tradition of village as a tight-knit community. The deliberation process in policymaking is designed to be as accommodative as possible, where the communications between the representatives and the constituents – villagers and interest groups – are responsive and sensitive to the villagers' needs (Antlöv, 2003; Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Antlöv et al., 2016; Salim et al., 2017). Given the democratic purpose of the Village Act, the political system's design is intended not only for community-driven development but also for the advancement of underprivileged, marginalised groups, such as women (Bebbington, Dharmawan, Fahmi, & Guggenheim, 2004; Salim et al., 2017).

### **Women's Political Participation in Semarang Regency**

Semarang Regency is a district located in Central Java Province, Indonesia, and its area covers about 950.21 km<sup>2</sup>. It consists of 19 sub-districts and 235 lower administrations (208 villages and 27 *kelurahan*)<sup>1</sup>. Its population was statistically reported as 1 014 198 citizens in the latest census, which took place in 2017. In terms of gender, the population of Semarang Regency is reported to comprise 515 874 female citizens and 498 324 male citizens. Regarding the VRCs in Semarang Regency, every village is reported to have a VRC; thus, there are 208 Councils in total. The Village Deliberative Forum is also often held as needed for various occasions, such as when the VRC wants to question the village government regarding governmental affairs, or sometimes as an annual report event by the village government.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Kelurahan* is an equivalent administration of the village; thus, it is the lowest tier government available. *Kelurahan* does not have the same independency as the village, as it is heavily bureaucratized, authorized and supervised directly by the municipality/regency government.

Since the inception of the Village Act in 2014, the government of Semarang Regency has supported it with great enthusiasm. Soon, the Semarang Regency government adopted corresponding regulations for the implementation of the Village Act. Especially, the government of Semarang Regency has established local regulations corresponding to the VRC through the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018. Both are local regulations that are intended to specify the implementation of the VRC – the council, election, socialisation of the election, campaigns, voting system, tools, and so on – via specific procedures.

The local regulations specify electoral procedures of the VRC members concerning representation of two groups – women and the territory population. Regarding women’s representation, the local regulations stipulate the mechanism of election of women representatives as follows:

1. It is intended that women’s representation on the VRC will be secured by electing one female candidate as a representative;
2. The female representative will be a female villager who has fulfilled the requirements of applying for candidacy, by which she shall be proven to have experiences and expertise on voicing and advocating for women’s interests; and
3. The election of said female representative shall be done by female villagers who have a right to vote, in that they have been registered with the local electoral commission.

According to the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, the election of woman representatives in VRC only includes female villagers – who have the right to vote and are authorised through citizen recognition – to ensure the representation of women not only in a physical sense, but also in interest alignment between the prospective representatives and the constituents. The election procedure starts from the selection of prospective female candidates. The two regulations stipulate that, under territorial consideration, there can only be one female prospective candidate to be delegated as the female candidate of that territory’s constituents. The delegation is determined by deliberative consensus of women’s constituents of the community group (*rukun warga*)<sup>2</sup> and neighbourhood group (*rukun tetangga*)<sup>3</sup>. The forum of


---

<sup>2</sup> A community group is a social unit where the population and territory constitute the administrative territory of a village or *kelurahan*. The community group usually consists of around 3–10 neighbourhood groups.

<sup>3</sup> The neighbourhood group is the smallest social unit, consisting of around 10–50 families in a designated area.

this deliberation consists of two members of the Family Welfare Programme<sup>4</sup>, one representing the community group and the other representing the neighbourhood group, and two women's interest figures. Subsequently, the selected prospective candidate officially becomes the women's representation candidate from that territorial constituency. The next and final step is the village-level election, where one female candidate is selected as the women's representative of the Village Representative Forum. There are two mechanisms of election available, namely a consensus forum and a direct voting system. In either of these, the election of women representatives will be undertaken by village-level women's constituents, including one member of the village branch of the Family Welfare Programme, one member of the community group branch of the Family Welfare Programme and two women's interest figures.

In their implementation, the local regulations of Semarang Regency (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) have proven to be effective for strategising policies to ensure women's representation in the VRCs. This is shown by statistical data. There were 1574 members throughout all the VRCs in Semarang Regency in 2018. However, the tenure periods of these members were not homogenous, as 1119 members were new representatives, with a tenure period of 2017–2023, and 455 were from the old tenure period of 2014–2020. The gender balance of the VRC members is illustrated in the following figures 1.1 and 1.2.



<sup>4</sup> The Family Welfare Programme – or *Pembinaan Kesejahteraan Keluarga* abbreviated as PKK in Indonesian – is a community-based organisation that targets the empowerment of women – commonly housewives – and their families. This organisation is a staple of civil society organisations in most villages and other modern settlements in Indonesia.




Figure 1.1 (top) and figure 1.2 (bottom). Source: Village Empowerment Agency, Semarang Regency Government, 2018

As displayed in the figures, there is indeed a discrepancy in terms of gender representation in the VRC of Semarang Regency. Women representatives only comprise 17.41% of the total representatives. However, there is a unique finding concerning the tenure discrepancy. As mentioned above, the composition of membership in the VRCs in Semarang Regency is mixed, with old and new tenure representatives. From the empirical research, it is reported that 30 villages out of 208 have old tenure representatives, all of which have no women's representation whatsoever. It must be noted that the old tenure was authorised in 2014, before the promulgation of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, at a time when the Village Act was not widely known or implemented. Furthermore, the gender discrepancy of old tenure representatives is much greater than that of new representatives: The former ratio is 10.375 to 1, while the latter is 3.78 to 1. Regardless, from the interview with the Head of the Village Empowerment Agency of the Semarang Regency government, the government intends to include women's representation more than in the old tenure councils, in which women's representation was non-existent.

The results of this research present preliminary data on the progression toward gender equality in politics through the context of the Semarang Regency. They indicate that the promulgation of local regulations (Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018) in response to the Village Act have contributed to the proliferation of women representatives in Semarang Regency's villages. Moreover, the local regulations provide a more substantial improvement to the principles of representation via not only descriptive representation through physical resemblances – women representing women – but also a substantive representation in which the representative also embodies the intentions and interests of the

constituents she is representing. This is shown through the direct participation of constituents to the election and policymaking process. The local regulations of the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 show an exhaustive mechanism of accountability checking for representatives in which deliberation and consensus are the core principles. This is unsurprising, however, as deliberation and consensus are the living customs in Indonesian villages' social systems (Antlöv & Wetterberg, 2011; Cohen, 1996). After all, the deliberative concept of representation works well in a tight-knit, custom-based community like a village (Cohen, 1996; Karpowitz & Mansbridge, 2005; Mansbridge, 2018). Through such mechanisms, the communication between the representatives and represented is kept streamlined and as fluid as possible; thus, the political and interest alignment between the representatives and the represented can be kept linear. This indicates that the mechanism for improving women's participation has been designed to be gender sensitive, both for female representatives and women constituents, so that the objectives of gender equality development can be realised concomitantly with the village's development at large.

### **Conclusion**

In response to the trend of decentralisation and democratisation of local government, as mandated by the Village Act and SDGs, the issue of gender equality and women's political participation has also expanded to the village context. The government of Semarang Regency has responded to this by establishing the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, two regulations in which women's political participation is established and ensured in the village decision-making process. The statistical data have shown a substantial improvement in women's representation in VRCs, as all newly tenured VRCs have at least one female representative. Assessing the situation from the perspective of the mechanisms and purposes stipulated by the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018, it can be concluded that these two regulations provide an exhaustive mechanism for ensuring women's political representation in both the quantitative and qualitative senses. The two regulations have adopted the living customary tradition of deliberative social politics and modern democracy governance, which affects the guarantee of accountability and representativeness of women representatives when it comes to putting women's interests forward in policymaking. Therefore, the women's political representation provisions in the Regent Government Regulation of Semarang No. 4/2018 and Regent Decree No. 21/2018 indicate a purposive establishment of a

political system that is inclusive and sensitive to women's issues, which are important for the development of gender equality and gender justice in the social life of women in the village.

### References

- Antlöv, H. (2003). Village government and rural development in Indonesia: The new democratic framework. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 39(2), 193-214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074910302013>
- Antlöv, H., & Wetterberg, A. (2011). *Citizen engagement, deliberative spaces and the consolidation of a post-authoritarian democracy: The case of Indonesia* (Working Paper of Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy No. 8). Visby: Swedish International Centre for Local Democracy
- Antlöv, H., Wetterberg, A., & Dharmawan, L. (2017). Village governance, community life and the 2014 Village Law in Indonesia. *Bulletion of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 52(2), 161-183. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2015.1129047>
- Banakar, R., & Travers, M. (2005). *Theory and method in socio-legal research*. Oxford: Hart Publishing & Oñati International Series in Law and Society.
- Bebbington, A., Dharmawan, L., Fahmi, E., & Guggenheim, S., (2004). Village politics, culture, and community-driven development: Insights from Indonesia. *Progress in Development Studies*, 4(3), 187-205. doi: 10.1191/1464993404ps085oa
- Bird, K., Saalfeld, T., & Wüst, A. M. (2010). *The political representation of immigrants and minorities: Voters, parties and parliaments in liberal democracies*. London and New York: Routledge
- Bjarnegård, E., & Zetterberg, P. (2014). Why Are Representational Guarantees Adopted for Women and Minorities? Comparing Constituency Formation and Electoral Quota Design Within Countries. *Representation*, 50(3), 307-320. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344893.2014.951171>
- Celis, K. (2013). Representativity in times of diversity: The political representation of women. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 41, 179-186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2013.07.007>
- Celis, K., Childs, S., Knatola, J., & Krook, M. L. (2008). Rethinking Women's Substantive Representation. *Representation*, 44(2), 99-110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Choi, N. (2018). Women's political pathways in Southeast Asia. *International Feminist Journal of Politics*, 0(0), 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616742.2018.1523683>
- Clayton, A. (2015). Women's political engagement under quota-mandated female representation: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment. *Comparative Political Studies*, 48(3), 333-369. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079573>
- Cohen, J. (1997). Procedure and substance in deliberative democracy. In J. Bohman & W. Rehg (Eds.), *Deliberative democracy: Essays on reason and politics* (pp. 407-437), Massachusetts: MIT Press
- Dahlerup, D (Ed.). (2005). *Women, Quotas and Politics*. London: Routledge
- \_\_\_\_\_. (2007). Electoral gender quotas: Between equality of opportunity and equality of result. *Representation*, 43(2), 73-92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890701363227>
- Darcy, R., Clark, J. & Welch, S. (1994). *Women, Elections & Representation* (Revised edition). Nebraska: University of Nebraska Press
- Gupta, J. & Vegelin, C. (2016). Sustainable

- development goals and inclusive development. *International Environment Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 16(3), 433-488. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10784-016-9323-z>
- Kagema, J. (2018). The School Curriculum and Its Influence on Teacher Motivation in Curriculum Implementation in Kenya. *Journal of Culture and Values in Education*, 1(1), 9-25. Retrieved from <http://cultureandvalues.org/index.php/JCV/article/view/3>
- Karakus, M. (2018). The Moderating Effect of Gender on the Relationships between Age, Ethical Leadership, and Organizational Commitment. *Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies*, 5(1), 74-84.
- Karpowitz, C. F. & Mansbridge, J. (2005). Disagreement and consensus: The need for dynamic updating in public deliberation. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 1(1), 348-364
- Krook, M. L. (2008). Quota laws for women in politics: Implications for feminist practice. *Social Politics*, 15(3), 345-368, <https://doi.org/10.1093/sp/jxn014>
- Johnsson-Latham, G. (2007). *A study on gender equality as a prerequisite for sustainable development* (Report to the Environment Advisory Council, Sweden 2007:2). Sweden: Ministry of the Environment
- Mackay, F. (2008). 'Think' conceptions of substantive representation: women, gender, and political institutions. *Journal of Representative Democracy*, 44(2), 125-139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00344890802079607>
- Maggio, J. (2007). "Can subaltern be heard?": Political theory, translation, representation, and Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 32(4), 419-443. <https://doi.org/10.1177/030437540703200403>
- Mansbridge, J. (1999). Should blacks represent blacks and women represent women? A contingent "Yes." *The Journal of Politics*, 61(3). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2647821>
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2003). Rethinking Representation. *American Political Science Review*, 97(4). 515-528
- Pande, R. (2003). Can mandated political representation increase policy influence for disadvantaged minorities? Theory and evidence from India. *American Economic Review*, 93(4), 1132-1151, <https://doi.org/10.1257/000282803769206232>
- Pitkin, H. F. (1969). The concept of representation. *Political Science Quarterly*, 84(4), 678-680. DOI: 10.2307/2147153
- Purwanti, A. (2015). Quota law's for women in politics: Implementation in Indonesia. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics, and Law*, 6(4), 28-36
- Salim, A., Bulan, W. R., Untung, B., Laksono, I. & Brock, K. (2017). *Indonesia's Village Law: Enabler or constraint for more accountable governance?* (Making All Voices Count Research Report), Brighton: Institute of Development Studies
- Sanbonmatsu, K. (2003). Gender-related political knowledge and the descriptive representation of women, *Political Behavior*, 25(4), 367-388. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:POBE.0000004063.83917.2d>
- Schwindt-Bayer, L. (2009). Making quotas work: The effect of gender quota laws on the election of women. *Legislative Studies Quarterly*, 34(1). 5-28. <https://doi.org/10.3162/036298009787500330>
- Seregig, I. K., Suryanto, T., Hartono, B., Rivai, E. & Prasetyawati, E. (2018). Preventing the acts of corruption through legal community education. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 9(2), 138-159
- Siregar, W. Z. B. (2005). Parliamentary representation of women in Indonesia: The struggle for a quota. *Asian Journal of Women's Studies*, 11(3), 36-72. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12259276.2005.11665993>



- United Nations. (2014). *The World Survey on the Role of Women in Development* (Report of the Secretary-General), New York: Author. Retrieved from <http://www.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2014/10/world-survey-2014>
- UN Women. (2016). *Women and Sustainable Development Goals*. New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1108/eb010586>
- United Nations Development Programme Indonesia. (2016). *Human Development Report 2016*. Indonesia: Author
- \_\_\_\_\_, (2017). *Change makers: Programming for gender equality and helping men and women in Indonesia shape a fairer world* (UNDP Indonesia Gender Equality Strategy and Action Plan 2017-2020). Washington D. C.: Author. Retrieved from [http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20\(Spread\).pdf](http://www.id.undp.org/content/dam/indonesia/2017/doc/INS-Gender%20Strategy%20Revised%203%20(Spread).pdf)
- Urbinati, N. & Warren, M. E. (2008). The concept of representation in contemporary democratic theory. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11, 387-412. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053006.190533>
- Vel, J. A. C., Zakaria, Y. & Bedner A. W. (2017). Law-Making as a Strategy for Change: Indonesia's New Village Law, *Asian Journal of Law and Society*, 1-25, doi:10.1017/als.2017.21
- Vel, J. A. C., W. Berenschot and R. Daro Minarchek. (2016). *New Law, New Villages? Changing Rural Indonesia* (Report of the Workshop), Leiden: Koninklijk Instituut voor Taal-, Land- en Volkenkunde (KITLV). Retrieved from: <http://www.kitlv.nl/workshop-new-law-new-villages-changing-rural-indonesia/>
- Vel, J. A. C. & Bedner, A. W. (2015). Decentralisation and village governance in Indonesia: The return of the nagari and the 2014 village law. *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 47(3), 493-507. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2015.1109379>
- Wängnerud, L. (2010). *Women in Parliaments: Descriptive and Substantive Representation*, 12, 51-69. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.123839>
- World Bank. (2016). *The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: Goals, Targets and Indicators*, New York: Author. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>