## Probiotic Candidates from Fish Pond Water in Central Java Indonesia

by Sarjito Sarjito

**Submission date:** 19-Aug-2021 05:08AM (UTC+0700)

**Submission ID:** 1632973889

File name: ic\_Candidates\_from\_Fish\_Pond\_Water\_in\_Central\_Java\_Indonesia.pdf (388.81K)

Word count: 2874

Character count: 15592

#### PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

## Probiotic Candidates from Fish Pond Water in Central Java Indonesia

To cite this article: Alfabetian Harjuno Condro Haditomo et al 2018 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 116 012018

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### Related content

- <u>Selective Photonic Disinfection: Pathogen inactivation using SEPHODIS</u> S-W D Tsen and K-T Tsen
- <u>Selective Photonic Disinfection:</u> <u>Introduction</u> S-W D Tsen and K-T Tsen
- Effect of garlic solution to Bacillus sp. removal
   N Zainol and S R Rahim



This content was downloaded from IP address 202.80.219.145 on 18/08/2021 at 22:35

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

#### Probiotic Candidates from Fish Pond Water in Central Java Indonesia

#### Alfabetian Harjuno Condro Haditomo\*, Desrina, Sarjito, and S. Budi Prayitno

Department of Aquaculture, Fisheries and Marine Science Faculty, Diponegoro University, Tembalang, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract. Aeromonas hydrophilla is a major bacterial pathogen of intensive fresh water fish culture in Indonesia. An alternative method to control the pathogen is using probiotics. Probiotics is usually consist of live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefits on host. The aim of this research was to determine the probiotic candidates against A. hydrophilla which identified based on the 16S rDNA gene sequences. This research was started with field survey to obtained the probiotic candidate and continue with laboratory experiment. Probiotic candidates were isolated from fish pond water located in Boyolali, and Banjarnegara Regency, Central Java, Indonesia. A total of 133 isolates bacteria were isolated and cultured on to TSA, TSB and GSP medium. Out of 133 isolates only 30 isolates showed inhibition to A.hydrophilla activity. Three promising isolates were identified with PCR using primer for 16S rDNA. Based on 16S rDNA sequence analysis, all three isolates were belong to Bacillus genus. Isolate CKIA21, CKIA28, and CBA14 respectively were closely related to Bacillus sp. 13843 (GenBank accession no. JN874760.1 --100% homology), Bacillus subtilis strain H13 (GenBank accession no.KT907045.1 -- 99% homology), and Bacillus sp. strain 22-4 (GenBank accession no. KX816417.1 -- 97% homology).

Keywords: Probiotic candidates, Fish pond water, Aeromonas hydrophila, 16S rDNA.

#### 1. Introduction

The Aquaculture has considerably g2 wn during the last few decades as well as becoming an economically significant business [1]. Aquaculture is aimed as a solution to meet the growing demand for fish and shellfish. To meet the ongoing globalization of food shortage, improving aquaculture practices by new technological innovations is a challenging assignment for scientists and biologists [2]. There are some important aquaculture commodity in Indonesia such as walking catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*), tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) and gouramy (*Osphronemus gourami*), etc. Increasing demand for domestic markets encourages the fish farmers to increase their production. With the escalation intensification of aquaculture production, diseases and declining of environmental conditions are major problems and may caused massive economic losses [3].

The negative impacts of intensification of aquaculture were bacterial disease outbreaks such as Aeromonas hydrophila which is still became a major problem in fresh water aquaculture. A.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: condrohaditomo@gmail.com

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

hydrophila is a ubiquitous aquatic bacterium which has been implicated as a pathogen of fish [4-5] and another aquatic organisms [6-7]. Antibiotics was frequently used for prevention and treating the diseases and to keep the fish live. However this causes adverse effects on the environment and bacteria that become increasingly resistant to antibiotics administered to ailing organisms.

The need for fish protein and environmentally friendly fish cultivation requires an alternative substance to replace antibiotics and chemicals in order to support sustainable quality production of aquaculture [8-10]. An alternative solution that can be used to tvercome this problem is by using probiotics. It usually contained live microorganisms that can be ministered in adequate amounts in order to confer a health benefits on host. Various studies on the use of probiotics in the field of aquaculture demonstrate the ability to control potential pathogens to developed [5]. The growth performance and various immunologic parameters may be enhanced by the use of probiotics, prebiotics, immunostimulant as additional feed routine in aquatic organisms [11-12]. The types of bacteria and mechanisms by which probiotics exert their effects are still being studied, and there are still many open research opportunities on this subject.

Water as a fish culture medium is the site of various bacteria. With the bacterial screening process is expected to obtain potential isolates to increase the productivity of aquaculture in various ways. On the other hand probiotics from the same species and/or its natural environment could be best approach for better efficacy in host [13-14]. Several researches had been performed to find out potential bacteria on freshwater fish based on the 16S rDNA gene sequences. There were limited reports being documented so far describing the application of polymerase chain reaction on the diversity of potential probiotic bacteria on fresh water aquaculture derived from fish pond of central fish production in Central Java, Indonesia.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Isolation of probiotics candidates bacteria from fish pond water.

Bacteria isolates were collected from fresh water fish aquaculture ponds of central production area in Boyolali Regency (from *C. gariepinus* pond), Klaten Regency (from *O. niloticus* pond), and Banjarnegara Regency (from *O. gouramy* pond). The best production of the aquaculture pond in the last harvest period became one of the requirements for sampling on selected fish ponds. Bacteria were isolated from pond water by spread plate method and cultured in to TSB (Mereck). Then, 0.1 ml of appropriate dilutions was spread on Tryptic Soybean Agar (TSA-Merck). After 24 to 72 h of incubation, the bacterial colonies were purified several times on TSA agar to get pure culture and isolated colonies. Preliminary identification of the isolates was carried out using microscopic observation.

#### 2.2. Gram staining

Morphological features of the colonies were picked and purified by a single colony to the plate. Gram stain test have done based on Gram stain protocol Animal Health Diagnostic Center, Cornell University(15).

#### 2.3. Antimicrobial activity test

Probiotic candidates were co-cultured with  $A.\ hydrophilla$  in various concentrations to evaluate their antagonistic activities using disc method. The bacterial that shows the antagonistic activity against pathogens can be classified as potential isolates. Based on the method developed by David Stout, the division of antibacterial activity can be done based on the size of the inhibitory diameter formed [16]. Based on the method, an antibacterial agent producing an inhibitory area of <5 mm is categorized as a weakly antibacterial substance; 5-10 mm are categorized as medium-strength antibacterial; 10-20 mm categorized as strong antibacterial; And > 20 mm are categorized as very powerful antibacterial. Morphological features of the colonies were picked and purified by a single colony to the plate.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

#### 2.4. Determination of pathogenicity

The potential isolates obtained were tested for their pathogenicity against 3 species of freshwater fish (*C. gariepenus*, *T.nilotica* and *O. gouramy*) using healthy fish that have been acclimatized for 14 days in a well-labelled glass aquarium. Fish were injected either intramuscularly (IM) with pre-pared 0.1 ml of bacterial suspension contains  $10^8$  cfu ml<sup>-1</sup>. Control groups were injected either IM with 0.1 ml of sterile PBS. The each group (n = 10) of fish were monitored for 14 days with daily replacement of water. The parameters measured were survival rate and apparent clinical symptoms.

#### 2.5. Molecular identification of the most potent isolate

The potential probiotic isolate was identified based on 16S rDNA sequencing. Genome DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, USA) was used to extract the DNA or the amplification of 16S rRNA genes by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [24]. PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis in agarose gels and visualize by ethidium bromide staining and purified. The 16S rDNA sequence alignment was done using BLAST with the non-redundant 16S rRNA sequence database of NCBI GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Features of the colonies were picked and purified by a single colony to the plate and used for cross check.

#### 3. Results and Discussions

#### 3.1. Candidates Probiotic againts A. hydrophila.

A total of 133 bacterial isolates were obtained as probiotic candidates derived from cultivation of catfish, tilapia and gourami cultivation pond water. In the end there are 79 bacterial isolates left which consisted of 18 Isolates from Boyolali, 31 isolates from Klaten and 30 isolates from Banjamegara. Further, antimicrobial activity test results obtained fourteen [14] isolates probiotic candidate which showed inhibiting zone for the growth of *A. hydrophila* bacteria with a range of 10,33-19,67 mm. Antimicrobial activity test againts A hydrophila results are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Antimicrobial activity test againts A hydrophila (mm).

No	Isolate Code	1	2	3
1	CB A <sub>14</sub>	19	18	16
2	${ m CB}~{ m A}_{16}$	13	10	8
3	CKl A <sub>14</sub>	11	12	11
4	CKl A <sub>18</sub>	13	12	13
5	CKl A <sub>20</sub>	17	14	14
6	CKl A <sub>21</sub>	21	23	15
7	CKl A <sub>22</sub>	15	15	12
8	CKl A <sub>23</sub>	10	10	12
9	CKl A <sub>27</sub>	13	15	15
10	CKl A <sub>28</sub>	20	20	13
11	CBj A <sub>14</sub>	16	11	10
12	CBj A <sub>18</sub>	11	13	16
13	CBj A <sub>19</sub>	15	13	9
14	CBj A <sub>21</sub>	9	13	15

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

The fourteen selected isolates were included in the strong category with a zone of > 10 mm inhibition [1]. To obtain more detailed information from selected bacteria carried out the morphological characteristics of bacterial colonies Characteristics of Probiotics candidate isolates bacteria are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Characteristics of Probiotics candidate isolates bacteria.

No	Isolates	Antimicrobial activity (mm)	Colony Characteristic	Gram stain and Shape
1	CB A <sub>14</sub>	17,67	White yellowish, entire, L shaped, wet, raised	Positive, short rod
2	CB A <sub>16</sub>	10,33	White pale, round, wavy, and spreading, dry, convex	Positive, long rod
3	CK1 A <sub>14</sub>	11,33	White milk, round, wavy, wet, convex	Positive, long rod
4	CK1 A <sub>18</sub>	12,67	White pale, filiform, wavy, dry, convex	Positive, long rod
5	CK1 A <sub>20</sub>	15	White pale, filiform, irregular, dry, raised	Positive, long rod
6	CK1 A <sub>21</sub>	19,67	White pale, irregular, wavy, dry, raised	Positive, short rod
7	CK1 A <sub>22</sub>	14	White pale, filiform, irregular, dry, raised	Positive, long rod
8	CK1 A <sub>23</sub>	10,67	White pale, round, entire, wet, convex	Positive, long rod
9	CK1 A <sub>27</sub>	14,33	White pale, rhizoid, wavy, dry, convex	Positive, long rod
10	CK1 A <sub>28</sub>	17,67	White pale, filiform, irregular, dry, raised	Positive, short rod
11	CBj A <sub>14</sub>	12,33	White pale, round, wavy, wet, convex	Positive, short rod
12	CBj A <sub>18</sub>	13,33	White pale, round, wavy, dry, convex	Positive, short rod
13	CBj A <sub>19</sub>	12,33	White pale, round, entire wet conve	xPositive, short rod
14	CBj A <sub>21</sub>	12,33	White pale, irregular, dry, convex	Positive, short rod

Gram staining test results showed that all isolates were Gram positive (blue color) with long rod shape, which means its enter into *Bacillus* spp genus. Some probiotics, especially of the genus Bacillus have been widely used in disease control in aquatic animals. Similar results were also reported [17], that the genus Bacillus bacteria is a Gram-positive bacteria and is included in probiotic bacterial candidates.

There is no fish mortality that caused by the all isolates as a probiotics candidate. This result is better and also in accordance with the opinion that fish mortality that caused by probiotic candidates less than 33% are considered capable of controlling pathogenic bacterial infections [18]. It has succeeded in isolating the potent probiotics of *Bacillus* spp. which is used to improve the immune

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

system of cyprinids (*Labeo rohita*) against the attack of *A. hydrophila* pathogens. The success rate obtained was very significant with the mortality range of only 20-40% compared to controls that achieved 80% mortality. The summarized sequence analysis of CBA14, CKIA21 and CKIA28 isolate are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Genetic Diversity of Potential Probiotics Candidates Based on Gen Blast

No.	Isolate Code	Result	Homology (%)	Access Number
1	CBA14	Bacillus sp. strain 22-4	97	KX816417.1
2	CK1A21	Bacillus sp. 13843	100	JN874760.1
3	CK1A28	Bacillus subtilis strain H13	99	KT907045.1

Results showed that CKIA28 isolate had a similarity level of 99% with *Bacillus subtilis* H13 strain. *Bacillus subtilis* H13 strain is reported on the research of cow dung as a cheap substrate for polyhydroxy butyrate production by soil bacteria. Genus *Bacillus* spp. commonly found from various sources. Bacterial genera *Bacillus* sp isolated from the healthy intestine of cyprinids (*Labeo rohita*) [2-3]. *Bacillus* spp genus is also commonly found in giant prawns [4]; fish cyprinids[5], *Bacillus* spp. reported on indigestion of tilapia while another reported that *Bacillus* sp. in research related to natural resources of agricultus; even *Bacillus* spp. also found in the Mulberry plant endophytes.

Probiotic therapy offers a suitable alternative for controlling pathogens thereby overcoming the adverse consequences of antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents. In fish culture, probiotics either in diet or bioencapsulation help in achieving natural resistance and high survivability of larvae and post larvae of fishes [6-8].

#### 4. Conclusions

In the present study, 133 isolates bacteria were isolated from different sources and only fourteen isolates were selected on the basis of their high antimicrobial activity. Three bacters that have potential as a probiotic candidate able to inhibit A. hydrophilla with the strong category were aligned with the 16S r-DNA sequences from the Gen Bank database (website) to identify the studied microorganism. The Results isolate CBA14 97% homology with Bacillus sp. strain 22-4, CK1A21

#### 5. Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Dean of the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Diponeg Diponeg University for his permission to begin this research that Funded by Others APBN DPA SUKPA Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences University of Diponegoro Fiscal Year 2017. Thanks also to Pak Marsudi, Hendra, Hanifah, Yosef, Tiara, Falah, Vava, Putri, Femy, Ilham, Fina, Thesa, Sofa, Sarah, and Mada who have helped his research. We are also grateful to the Head of Diponegoro University Integrated Laboratory, Aquaculture Laboratory Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Sciences, Diponegoro University which provides an opportunity to conduct this research.

#### 6. References

- [1] FAO The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2016;160 (State World Fish Aquac)
- [2] Ibrahem MD J Adv Res 2013; **6**(6):765–91
- [3] World Bank Fish to 2030: Prospects for Fisheries and Aquaculture 2013; 3 (83177):102 (Agric Environ Serv Discuss Pap)
- [4] Burton GA, Lanza GR Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 34 (1987) 199-206
- [5] Haditomo AHC, Lusiastuti AM, Widanarni Jurnal Saintek Perikanan 2016;11(2):111-4
- [6] Rajesh KM, Shankar KM, Mohan C V, Mridula RM Dis Asian Aquac VI 2008;245–58.
- [7] Shao J, Liu J, Xiang L *Aquaculture* 2004;229(1–4):11–23.

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/116/1/012018

- [8] Amara AA, Shibl A Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal 2013 23:107–14
- [9] Ibrahem MD J Adv Res 2013;**6**(6):765–91.
- [10] Ghosh AK, Bir J, Azad MAK, Hasanuzzaman AFM, Islam MS, Huq KA J Aquac Reports 2016;4:112–7
- [11] Mona MH, Rizk E-ST, Salama WM, Younis ML J Basic Appl Zool 2015;69:17–25
- [12] Aly SM, Abd-El-Rahman AM, John G, Mohamed MF Aquaculture 2008;277(1-2):1-6
- [13] Verschuere L, Rombaut G, Sorgeloos P, Verstraete W Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2000; 64 (4): 655–71
- [14] Gatesoupe FJ Aquaculture 1999 180;147–65
- [15] Smith AC, Hussey MA Gram Stain Protocol (ASM Lab Protoc) 14852
- [16] Davis WW, Stout TR Appl Microbiol 1971;22(4):666-70
- [17] Nayak SK Fish Shellfish Immunol 2010;29(1):2-14
- [18] Ramesh D, Vinothkanna A, Rai AK, Vignesh VS Fish Shellfish Immunol 2015;45(2):268–76
- [19] Dharmaraj R, Rajendren V Int J Sci Res Publ 2014;4(7):1–6
- [20] Rengpipat S, Phianphak W, Piyatiratitivorakul S, Menasveta P Aquaculture 1998; 167 (3-4): 301-13
- [21] Abareethan M, Amsath A, International Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology 2015; 3(2):148–53
- [22] Reid G Int Dairy Journal 2015; 49:16–22
- [23] Pandiyan P, Balaraman D, Thirunavukkarasu R, George EGJ, Subaramaniyan K, Manikkam S, Drug Invent Today 2013; 5(1):55–9
- [24] Jiin-Ju Guo, Kuan-Fu Liu, Shin-Hong Cheng, Chin-I Chang, Jiunn-Jyi Lay, Yueh-O Hsu1, Jan-Yen Yang & Tzyy-Ing Chen Aquaculture Research 2009; 40:609–618

### Probiotic Candidates from Fish Pond Water in Central Java Indonesia

ORI	GIN	$I\Delta I$	ITV	RFP(	$\cap$ RT

8%
SIMILARITY INDEX

%
INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

%

STUDENT PAPERS

**PRIMARY SOURCES** 

M.G. Shehata, S.A. El Sohaimy, Malak A. El-Sahn, M.M. Youssef. "Screening of isolated potential probiotic lactic acid bacteria for cholesterol lowering property and bile salt hydrolase activity", Annals of Agricultural Sciences, 2016

2%

Publication

Mai D. Ibrahem. "Evolution of probiotics in aquatic world: Potential effects, the current status in Egypt and recent prospectives", Journal of Advanced Research, 2015

2%

Publication

**Publication** 

Laura Gasco, Francesco Gai, Giulia Maricchiolo, Lucrezia Genovese, Sergio Ragonese, Teresa Bottari, Gabriella Caruso. "Chapter 4 Supplementation of Vitamins, Minerals, Enzymes and Antioxidants in Fish Feeds", Springer Science and Business Media LLC. 2018

1 %

- Haryadi, D, J A J Verreth, M C J Verdegem, and J M Vlak. "Transmission of white spot syndrome virus (WSSV) from Dendronereis spp. (Peters) (Nereididae) to penaeid shrimp", Journal of Fish Diseases, 2014.
- 1 %

Publication

Debajit Borah, Olee Gogoi, Chanakya Adhikari, B.B. Kakoti. "Isolation and characterization of the new indigenous Staphylococcus sp. DBOCP06 as a probiotic bacterium from traditionally fermented fish and meat products of Assam state", Egyptian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2019

1%

Publication

Publication

8

Adele Caterino-De-Araujo. "Short Communication: HTLV-I/HTLV-II Coinfection in an AIDS Patient from Sao Paulo, Brazil", AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses, 05/2000

1%

Rasha M. Reda, Khaled M. Selim, Hassanin M. El-Sayed, M. A. El-Hady. "In Vitro Selection and Identification of Potential Probiotics Isolated from the Gastrointestinal Tract of Nile Tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus", Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins, 2017

<1%

S. Mohapatra, T. Chakraborty, V. Kumar, G. DeBoeck, K. N. Mohanta. "Aquaculture and

<1%

# stress management: a review of probiotic intervention", Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition, 2013

Off

Publication

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches

Exclude bibliography On