

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science



The open access *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science (EES)* provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.



RSS



Sign up for new issue notifications

Latest published conferences

Vol 1171



Go

Conference archive

2023



Go

[View forthcoming volumes](#) accepted for publication.

JOURNAL LINKS

[Journal home](#)[Journal scope](#)[Information for organizers](#)[Information for authors](#)[Contact us](#)[Reprint services from Curran Associates](#)

JOURNAL INFORMATION

2008-present

IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science

doi: 10.1088/issn.1755-1315

Online ISSN: 1755-1315

Print ISSN: 1755-1307

If you would like more information regarding *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* please visit conferenceseries.iop.org, and if you are interested in publishing a proceedings with IOP Conference Series please visit our page for [conference organizers](#).

Conference organizers can use our [online form](#) and we will get in touch with a quote and further details.

[Most read](#)[Latest articles](#)[Open all abstracts](#)**OPEN ACCESS****Preface**2023 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **1156** 011001[+ Open abstract](#)[View article](#)[PDF](#)

INCRID 2021 Committees

Steering Committee

Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Heru Susanto, M.M., M.T. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia) Prof. Ir. Agung Wibowo, M.M., M.Sc., Ph.D. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia)

Scientific Committee

Prof. Dr. Ir. Ambariyanto, M.Sc. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia)

Emeritus Prof. M. N. V. Prasad (University of Hyderabad)

Prof. Hamid Nikraz (Curtin University, Australia)

Prof. Eddy Saputra (Universitas Riau, Indonesia)

Prof. Takanobu Inoue (Toyohashi University of Technology, Japan)

Prof. Ashanta Goonetilleke (Queensland University of Technology, Australia)

Prof. Hsin-hsin Tung (National Taiwan University, Taiwan)

Prof. Dr. Ir. Purwanto, DEA (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia) Dr. Haryono Setiyo Huboyo, S.T., M.T (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia) Dr. Budi Prasetyo Samadikun, S.T., M.Si. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia) Dr. Ir. Anik Sarminingsih, M.T. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia) Pertiwi Andarani, S.T., M.T., M.Eng., Ph.D. (Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia)

(Dr. Cand.) Ganjar Samudro, S.T., M.T. (Yamaguchi University – Universitas Diponegoro) (Dr. Cand.) Titik Istirokhatun, S.T., M.Sc. (Kobe University – Universitas Diponegoro)

Organizing Committee

Prof. Ir. Syafrudin, CES., M.T. (Chairman)

Dr. Ing. Sudarno, S.T., M.Si. (Vice Chairman)

Bimastyaji Surya Ramadan, S.T., M.T. (Member)

M. Arief Budihardjo, S.T., M.Eng.Sc., Ph.D. (Member) Dr. Ling. Sri Sumiyati, S.T., M.Si. (Member)

Dr. Badrus Zaman, S.T., M.T. (Member)

Nurandani Hardyanti, S.T., M.T. (Member) Dr. Budi Prasetyo Samadikun, S.T. M.Si. (Member) Arya Rezagama, S.T., M.T. (Member)

Table of contents

Volume 896

2021

[◀ Previous issue](#) [Next issue ▶](#)

The 3rd International Conference on Environment, Sustainability Issues, and Community Development 9 September 2021, Semarang, Indonesia (Virtual)

Accepted papers received: 13 October 2021

Published online: 12 November 2021

[Open all abstracts](#)

Preface

OPEN ACCESS

011001

[Preface](#)

[+ Open abstract](#)

[View article](#)

[PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

011002

[Photographs](#)

[+ Open abstract](#)

[View article](#)

[PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

011003

[Peer Review Declaration](#)

[+ Open abstract](#)

[View article](#)

[PDF](#)

Papers

OPEN ACCESS

012001

[Stability performance of demolition waste composite as landfill liner](#)

M A Budihardjo, M Hadiwidodo, I W Wardhana, E G Praptomo, B P Samadikun, A S Puspita and B S Ramadan

[+ Open abstract](#)

[View article](#)

[PDF](#)

JOURNAL LINKS

[Journal home](#)

[Journal scope](#)

[Information for organizers](#)

[Information for authors](#)

[Contact us](#)

[Reprint services from Curran Associates](#)



OPEN ACCESS

012024

Optimization of recycled polyethylene terephthalate plastic bottle fibers in grasscrete

A Etyangat, P Tiboti, M Kayondo and H Bakamwesiga

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012025

Effect of electrode configuration and voltage variations on electrocoagulation process in phosphate removal of laundry wastewater

B P Samadikun, W Oktiawan, Junaidi, A K Rais, T A Taqiyya, M R Amrullah and C Basyar

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012026

Application of local microorganisms from tuna fish and shrimp waste as bio activator for household organic waste composting by Takakura method

Y Dewilda, R Aziz and F Rahmayuni

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012027

The effect of time and velocity variation in sequencing batches reactor on TSS and nitrogen removal in tofu waste

S Sudarno, N Hardyanti, B Zaman, A Arihta and R Putri

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)

OPEN ACCESS

012028

The effect of time and velocity variation in sequencing batches reactor on cod and bod, removal efficiency in tofu waste

N Hardyanti, S Sudarno, B Zaman, A Arihta and R Putri

[+ Open abstract](#) [View article](#) [PDF](#)



PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Effect of electrode configuration and voltage variations on electrocoagulation process in phosphate removal of laundry wastewater

To cite this article: B P Samadikun *et al* 2021 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.* **896** 012025

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Effect of electrode configuration and voltage variations on electrocoagulation process in surfactant removal from laundry wastewater](#)
W Oktiawan, B P Samadikun, Junaidi *et al.*
- [The Influence of Applied Current Strength and Electrode Configuration in Laundry Wastewater Treatment by Electrocoagulation](#)
F.A. Nugroho, M. M. Sani, F. Apriyanti *et al.*
- [Pre-Treatment of Laundry Greywater by Steel Slag for Safe Disposal](#)
S N Ramdzan, R M S R Mohamed, N H Kamaruzaman *et al.*



244th Electrochemical Society Meeting

October 8 – 12, 2023 • Gothenburg, Sweden

50 symposia in electrochemistry & solid state science

▶ **Deadline Extended!**
Last chance to submit!

New deadline:
April 21
submit your abstract!

Effect of electrode configuration and voltage variations on electrocoagulation process in phosphate removal of laundry wastewater

B P Samadikun^{1*}, W Oktiawan¹, Junaidi¹, A K Rais¹, T A Taqiyya¹, M R Amrullah¹, C Basyar¹

¹Department of Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang–Indonesia 50275

budisamadikun@gmail.com

Abstract. Indonesia is one of the countries that still have to deal with waste problems. In reducing waste, the government has made a series of efforts to reduce waste, especially wastewater. There are many kinds of wastewater. One of them is laundry wastewater. This research aims to estimate the dangerous substance in laundry wastewater and how to treat it. The method using some variables like Al-Al, Al-Fe, Fe-Fe, and Fe-Al and the voltage is changing from 20 V, 30 V, 40 V, and 60 V. The research shows that the most optimum result of laundry wastewater treatment was using Al-Fe electrode plate 60 V. The result that the phosphate concentration decreased by 6.56 mg/l from 9.58 mg/l to 3.01 mg/l and obtained phosphate removal efficiency of 68.56%. The most optimum results for the removal of phosphate levels contained in the 60 V voltage.

1. Introduction

Water is one of the essential things for human activities. Almost all human activities require water, from household activities to industrial activities. Human activities must produce waste, including wastewater. The laundry industry uses water as the main ingredient, resulting in large amounts of wastewater [1]. Detergent is a cleaning product that is generally used in the laundry process, with the main ingredients being surfactants and phosphates in the builder. It causes laundry waste to have a relatively high content of surfactants and phosphates [2]. It harms the environment because surfactants can have a toxic effect on flora and fauna of water bodies (0.8–2.0 mg/l) and phosphates which can cause eutrophication in water bodies [3][4].

Electrocoagulation (EC) is a technology that does not use additional chemicals, which is effective as a coagulant and has low operating costs. The findings from this study include knowing the effect of Al and Fe on the efficiency of reducing pollutant levels, knowing the effect of strong currents, and getting the level of effectiveness of electrocoagulation and filtration treatment in the optimal removal phosphates.

2. Methodology

Several equipment and materials were used in this research, including power supply, reactor, pump, electrode, and magnetic stirrer. An iron metal plate and an aluminium metal plate were selected as electrodes. Laundry industrial wastewater is the primary source of waste used in this study.



Several variables were used, including independent, dependent, and control variables. The current strength was used as the independent variable and the phosphate concentration as the dependent variable. Laundry wastewater discharge and reactor size were used as control variables. Voltage variations used in this study include 20 V, 30 V, 40 V, and 60 V, with electrode configurations of Al-Al, Al-Fe, Fe-Fe, and Fe-Al.

The data collected are primary data and secondary data. Initial data was obtained from testing the effect of electric voltage and electrode configuration on phosphate removal. Secondary data were obtained from literature and also from the internet.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characteristic of laundry wastewater

The distinctive character of laundry wastewater, it has a brownish white colour and contains high suspended particles. Then carried out a preliminary test on laundry wastewater for parameters pH, COD, BOD, TSS, phosphate and surfactant. The following are the results of the initial characteristics of laundry waste:

Table 1. Characteristics test results and comparison with applicable quality standards.

| Parameters | Unit | Test Results | Quality Standard* | Description |
|------------|------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|
| pH | - | 8.70 | 6-9 | Meet |
| TSS | mg/L | 260 | 60 | Not Meet |
| Phosphate | mg/L | 9,58 | 2 | Not Meet |
| Surfactant | mg/L | 21.30 | 3 | Not Meet |
| COD | Mg/L | 708.67 | 180 | Not Meet |

*) Based on Central Java Regional Regulation No. 5 of 2012 concerning Wastewater Quality Standards in the Soap and Detergent Industry

In this case, laundry wastewater has a phosphate content of 9.58 mg/L, which does not meet the quality standard for laundry wastewater treatment which is 2 mg/L. The research method uses electrocoagulation with iron (Fe) and aluminium (Al) electrodes and direct electric current strength. Iron and aluminium will be oxidized to produce coagulants that can bind to colloids and form flocs.

3.2. Effect of voltage variation and electrode configuration on phosphate value

The effect of voltage variations and electrode configuration and on Phosphate removal was made by varying the configuration of Al-Al, Al-Fe, Fe-Fe, Fe-Al electrodes with voltage variations of 20 V, 30 V, 40 V, and 60 V. The results of laundry wastewater treatment with electrocoagulation can be seen in the following table:

Table 2. Phosphate removal result with Al-Al electrodes.

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 20 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.89 | 59.39 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.39 | 54.10 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.90 | 59.28 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.87 | 59.62 |
| 20 | 45 | 9.58 | 3.58 | 62.60 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 4.36 | 54.43 |

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 30 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.14 | 56.75 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.91 | 59.17 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 4.34 | 54.65 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.49 | 53.10 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.59 | 62.49 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 4.97 | 48.13 |
| 40 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.96 | 58.62 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.22 | 55.97 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 4.64 | 51.56 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.52 | 52.77 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 4.61 | 51.89 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 5.35 | 44.16 |
| 60 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.61 | 51.89 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.23 | 55.86 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.84 | 59.95 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.52 | 52.77 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.84 | 59.95 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.75 | 60.83 |

Table 3. Phosphate removal result with Al-Fe electrodes.

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 20 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.35 | 54.54 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.04 | 57.85 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.88 | 59.51 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.99 | 58.29 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 4.16 | 56.52 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 4.39 | 54.10 |
| 30 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.80 | 49.90 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.22 | 55.97 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.69 | 61.49 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.68 | 51.11 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 4.52 | 52.77 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 5.16 | 46.15 |
| 40 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.46 | 53.43 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.67 | 61.71 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 4.04 | 57.85 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.02 | 58.07 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.38 | 64.69 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.49 | 63.59 |
| 60 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.01 | 68.56 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 4.13 | 56.86 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.66 | 61.82 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 4.27 | 55.42 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 5.19 | 45.82 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 5.99 | 37.43 |

Table 4. Phosphate removal result with Fe-Fe electrodes.

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 20 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.96 | 58.62 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.58 | 62.60 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.87 | 59.62 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.84 | 59.95 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 4.24 | 55.75 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.56 | 62.82 |
| 30 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.94 | 58.84 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.88 | 59.51 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.81 | 60.17 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.41 | 64.36 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.68 | 61.60 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.93 | 58.95 |
| 40 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.02 | 68.45 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.72 | 61.16 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.81 | 60.17 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.85 | 59.84 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.84 | 59.95 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.44 | 64.03 |
| 60 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.94 | 58.84 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.74 | 60.94 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.67 | 61.71 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.87 | 59.62 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.42 | 64.25 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.55 | 62.93 |

Table 5. Phosphate removal result with Fe-Al electrodes.

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| 20 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.56 | 62.82 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.77 | 60.61 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.70 | 61.38 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.93 | 58.95 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.65 | 61.93 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.86 | 59.73 |
| 30 | 25 | 9.58 | 4.14 | 56.75 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.61 | 62.27 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.88 | 59.51 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.80 | 60.28 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 4.05 | 57.74 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 4.22 | 55.97 |
| 40 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.71 | 61.27 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.70 | 61.38 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.79 | 60.39 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.58 | 62.60 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.48 | 63.70 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.62 | 62.15 |

| Voltage (volt) | Time (minute) | Initial Concentration (mg/l) | Final Concentration (mg/l) | Removal Efficiency (%) |
|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 60 | 25 | 9.58 | 3.68 | 61.60 |
| | 30 | 9.58 | 3.96 | 58.62 |
| | 35 | 9.58 | 3.77 | 60.61 |
| | 40 | 9.58 | 3.91 | 59.17 |
| | 45 | 9.58 | 3.62 | 62.15 |
| | 50 | 9.58 | 3.97 | 58.51 |

Based on the results of measuring the phosphate concentration of each treatment with variations in the configuration of the Al-Al, Al-Fe, Fe-Fe, Fe-Al electrodes and variations in voltages of 20 volts, 30 volts, 40 volts, and 60 volts, the optimum results were obtained to reduce the phosphate concentration. Namely, the treatment uses an Al-Fe electrode configuration with a voltage of 60 volts. The following is a graph showing the results of measuring phosphate concentration in the treatment with optimum results.

At the contact time of 25 minutes, the efficiency value increased to 68.56%, with the phosphate concentration value reaching 3.01 mg/l. Although the efficiency is quite good, the phosphate concentration has not met the quality standard of Central Java Regional Regulation No. 5 of 2012. According to the regulation, the maximum allowed phosphate concentration is 2 mg/l. In this study, the optimum time with the treatment of several variations is 25 minutes, which means the optimum time is when the highest efficiency increase occurs from all treatments carried out.

Phosphate removal depends on the amount of coagulant formed in the waste. Al(OH)₃ or Fe(OH)₂ formed acts as a coagulant resulting from the oxidation process, anode, and reduction from the cathode. In principle, electrocoagulation is the same as chemical coagulation, which begins with the process of destabilizing the particles in the wastewater so that they break up and form precipitated flocs. The destabilization process in laundry wastewater uses an electric field that arises from the electrodes to move the particles [5].

The configuration of the electrodes in the electrocoagulation process affects decreasing the concentration of phosphate in laundry wastewater. Based on several treatments given with four electrode configurations (Al-Al, Al-Fe, Fe-Fe, Fe-Al), phosphate concentration decreased in the Al-Fe electrode configuration. It could be due to the Al metal being on the left of Fe, which means that Al metal is more easily oxidized than Fe metal. Fe metal is not more easily reduced than Al metal so that the reduction reaction at the cathode does not produce more OH⁻ ions and H₂ gas. The coagulant formation process can be formed faster than other electrode configurations. Al³⁺ or Fe²⁺ ions are formed at the anode, which reacts with OH⁻ ions formed at the cathode to form Al(OH)₃ coagulant, which can cause floc formation to reduce the phosphate concentration. In water, Al³⁺ from anode will react with OH⁻ from the cathode to form Al(OH)₃ or Fe(OH)₂, a coagulant to bind phosphate in laundry wastewater.

The coagulant Al(OH)₃ or Fe(OH)₂ formed is influenced by the voltage applied to the two electrodes. The only operating parameter that can be directly controlled is the voltage because the voltage will change over time. The amount of coagulant dose, gas bubble formation rate, agitation strength, and mass transfer at the electrode will be influenced and determined by the voltage [6]. If the more significant the applied voltage, then the greater the current density value. It can be interpreted that the higher the current density value, the phosphate removal in laundry wastewater will increase, but if the current density is too large, the electrodes will be more saturated so that the treatment process is not efficient [7].

4. Conclusion

Al-Fe electrode plates electrified with a voltage of 60 V will produce the most optimal laundry waste treatment because it will reduce the phosphate concentration by 3.01 mg/l, and the efficiency level obtained in phosphate removal is 68.56%. The only operating parameter that can be directly controlled is the voltage, as it will continue to change over time. Suppose the more significant the voltage applied, then the greater the value of the current density. It can be interpreted that the higher the current density

value, the phosphate removal in laundry wastewater will increase, but if the current density is too large, the electrodes will be more saturated so that the treatment process is not efficient [7].

Acknowledgment

The author would like to acknowledge the Faculty of Engineering Diponegoro University for the support of this study with the acceptance of research proposal Grants Competing Fund Faculty of Engineering in budget 2021. We also thankfull to Umi Purwaningsih Sasmita, Daffa Reyhan Pradhana, Siti Anisa Fitriah Zahra, Vania Arabella Chiquita, Adinda Faiza Azqia, Aisha Hardina Azis Sudarso, Devi Nurkhayati, Alinda Astriani, Salsabila Khairon Faisa, Nazmiya Damayanti, Zumrotus Sa'adah, I Gede Nengah Bramahesa, and other team members for the support and helpful feedback.

References

- [1] Sheth D K N and Patel M 2016 *Study on Characterization and Treatment of Laundry Effluent* **4** p 6
- [2] Apriyani N 2017 *Penurunan Kadar Surfaktan dan Sulfat dalam Limbah Laundry* Media Ilmiah Teknik Lingkungan **2(1)** 37-44
- [3] Mohamed R M, Al-Gheethi A A, Noramira J, Chan C M, Hashim M K A and Sabariah M 2018 *Application Water* **8** 16
- [4] Terechova E L, Zhang G, Chen J, Sosnina N A and Yang F 2014 *J Environ Chem Eng* **2** 2111-2119
- [5] Djedidi Z, Khaled J B, Cheikh R B, Blais J F, Mercier G and Tyagi R D 2009 *Hydrometallurgy* **95** 61-69
- [6] Janpoor F, Torabian A and Khatibikamal V 2011 *J Chem Technol Biotechnol* **86** 1113-1120
- [7] Susetyaningsih R 2008 *Kajian Proses Elektrokoagulasi untuk Pengolahan Limbah Cair* Yogyakarta: Seminar Nasional IV SDM Teknologi Nuklir