

Prediction of High Temperature Behavior of Geopolymer from Solid Wastes Using Gibbs Energy Minimization Approach

by Aprilina Purbasari

Submission date: 24-Jan-2023 08:38AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1998125407

File name: JESTR_artikel.pdf (751.2K)

Word count: 4025

Character count: 21121

quality, fly ash or slag usage would reduce the amount of clinker in cement so that the energy for clinker production could also be reduced [2]. Utilization of waste products of combustion, i.e. fly ash and biomass ash, and slag for geopolymer as a Portland cement substitute is an attempt to reduce the burden on the environment and can also contribute to the reduction of CO₂ emissions.

Geopolymer as a Portland cement substitute in addition to having good mechanical strength must also have resistance to high temperature exposure. Geopolymer has shown better resistance to fire than Portland cement [4]. Exposure of Portland cement-based mortars and concretes to temperature above 300 °C can decompose Ca(OH)₂ into CaO and H₂O which causes mortar shrinkage [6]. Furthermore CaO may react with water vapour in air to form Ca(OH)₂ having greater volume than CaO so that mortar will crack resulting in mortar damage.

To determine the resistance of geopolymer to high temperature exposure, it can be predicted from its solidus and liquidus temperatures. Solidus temperature indicates the occurrence of melting when the solid is heated, while the liquidus temperature indicates the occurrence of precipitation when the liquid is cooled [7]. Thus geopolymer having high solidus and liquidus temperatures demonstrates its resistance to high temperature exposure.

This paper studies the composition effect of raw material mixture (fly ash, slag, and biomass ash) on high temperature behavior of geopolymer product, i.e. solidus and liquidus temperatures. Determination of solidus and liquidus temperatures was conducted using Gibbs energy minimization approach with FactSage 6.3 software, whereas determination of the composition effect of raw material mixture statistically was conducted with Minitab 17 software. Several studies related to the use of FactSage software have been carried out such as determination of phase compositions in manganese ores calcination [8], determination of liquidus temperature in Portland clinker [9], determination of liquidus temperature in copper smelting [10], and prediction of ash behaviour and ash fusion temperature [11].

2. Experimental

Determination of solidus and liquidus temperatures by FactSage software uses phase equilibrium calculation with minimization of the Gibbs energy change.

$$\Delta G < 0 \quad (3)$$

$$G - \sum n_m G_m < 0 \quad (4)$$

$$G = \sum n_m G_m = \text{minimum} \quad (5)$$

where: ΔG = Gibbs energy change, n_m = mole numbers of component m , and G_m = Gibbs energy of component m . One of the models used in FactSage software for oxides, salts, and metal alloys with short-range-ordering is modified quasi-chemical [12].

The Gibbs energy for solution is:

$$G = \sum n_m g_m^o - T \Delta S^{config} + \sum_{n>m} \sum n_{mn} \left(\frac{\Delta g_{mn}}{2} \right) \quad (6)$$

where: g_m^o = Gibbs energy of pure component m , T = temperature, ΔS^{config} = configurational entropy of mixing, n_{mn} = mole numbers of m - n pair, and Δg_{mn} =

nonconfigurational Gibbs energy change for formation of 2 moles of m - n pair.

For multicomponent solution [13]:

$$G = (n_{11}g_{11}^o + n_{12}g_{12}^o + n_{22}g_{22}^o + n_{13}g_{13}^o + \dots) - T \Delta S^{config} + \sum_{n>m} \sum \left(\frac{n_{mn}}{2} \right) (\Delta g_{mn} - \Delta g_{mn}^o) \quad (7)$$

with:

$$\Delta S^{config} = -R \sum n_m \ln X_m - R \left(\sum n_{mn} \ln(X_{mn}/Y_m^2) + \sum_{m>n} \sum n_{mn} \ln(X_{mn}/2Y_m Y_n) \right) \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta g_{mn} = \Delta g_{mn}^o + \sum_{(i+j) \geq 1} g_{mn}^{ij} X_{mm}^i X_{nn}^j \quad (9)$$

where: R = universal gas constant, X_m = mole fraction of component m , X_{mn} = mole fraction of m - n pair, and Y_m = coordination-equivalent fraction of component m .

Module of calculation used in FactSage software was Equilib with SLAGE solution phase, namely an oxide mixture of Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Si, Ti with H₂O/OH, Cl, SO₄, PO₄. Data required to determine solidus and liquidus temperatures were oxide compositions of geopolymer raw material, in this case fly ash, slag, and biomass ash (palm oil fuel ash) as presented in Tab. 1. In each simulation run by FactSage software, it was used 100 grams mixture of fly ash, slag, and biomass ash as alumino-silicate material with certain composition reacted with 5 N KOH as alkaline activator with weight ratio of 2:1 to form geopolymer. The composition of raw material (fly ash, slag, biomass ash) used in each simulation was based on experimental design of 3-components mixture generated by Minitab software with 10 compositions as shown in Fig. 1. Phase changes of formed geopolymer were observed in temperature range of 100-2500 °C.

Table 1. Composition (wt-%) of fly ash, slag, and biomass ash [14]

Component	Fly ash	Slag	Biomass ash
SiO ₂	55.30	32.68	63.49
Al ₂ O ₃	27.28	13.71	5.55
Fe ₂ O ₃	5.15	0.76	4.19
CaO	5.31	45.83	4.34
MgO	1.10	3.27	3.74
Na ₂ O	0.43	0.25	0.16
K ₂ O	1.00	0.48	6.33
TiO ₂	1.82	0.73	0.33
MnO	0.10	0.35	0.17
P ₂ O ₅	1.12	0.04	3.78
SO ₃	1.01	1.80	0.91
SrO	0.36	0.08	0.02
Cl	0.01	0.02	0.45
CuO	0.01	-	6.54

Solidus and liquidus temperatures obtained in each simulation then statistically were treated by Minitab software. Regression model of mixture experiment can be linear, quadratic, full cubic, or special cubic equation [15]. By analysis of variance (ANOVA) the adequate equations for solidus and liquidus temperatures could be determined. These equations could be used to predict solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer with fly ash, slag, and biomass ash as raw material. Furthermore, the composition effect of raw material mixture on solidus and liquidus temperatures could be determined from the equations.




Fig.1. Experimental design of 3-components mixture

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer

Solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer resulted by simulation using FactSage software on each mixture composition of raw material are presented in Tab. 2. The range of the solidus temperature of geopolymer is 500-972.4 °C, while the liquidus temperature is 2146.1-2491.5 °C. At various temperature ranges geopolymer can undergo dehydration of free water (100-300 °C); dehydroxylation (250-600 °C); densification by viscous sintering (550-900 °C); and crystallization, expansion due to cracking, further densification (>900 °C) [16]. Thus at temperature of 550-900 °C it begins to form liquid. This range is not much different with the solidus temperatures obtained by FactSage (500-972.4 °C) where at that temperature molten slag begins to be formed.

Table 2. Solidus and Liquidus temperatures of geopolymer, calculated using Factsage software

Mixture No.	Component			K ₂ O/Al ₂ O ₃ ratio	Responses	
	Fly ash (x ₁)	Slag (x ₂)	Biomass ash (x ₃)		T _{Solidus} (°C)	T _{Liquidus} (°C)
M1	1	0	0	0.79	972.4	2491.5
M2	0	1	0	1.52	500	2146.1
M3	0	0	1	4.91	600	2124.4
M4	1/2	1/2	0	1.03	851.1	2298.6
M5	1/2	0	1/2	1.48	700	2423.8
M6	0	1/2	1/2	2.50	700	2202.8
M7	1/3	1/3	1/3	1.50	700	2256.8
M8	2/3	1/6	1/6	1.04	750	2391.6
M9	1/6	2/3	1/6	1.51	500	2223.0
M10	1/6	1/6	2/3	2.40	700	2277.3

Mineral phases that occur from FactSage calculation are presented in Tab. 3 on simulation with a mixture of fly ash:slag:biomass ash = 1/3:1/3:1/3 (M7). This agrees with the results of XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) analysis to geopolymer exposed to high temperatures [16]. Leucite (KAlSi₂O₆) is a major phase encountered in geopolymer synthesized with alkaline activator containing potassium at temperature about 1000 °C, while hematite (Fe₂O₃) at temperature about 1200 °C. Garnet (Ca₃Fe₂Si₃O₁₂) and wollastonite (CaSiO₃) will be found in geopolymer with slag as raw material due to high calcium content [17].

Table 3. Equilibrium phases in geopolymer (M7) at temperature of 900-1200 °C, calculated using Factsage software

Temperature (°C)	Phases
900	Leucite (KAlSi ₂ O ₆), merwinite (Ca ₃ MgSi ₂ O ₈), andradite (garnet) (Ca ₃ Fe ₂ Si ₃ O ₁₂), K ₂ SO ₄ , Cu ₂ O, perovskite-a (CaTiO ₃), hydroxyapatite (Ca ₅ HO ₁₃ P ₃), wollastonite (CaSiO ₃), (SrO)(TiO ₂), Mn ₂ O ₄
1000	Leucite (KAlSi ₂ O ₆), merwinite (Ca ₃ MgSi ₂ O ₈), andradite (garnet) (Ca ₃ Fe ₂ Si ₃ O ₁₂), K ₂ SO ₄ , (Cu ₂ O)(Fe ₂ O ₃), perovskite-a (CaTiO ₃), hydroxyapatite (Ca ₅ HO ₁₃ P ₃), wollastonite (CaSiO ₃), (SrO)(TiO ₂)
1100	Leucite (KAlSi ₂ O ₆), merwinite (Ca ₃ MgSi ₂ O ₈), andradite (garnet) (Ca ₃ Fe ₂ Si ₃ O ₁₂), (Cu ₂ O)(Fe ₂ O ₃),

1200	perovskite-a (CaTiO ₃), hydroxyapatite (Ca ₅ HO ₁₃ P ₃), (SrO)(TiO ₂) Leucite (KAlSi ₂ O ₆), Ca ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ , hematite (Fe ₂ O ₃), akermanite (Ca ₂ MgSi ₂ O ₇), (SrO)(TiO ₂)
------	---

The liquidus temperature which indicates geopolymer in wholly liquid form is obtained above 2000 °C. Mineral formed or start precipitated at liquidus temperature generally is (SrO)(SiO₂) or (SrO)₂(SiO₂), but for geopolymer with slag composition = 1 (M2), slag:biomass ash = 1/2:1/2 (M6), and fly ash:slag:biomass ash = 1/6:2/3:1/6 (M9) mineral formed is Ca₃(PO₄)₂. This is possible because of the high content of CaO in the slag compared to that in the fly ash and in the biomass ash.

Among the raw materials of fly ash, slag, and biomass ash, solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer from fly ash is the highest. This can be explained by observing the oxides content in raw materials. The oxides composition of silica, alumina, alkali oxide, and water forming geopolymer can affect the mechanical strength of geopolymer, as well as the solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer. To obtain strong geopolymer products, ratios of silica, alumina, alkali oxide, and water are in the following ranges: SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 3.0-4.5; M₂O/SiO₂ = 0.2-0.5; H₂O/M₂O = 10-25; and M₂O/Al₂O₃ = 0.6-1.6 [18]. Result of research in [19] showed that the ratio of alkali (K₂O) on alumina (Al₂O₃) had the most effect on the mechanical strength of geopolymer and geopolymer with ratio of K₂O/Al₂O₃ = 0.8 had the highest mechanical strength. In this simulation, ratio of K₂O/Al₂O₃ in the fly ash is 0.79 or close to 0.8, while for slag and biomass ash 1.52 and 4.91, respectively, or greater than 0.8, likewise

ratio of K_2O/Al_2O_3 in all mixture of fly ash-slag-biomass ash are greater than 0.8 (Tab. 2). The greater the ratio of K_2O/Al_2O_3 or more K_2O in geopolymer, the lower solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer due to the lowest melting point of K_2O (740 °C) compared to SiO_2 (1600-1725 °C) and Al_2O_3 (2072 °C). Thus the ratio of K_2O/Al_2O_3 in addition affects the mechanical strength of geopolymer also solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer.

3.2 The composition effect of raw material mixture on solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer

The composition effect of raw material on solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer can be observed from regression models generated by Minitab software. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for each regression model obtained for the solidus and liquidus temperatures is presented in Tab. 4 and Tab. 5, respectively. The regression model for solidus temperature of geopolymer that has P-value <0.05 is linear model with R^2 -value of 69.91% and R^2_{Adj} -value of 61.31%. Meanwhile regression model for liquidus temperature of geopolymer that has P-value <0.05 with the highest value of R^2 and R^2_{Adj} is special cubic.

Table 4. Anova for regression model of geopolymer solidus temperature, calculated using Minitab software

Model	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	DF Error	Adj SS Error	Adj MS Error	F	P	R^2 (%)	R^2_{Adj} (%)
Linear	2	132439	66219.7	7	57007	8143.9	8.13	0.015	69.91	61.31
Quadratic	5	154916	30983.3	4	34530	8632.6	3.59	0.120	81.77	58.99
Special cubic	6	163274	27212.3	3	26173	8724.4	3.12	0.189	86.18	58.55
Full cubic	8	182684	22835.5	1	6763	6763.2	3.38	0.399	96.43	67.87

Table 5. Anova for regression model of geopolymer liquidus temperature, calculated using Minitab software

Model	DF	Adj SS	Adj MS	DF Error	Adj SS Error	Adj MS Error	F	P	R^2 (%)	R^2_{Adj} (%)
Linear	2	115420	57709.9	7	14564	2080.6	27.74	0.000	88.80	85.59
Quadratic	5	126230.9	25246.2	4	3753.1	938.3	26.91	0.004	97.11	93.50
Special cubic	6	128435.4	21405.9	3	1548.6	516.2	41.47	0.006	98.81	96.43
Full cubic	8	129086.5	16135.8	1	897.5	897.5	17.98	0.181	99.31	93.79

Adequacy checking for each regression model is conducted from normal probability plot and residual versus fitted value as shown in Fig. 2 for linear model of geopolymer solidus temperature and Fig. 3 for special cubic model of geopolymer liquidus temperature. The normal probability

plots of residuals in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 3(a) show that residuals are distributed normally. Furthermore, plots of residuals versus fitted value in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3(b) indicate that residuals do not form a specific pattern. Thus, it can be concluded that each regression model is adequate.




Fig. 2. Adequacy checking for linear model of geopolymer solidus temperature: (a) normal probability plot and (b) residual versus fitted value




Fig. 3. Adequacy checking for special cubic model of geopolymer liquidus temperature: (a) normal probability plot and (b) residual versus fitted value

Equation with linear model to predict the solidus temperature of geopolymer indicated by Eq. 10 and equation with special cubic model to predict the liquidus temperature of geopolymer indicated by Eq. 11.

$$T_{sol} (^{\circ}\text{C}) = 935.2x_1 + 536.9x_2 + 619.9x_3 \quad (10)$$

$$T_{liq} (^{\circ}\text{C}) = 2488x_1 + 2148x_2 + 2132x_3 - 83x_1x_2 + 472x_1x_3 + 290x_2x_3 - 1506x_1x_2x_3 \quad (11)$$

where: T_{sol} = solidus temperature of geopolymer; T_{liq} = liquidus temperature of geopolymer; x_1 = fly ash fraction, x_2 = slag fraction, and x_3 = biomass ash fraction in the mixture.

At both Eq. 10 and Eq. 11, fly ash fraction (x_1), slag fraction (x_2), and biomass ash fraction (x_3) have positive coefficients or positive effects on solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer. Fly ash component has the highest positive effect compared to slag and biomass ash components. Equation 11 denotes that mixing of fly ash-biomass ash or slag-biomass ash provides positive effect on the liquidus temperature, while mixing of fly ash-slag or mixing of fly ash-slag-biomass ash provides negative effect.

From the contour plots of solidus temperature and liquidus temperature as shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, we can determine the composition of the raw material mixture (fly ash, slag, and biomass ash) that produce geopolymer with expected solidus temperature and liquidus temperature. Higher solidus temperatures in Fig. 4 and higher liquidus temperatures in Fig. 5 are indicated by darker shades, obtained in mixtures with fly ash as the dominant component.




Fig. 4. Contour plot of geopolymer solidus temperature

Geopolymer as a Portland cement substitute is expected having resistance to high temperature exposure or fire. In general, temperature will reach 800 °C quickly in about 30 minutes during fire. After that, temperature will increase more

slowly from 900 °C to 1200 °C within 6 hours [20]. Therefore geopolymer having solidus temperatures above 800 °C indicates having better resistance to fire. From Fig. 4 geopolymer with solidus temperatures above 800 °C is obtained at mixture of fly ash, slag, and biomass ash with slag composition not more than $\pm 30\%$ and biomass ash composition not more than $\pm 40\%$. Thus geopolymer from solid wastes can be predicted to have solidus temperatures above 800 °C with maximum slag composition of 30% and maximum biomass ash composition of 40%.




Fig. 5. Contour plot of geopolymer liquidus temperature

4. Conclusions

Results of FactSage simulation indicate that geopolymers with raw material mixture of fly ash, slag, and biomass ash have solidus temperatures of 500-972.4 °C and liquidus temperatures of 2146.1-2491.5 °C. Using a mixture experimental design, the effect of raw material composition on solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer can be determined. Fly ash has the highest positive effect on solidus and liquidus temperatures of geopolymer compared to slag and liquidus temperatures can be obtained at raw material mixtures with fly ash as the dominant component.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Laboratory of Mineral and Inorganic Material Technology, Bandung Institute of Technology for providing access to software used in this study.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License



References

- [1] Y. Yang, L. Wang, Z. Cao, C. Mou, L. Shen, J. Zhao, and Y. Fang, *J. Geogr. Sci.*, 27(6), p. 711-730 (2017).
- [2] A. Naqi and J.G. Jang, *Sustainability*, 11(2), 537 (2019).
- [3] R.M. Andrew, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, p. 195-217 (2018).
- [4] J.L. Provis, *Cem. Concr. Res.*, 114, p. 40-48 (2018).
- [5] J. Davidovits, *J. Ceram. Sci. Technol.*, 8(3), p. 335-350 (2017).
- [6] F.N. Degirmenci, *Ceram.-Silik.*, 62(1), p. 41-49 (2018).
- [7] O. Martinik, B. Smetana, J. Dobrovska, A. Kalup, S. Zla, M. Kawulokova, K. Gryc, P. Dostal, L. Drozdova, and B. Baudisova, *J. Min. Metall. Sect. B-Metall.*, 53(3B), p. 391-398 (2017).
- [8] B. Sorensen, S. Gaal, E. Ringdalen, M. Tangstad, R. Kononov, and O. Ostrovski, *Int. J. Miner. Process.*, 94, p. 101-110 (2010).
- [9] M.-N. de Noirfontaine, S. Tusseau-Nenez, C. Girod-Labianca, and V. Pontikis, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 47(3), p. 1471-1479 (2012).
- [10] B. Zhao, P. Hayes, and E. Jak, *J. Min. Metall. Sect. B-Metall.*, 49(2) B, p. 153-159 (2013).
- [11] P. Pintana and N. Tippayawong, *WSEAS Trans. Environ. Dev.*, 10, p. 202-210 (2014).
- [12] C.W. Bale, P. Chartrand, S.A. Degterov, G. Eriksson, K. Hack, R.B. Mahfoud, J. Melançon, A.D. Pelton, and S. Petersen, *Calphad*, 26(2), p. 189-228 (2002).
- [13] A.D. Pelton and P. Chartrand, *Metall. Mater. Trans.*, 32A, p. 1355-1360 (2001).

- [14] A. Islam, U.J. Alengaram, M.Z. Jumaat, and I.I. Bashar, Mater. Design., 56, p. 833-841 (2014).
 [15] D.C. Montgomery, Design and analysis of experiments, 9th ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (2017).
 [16] A. van Riessen and W. Rickard in Geopolymers: Structure, processing, properties and industrial applications, J.L. Provis and J.S.J. van Deventer, Eds., Woodhead Publishing Limited and CRC Press LLC, Cambridge p. 315-342 (2009).
 [17] G. Kovalchuk and P.V. Krivenko in Geopolymers: Structure, processing, properties and industrial applications, J.L. Provis and J.S.J. van Deventer, Eds., Woodhead Publishing Limited and CRC Press LLC, Cambridge p. 227-266 (2009).
 [18] J. Davidovits, Geopolymer: Chemistry and applications, 2nd ed., Institut Géopolymère, Saint-Quentin (2008).
 [19] Y. Zhang, W. Sun, and Z. Li, J. Cent. South Univ. T., 16, p. 906-913 (2009).
 [20] ASTM, ASTM E119-18: Standard Test Methods for Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken (2018).

NOMENCLATURE

ΔG	Gibbs energy change	R	universal gas constant
Δg_{mn}	nonconfigurational Gibbs energy change for formation of 2 moles of m - n pair	T	temperature
ΔS^{config}	configurational entropy of mixing	T_{liq}	liquidus temperature of geopolymer
Adj MS	adjusted mean squares	T_{sol}	solidus temperature of geopolymer
Adj SS	adjusted sum of squares	X_m	mole fraction of component m
DF	degrees of freedom	X_{mn}	mole fraction of m - n pair
G_m	Gibbs energy of component m	Y_m	coordination-equivalent fraction of component m
g_m^o	Gibbs energy of pure component m	x_1	fly ash fraction
n_m	mole numbers of component m	x_2	slag fraction
n_{mn}	mole numbers of m - n pair	x_3	biomass ash fraction

Prediction of High Temperature Behavior of Geopolymer from Solid Wastes Using Gibbs Energy Minimization Approach

ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.neliti.com Internet Source	1%
2	crimsonpublishers.com Internet Source	1%
3	mafiadoc.com Internet Source	1%
4	Submitted to Universidad Militar Nueva Granada Student Paper	1%
5	publications.polymtl.ca Internet Source	1%
6	Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan Student Paper	1%
7	alphagenes.roslin.ed.ac.uk Internet Source	1%
8	astesj.com Internet Source	1%

9

Telesca, Antonio, Milena Marroccoli, Michele Tomasulo, Gian Lorenzo Valenti, Heiko Dieter, and Fabio Montagnaro. "Calcium looping spent sorbent as a limestone replacement in the manufacture of Portland and calcium sulfoaluminate cements", Environmental Science & Technology

Publication

<1 %

10

Submitted to University of Wollongong

Student Paper

<1 %

11

spectrum.library.concordia.ca

Internet Source

<1 %

12

enermaps.openaire.eu

Internet Source

<1 %

13

journals.lww.com

Internet Source

<1 %

14

Cao, Jianan. "Influence of the Micro-Gradation of Fly Ash and Slag on the Properties of Cement Mortar", Paving Materials and Pavement Analysis, 2010.

Publication

<1 %

15

Miranda, G., S. Faria, F. Bartolomeu, E. Pinto, S. Madeira, A. Mateus, P. Carreira, N. Alves, F.S. Silva, and O. Carvalho. "Predictive models for physical and mechanical properties of 316L stainless steel produced by selective

<1 %

laser melting", Materials Science and Engineering A, 2016.

Publication

16

uad.portalgaruda.org

Internet Source

<1 %

17

iranmmd.com

Internet Source

<1 %

18

Narinder Singh, Rupinder Singh, Gurleen S. Sandhu. "Application of Graphene Polymer Blended Feed Stock Filament for 3D/4D Printing", Elsevier BV, 2018

Publication

<1 %

19

studylib.net

Internet Source

<1 %

20

Song, W.. "Fusibility and flow properties of coal ash and slag", Fuel, 200902

Publication

<1 %

21

Sorensen, B.. "Phase compositions of manganese ores and their change in the process of calcination", International Journal of Mineral Processing, 20100428

Publication

<1 %

22

Xinpei Liu, Mark A. Bradford, Michael S. S. Lee. "Behavior of High-Strength Friction-Grip Bolted Shear Connectors in Sustainable Composite Beams", Journal of Structural Engineering, 2015

<1 %

23

Youn-Bae Kang, Arthur D. Pelton, Patrice Chartrand, Philip Spencer, Carlton D. Fuerst. "Thermodynamic Database Development of the Mg-Ce-Mn-Y System for Mg Alloy Design", Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A, 2007

Publication

<1 %

24

Wei Ken Part, Mahyuddin Ramli, Chee Ban Cheah. "An overview on the influence of various factors on the properties of geopolymer concrete derived from industrial by-products", Construction and Building Materials, 2015

Publication

<1 %

25

Li, L.. "Geopolymeric adsorbents from fly ash for dye removal from aqueous solution", Journal of Colloid And Interface Science, 20060801

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Prediction of High Temperature Behavior of Geopolymer from Solid Wastes Using Gibbs Energy Minimization Approach

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6
