

## DATA ARTIKEL

**Judul Artikel** : Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone  
**Nama Jurnal** : Journal of Engineering  
**Nomor ISSN** : 23144904, 23144912  
**Vol, No, Bln Thn** : Vol.2022, Juli, 2022  
**Penerbit** : Hindawi Limited  
**DOI Artikel** : <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9558553>  
**Terindeks** : Scopus, Q2  
**H-Index** : 24  
**SJR** : 0.33 (2021)

## REKAP BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

<b>Tanggal</b>	<b>Keterangan</b>
25 April 2022	Review Invitation
28 April 2022	Agreeing Review
10 Mei 2022	Review Submission I
17 Mei 2022	Review Submission I

**Gmail** Search mail [Filter icon]

Compose

**Inbox** 154

- Starred
- Snoozed

**Meet**

- New meeting
- Join a meeting


**Hangouts**

- Eflita
- No recent chats
- [Start a new one](#)

9558553: Review invitation [Inbox x]

Chong Leong Gan to me

Mon, Apr 25, 1:51 PM



Hindawi

Dear Dr. Yohana,

A manuscript titled "**Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone**" by **Bahador Abolpour** et al., has been submitted to **Journal of Engineering** for consideration.

As the Academic Editor handling the manuscript, I would be delighted if you would agree to review it and let me know whether you feel it is suitable for publication.

**Compose**

**Inbox** 154

- Starred
- Snoozed

**Meet**

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

**Hangouts**

Eflita

No recent chats  
[Start a new one](#)

**DECLINE** **AGREE**

The manuscript's abstract and author information is below to help you decide. Once you have agreed to review, you will be able to download the full article PDF.

**Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone**

Bahador Abolpour<sup>1</sup>, Ataallah Soltani Goharrizi<sup>2</sup>, Ataallah Kamyabi<sup>3</sup>, Amir Sarafi<sup>4</sup>, Mansour Mohamadi<sup>5</sup>,

<sup>1</sup>Sirjan University of Technology, Strjān.  
<sup>2</sup>Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman.  
<sup>3</sup>Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman.  
<sup>4</sup>Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman.  
<sup>5</sup>Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman.

In this study, the separation of water from oil is studied, numerically. In this

**Gmail** Search mail [Filter icon]

Compose

**Inbox** 154

- Starred
- Snoozed

**Meet**

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

**Hangouts**

Eflita +

No recent chats  
[Start a new one](#)

32 of 1,943

Shahid Bahonar University of Kerinci, Kerinci

In this study, the separation of water from oil is studied, numerically. In this simulation, the Reynolds Stress Model and the mixture multiphase model are used for coupling the velocity and pressure equations using the SIMPLE algorithm. The simulated geometry is taken from an experimental setup. It has resulted that, in the case with the crude oil as the discrete phase, by increasing the diameter of the discrete phase (crude oil) droplets, the separation efficiency increases. If the diameter of the oil droplets is greater than 100 microns, the percentage of oil output from the spillway reaches 99.5%. In the case with the water phase as the discrete phase, if the water droplets size is considered equal to 200 microns, the water separation efficiency in the spillway reaches 69%.

Reviewers are expected to return their report within 14 days of agreeing to review, however if you need more time please do let us know as we may be able to arrange an alternative deadline.

To ensure we keep delays to a minimum please accept or decline this invitation within the next 7 days.

If a potential conflict of interest exists between yourself and either the authors or

**Gmail**

Compose

**Inbox** 154

- Starred
- Snoozed

**Meet**

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

**Hangouts**

Eflita

No recent chats  
[Start a new one](#)

Search mail

32 of 1,943

Navigation icons: back, forward, archive, trash, mute, snooze, flag, print, share, etc.

to arrange an alternative deadline.

To ensure we keep delays to a minimum please accept or decline this invitation within the next 7 days.

If a potential conflict of interest exists between yourself and either the authors or the subject of the manuscript, please decline to handle the manuscript. If a conflict becomes apparent during the review process, please let me know at the earliest possible opportunity. For more information about our conflicts of interest policies, please see: <https://new.hindawi.com/ethics/#conflicts-of-interest>.

Kind regards,  
Chong Leong Gan  
Journal of Engineering

This email was sent to [efnan2003@gmail.com](mailto:efnan2003@gmail.com). You have received this email in regards to the account creation, submission, or peer review process of a submitted paper, published by Hindawi Limited.  
Hindawi Limited, 3rd Floor, Adam House, 1 Fitzroy Square, London, W1T 5HF, United Kingdom  
Hindawi respects your right to privacy. Please see our [privacy policy](#) for information on how we store, process, and safeguard your data.

[Unsubscribe](#)

# Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone

Mansour Mohamadi<sup>1</sup>, Amir Sarafi<sup>1</sup>, Ataallah Kamyabi<sup>1</sup>, Ataallah Soltani Goharrizi<sup>1</sup>, Bahador Abolpour<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Chemical Engineering, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Chemical Engineering, Sirjan University of Technology, Sirjan, Iran*

## Abstract

In this study, the separation of water from oil is studied, numerically. In this simulation, the Reynolds Stress Model and the mixture multiphase model are used for coupling the velocity and pressure equations using the SIMPLE algorithm. The simulated geometry is taken from an experimental setup. It has resulted that, in the case with the crude oil as the discrete phase, by increasing the diameter of the discrete phase (crude oil) droplets, the separation efficiency increases. If the diameter of the oil droplets is greater than 100 microns, the percentage of oil output from the spillway reaches 99.5%. In the case with the water phase as the discrete phase, if the water droplets size is considered equal to 200 microns, the water separation efficiency in the spillway reaches 69%.

**Keywords:** Hydro-cyclone, Aqueous-organic mixture separation, Computational fluids dynamics

\* Corresponding author Bahador Abolpour at: Department of Chemical Engineering, Sirjan University of Technology, Sirjan, Iran.  
Tel.: +98 917 313 94 23.  
E-mail addresses: bahadorabolpor1364@yahoo.com

## **Introduction**

Today's refinery operations are very complex, and for a person unfamiliar with the industry, it seems impossible to reduce this complexity and present the operation as a coordinated set of simple processes. The refinery aims to convert more crude oil into transportation fuels with practical economic aspects. Although refineries produce such usable products, a large proportion of their usable products are transport fuels such as gasoline, diesel, and turbine (jet) fuels and light heating oils. The quality of crude oil used for processing in refineries is not quantified. They determine what is in it. Crude oil received from wells is accompanied by some water. In the water that comes out of the wells with oil, some salts are dissolved, such as magnesium and calcium salts. The amount of this solution is alternating, for example, in Middle Eastern oils, it is about 12 grams per ton, and in Egypt oils, it is about 3 kg per ton. If the amount of salt in crude oil exceeds 38 grams per cubic meter, it should be desalinated. Another important parameter is the percentage of salt and water sediments associated with oil, which is determined by the BS&W parameter. Therefore, many refineries desalinated for less than this amount [1-2]. Excessive saltwater in oil causes four major problems and frequent financial losses because:

- Water becomes highly corrosive due to the presence of soluble salts and causes perforation and permanent destruction of expensive devices and equipment such as pipes, valves, tanks, and oil tankers.
- The presence of solute deposits on the inner surface of the devices and equipment of refineries causes clogging and increase of pressure drop and disrupts their performance. Blocks the pipes of oil heaters, causing heat and pressure to rise and rupture, leading to catastrophic explosions and fires.

- It pierces the inner parts of the distillation towers, causing them to shut down and require repair, which yields heavy costs. Therefore, water should be prevented from entering the refinery equipment as much as possible.
- If water is not separated from the oil, in addition to the mentioned losses, it will occupy a part of the volume of oil reservoirs and pipes, and as a result, the volume of sent oil will be reduced, and water transportation that has no value will have costs equal to oil transportation costs.

Crude oil contains different amounts of inorganic salts (NaCl, CaCl<sub>2</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, etc.). The presence of these salts during the processing of crude oil causes some problems, such as corrosion, connection and deposition of equipment, and poisoning of catalysts in the processing units. The desalination process is done by washing the crude oil with clean water and then removing the water to separate the salt from the crude oil [3]. The process of desalination and effective separation of the dispersed phase of brine from the continuous oil phase is a desirable process that has a variety of methods. For example, gravity, suspension, thermal performance, and electrostatic coagulation are methods used to separate brine from crude oil. Each of these methods has advantages and disadvantages. One of the most common methods in the desalination process is the electric method, but the operational complexity and high operating costs are many disadvantages for electrical desalination. Many research institutes have developed desalination methods and have recently made great strides, including the centrifuge method, the filtration method, the magnetic control method, the microwave irradiation method, and so on. However, these methods are less used in industrial production due to the complexity of equipment and low reliability [4]. The principle of operation of hydrocyclone is similar to that of a centrifuge, except that the body of a hydrocyclone is



fixed and fluid inside it rotates. A hydrocyclone includes an inlet, cylindrical, reduction, conical, tailpipe, bottom pipe, and overflow sections. The flow is injected tangentially into the cylindrical section of the hydrocyclone. As a result of the rotation of the fluid inside the body, two internal and external vortices are formed.

Hsieh and Rajamani [5] in 1991, developed a mathematical model of a hydrocyclone based on fluid flow physics. Their model equations were solved using a computer code that considered the dimensions of the hydrocyclone and the properties of the feed slurry as input. The Navier-Stokes governing equations for the hydrocyclone geometry were successfully solved. The output of their computer code was the fluid velocity profile and the separation efficiency curve. The predictions of their model were validated using the measured velocity profile inside a 75 mm hydrocyclone. Pure water and glycerol-water mixture were used as a working fluid to simulate the increment of the slurry viscosity in the presence of solid particles. In 2001, Gomez et al. [6] numerically modeled and experimentally experimented with the separation of oil-water mixer in a 2-inch hydrocyclone. Their goal was to develop mechanical modeling for oil removal. The inputs required for their model included fluid properties, inlet droplet size distribution, and operating conditions. This model was able to predict the hydraulic flow field. Obtained data included flow rate and droplet size distribution at the inlet and downstream and oil concentration at the spillway and separation efficiency. They observed excellent agreement between model prediction and experimental data with respect to separation efficiency and pressure drop. In 2002, Jiang et al. [7] investigated the effect of geometric and operational parameters on pressure drop and oil-water separation performance in hydrocyclones. Several hydrocyclone prototypes were investigated to obtain the effects of geometric parameters, including vortex cavity length and conical cross-section, and

practical parameters. Their results showed that different geometric parameters of hydrocyclones should be selected in different conditions.

Reyes et al. [8] investigated in 2006 whether the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) method was able to simulate the liquid-liquid hydrocyclone behavior. The mixture of oil and water entering the hydrocyclone was divided into a high output (rich in oil) and a low output (rich in water) due to centrifugal and buoyancy forces. Separation efficiency was determined as the maximum amount of water discharged from the bottom of the minimum amount of oil at the bottom outlet or vice versa. Their experiments were performed in a transparent liquid-liquid separator, which allows the mixture to be visualized and the amount of oil to be measured. The experiments were performed on three variables mixing speed, water content at the inlet, and split ratio. Their results showed that the CFD tool was able to analyze the oil content obtained from the experiments for all conditions. This study confirmed the capacity of CFD instruments to analyze the multi-phase flow of liquid-liquid separators. Bhaskar et al. [9] in 2007 simulated a hydrocyclone with CFD and experimentally validated its results. In this research, an attempt was made to develop a method to simulate the performance of a hydrocyclone. They compared the experimental and simulated results using different turbulence models, namely the  $k-\varepsilon$  and Reynolds Stress Models (RSM). Among these modeling methods, the prediction using the RSM was found to agree with the experimental results with an acceptable final error. In 2007, Husveg et al. [10] studied the performance of hydrocyclones during variable flow rates and found that hydrocyclones are one of the newest technologies for treating offshore water. In the acceleration field inside the hydrocyclone, the oil was transferred to the center of the cyclone because the

water was forced to stick to the wall. The separation depended on the structure of the double vortex flow within the hydrocyclone.

Bai and Wang [11] in 2007 designed a desalination process of crude oil based on hydrocyclone technology. In this hydrocyclone, the salt concentration was reduced from 8 mg/l to 3 mg/l and the dehydration efficiency was from 86 to 99%, with a feed flow rate from 27 to 30 m<sup>3</sup>/hr. In 2010, Shi et al. [12] studied the liquid-liquid hydrocyclone cylinders and investigated the effect of design parameters, such as different diameters, different shapes, and the size of the vortex diameter at the top of the hydrocyclone, on the separation efficiency through experimental and simulation methods. The results showed that the diameter and angle of the vortex affect the rotational flow and the separation efficiency. Finally, they concluded that the size of oil droplets had a significant effect on separation. Increasing the average droplet diameter or trying to prevent droplets from breaking into very small droplets was a practical way to improve the hydrocyclone separation efficiency. In 2011, Zang et al. [13] simulated the flow field inside a hydrocyclone with CFD according to the RNG  $k-\varepsilon$  model and the Eulerian method. The fluid flows in the cylindrical section and the conical section were very different. In the hydrocyclone separation process, the dispersed phase affects the continuous phase flow and complicates the turbulent flow field. There were still some shortcomings and errors for this model in multiphase hydrocyclone simulation. In 2012, Amini et al. [14] proposed a mathematical model to predict the efficiency of a low-cavity oil and water separation hydrocyclone. This separation efficiency was determined based on the droplet path of a continuous oil drop. The droplet path model is developed using the Lagrangian approach in which individual droplets are tracked in a continuous phase. This model uses a continuous phase rotation flow to track oil droplets, and the trial and error method is

used to determine the size of the oil droplets that reach the reverse flow region. The inputs required for their model were the hydrocyclone geometry, fluid properties, inlet droplet size distribution, and operating conditions at the lower output. This model was able to predict the hydrodynamic flow field of the hydrocyclone, *i.e.* the distribution of axial, tangential, and radial velocities of the continuous phase. Their results showed that the proposed model can well predict the ratio and separation efficiency of the hydrocyclone.

Narasimha et al. [15] in 2012 predicted the particle separation in a rotating flow inside a 75 mm hydrocyclone. To simulate the performance of hydrocyclones, they used different turbulent multiphase CFD models. They found that in the two-phase simulations the mean and turbulent stresses predicted by the Large Eddy Simulation model were in good agreement with the previously validated data. Hosseini et al. [16] in 2015, investigated the parameters affecting the performance of oil remover hydrocyclones. In this study, the effects of inlet flow, inlet oil volume fraction, and diameter of oil droplets on separation efficiency and pressure drop ratio along the body of the hydrocyclone have been investigated. All simulations were performed using CFD techniques, in which the Eulerian multiphase model and the Reynolds turbulent stress model were used to predict the multiphase and turbulent flow parameters in hydrocyclone. Their simulation results showed a good agreement with the reported experimental data. Vakamalla and Mangaddodi [17] modeled turbulent flow inside an industrial hydrocyclone in 2017. Flow in industrial hydrocyclones is always turbulent, therefore, choosing the right turbulent model for accurate prediction is one of the most important parts of the simulation. The goal of these two scientists was to find a suitable turbulent model for predicting rotational flow in industrial hydrocyclones. Two-phase and multi-phase simulations in

hydrocyclones of various industrial sizes are performed using fluidized-volume models modified with RSM, isolated vortex simulations, and LES. The simulated flow field velocities in the 75 and 250 mm hydrocyclones were measured using the laser Doppler measurement method. They concluded that the prediction of the turbulent RSM in larger hydrocyclones with low turbulence levels is reasonably good. But at the smaller sizes of hydrocyclones, the LES prediction is superior to other turbulent models. And the results they obtained suggested that the RSM could be used in larger hydrocyclones due to low turbulence levels. However, in smaller hydrocyclones, the LES turbulence model needs to be used for accurate prediction.

Liu et al. [18] in 2018 presented an axial hydrocyclone for water separation from oil and investigated the effects of structure parameters on oil-oil separation using CFD methods. Narrowing the blade height and increasing the blade deflection angle effectively increased the rotation speed in the hydrocyclone. Therefore, increasing the blade deflection angle and decreasing the blade height can significantly increase the oil-oil separation efficiency. The small tapered angle keeps the oil droplets in the separation space for a long time, so the separation efficiency increases as the tapered angle decreases. Relatively, the reduction section of the overflow pipe had less effect on water-oil separation. However, there was a strong interaction between the reduction section and the separation efficiency. At the same time, Huang et al. [19] designed and tested development of a high-performance dynamic hydrocyclone for water and oil separation. Dynamic hydrocyclone is a high-efficiency separator, but the oil core dispersion phenomenon was observed when the splitting ratio was small. The separated oil was mixed with water again and the separation failed. To overcome these shortcomings and improve performance, a new dynamic hydrocyclone reverse flow is derived from the

conventional hydrocyclones. The results showed that in this modified dynamic hydrocyclone, air bubbles and oil droplets were evaporated quickly from the oil outlet and the effect of interference was reduced. Therefore, the dispersion of the oil core was prevented and the separation performance was improved.

Liu et al. [20] presented research in 2019 on the innovative design and study of magnetic hydrocyclones for water and oil separation and believed that the traditional water-oil separation hydrocyclone has mainly fast separation, due to the different centrifugal force between oil and water. However, with the gradual expansion of oilfield polymers, inundation of alkaline surfactant polymer, and other oil transfer technologies, the viscosity of the fluid produced increases and emulsification is severe, so the separation efficiency is greatly affected. Therefore, it is envisaged to add another field based on the centrifugal force field to separate the oil-water in a stronger connection field. Subsequently, the concept of a magnetic field enters the hydrocyclone. High-efficiency separation of a hydrocyclone can be achieved by bonding a centrifugal force field and a magnetic field, and they have designed a kind of magnetic hydrocyclone. In 2020, Kou and Chen [21] studied numerical fluid-fluid flow inside the hydrocyclone cylinders with guide vanes using CFD. The biphasic rotation was combined with the RSM and the mixed numerical simulation model and the results were well consistent with the experimental data. After that, more studies on the effects of the velocity field, pressure field, and separation performance under different conical angles have been studied, which shows that the intensity of rotation and residence time has an important effect on the performance of the hydrocyclone. At the same time, Tian et al. [22] studied the decomposition of turbulent droplets by a modified concentric two-cylinder rotating device. First, according to the classical Hinze-Kolmogorov theory, the problem of droplet

decomposition in a modified device was simplified. The circular flow field in the modified device was a local isotropic perturbation. Then, the high-precision turbulent RSM was used to simulate a concentric cylindrical rotating device. Their main conclusions were: the droplet size distribution corresponded to the normal input distribution when the input oil concentration was low, the integration of oil droplets was ignorable, and a new non-viscous dimensionless value was proposed.

In 2021, Wojtowicz et al. [23] analyzed the numerical and experimental analysis of the flow pattern, pressure drop, and separation efficiency in a cyclone with a square inlet and different dimensions of the rotational flow. The use of constant temperature flowmetry was confirmed. Similarly, the pressure distribution, pressure drop, and collection efficiency for cyclones were determined numerically and by measurement. In high-speed rotational flow, the rotational flow geometry affects their performance. It affects both the pressure drop and the separation efficiency for the flow model. These numerical simulations of the flow obtained the position, scale, range, and intensity of the external and internal rotational flows inside the cyclones. Increasing the length of the vortex and decreasing its diameter increased the outlet gas flow rate. Razmi et al. [24] used CFD simulation to improve the separation of hydrocyclones for decreasing the biodiesel impurities. In this work, they prepared a hydrocyclone with a diameter of 10 mm. Experimental results showed a maximum impurity separation of 82.2%. Operating conditions including inlet mixture temperature, pressure drop across the hydrocyclone, and percentage of inlet impurities were optimized using the Taguchi method. Then, the hydrocyclone was modeled using CFD and the Eulerian-Eulerian computational model and its results were confirmed using the experimental data. Zang et al. [25] increased the water flow from 3 to 7 m<sup>3</sup>/hr and the oil fraction from 1 to 10% in an axial separator with

static rotation for the oil-water mixture. The separation performance and pressure drop on the inlet flow rate and different oil fractions were studied. They found that the separation chamber using a conical tube created a greater tangential velocity than a tube with an equal diameter. Inlet flow and oil fraction were two serious operational parameters that affect the separator performance. In addition, the splitting ratio increased first and then decreased with increasing the inlet oil fraction, mainly due to a change in central pressure in the separation chamber.

Dos Anjos et al. [26] evaluated turbulent models for simulating single-phase liquid-liquid hydrocyclones. Due to the fact that turbulent RSM, which is computationally expensive and difficult to converge, is more recommended in this form of computation. They selected a hydrocyclone whose experimental tangential and axial fluid flow velocity profiles were available. They resulted that the shear flow field inside the hydrocyclone may be predominant and normal Reynolds stresses do not play an important role in predicting tangential velocities. Raeesi et al. [27] presented a three-dimensional simulation to evaluate the performance of a hydrocyclone. Due to the high-velocity rotating fluid flow, the RSM was used to model this turbulent flow. The mixed model was used to simulate multiphase flow within this hydrocyclone. The separation efficiency of the hydrocyclone was confirmed using the results of experimental data. The effect of hydrocyclone geometry and operating parameters were investigated. Finally, they provide an optimal design for high separation. They resulted that increasing the diameter of the reducing (conical) section increases the separation efficiency as well as the cyclone pressure drop ratio. Increasing the diameter of the conical section (cylinder) increases the separation efficiency and also the cyclone pressure drop ratio.




In the present study, the goal is to use a hydrocyclone in the oil industry to separate the liquid-liquid phases from each other. In this research, we seek to be able to separate the two fluid phases by using hydrocyclone simulation. In this simulation, the RSM and the mixture multiphase model are used for coupling the velocity and pressure equations using the SIMPLE algorithm. The simulated geometry is taken from an experimental setup.

## **Methodology**

### *Principles*

When two liquids are mixed, they do not always become homogeneous as a solution, like water in oil. Such solutions have a common structure such as droplets of a phase dispersed in another continuous phase, such as water in oil (W/O) or oil dispersion in water (O/W), see Figure 1. Dispersions are unstable and settle in a state of stagnation. The deposition and smoothing of the dispersions depend on the diameter of the droplets [28]. Most crude oils contain sodium and magnesium chloride, some sulfate, silica, and iron oxides. The formation of salt deposits in such a way that the phase change of water to steam occurs and the formation of hydrochloric acid causes corrosion. Hydrochloric acid is formed by the decomposition of calcium chloride and magnesium chloride at high temperatures, see equations (1 and 2). Chlorides and sulfates are soluble in small droplets of suspended water in crude oil [29]. Some of the industrial problems caused by the presence of salt solution in crude oil include corrosion in pipelines and equipment, perforation inside distillation towers, occupation of a part of tanks and fluid transmission lines, and formation of sediment and clogging of equipment.



When the brine is in the form of coarse droplets, it is called dispersion, which can be separated by settling in the oil tanks. But, an emulsion may have a droplet size of about 1 micron. The separation of the phases of this emulsion is difficult because the dispersed clay particles act as a natural colloidal protector. Therefore, removing the emulsion is a basic condition for removing the brine to achieve the permissible level of 0.05% by weight. For this purpose, the chemical demulsifier, which is one of the active substances on the common surface, is used. These substances are added to crude oil in very small quantities, causing small particles to accumulate and coalesce, resulting in easy separation. Large oxygenated, sulfuric, and nitrogenous molecules in oil can also act as effective demulsifiers. Centrifugal force can also be used for increasing the separation speed. Surface-active agents include fatty acid soaps, naphthenic acid, sulfonates, and even sulfonic acids. The effect of these agents can be increased by changing the pH value and increasing the temperature. The amount of required agent is about 100 to 200 grams per ton of crude oil. Here the uniform and complete distribution of the demolition solution in oil is important [30]. In recent years, the removal of salt and water from crude oil using electrical methods has become very important. Despite the different methods, their operation is based on the same principles. Also, if the salt is present in the form of fine suspended crystals in crude oil, adding enough water in the amount of 2 to 10% by volume to the oil provides the solution of microcrystalline salts at 90 to 150 °C, depending on the density of the crude oil [31]. Water and oil are then separated in a separate tank. This separation is either due to adding brittle emulsion chemicals or by creating a high potential electric field to accelerate the adhesion of the water droplets. In this case, alternating or direct current can be used. The required potential is between 16000 and 25000 volts [32].

Recently, hydrocyclone has been developed as a new type of water-oil separation device. It has many advantages, such as less volume, more efficiency, simpler structure, shorter residence time, high centrifugal forces (100 to 1000 times more than the gravitational acceleration), and extra. It has been shown that small changes in geometric parameters can greatly affect the separation efficiency, although the structure of the hydrocyclone is relatively simple. A hydrocyclone with a standard structure designed by experts can achieve good results for the separation of liquid water from a water-oil mixture [33]. The hydrocyclone has the characteristics of simple structure, low volume, lightweight, no moving parts, and short processing time. Therefore, it has been widely used in recent years, there is an urgent need for efficient and comprehensive water and oil separators in limited oil rigs [34, 35]. Due to the different densities of oil and water, the centrifugal force received in the hydrocyclone is different, the oil phase is less centrifugal by the centrifugal force, and accumulates in the central region of the separator, while the water phase is strongly influenced by the centrifugal force. And is distributed on the wall between the separators and finally achieves the separation of the mixture. Due to the small difference in density, oil and water are difficult to separate and are much harder to use in liquid-liquid cyclones than in liquid-solid cyclones.

### *Governing equations*

In this research, due to the high volume fraction of the discrete phase at the input, the Mixture model was used to solve the governing equations. The Mixture multiphase model is designed for two or more phases (fluid or particle). Similar to the Eulerian multiphase model, the phases are considered intertwined chains. In the Mixture model, the momentum equation of the dissolved mixture and the relative velocities are determined to

describe the dispersed phase behavior. The Mixture multi-phase model, like the VOF multi-phase model, uses a single-fluid approach, but, in the Mixture model, the phases can be mixed. In the Mixture model, phases can move at different speeds using the concept of sliding velocity. In the Mixture multiphase model, the momentum, continuity, and energy equations for the mixed-phase and the volume fraction equation for the second phase are solved, such as algebraic equations for obtaining relative velocities. In this model, droplet relaxation time ( $drt$ ) is calculated as follow:

$$drt = \frac{(\rho_m - \rho_p)d_p^2}{18\mu_f} \quad (3)$$

The value of this parameter should be less than 0.001 sec, which for this study is  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  sec. Therefore, we are allowed to use the Mixed model for this study. In this mode, the continuity equation is presented as below [36]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \overline{v_m}) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $t$  is the time,  $\rho_m$  is the density of the mixture and  $\overline{v_m}$  is the average velocity vector of a mass calculated from the following equations:

$$\overline{v_m} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \rho_k v_k}{\rho_m} \quad (5)$$

$$\rho_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \rho_k \quad (6)$$

where  $\rho_k$  is the density and  $\alpha_k$  is the volume fraction of phase  $k$ . The volume fraction of this phase is obtained from the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\alpha_k \rho_k) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \overline{v_m}) = -\nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \overline{v_{dr,k}}) \quad (7)$$

where  $\overline{v_{dr,k}} = \overline{v_k} - \overline{v_m}$  is the drift velocity vector of the phase  $k$  that can be calculated as:

$$\vec{v}_{dr,p} = \vec{v}_{pq} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\alpha_k \rho_k}{\rho_m} \vec{v}_{qk} \quad (8)$$

where  $\vec{v}_{pq} = \vec{v}_p - \vec{v}_q$  is the relative velocity of  $p$  and  $q$  phases that is calculated as below:

$$\vec{v}_{pq} = \frac{(\rho_p - \rho_m) d_p^2}{18 \mu_q f_{drag}} \vec{a} \quad (9)$$

where  $d_p$  is the droplet diameter,  $f_{drag}$  is the drag function, and  $\vec{a}$  is the acceleration vector of the droplet, where have been calculated using the following equations:

$$f_{drag} = \begin{cases} 1 + 0.15 \text{Re}^{0.687} & \text{Re} \leq 1000 \\ 0.0183 \text{Re} & \text{Re} > 1000 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

$$\vec{a} = \vec{g} - (\vec{v}_m \cdot \nabla) \vec{v}_m - \frac{\partial \vec{v}_m}{\partial t} \quad (11)$$

where  $\vec{g}$  is the gravitational acceleration vector. The momentum equation for the mixture can be obtained by summing the momentum equations of the individual phases for all phases. It can be expressed as [36]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho_m \vec{v}_m) + \nabla \cdot (\rho_m \vec{v}_m \vec{v}_m) = -\nabla P + \nabla \cdot \left[ \mu_m (\nabla \vec{v}_m + \nabla \vec{v}_m^T) \right] + \rho_m \vec{g} + \vec{F} + \nabla \cdot \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \rho_k \vec{v}_{dr,k} \vec{v}_{dr,k} \right) \quad (12)$$

here  $n$  is the number of phases,  $P$  is the pressure,  $\vec{F}$  is the vector of external forces, and  $\mu_m$  is the viscosity of the mixture.

$$\mu_m = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \mu_k \quad (13)$$

To model turbulent fluid flows, there are many turbulent flow models, ranging from  $k-\varepsilon$  models to more complex Reynolds Stress Models. The choice of a suitable model for highly rotational flow within a hydrocyclone has been studied by many researchers [37, 38]. The turbulent Reynolds Stress Model can accurately predict rotational flow behavior at high velocities, and its results are near to the actual results. For this model, it is

necessary to solve the transfer equations for each of the Reynolds stresses, which allows the turbulent flow pattern, axial velocity, tangential velocity, shear diameter and pressure drop in rotational flows to be properly simulated. Because this model calculates the effects of flow line curvature, rotation, and rapid changes in strain rate in a more difficult way than other turbulence models, it has a higher potential for accurately predicting complex flows [39, 40]. In this model, the transfer equation is written as follows [41]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \overline{u_i u_j}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}(\rho u_k \overline{u_i u_j}) = D_{ij} + P_{ij} - \Phi_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij} \quad (14)$$

The two sentences at the left side of this equation represent the local derivative of stress with respect to the time and the sentence related to convective transfer, respectively. If the fluid flow is stable, the stress derivative concerning the time will be zero. The four terms at the right side of this equation have been presented in equations (15-18).

$$D_{ij} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \overline{u_i u_j u_k} + P' (\delta_{kj} u'_j + \delta_{ik} u'_j) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left[ \mu_m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\overline{u_i u_j}) \right] \quad (15)$$

This equation is related to the stress penetration, where  $P'$  and  $u'$  are the fluctuations of the pressure and velocity and  $\delta$  is the Kronecker delta. The first term of this equation represents the penetration of the turbulent and the second term represents the molecular penetration.

$$P_{ij} = -\rho_m \left( \overline{u_i u_k} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} + \overline{u_i u_k} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} \right) \quad (16)$$

This equation is related to the Reynolds stress generation.

$$\Phi_{ij} = P' \left( \frac{\partial u'_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u'_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (17)$$

This equation is related to the stress pressure.

$$\varepsilon_{ij} = -2\mu_m \overline{\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}} \quad (18)$$

This equation is about the loss of disturbed kinetic energy.

### *Numerical method*

In the CFD method, by converting the governing fluid differential equations to algebraic equations, it is possible to solve these equations numerically. By dividing the desired area of analysis into smaller elements and applying boundary conditions to the boundary nodes, by considering approximations, a system of linear equations is obtained, which with solving the algebraic equations obtains field of velocity, pressure, and temperature in the desired area. To model a system, we must first generate the geometry we want to use. The generated geometry must then have meshed. Meshing has an important role in solving the problem. According to Figure 2 and Table 1, the geometry of the hydrocyclone is defined.

To solve a CFD problem, a fundamental step is taken in the preprocessing, processing, and post-processing sectors. Mesh generation is in the preprocessing part. In this research, according to Figure 2, after producing a suitable and accurate geometry of the problem, the existing geometry was divided into a series of grids or discrete elements. The choice of an approach in mesh generation depends on three factors: accuracy, efficiency, and ease of generation. Accuracy means the desired quality of the mesh, which is defined by various standards and criteria. The most famous of them are the unevenness or skewness of the mesh, the criterion of orthogonal quality, and aspect ratio, in which all three parameters are in a good area. Efficiency refers to the efficiency of the desired number of cells. Due to the knowledge of flow in this problem, in the sections

where the changes are more severe, the network has become smaller. The ease of mesh generation is the basic parameter in this section. In general, the production of disorganized mesh is faster and in contrast to the generation of meshes with an organization or a combination is time-consuming. In this case, we have used a hybrid hexagonal mesh. The advantage of the hexagonal mesh is that while our cell number is low, this network is generated in the shortest time and the accuracy of calculations in this type of mesh structure is high.

The boundary conditions for this geometry are in three areas: input, output, and wall. In the inlet area where the fluid is injected tangentially to the hydrocyclone, the velocity of the fluid entering this area is known and the flow rate is determined by the hydraulic diameter and the inlet velocity, and the intensity of the turbulence. The volume fraction of the discrete phase is determined based on experimental data. In hydrocyclone geometry, there are two areas for the fluid exit, one at the top of the hydrocyclone and the other at the bottom. Because in the hydrocyclone, a rotational fluid flow is generated in the direction of the radius and causes changes in pressure, the pressure is important. The nonslip condition has been defined for the wall boundary condition. In this simulation, a combination of two phases of water and oil solution (with the presented properties in Table 2) is injected to the inlet section of the mentioned hydrocyclone.

To find the velocity distribution, the Navier-Stokes equations are solved together with the RSM equations. The QUICK scheme is used to obtain the momentum equations and the quadratic discretization method is used to obtain the kinetic energy of the turbulence and the turbulence rate. Since a rotating fluid flow is generated inside the hydrocyclone, the PRESTO method has been used for pressure discretization and the SIMPLE method has been used for the relationship between pressure and velocity.



## Results and Discussion

### *Mesh independency*

One of the important parameters in the simulation process is the independence of the obtained results from the number of mesh elements. The results obtained should not depend on the number of cells in the computing grid. In this research, different numbers of grids (681546, 992280, and 1045811) have been analyzed. Tangential velocity parameters at different radiuses and separation efficiencies have been obtained in these meshes, and the obtained data have been presented in Figure 4 and Table 3. The results obtained from the defined mesh with 681546 calculation nodes are far from the two other cases as well as from the experimental data. On the other hand, attending to the high computation cost of the mesh with 1045811 calculation nodes, the defined mesh with 992280 nodes has been accepted for a suitable simulation in this hydrocyclone.

### *Validation*

To use the simulation results, the simulation results must first be compared with the experimental data for acceptance of the predictions of the developed model. It is observed in Figure 5 that the calculated separation efficiency

( $Seperation\ Efficiency = \frac{Mass\ flowrate\ of\ outlet\ oil\ from\ top\ offload}{Mass\ flowrate\ of\ inlet\ oil}$ ) by this

simulation has less than ten percent difference from the experimental data obtained by Prataran et al. [42]. Therefore, the simulation results are valid and the predicted results can be used.

### *The effect of the fluid flow directions and the forces on the hydrocyclone efficiency*

In a hydrocyclone, there are many forces in which the centrifugal force and the fluid resistance (drag) account for the largest share of the separation efficiency. In this equipment, fluid directions are in two opposite directions, so that the centrifuge force directs the fluid toward the wall, but the drag force directs the fluid toward the core of the hydrocyclone. Therefore, the lighter fluid has a larger volume of drag force and the heavier fluid has a larger volume of centrifugal force.

Figure 6 shows the direction of flow inside the hydrocyclone. There are two types of rotational flows inside the hydrocyclone. The fluid enters the cylindrical part of the hydrocyclone tangentially and then it is divided into two internal and external rotational flows. A large part of the fluid, which includes the continuous phase of water and also has a higher density than the discrete phase, moves towards the wall of the hydrocyclone and exits the bottom, and thus the discrete phase fluid, which has a lower density than the continuous phase, enter the internal rotation, moves upwards and exits from the upper part of the hydrocyclone (overflow).

#### *Investigation of the fluid flow velocity and pressure inside the hydrocyclone*

Figure 7 shows the static pressure inside the hydrocyclone. Due to the rotational current created by the tangential inlet flow, there are variations in the pressure, in the radial and axial directions. According to this Figure, after entering the hydrocyclone, the fluid flow is divided into two different flows, with different pressures. In the yellow area, the high pressure is formed by the heavier and denser fluid, which moves towards the walls by the centrifugal force, because the pressure builds up near the wall. The dark blue area has negative pressure, and the lighter fluid, which has a lower density, moves toward the

lower pressure hydrocyclone core by a rotational flow and has been separated from the heavier phase, consequently.

As shown in Figure 8, the input fluid flow velocity plays an important role in the separation efficiency process. Since no external dynamic force is used in the hydrocyclone, the flow velocity fluctuation is the only parameter that affects the separation efficiency. To make the best use of the hydrocyclone and get more efficiency, we can increase the input fluid flow velocity. However, according to the obtained results, after passing a certain inlet velocity value, the efficiency has been decreased.

#### *Separation of discrete phase (water) from continuous (oil)*

In this stage of the study, it is considered that the inlet fluid flow consists of 25% oil and 75% water. Our goal in this section is to separate the phases with the best efficiency. Based on this research with a droplet size from 20 to 200 microns, it is founded that the lower density phase moves toward the hydrocyclone core, and the denser phase moves toward the wall. According to Figure 9, the oil phase separation has been increased by increasing its droplet size from 20 to 100 microns, and from 100 to 200 microns the separation performance has been almost constant, which means that approximately 99.5% of the oil has been separated from the water. Therefore, it is better to consider a series of processes to increase the diameter of the oil droplets before entering the hydrocyclone.

#### *Separation of discrete phase (water) from continuous (oil)*

In this part of the study, it is considered that the inlet fluid flow to the hydrocyclone consists of 90% oil and 10% water. According to Table 4, in three different inlet velocities

with a certain diameter of water droplets (i.e. 75 microns), it is obtained that at 8 m/sec inlet velocity has the highest separation efficiency.

At inlet velocity equal to 6 m/sec, it is observed that the lowest separation efficiency has been achieved because fewer droplets of water move down the wall of the hydrocyclone, and the forces have no important effects at this velocity. Therefore, the rest of the water with the oil comes out from the overflow and keeps the separation efficiency at the 40% level. At an inlet velocity equal to 8 m/sec, the maximum efficiency has been achieved, so that using this inlet velocity we can separate these two phases in the best possible way. In this case, the internal and external flows work properly, so that the effect of the forces is noticeable according to Figure 10. Therefore, more water comes out from the bottom, resulting in 48% separation efficiency. At 10 m/sec inlet velocity, the separation efficiency has been decreased, because the effect of the forces on the phases has been decreased, so the separation efficiency of these two phases has been reduced to 43%.

In this section, the results of simulations with water droplets with sizes equal to 150, 75 and 200 microns have been presented. As can be seen in Table 5, the separation efficiency has been increased up to approximately 70% as the droplet diameter was increased. According to the centrifugal force equation, the higher the mass of the droplet, the larger the centrifugal force. It causes water droplets to move towards the wall of the hydrocyclone. Water droplets also move downwards under the influence of gravity.

## **Conclusions**

In this research, a homemade code has been developed to simulate the separation of two fluids, water, and oil, using a hydrocyclone device. The three-dimensional geometry of the hydrocyclone has first meshed. Then, to solve the problem, the Reynolds stress turbulence

model and mixed multiphase model have been used, and then the governing equations have been solved, numerically. The results obtained from the simulation model were compared with the existing experimental data. The difference between the simulation results and the experimental data was less than 10%. The Reynolds stress perturbation model predicted the flow pattern stress in the hydrocyclone, which was observed in two types of rotational flow. The free rotational flow moves to the bottom and the forced rotational flow moves to the overflow. The following results have been obtained in this study:

- In the case where 25% of the inlet fluid flow to the hydrocyclone consists of oil, as the droplet diameter increases, the separation efficiency increases, and when the droplet diameter exceeds 100 microns, the separation efficiency increases to 99.5%, because the affected drag and buoyancy forces to the oil droplets increase and therefore the discrete phase moves toward the hydrocyclone core.
- Separation efficiency is directly related to inlet velocity up to 8 m/sec. This means that as the inlet velocity increases, the separation efficiency also increases, and from a certain velocity (i.e. 8 m/sec) the separation efficiency is inversely related to this parameter. More than this value, the higher the inlet velocity, the lower the separation efficiency. This is because the higher the inlet velocity, the greater the centrifugal force than the drag force, so it causes some of the discrete phases to move toward the wall, which reduces the separation efficiency.
- In the case that the fluid entering the hydrocyclone is 10% water and the rest is oil, more of the water comes out from the bottom. It is concluded that the larger the diameter of the water droplets, the larger the percentage of water exits from the bottom. In this simulation, the diameter of the water droplets was increased to 150

microns. In this case, 60% of the water entering the hydrocyclone is discharged from the bottom.

**There is no conflict of interest.**

## **References:**

- [1] H.K. Abdel-Ail, Mohamed Agoura, M.A. Fahim."Petroleum and Gas Field Processing"(Marcel Dekker Chemical Industries)
- [2] James H. Gary, Glenn E. Handwerk"petroleum refining-technology and economics"
- [3] Liu, G., Xu, X. et al. 2003, Study on the compatibility of high-paraffin crude oil with electric desalting demulsifiers, *Energy and Fuels*, 17(3): 625630.
- [4] Wang, Y.J. and Cui, J.T., 1997, The experiment of dehydration from crude oil by centrifuge, *Chinese Oil-Gasfield Surface Engineering* 16(3): 19–22
- [5] Hsieh KT, Rajamani RK. Mathematical model of the hydrocyclone based on physics of fluid flow. *AIChE Journal*. 1991 May;37(5):735-46.
- [6] Gomez C, Caldentey J, Wang S, Gomez L, Mohan R, Shoham O. Oil-water Separation in Liquid-liquid Hydrocyclones (LLHC)-experiment and Modeling. InSPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition 2001 Sep 30. OnePetro
- [7] Jiang M, Zhao L, Wang Z. Effects of geometric and operating parameters on pressure drop and oil-water separation performance for hydrocyclones. In the Twelfth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference 2002 May 26. One Petro.
- [8] Reyes MA, Pacheco JE, Mari´ n JC, Rojas LR, Rincon´ n J. Numerical simulation and experiments of the multiphase flow in a liquid-liquid cylindrical cyclone separator. In *Fluids Engineering Division Summer Meeting 2006 Jan 1* (Vol. 47500, pp. 667-673).
- [9] Bhaskar KU, Murthy YR, Raju MR, Tiwari S, Srivastava JK, Ramakrishnan N. CFD simulation and experimental validation studies on hydrocyclone. *Minerals Engineering*. 2007 Jan 1;20(1):60-71
- [10] Husker T, Rameau O, Dragster T, Bilstad T. Performance of a Deoilin hydrocyclone during variable flow rates. *Minerals Engineering*. 2007 Apr 1;20(4):368-79
- [11] Bai ZS, Wang HL. Crude oil desalting using hydrocyclones. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 2007 Jan 1;85(12):1586-90.
- [12] Shi SY, Wu YX, Zhang J, Guo J, Wang SJ. A study on separation performance of a vortex finder in a liquid-liquid cylindrical cyclone. *Journal of Hydrodynamics, Ser. B*. 2010 Oct 1;22(5):391-7.
- [13] Zhang FL, Deng SS, Hua WX. Numerical simulation for flow field in hydrocyclone. In *Advanced Materials Research 2011* (Vol. 335, pp. 683-687). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.

- [14] Mowlam Amini S, D, Golkar M, Esmaeilzadeh F. Mathematical modelling of a hydrocyclone for the down-hole oil–water separation (DOWS). *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 2012 Dec 1;90(12):2186-95.
- [15] Narasimha M, Brennan MS, Holtham PN. CFD modeling of hydrocyclones: Prediction of particle size segregation. *Minerals Engineering*. 2012 Dec 1;39:173-83.
- [16] Hosseini SM, Shahbazi K, Khosravi Nikou MR. A CFD Simulation of the Parameters Affecting the Performance of Downhole De-oiling Hydrocyclone. *Iranian Journal of Oil & Gas Science and Technology*. 2015 Jul 1;4(3):77-93.
- [17] Vakamalla TR, Mangadoddy N. Numerical simulation of industrial hydrocyclones performance: Role of turbulence modelling. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2017 Apr 4;176:23-39.
- [18] Liu M, Chen J, Cai X, Han Y, Xiong S. Oil–water pre-separation with a novel axial hydrocyclone *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2018 Jan 1;26(1):60-6.
- [19] Huang L, Deng S, Guan J, Chen M, Hua W. Development of a novel high-efficiency dynamic hydrocyclone for oil–water separation. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. 2018 Feb 1;96:266-73.
- [20] Liu L, Zhao L, Yang X, Wang Y, Xu B, Liang B. Innovative design and study of an oil-water coupling separation magnetic hydrocyclone. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2019 Apr 15;213:389-400.
- [21] Kou J, Chen Y, Wu J. Numerical study and optimization of liquid-liquid flow in cyclone pipe. *Chemical Engineering and Processing-Process Intensification*. 2020 Jan 1;94:107725.
- [22] Tian Y, Tian Y, Shi G, Zhou B, Zhang C, He L. Experimental study on oil droplet breakup under the action of turbulent field in modified concentric cylinder rotating device. *Physics of Fluids*. 2020 Aug 1;32(8):087105.
- [23] Wójtowicz R, Wolak P, Wójtowicz-Wróbel A. Numerical and Experimental Analysis of Flow Pattern, Pressure Drop and Collection Efficiency in a Cyclone with a Square Inlet and Different Dimensions of a Vortex Finder. *Energies*. 2021 Jan;14(1):111.
- [24] Salmanizade F, Ghazanfari Moghaddam A, Mohebbi A. Improvement hydrocyclone separation of biodiesel impurities prepared from waste cooking oil using CFD simulation. *Separation Science and Technology*. 2021 Apr 13;56(6):1152-67.
- [25] Zeng X, Zhao L, Zhao W, Hou M, Zhu F, Fan G, Yan C. Experimental study on a compact axial separator with conical tube for liquid-liquid separation. *Separation and Purification Technology*. 2021 Feb 15;257:117904.
- [26] dos Anjos RP, Andrade Medronho RD, Suaiden Klein T. Assessment of turbulence models for single phase CFD computations of a liquid-liquid hydrocyclone using OpenFOAM. *Journal of Turbulence*. 2021 Feb 1;22(2):79-113.
- [27] Raeesi S, Goharrizi AS, Abolpour B. Numerical Investigation of a Hydrocyclone for Separating Organic and Aqueous Phases. *Journal of The Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*. 2021 Jun 3:1-1.
- [28] Jiang M, Zhao L, Wang Z. Effects of geometric and operating parameters on pressure drop and oil-water separation performance for hydrocyclones. In the Twelfth International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference 2002 May

26. One Petro.

- [29] Tanaka, Y., 2004, The effect of solution leakage in an ion-exchange membrane electrodialyzer on mass transport across a membrane pair [J], *J Membr Sci*, 231(1): 13–24.
- [30] Al-Otaibi, M., Elkamel, A. et al., 2003, Experimental investigation of crude oil desalting and dehydration [J], *Chemical Engineering Communications*, 190(1): 65–82.
- [31] Popp, V.V. and Dinulescu, V.D., 1997, Dehydration and desalting of heavy and viscous crude oil produced by in-situ combustion [J], *SPE Production & Facilities*, 12(2): 95–99.
- [32] Mohammed, R.A., Bailey, A.I., Luckham, P.F. et al., 1994, Dehydration of crude oil emulsions & Emulsion resolution by chemical means [J], *Colloids and Surfaces A Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 83(3): 261–271
- [33] Vafajoo L, Ganjian K, Fattahi M. Influence of key parameters on crude oil desalting: An experimental and theoretical study. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2012 Jul 1;90:107-11.
- [34] F Martínez, A G Lavín, M M Mahamud, et al, Improvements in hydrocyclone design flow lines stabilization, *Powder Technol.* 176 (2007) 1-8.
- [35] L F Martínez, A G Lavín, M M Mahamud, et al. Vortex finder optimum length in hydrocyclone separation, *Chem. Eng. Process.* 47 (2008) 192-199.
- [36] FLUENT.INC Press, Part18: "Mixture model theory"
- [37] W. Griffiths and F. Boysan, "Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and empirical modelling of the performance of a number of cyclone samplers," *Journal of Aerosol Science*, vol. 27, pp. 281-304, 1996.
- [38] T. Chuah, J. Gim bun, and T. S. Choong, "A CFD study of the effect of cone dimensions on sampling aerocyclones performance and hydrodynamics," *Powder Technology*, vol. 162, pp. 126-132, 2006.
- [39] N. Kharoua, L. Khezzar, and Z. Nemouchi, "CFD Simulation of Liquid-Liquid Hydrocyclone: Oil/Water Application," in *ASME 2009 Fluids Engineering Division Summer Meeting*, 2009, pp. 2085-2094.
- [40] R. Maddahian, B. Farhanieh, S. D. Saemi, and T. Bilstad, "Numerical Simulation of Deoilin Hydrocyclones," *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, vol. 59, pp. 2044-2049, 2011
- [41] FLUENT.INC Press, Part4: "Turbulence model "
- [42] Pratarn W, Kanawut S, Thanit S. Experimental investigation of de-oiling hydrocyclone. In *Key Engineering Materials 2013* (Vol. 545, pp. 230-235). Trans Tech Publications Ltd.






Fig. 1- Dispersion of water droplets in oil and oil in water [28].




Fig 2- Hydrocyclon geometry.




Fig. 3- A view of the hydrocyclone mesh.




Fig. 4- Calculation of tangential velocity versus radiuses if the hydrocyclone with different calculation meshes.




Fig. 5- Comparison of simulation results with experimental data [42].




Fig. 6- Streamlines of the continuous phase (water).







Fig. 7- a) Static pressure diagram and b) contour, within the hydrocyclone.





**Fig. 8- a)** Diagram and **b)** contour of velocity magnitude within the hydrocyclone.




Fig. 9- Effect of droplet size on the separation efficiency.




Fig. 10- The fluid flows vectors inside the hydrocyclone.

**Table 1-** The size of different sections of the investigated hydrocyclone [42].

<b>D (mm)</b>	<b>I (mm)</b>	<b>Do (mm)</b>	<b>Di (mm)</b>	<b>Ls (mm)</b>	<b>L (mm)</b>	<b>Lu (mm)</b>	<b>Du (mm)</b>
35	9.8	15.15	7	10.01	380.8	299.6	<b>10.01</b>

**Table 2-** Feed properties [42].

<b>Phase</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	<b>Viscosity (kg/m/s)</b>
Water	75	998.2	0.001
Oil	25	882	0.005

**Table 3-** Hydrocyclone separation efficiencies in different calculation meshes.

<b>Run</b>	<b>Mesh type</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Separation efficiency</b>
<b>1</b>	Large	681546	59%
<b>2</b>	Medium	992280	71%
<b>3</b>	Small	1045811	73%

**Table 4-** Efficiency of water separation in the bottom.

<b>Run</b>	<b>Inlet fluid flow velocity (m/sec)</b>	<b>Water separation efficiency</b>
<b>1</b>	6	40%
<b>2</b>	8	48%
<b>3</b>	10	42%

**Table 5-** The effect of water droplet diameter on the separation efficiency.

<b>Run</b>	<b>Water droplet size (micron)</b>	<b>Water separation efficiency at the bottom</b>
<b>1</b>	75	48%
<b>2</b>	150	60%
<b>3</b>	200	69%



Compose

Inbox 154

Starred

Snoozed

Meet

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

Hangouts

Eflita +

No recent chats  
[Start a new one](#)

Navigation icons: back, forward, archive, trash, mute, snooze, flag, delete, refresh, print, share, etc.

### 9558553: Thank you for agreeing to review Inbox x

**Journal of Engineering**  
to me

Thu, Apr 28, 12:58 PM (12 days ago) ☆ ↶ ⋮



Dear Dr. Yohana,

Thank you for agreeing to review the manuscript titled "Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone" by Bahador Abolpour.

You can view the full PDF file of the manuscript and post your review report using the link below by May 12, 2022.

**Gmail** Search mail [Filter icon] [Help] [Settings] [App Drawer] [Profile]

Compose

- Inbox 154
- Starred
- Snoozed

Meet

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

Hangouts

- Eflita

No recent chats  
Start a new one

---

Below by May 12, 2022.  
You will be asked to log in before being able to complete your review.

**MANUSCRIPT DETAILS**

Kind regards,  
Melinda Espinosa  
Journal of Engineering

This email was sent to [efnan2003@gmail.com](mailto:efnan2003@gmail.com). You have received this email in regards to the account creation, submission, or peer review process of a submitted paper, published by Hindawi Limited.  
Hindawi Limited, 3rd Floor, Adam House, 1 Fitzroy Square, London, W1T 5HF, United Kingdom  
Hindawi respects your right to privacy. Please see our [privacy policy](#) for information on how we store, process, and safeguard your data.

[Unsubscribe](#)

19 of 1,943

## Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone


The paper is quite interesting. My recommendation is major revision. Below are my comments

1. What is your basis for taking the turbulence model?
2. Currently, a simulation using the LES model is more valid than RSM, why don't you use LES?
3. Is it the only density that causes the separation of water and oil in a hydrocyclone?
4. What is your basis for taking the Eulerian-Eulerian flow method in solving this simulation?
5. What is your basis for determining the value of the drt parameter should be less than 0.001 sec
6. Nomenclature should be added to make it easier for readers to understand your writing
7. How do hydrocyclones produce eddies?
8. How do you determine that your meshing is convergent?
9. What is your basis for determining an error 10% of validation results is acceptable?
10. Have you calculated the mass balance in your simulation so that the simulation can be said to be valid?
11. In figure 8, why don't you use external force on the hydrocyclone
12. How do you determine the droplet size to use?

9558553: Thank you submitting your reviewer report! Inbox x

**Bing Wang**  
to me

1:48 PM (6 minutes ago)

  
Hindawi

Dear Dr. Yohana,

Thank you for submitting your reviewer report on on Research Article 9558553 titled "Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone" by Bahador Abolpour, and for taking the time and effort to review this manuscript for Journal of Engineering.

**Gmail** Search mail [Settings] [Profile]

Compose

**Inbox** 153

- Starred
- Snoozed

**Meet**

- New meeting
- Join a meeting

**Hangouts**

Eflita +

No recent chats  
[Start a new one](#)

2 of 1,943

Kind regards,  
Journal of Engineering

This email was sent to [efnan2003@gmail.com](mailto:efnan2003@gmail.com). You have received this email in regards to the account creation, submission, or peer review process of a submitted paper, published by Hindawi Limited.  
Hindawi Limited, 3rd Floor, Adam House, 1 Fitzroy Square, London, W1T 5HF, United Kingdom  
Hindawi respects your right to privacy. Please see our [privacy policy](#) for information on how we store, process, and safeguard your data.

[Unsubscribe](#)

Thanks a lot.   Noted with thanks.   Thank you for your response.

Reply   Forward

Review 2 **Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone**

There are some of my questions that were not answered, namely no. 2, 3 and 10, if the author has answered my question, please publish it

Thank you

- Compose
- Inbox** 158
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Important
- Sent


- Meet
- New meeting
  - Join a meeting

- Hangouts
- Eflita
  - No recent chats
  - [Start a new one](#)

Navigation icons: Back, Forward, Archive, Report Spam, Delete, Undo, Redo, Print, Reply, Reply All, More  
Page indicator: 1 of 1,965

9558553: Thank you submitting your reviewer report! Inbox x

**Bing Wang** to me 2:58 PM (0 minutes ago)

  
Dear Dr. Yohana,  
Thank you for submitting your reviewer report on on Research Article 9558553 titled "Simulation of Separation of Salt Solution from Crude Oil in a Hydrocyclone" by Bahador Abolpour, and for taking the time and effort to review this manuscript for Journal of Engineering.  
Kind regards,  
Journal of Engineering