

Urutkan menurut relevansi

Rentang khusus...

Urutkan menurut tanggal

Semua jenis

Sejak 2018

Artikel kajian

sertakan paten

mencakup kutipan

F Baiquni, E Indarti, AY Sulistyawan - 2021 - scholar.archive.org

This study aims to understand the structural legal aid, and the contribution of paradigmatic study in structural legal aid as an effort to realize justice. This research is conducted using field research by conducting observations and interviews with stakeholders related to the application of structural legal aid with the support of literature review. Structural legal aid has a different way of working than usual legal aid. The way it works in carrying out legal aid cannot be separated from the meaning of justice believed in.. This makes structural legal aid ...

☆ Simpan 59 Kutip Artikel terkait ≫

Menampilkan hasil terbaik untuk penelusuran ini. Lihat semua hasil



https://eudl.eu/proceedings/ICSTIAMI/2019?articles_page=3



Proceedings Series Journals Search EAI



Buy Full Book

ICSTIAMI 2019

Other Years

ICSTIAMI 2019

Ethics and Malpractice

Statement

Back to CCER

ICSTIAM

Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

We are delighted to introduce the proceedings of The International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information 2019 (ICSTIAMI 2019). ICSTIAMI 2019 is the premier international academic conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Inf...

more »

Editor(s): Tulus Suryanto (UIN - Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan, Indonesia), Ferry Jie (School of Business and Law, Edith Cowan University, Australia), Abdul Talib Bon (UTHM - Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia), Yulianto Yulianto (Institut Ilmu Sosial dan Manajemen Stiami, Jakarta, Indonesia) and Resista Vikaliana (Institut Ilmu Sosial dan Manajemen Stiami, Jakarta, Indonesia)

Publisher EAI ISBN 978-1-63190-281-9 ISSN 2593-7650 Series CCER

Conference dates 17th-18th Jul 2019 Location Jakarta, Indonesia

Appeared in EUDL 2021-01-27

Copyright © 2021-2022 EAI

Select	~	search terms here

Ordered by title or year

Page size: 10 25 50

Showing 21-30 of 119 results

First Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ... Next Last

Influence of Internal and External Factors of Implementation of Government Regulation on MSME Taxpayer Compliance Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management



Buy Full Book

ICSTIAMI 2019

Other Years
ICSTIAMI 2019

Ethics and Malpractice
Statement

Back to CCFR

ICSTIAMI

Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

We are delighted to introduce the proceedings of The International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information 2019 (ICSTIAMI 2019). ICSTIAMI 2019 is the premier international academic conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Inf...

More »

Editor(s): Tulus Suryanto (UIN - Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan, Indonesia), Ferry Jie (School of Business and Law, Edith Cowan University, Australia), Abdul Talib Bon (UTHM - Universiti Tun Hussein Onn, Malaysia), Yulianto Yulianto (Institut Ilmu Sosial dan Manajemen Stiami, Jakarta, Indonesia) and Resista Vikaliana (Institut Ilmu Sosial dan Manajemen Stiami, Jakarta, Indonesia)

Publisher EAI ISBN 978-1-63190-281-9 ISSN 2593-7650 Series CCER

Conference dates 17th-18th Jul 2019 Location Jakarta, Indonesia

Appeared in EUDL 2021-01-27

Copyright © 2021-2022 EAI

Select	~	search terms here		
			Ordered by title	or year

Showing 21-30 of 119 results

First Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ... Next Last

19 results Page size: **10** 25 50

Influence of Internal and External Factors of Implementation of Government Regulation on MSME Taxpayer Compliance Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Endro Andayani, Novianita Rulandari, Aji Prasetyo

Modeling The Green Marketing and Green Supply Chain Management in The Context of Supply Chain Risk Management Toward Sustainability

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Yuarv Farradia. Abdul Talib Bon

Policy Strategy for Halal Logistics Development in Indonesia

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Sutandi Sutandi

The Effect of Profitability and Company Size on Equity Structure of Pharmaceutical Company Listed on Idx Period 2012 -2017

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

Agung Fajar Ilmiyono, Mutiara Puspa Widyowati

The Influence of Corporate Social Responsibility Disclosure, Profitability and Leverage on Informative Profit with Environmental Performance as Moderating Variables

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Dedi Putra, Rhiska Gustiana

The Role of Audit Regulation on The Relationship between Audit Quality, Corporate Governance and Firm Value

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Bambang Prayogo, Meco Sitardja

The Strategies Competitiveness for Yogyakarta Tourism Industry

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia Jumadi, Cahya Purnama Asri, Bahri Bahri

United States Policy on China Steel Products Viewed From GATT/WTO

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

Hilmi Prabowo, Nanik Trihastuti, Darminto Hartono

Accountability of Business Actors against Expired Imported Products

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

Khosyi Lathifah, Siti Mahmudah, Hendro Saptono

Choosing Structural Legal Assistance: a Paradigmatic Study on the Effort of Justice

Research Article in Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Science and Technology in Administration and Management Information, ICSTIAMI 2019, 17-18 July 2019, Jakarta, Indonesia

Fahmi Baiquni, Erlyn Indarti, Aditya Yuli Sulistyawan

First Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 ... Next Last

About | Contact Us













Choosing Structural Legal Assistance: a Paradigmatic Study on the Effort of Justice

Fahmi Baiquni^{1*}, Erlyn Indarti², Aditya Yuli Sulistyawan³ <u>{fahmibaiquni97@gmail.com^{*1}, erlynindarti@yahoo.com²</u>, adityayuli38@gmail.com³}

Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, S.H., Semarang, Indonesia 50275^{1,2,3}

Abstract. This study aims to understand the structural legal aid, and the contribution of paradigmatic study in structural legal aid as an effort to realize justice. This research is conducted using field research by conducting observations and interviews with stakeholders related to the application of structural legal aid with the support of literature review. Structural legal aid has a different way of working than usual legal aid. The way it works in carrying out legal aid cannot be separated from the meaning of justice believed in.. This makes structural legal aid interesting to be studied. Through philosophical studies, the main paradigmatic study will be traced in detail and in depth paradigms that guide structural legal aid.

Keywords: structural legal aid, philosophy, paradigm, justice.

1 Introduction

The state of Indonesia is a state of law. The statement is contained in Article 1 paragraph (3) of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia. The article emphasizes that the methods used by the state in solving problems must be carried out according to law. Rules are made, containing what is and isn't allowed. Every regulation that has been mutually agreed upon is made by the state, so that citizens are given proper attention. Law needs to be seen as an expression of the ideals of community justice.[1]

Therefore, a state which has declared itself to be a state of law must under any circumstances be able to realize justice.

The inauguration of Indonesia as a state of law listed in Article 1 paragraph (3) of the 1945 Constitution sends a message that there is a strong desire of the state to guarantee the implementation of equality in law, among others marked by the regulation of the right of everyone to get equal treatment before the law, as well as guarantee for everyone to get access to justice (justice for all and access to justice). These rights are the basic rights of every person that is universal. This is important to understand because so far, the state has always been confronted by groups of people who are poor or unable, both economically and knowledge to understand the law itself (legally blind) so that they cannot get justice.[2] Based on this, some legal figures were moved to form not only a forum for advocacy, but also legal education for them. Later, this movement would be known as its concept as 'Structural Legal Aid'.

In Indonesia, there is an institution called the Legal Aid Foundation (YLBH-LBH). This institution was formed on the idea of Adnan Buyung Nasution. In 1969, Buyung who was a young advocate launched the concept of legal aid that breathed the movement in the third congress of PERADIN in Jakarta, to be further realized by forming LBH in 1971.[3] Since it

'i want to go to school but ...' The case of the Penan and Orang Asli Children of Malaysia

Ong Puay Liu¹, Ong Puay Tee², Ng Kum Loy³, Ong Puay Hoon⁴ { puayliu@gmail.com ¹, ptong@mmu.edu.my², ngkumloy@yahoo.com³, ongpuayhoon@ntu.edu.sg }

Institute of Ethnic Studies, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia¹, Multimedia University, Melaka Campus, Malaysia², Dyslexia
Association of Sarawak, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia^{3,4}

Abstract. This paper argues that one of the main contributory factors is 'dysteachia', a term coined to refer to the inappropriate methodology used by teachers in schools to teach language, in particular, Malay and English languages. Primary schools in Malaysia have the tendency to use the whole word approach to teach reading and writing in English, and the syllabic approach (letter-name) for the Malay language (Bahasa Melayu). Students with reading difficulties have problems with these approaches. Through its experience of teaching students with reading difficulties, the Dyslexia Association of Sarawak (DASwk) have created a phonics-based program with special features: it is structured, cumulative, multi-sensorial and utilises an array of tools and activities to stimulate interest, attention as well as cultivate appropriate skills progressively. For the English language program, it is called SMARTER*phonics and the Malay program is called foniks*PINTAR. The application of these two programs through one-week literacy camps, showed that SMARTER*phonics foniks*PINTAR have proven to be effective learning strategies to enhance the reading skills of Penan and Orang Asli Temuan children who participated in these programs. In addition, these phonics-based programs also serve as advocacy tools to inspire these children to want to go to school, to remain in school and to acquire an education through the confidence they have acquired through their ability to read and write.

Keywords: Sustainable Development Goal (SDG), Penan and Orang Asli Children

1 Introduction

The indigenous peoples (*Orang Asli* and *Orang Asal*) of Malaysia have historically been associated with isolation and remoteness due to their preferred habitations along rivers, in jungles, mountains and valleys and life-styles as hunters and food-gatherers. As of 2015, the indigenous peoples of Malaysia were estimated to account for 13.9% of the 31 million total population (Lasimbang 2016). The *Orang Asli* are the indigenous minority peoples of Peninsular Malaysia. The 18 Orang Asli subgroups within the Negrito (Semang), Senoi and Aboriginal-Malay account for 205,000 persons, representing a mere 0.84% of the national population.

Ever since the five-year Malaysia Plans were published, the Penan community of Sarawak and the *Orang Asli* community of Peninsular Malaysia have never failed to be listed among the most impoverished of Malaysians until today (Nicholas 2000).

Understanding and Promoting The Student Support Program in International School, Doha, Qatar

Sarita Kumari Singh¹ {saritasingh mahi@yahoo.co.in¹}

Academic Support Coordinator of Student Support Program, Step One International School, Doha,

Qatar¹

Abstract. This paper explores the facilitation of students who need support during the school hours and explores how this process might impact on overall inclusion of additional educational support needs (AESN) in a school. The main aim of this study is to find out effective teaching strategies of main stream teachers to teach AESN students through implementation of student support program. The rationale for this study is searching good strategies as the common perception of teachers is that AESN students cannot learn in inclusive setup through implementation of student support program. This is a qualitative study that was conducted in a school in Qatar. This is a case study of Student Support Program (SSP) implementation involving Classroom observations, senior management team (SMT) meeting and parents meeting as tools to collect the key information. The findings of the study show that SSP is an essential and significant program for AESN students at the observer's school. For implementation of SSP program, the Student Support Team used one-to-one teaching strategies, structured teaching, Visual Learning strategies, Differentiated Teaching strategy and adaptive teaching strategies. The findings of this study could possibly motivate mainstream teachers in inclusive education in Qatar to teach AESN students.

Keywords: Additional Educational Support Needs (AESN), Student Support Program (SSP); Student Support Team (SST); Special Education Needs (SEN); Academic Intervention Plan (AIP); Gifted and talented Program (GTP); English as a Second Language (ESL); teaching strategies; main stream; inclusive education.

1 Introduction

The beginning of this study lays in the concern that often full participation of students, who need support, in the inclusive classrooms does not always happen. During my learning and teaching practice in Qatar, I found that many teachers have a lot to say on what works for inclusion and what does not. However, they accept that it is a challenge to teach additional educational support needs (AESN) students during teaching a general lesson. Therefore, observer engaged herself to develop a concrete structure of Student Support Program (SSP) for direct promotion of inclusive education.

In Qatar, many AESN students are not in a situation to cope in mainstream school, due to the insufficiency of infrastructure within the classrooms. Hence, AESN students do not benefit fully during main lessons. Additionally, in their daily lives, AESN students get only a limited concrete experience of core subjects. There is indeed a difference between making a policy

Communicating Digital Disruption by An Online Newspaper in Thailand

Jonathan Rante Carreon¹, Wenwen Tian² {carreonjrc@gmail.com¹, wenwen.tian@mail.kmutt.ac.th²}

Faculty of Liberal Arts, Huachiew Chalermprakiet University, Samutprakarn, Thailand 1, School of Liberal Arts, King Mongkut's University of Technology Thonburi, Bangkok, Thailand 2

Abstract. This study investigates how digital disruption is communicated to the public by the Thailand's leading English newspaper, The Bangkok Post Online Newspaper. Informed by Carreon and Piyamat (2018), 292 news articles that were reported from 1 January 2010 to 31 December 2018 composed of 245,296 words were examined for keywords (Scott, 1997) employing a mixed method analysis, using the free corpus tool AntConc 3.5.7 (Anthony, 2018). The investigation was run by comparing words with high absolute frequencies against their frequencies in the British National Corpus (BNC) using log-likelihood (see Rayson & Garside, 2000 for details of log-likelihood uses). Any words with log-likelihood (LL) values greater than 100 were considered keywords. The resulting keywords were iteratively thematized (e.g. Krippendorff, 2013) by each of the researcher, and the degree of inter-rater agreement for accuracy and reliability in categorization is expressed as Cohen's kappa value. The analysis yielded 81 keywords composed of six themes of words relating to: (1) business and monetary issues (N=27; 33.33%), (2) digital facilities and channels (N=19; 23.46%), 3) stakeholders (N=12; 14.81%), 4) digital disruption indicators (N=11; 13.58%), (5) time and location (N=8; 9.88%), and (6) informational dimension of language (N=4; 4.94%), with the analysts' categorization having an almost perfect level of inter-rater agreement (Cohen's kappa= 0.84).

Keywords: Digital Disruption, Keyword Analysis, Newspaper Communication, Online Newspaper.

1 Introduction

The turn of the century has witnessed a shift in the medium by which information is communicated by newspaper dailies to their readers from the traditional paper-based format to online newspapers. The metamorphosis is inevitable due to massive influx of information to be published, time constraints posed by laborious paper-based preparations, and increasing cost of production but also due to recent advances in computer technology. Such radical metamorphosis to digital innovation indicates that most paper-based newspapers have been bracing for the impact of the phenomenon of digital disruption (e.g. Skog, Wimelius & Sandberg, 2018).

Generally, digital disruption is often framed as a "type of environmental turbulence induced by digital innovation that leads to the erosion of boundaries and approaches that previously served as foundations for organizing the production and capture of value" (Wimelius & Sandberg, 2018, p. 431). More succinctly, it is concerned with business-model

Choosing Structural Legal Assistance: a Paradigmatic Study on the Effort of Justice

by Aditya Yuli Sulistyawan

Submission date: 24-Feb-2023 04:10PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2021936920

File name: eai.17-7-2019.2303338.pdf (266.41K)

Word count: 3688 Character count: 20050

Choosing Structural Legal Assistance: a Paradigmatic Study on the Effort of Justice

Fahmi Baiquni^{1*}, Erlyn Indarti², Aditya Yuli Sulistyawan³ {fahmibaiquni97@gmail.com³}, erlynindarti@yahoo.com², adityayuli38@gmail.com³}

Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof. H. Soedarto, S.H., Semarang, Indonesia 50275123



Abstract. This study aims to understand the structural legal aid, and the contribution of paradigmatic study in structural legal aid as an effort to realize justice. This research is conducted using field research by conducting observations and interviews with stakeholders related to the application of structural legal aid with the support of literature review. Structural legal aid has a different way of working than usual legal aid. The way it works in carrying out legal aid cannot be separated from the meaning of justice believed in.. This makes structural legal aid interesting to be studied. Through philosophical studies, the main paradigmatic study will be traced in detail and in depth paradigms that guide structural legal aid.

Keywords: structural legal aid, philosophy, paradigm, justice.

1 Introduction



The state 15 indonesia is a state of law. The statement is contained in Article 1 paragraph (3) of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia. The article emphasizes that the methods used by the state in solving problems must be carried out according to law. Rules are made, containing what is and isn't allowed. Every regulation that has been mutually agreed upon is made by the state, so that citizens are given proper attention. Law needs to be seen as an expression of the ideals of community justice.[1]

Therefore, a state which has declared itself to be a state of law must under any circumstances be able to realize justice.

The inauguration of Indonesia as a state of law listed in Article 1 paragraph (3) of the 1945 Constitution sends a message that there is a strong desire of the state to guarantee the implementation of equality in law, among others marked by the regulation of the right of everyone to get equal treatment before the law, as well as guarantee for everyone to get access to justice (justice for all and access to justice). These rights are the basic rights of every person that is universal. This is important to understand because so far, the state has always been confronted by groups of people who are poor or unable, both economically and knowledge to understand the law itself (legally blind) so that they cannot get justice.[2] Based on this, some legal figures were moved to form not only a forum for advocacy, but also legal education for them. Later, this movement would be known as its concept as 'Structural Legal Aid'.

In Indonesia, there is an institution called the Legal Aid Foundation (YLBH-LBH). This institution was formed on the idea of Adnan Buyung Nasution. In 1969, Buyung who was a young advocate launched the concept of legal aid that breathed the movement in the third congress of PERADIN in Jakarta, to be further realized by forming LBH in 1971.[3] Since it

was formed in Jakarta, it was called LBH Jakarta. After operating for a decade, the legal status of LBH was upgraded to YLBH. Until then, the legal aid institutions were formed in several regions in Indonesia.[4] In the case of LBH, the concept of Structural Legal Aid was developed.

Another fact about legal aid is about the Law Aid Law itself. The regulation on legal aid was only officially issued by the government in 2011, namely Law Number 1 6 of 2011 concerning Legal Aid.[5] Furthermore, in Article 1 of this Law it is explained that Legal Aid is a legal service provided by Legal Aid Providers free of charge to Legal Aid Recipients. This law becomes the basis of citizens' rights, especially for the poor or unable to obtain justice. The poor status of the person can be proven through a poor certificate from the village, it is contained in Article 14 of the Legal Aid Act. These laws also regulate the terms and procedures for providing legal assistance, funding, prohibitions, criminal provisions, transitional provisions, and the closing provisions are at the end of the law.

The implementation of the Law on Legal Aid which actually has long been carried out by YLBH-LBH. This foundation was officially established in 1970, long before the issuance of Law No. 16 of 2011. Other than that, what YLBH-LBH did not only provided legal assistance, but also community legal education. This is an interesting thing from YLBH-LBH. The author intends to conduct a study of the concept of Structural Legal Aid applied by YLBH-LBH. In accordance with the scientific discipline of the basics of legal science that the author is taking, the author plans to conduct a paradigmatic study of structural legal aid.

The knowledge of what is meant by the author's paradigm is obtained from lectures in Philosophy of Law. Erlyn Indarti introduces students to the 'paradigm' through the thinking of Egon G. Guba and Yvonna S.Lincoln. Furthermore, this paradigm study according to Guba and Lincoln is what the writer will use as a knife of analysis in writing this law. According to the 4 hor, the paradigm of Guba and Lincoln is more systematic and concise, and rational

The true paradigm is a philosophical system of 'umbrella' which includes certain ontology, epistemology, and methodology. Each consists of a series of 'basic beliefs' or worldviews that cannot be easily exchanged [with 'basic beliefs' or worldview from ontology, epistemology, and other paradigm methodologies]. [6] Through a paradigmatic study, the flow of Legal Philosophy which is basically a basic belief or worldview can be traced and sorted into ontology, epistemology, and methodology. [6] The paradigm can actually be referred to as 'mental tools/tools (mental tools) that we use each time we [try] to understand the various situations and conditions that we have, are, or will one day face. In short, the paradigm is the consensus of a scientific community; and arguably it is a concrete set of problem solutions. [6] Using paradigm as a tool to see the problem can be called a paradigmatic study.

The paradigmatic study enables Legal Philosophy to explore the differences that exist between the various schools of Legal Philosophy to then build understanding and use in more detail, subtle, and sharp.[6] By studying the main points of the flow of Legal Philosophy, it is hoped that the dynamics of various kinds of thinking about law can be traced. Other than that, the complexity of the law will also be revealed by various perspectives. Each school of Philosophy of Law is present with its own nature and legal objectives. The Philosophy of Law [Law] is not the same as the 'paradigm'. Every school of Philosophy [Law] is actually a part and can be said to be embodied or born or rooted from a certain 'paradigm'.[6]

2 Research Method

In this paper, the author uses the term 'research process' to refer to the 'research method' section that is commonly used in the positivism principle. The research process can be seen as a series of phases that are interrelated and inseparable from one another. This aims to deliver researchers in order to achieve a deep understanding of the problems of the study in accordance with the objectives of the investigation to be achieved. Denzin and Lincoln in 'Introduction: Entering the Field of Qualitative Research' in the 'Handbook of Qualitative Research' (1994) as quoted by Agus Salim stated five stages of the level that constitute a series of research processes, namely researchers and things being studied as multicultural subjects; important paradigms and interpretive points of view; research strategy; methods for collecting data and analyzing empirical materials; the art of interpreting and describing the results of research.[7]

This research uses the tradition of qualitative research. According to Bogdan and Taylor, qualitative research is a research procedure that produces descriptive data in the form of written or oral words from people and observable behavior. [7]

Meanwhile, Kirk and Miller define qualitative research as a particular tradition in social science that fundamentally depends on observing humans, both in their area and in their terminology.[7] Another expert, David Williams, writes that qualitative research is collecting data on a scientific setting, using natural methods, and carried out by people or researchers who are scientifically interested.[7]

Denzin and Lincoln stated that q litative research is research that uses a natural setting, with the intention of interpreting the 'phenomena' that occur and are carried out by involving various existing methods.[7] While Jane Richie, stated that qualitative research is an attempt to present the social world, and its perspective in the world, in terms of the concepts, behaviors, and issues concerning the human being studied.[7] From some of the opinions above, it can be understood that qualitative research involves the ability of the senses (able to capture phenomena) and the ability of the mind (being able to interpret).

Based on the paradigm proposed by Guba and Lincoln, this research is guided by the Constructivism paradigm. According to Guba and Lincoln in the Handbook of Qualitative Research as quoted by Erlyn Indarti, paradigm is an umbrella philosophy that builds and encompasses ontology, epistemology, and methodology that cannot be interchangeably between one paradigm with another paradigm, which represents a basic belief system from their use and then link their users to a certain worldview.[6] Furthermore, Guba and Lincoln propose 4 (four) main paradigms that cover more systematic, dense, and rational ways. The four paradigms are positivsm, post-positivism, critical theory et al, and constructivism. The four paradigms are distinguished from one another through responses to 3 (three) fundamental questions, which include'ontological ',' epistemological ', and' methodological 'questions.[6] Berikut ini adalah 'Set Basic Belief' dari keempat paradigma utama tersebut:

Table 1. Basic Belief Set of 4 (Four) Main Paradigms

Question	Positivism	Postpositivism	Critical Theory et al	Constructivism
	Naive Realism:	Critical Realism:	Historical Reali 5 n:	Relativism:
Ontology	external reality, objective, real,	external, objective, and real realities	'virtual' reality formed by social, political,	compound and diverse realities,
Ontology	and	that are understood	cultural, economic,	based on social-
	understandable.	imperfectly.	ethnic and gender	individual, local and

			'factors.	specific experiences.
Epistemology	Dualists/Objecti vists:	Modification of Dualists/Objectives :	Transactional/Subjectiv ist:	Transactional/Subjectivist:
	the researcher and the object of investigation are two independent entities; free value.	dualism recedes and objectivity becomes the determining criterion; external objectivity.	researchers and related investigative objects interactively, findings are 'mediated' by values held by all parties.	researchers and related investigation objects interactively; the findings are copyrighted/construc- ted together.
	Experimental/M anipulative:	Experimental/Ma nipulative Modifications:	Dialogical/Dialectica l:	Hermeneutical/Dia
Methodology	empirical testing and verification of research questions and hypotheses; manipulation and control of opposite conditions; mainly quantitative methods.	falsification by means of critical multiplism or modification of 'triangulation'; utilization of qualitative techniques; more natural setting, more situational information and an emic perspective.	there is a 'dialogue' between the researcher and the object of investigation. is dialectical, doesn't transform stupidity and misunderstanding into awareness to break down.	'Construction' is traced through interactions between researchers and objects of investigation, with hermeneutical techniques and dialectical exchange 'construction' interpreted; goal: distillation/consens us/resultant.

3 Discussion

3.1 LBH and Structural Legal Aid

The legal aid program in Indonesia which is institutionalized and has a large scope is only started since the establishment of the Legal Aid Institute in Jakarta on October 28, 1970. What is meant by legal aid is special legal assistance for low-income people or popularly known as 'the poor'. Meanwhile, structural legal aid is a term that can easily turn into a slogan, while people expect something clearer and more decomposed.[8]

The legal aid movement must be seen as an effort to uphold human rights for the poor, who have long been 'held captive' by rich people, and that human rights will not be given away. Through the legal aid movement, that right must be usurped even though it must be realized that legal aid alone is not enough. Unclear and unfair structures must be overhauled and replaced with more equitable patterns of relationship.[9]

The purpose of legal aid thus needs to be expanded, not only limited to individual legal assistance but also rural. The mistake of the legal aid movement in Indonesia so far is because

the legal aid movement is too individual and urban in nature. Talking about human rights, then, the most oppressed due to violations of human rights are the poor from the lower structure who live in rural areas. It is time for our legal aid movement to actively come to the countryside and do legal aid work in the broadest sense.[8]

The important thing is this legal assistance to free poor people from the structures that oppress them. If this is the case, then the legal aid movement must be able to open the eyes and feelings of the poor that they are victims of an unjust social system. Awareness that they are poor and oppressed must be pumped on them.[8]

In changing the structure, the idea of a structural approach to legal aid must be spread, in addition to continuous lobbying. The legal aid movement must not only present itself as a representative of the poor, but become part of the lives of the poor themselves. With 'manunggal' with the people, the legal aid movement will be able to appreciate the problems that exist in the people and find a more appropriate solution. The mistake so far has been the direct involvement of legal aid itself. Providers of legal assistance tend to be the spokesperson of the poor, making the poor as a commodity.[8]

The purpose of structural legal aid is 3 realize a law capability of changing an unequal structure towards a just structure, where the rule of law and its implementation guarative equality both in the political and economic fields. That is, the implementation and development of law in the perspective of structural legal aid in the context of helping build a just and prosperous society.[8]

In providing legal assistance, YLBHI has certain principles. YLBHI designed four priority cases that were of primary concern, including criminal, labor, environmental and natural resource allocation and land cases. In particular, the land case received a lot of attention because it was related to the distribution of economic resources of the poor. The issue of land in the future is very important because there will be many victims from development projects carried out by the government and the private sector. This land acquisition has the potential for extensive conflict.[8]

In addition, there is characteristic possessed by LBH-YLBHI in providing legal assistance, namely the case which is not only seen as something that must be resolved, but also to see a deeper social conflict. Thus the steps taken are not limited to legal actions but also politics, such as urging legislative institutions to demand recognition of rights, fair laws and the rejection of arbitrary powers.[8]

This perspective has implications for the evaluation system. Successful implementation of the program is not only seen in the win-win cases handled but also considers other social impacts.[8]

For LBH priority cases, the four sectors are to be entry points in developing:[8]

- 1. The legal function of realizing people's rights which has been de jure recognized
- 2. Alternative mechanisms for resolving legal conflicts with a public dimension
- 3. The function of criticism through the judiciary as a forum
- Institutionalization of legal values and norms through awareness raising activities and publications in the legal field
- 5. Ideas on the establishment, renewal and enforcement of laws
- The legal interests of people experiencing injustice through the courts, bureaucracy and other constitutional channels
- The act of delegitimation and deconstruction of the concepts of state life which weakens the position of the people and at the same time constitutes an effort to fight against State hegemony.

The cases that need to be dealt with by this approach are first, the nature of the conflict revealed through the case is not only for the benefit of individuals, but also for the interests of lower social groups. Regardless of how many justice seekers are asking for legal assistance from LBH. Second, the vertical nature of the conflict, which confronts weak and strong groups of people. Third, the possibility for legal reform and development to better guarantee the interests of the poor.[8]

In the future, the characteristics of LBH organizations are more oriented to lower class people, especially farm laborers, small farmers, fishermen and urban marginal groups. These groups are part of the Legal Aid Society (MBH) and are an alternative way for collective advocacy, together with other non-governmental organizations. In addition to being the basis of the organization, they are a driving force for the achievement of justice for all.

3.2 Paradigm, Flow of Legal Philosophy and Structural Legal Aid

The following table presents the Paradigm, Legal Philosophy, and Structural Legal Aid:

Table 2. Paradigms, Flow of Legal Philosophy, and Structural Legal Aid

Paradigm	Flow of Legal Philosophy	Legal Reading	Structural Legal Aid
Positivism	The Flow of Positivism Law	The law is read rigidly, textually, without interpretation	As far as possible prioritizing non-litigation and extra-legal efforts.
Post-Positivism	Realist, Sociological and Society Law Flow	The law is read with independence and subjectivity in interpretation	Doing the interpretation before accepting the case that came in. Whether it is a structural case or not. Also, interpret how much influence litigation has on cases received. Given the litigation path tends to be used as a last resort in handling cases.
Critical Theory et.al	Critical Legal Theory, Feminist Jurisprudence, and Critical race Theory	Law is based on reality/virtual structure so that: tends to be unjust, oppressive, lame, exploitative. cannot be trusted just like that obligatory to be interpreted critically	Efforts to mediate the parties to the dispute. There is a critical legal education that is held for the community. In addition it also held campaigns against cases that were being dealt with, built movements and mobilized the community for mass action.
Constructivism	Constructivist Law Flow		Dialogue as an effort to resolve the problem. By bringing together the parties to negotiate. Then provide an opinion on the problems that occur.

From the table above, the positivism paradigm with a naive realism ontology will read the law rigidly, textually and without interpretation. In handling legal cases, one of the strategies of structural legal assistance is through channels outside the judiciary. This method is preferred as far as possible because litigation efforts tend to have little influence on the structural cases being faced. Because in the positivism paradigm, law is also a closed logical system, which means regulations can be deducted from applicable laws without the need for guidance from social, political and moral norms.[10]

In contrast to the positivism paradigm, the positivism paradigm with ontology critical realism makes it possible to interpret the readings of the law. LBH examined the legal cases that came into them. Before approving the case being handled, LBH will see whether the case has structural elements/has other social impacts. If the legal case is an ordinary case, meaning there is no structural element or social impact, then structural legal assistance will provide legal opinion or suggest the dispute to take the case to another legal aid agency.

Moving far from the two paradigms above, there is the paradigm of critical theory et. al. This paradigm sees that law is based on an understanding of virtual reality so it cannot be trusted just like that. Critical theory sees that the law comes from understanding virtual reality which tends to be oppressive, lame, and exploitative. Therefore the law needs to be interpreted critically. These characteristics are characteristic of the way structural legal aid works. This can be seen from structural legal aid work such as:

- Provide critical legal education to the community
- · Hold discussions about structural cases and their legal regulations
- Creating a campaign
- Open dialog space
- Mobilize the community for mass action

Whereas the constructivism paradigm assumes that law is a mental construction in the form of consensus or agreement that is relatively, and diverse. The constructivism paradigm will promote dialectical and hermeneutic dialogue, namely by understanding the opinions of each party and interpreting them to then produce consensus/resultante. These methods are also carried out in structural legal assistance, namely by bringing together the disputing parties to negotiate and 5 alogue honestly to reach an agreement. In these negotiations, LBH provided a legal opinion in accordance with the values of justice he believed in.

4 Conclusion

Based on the studies conducted in this legal research, there are several things that can be drawn as conclusions, including:

- Through philosophical studies, it can be traced and distinguished subtly regarding structural legal aid. It can be found out the paradigm that guides structural legal aid. Paradigmatically, structural legal aid in this study was answered differently according to each paradigm that sheltered it. Different views of each paradigm can contribute ideas in studies of structural legal aid.
- Structural Legal Aid with the paradigm of critical theory based on the concept of structural legal aid, justice can be achieved when there is no oppressive, lame, and exploitative system structure.

References

- [1] S. Rahardjo, Ilmu Hukum. Bandung: PT. Citra Aditya Bakti.
- [2] Tim Peneliti Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia, Bantuan Hukum Bukan Hak yang Diberi. Jakarta: YLBHI, 2013.
- [3] A. B. Nasution, Bantuan Hukum Di Indonesia. Jakarta: LP3S, 1982.
- [4] YLBI, "Sejarah YLBHI." [Online]. Available: https://ylbhi.or.id/profil/sejarah/. [Accessed: 17-Sep-2019].
- [5] "Law Number 16 of 2011 concerning Legal Aid.".
- [6] E. Indarti, Diskresi dan Paradigma: Suatu Telaah Filsafat Hukum. Pidato Pengukuhan Guru Besar Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro, 2010.
- [7] A. Salim, Teori dan Paradigma Penelitian Sosial (Pemikiran Norman K. Denzin & Egon Guba, dan Penerapannya). Yogyakarta: Tiara Wacana Yogya, 2001.
- [8] S. R. Mary and N. Fauzi, kumpulan tulisan dalam buku "Verboden Voor Honden En Inlanders Dan Lahirlah LBH." Jakarta: Yayasan Lembaga Bantuan Hukum Indonesia, 2012.
- [9] T. M. Lubis, Bantuan Hukum dan Kemiskinan Struktural. Jakarta: LP3S, 1986.
- [10] A. Y. Sulistyawan, "Epistemologi hukum (yang) subjektif sebagai jalan mewujudkan hukum yang berperi-kemanusiaan," J. Huk. Progresif, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 98–107, 2019.

Choosing Structural Legal Assistance: a Paradigmatic Study on the Effort of Justice

ORIGINA	ALITY REPORT			
_	% ARITY INDEX	6% INTERNET SOURCES	2% PUBLICATIONS	5% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES			
1	eprints.v	valisongo.ac.id		2%
2	bircu-jou Internet Sourc	ırnal.com ^e		1 %
3	Submitte Student Paper	ed to Lambung	Mangkurat Ur	niversity 1 %
4	journal.v	valisongo.ac.id		1 %
5	reposito Internet Sourc	ry.lppm.unila.ad	c.id	1 %
6	WWW.SCi	tepress.org		1 %

Exclude quotes On Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches

< 1%