Serum GFAP and EGFR as Supportive Diagnostic Biomarker of Glioma Patients A Single-Center Study

by Yuriz Bakhtiar

Submission date: 05-May-2023 03:35PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2084919368

File name: iagnostic_Biomarker_of_Glioma_Patients_A_Single-Center_Study.pdf (463.92K)

Word count: 2773

Character count: 15086



OPEN ACCESS

Serum GFAP and EGFR as Supportive Diagnostic Biomarker of Glioma Patients: A Single-Center Study

Dody Priambada¹, Muhamad Thohar Arifin¹, Surya Pratama Briliantika¹, Dian Widyaningrum², Abdi Saputro¹, Azka Tajussyarof El Muzakka¹, Yuriz Bakhtiar¹, Krisna Tsaniadi Prihastomo¹, Zainal Muttagin¹

¹Department of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, Dr. Kariadi Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia; ²Department of Clinical Pathology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Diponegoro, Dr. Kariadi Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia

Abstract

Edited by: Ksenija Bogoeva-Kostovska
Citation: Prismbada D, Arifin MT, Brillantika SP,
Widyaningrum D, Sagurbo, E, Biruzaka AT, Bakinarika SP,
Prihastomo KT, Muttaqin Z, Serum GFAP and EGFR
as Supportive Diagnostic Bomeriner of Glioma
Patents: A Single-Center Study, Open-Access Maced J
Med Sci. 2022 Apr 20, 10(9):1063-1066.
https://doi.org/10.389/oragina.2022.8021
Keywords: Glioma; Nije-grade glioma; Serum GFAP,
Serum EGFR
**Correspondence: Muhammad Thoria Artifin, Department
of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitats
of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitats

Keywords: Glionas High-grade gliona: Serum GFAP:
Serum EGFR

"Correspondence: Muhamad Thohar Arifin, Department
of Neurosurgen; Faculty of Medicine, Universitäs
Diponegoro, Dr. Kariadi Hospital, Semarang, Indonesia.
E-mail: thohar@iscure undip.ac.id
Received: 16-Feb-2022
Accepted: 10-Apr-2022
Copyright: © 2022 Dody Priembada. Muhamad Thohar
Arifin, Surya Pratama Brillantika, Dian Widyaningrum, Abdi
Saputo, Rijkia Pisusyarof El Muzakka, Yurz Bakhtiar,
Kilina Brainald Prhasatomo, Zanal Muttaqin
Funding: This research was funded by Direktorar Rised
an Pengabatan Masyariakai, Deput Bidang Penguatan
Rised dan Pengambangan Kementrian Rised dan
Teknologi/Badan Rised dan Pusan Nasional No. 225-57
Un'h 8. hPP/2021.
Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no

Teknologi/Badan Riset dan Inovasi Nasional No. 225-57/
UNT 6. IPP PO27.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists
Open Access: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-konCommercial 4.0 International Licenses (CC BY-NC 4.0)

BACKGROUND: High-grade gliomas (HGGs) (World Health Organization Grades III and IV) are aggressive brain tumors with a poor prognosis. Serum concentrations of GFAP and EGFR are theoretically raised in glioma patients, especially primary HGGs.

AIM: This study aims to look at serum levels of GFAP and EGFR in patients with gliomas (low-grade and high-grade glioma) and see if they were related to clinical outcome, MRI parameter, and pathological features.

METHODS: Between 2020 and 2021, pre-operative blood samples were taken from 39 patients with radiologically diagnosed glioma who were performed for tumor excision. The time between blood collection and surgical resection was an average of 10 days. GFAP and EGFR serum were compared in glioma and non-glioma patients.

RESULTS: Glioma patients had average of serum GFAP 747.93 ± 1349.49 pg/ml and average of serum EGFR 9.25 ± 3.17 ng/ml. Non-glioma average of GFAP and EGFR, respectively, was 292.91 ± 369.30 pg/ml and 7.81 ± 3.38 ng/ml. From all variables, we performed normality test using the Shapiro–Wilk normality test and all variables were no normally distribution with p < 0.05.

CONCLUSION: Circulating: GFAP and EGFR are promising method for "supportive" methods for differentiate between glioma and non-glioma patients, especially high-grade glioma.

Introduction

High-grade gliomas (HGGs) (World Health Organization Grades III and IV) are aggressive brain tumors with a poor prognosis. Histologic examination of tumor biopsies is the gold standard for diagnosing HGG. However, it may be limited in its use due to a lack of tissue or intrinsic sampling biases. Moreover, treatment-related alterations might make detecting tumor progression using contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) become difficult. A simple blood-based biomarker with diagnostic and prognostic significance might circumvent these restrictions by providing additional information for clinical decision-making [4].

Glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is a cytoskeleton-associated intermediate filament found mainly in astrocytes. After a stroke or traumatic brain injury, GFAP levels in the blood are known to rise.

Serum concentrations of GFAP are likewise raised in primary HGGs before surgical excision, suggesting that serum GFAP is a diagnostically important biomarker. Its prognostic usefulness and connection with recognized prognostic markers such as the IDH1 mutation, however, have not been investigated. Furthermore, the past research has only looked at initial HGGs, with no investigation into the relationship between serum GFAP levels and tumor load in recurrent HGGs [2].

In new or de novo glioblastomas, overexpression of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is a hallmark, which is commonly linked to EGFR gene amplification. Nearly 40% of initial glioblastomas have EGFR gene amplification, and about half of them have an EGFRvIII mutation, which causes constitutive signaling. As a result, EGFR and EGFRvIII are promising therapeutic targets. Furthermore, EGFR amplification has diagnostic and prognostic significance, with a link to glioblastoma and a worse overall survival rate. EGFR expression and amplification measured



B - Clinical Sciences Surgery

by immunohistochemistry and chromogenic *in situ* hybridization (CISH). Measuring EGFR extracellular domain (ECD) levels in the blood have given researchers more insight into tumor aggressiveness and prognosis in a variety of cancers [3].

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) comprises a subset of cancer cells with stem cell properties, such as self-renewal and multipotentiality. The subventricular zone (SVZ) is located between the lateral ventricles and is where neural and cancer stem cells originate. Tumors that come into touch with the SVZ may be more invasive and have a greater ability to recruit migratory progenitor cells. On pre-operative MRI, tumors were categorized as type I, if the contrast-enhancing lesion contacted both the SVZ and cortex, type II, if only the SVZ was involved, type III, if only the cortex was involved, and type IV, if neither the SVZ nor the cortex were contacted. Overall survival and PFS are worse in patients with GBM involving the SVZ, which might have prognostic and therapeutic implications [4].

The goal of this study was to look at serum levels of GFAP and EGFR in patients with glioma (low-grade and high-grade glioma) and see if they were related to clinical outcome, MRI parameter, and pathological features.

Materials and Methods

Between 2020 and 2021, pre-operative blood samples were taken from 39 patients with radiologically diagnosed glioma who were performed for tumor excision. The time between blood collection and surgical resection was an average of 10 days. All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Medical Faculty, Universitas Diponegoro and Kariadi Hospital, Ethical Research Committee and with the 1964 Helsink, Declaration standards. This study was approved by the Joint Ethics Committee of the Kariadi General Hospital No. 606/EC/KEPK-RSDK/2020. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior the surgery. For patients under the age of 18 years, informed consent was obtained from a parent and/or legal guardian.

Serum GFAP and EGFR levels were measured using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits. Both tests were carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. The absorbance of GFAP and EGFR was determined by reading the plate at 450 nm and 650 nm. All readings below this detection limit were assigned a value of 0 ng/ml, which was likewise used when the other absorbance measurement of a duplicate fell below the detection limit.

The data are displayed as mean ± standard deviation. The Kruskal–Wallis test and Mann–Whitney

U-test with Bonferroni correction, or one-way ANOVA, were used to compare blood protein levels across groups. The Mann-Whitney U-test or independent samples t-test was used to compare blood protein levels according to MRI parameter, pathological features, and clinical outcome. The efficacy of serum GFAP and EGFR levels to distinguish glioblastoma from low-grade glioma was assessed using ROC curve analysis (if satisfied the statistic requirement). ROC curve analysis was used to generate a GFAP cutoff value. SPSS 21 was used for statistical analysis.

Results

From 39 patients radiologically diagnosed glioma, 24 patients with pathologically confirmed with glioma were obtained. From the WHO grading, two patients were Grade I WHO, nine patients were Grade II WHO, five patients were Grade III WHO, and Grade IV WHO were eight patients.

Table 1: Grade WHO of glioma patients

Grade WHO	Frequency	%
1	2	8.33
2	9	37.5
3	5	20.8
4	8	33.3
Total	24	100

Diffuse astrocytoma and glioblastoma multiforme were majorly found in sample, with eight patients each group. Five patients were anaplastic astrocytoma and the rest were oligodendroglioma, subependymal giant cell astrocytoma, and gemistocytic astrocytoma with one patient each group (Tables 1 and 2).

Table 2: Type of glioma pathology

Type of pathology	Frequency	%
Anaplastic astrocytoma	5	20.83
Diffuse astrocytoma	8	33.33
Glioblastoma multiforme	8	33.33
Oligodendroglioma	1	4.16
Subependymal giant cell astrocytoma	1	4.16
Gemistocytic astrocytoma	1	4.16
Total	24	100

Serum GFAP and EGFR were obtained at average 10 days pre-operative. We compare glioma and non-glioma patients of GFAP and EGFR serum value. Glioma patients had average of serum GFAP 747.93 \pm 1349.49 pg/ml and average of serum EGFR 9.25 \pm 3.17 ng/ml. Non-glioma average of GFAP and EGFR, respectively, was 292.91 \pm 369.30 pg/ml and 7.81 \pm 3.38 ng/ml (Table 3). From all variables, we performed normality test using Shapiro–Wilk normality

Table 3: Distribution of numeric data

Non-glioma		Glioma	
Mean	SD	Mean	SD
292.91	369.30	747.93	1349.49
7.81	3.38	9.25	3.17
	Mean 292.91	Mean SD 292.91 369.30	Mean SD Mean 292.91 369.30 747.93

test and all variables were no normally distribution with p<0.05.

Mann–Whitney U-test was used to asses difference of GFAP and EGFR serum level each variable. Between glioma and non-glioma patients, GFAP and EGFR serum were found higher in glioma patient with p <0.05 (Table 4). Between high- and low-grade glioma, GFAP and EGFR serum were found higher in high-grade glioma patients with p < 0.05 (Table 5).

Table 4: Difference between glioma versus non-glioma patients

	Glioma_Non-glioma	N	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	р
GFAP	Glioma	24	21.00	516.00	0.00*
	Non_Glioma	15	17.00	264.00	
EGFR	Glioma	24	21.00	520.00	0.00*

From MRI zone parameter, patients with SVZ and cortical involvement tend to be lower GFAP than cortical only involvement. EGFR was found higher in cortical only involvement than cortical \pm SVZ with p < 0.05. Because area under curve was <50%, we did not perform ROC analysis. (Table 6).

Table 5: Difference between low-grade and high-grade glioma

	Low_High_Glioma	N	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	р
GFAP	Low grade	10	10.00	105.00	0.00*
	High grade	13	13.00	171.00	
	Total	23			
EGFR	Low grade	10	12.00	124.00	0.00*
	High grade	13	11.00	151.00	
	Total	23			

Discussion

Since GFAP and EGFR serum are considerably greater in glioma patients, especially in high-grade glioma, GFAP and EGFR serum are possible biomarkers for supplemental diagnosis of glioblastoma and to discriminate between high-grade and low-grade glioma in this study. Serum GFAP may thus be useful in the follow-up of individuals with HGG who frequently have MRI results that are inconclusive. Previous investigations have confirmed the diagnostic utility of serum GFAP in distinguishing GBM from lower-grade gliomas. However, a longer-term investigation with a bigger patient population is needed to investigate the efficacy of serum GFAP to detect HGGs at an early stage [2], [5], [6].

The higher serum GFAP levels linked with bulky tumors might thus be explained in part by tumor necrosis. The rise in serum GFAP levels followed by surgical tumor removal is similar to a recent research in which plasma GFAP levels were enhanced 24–48 h after surgery in both low-grade and high-grade gliomas. Furthermore, in our sample, pre-operative blood GFAP levels were greater in glioma patients, particularly in high-grade glioma. These findings suggest that GFAP levels in the blood before surgery are a marker of tumor entity as well as brain damages but not caused by the

Table 6: Difference between MRI zone and GFAP and EGFR serum

	MRI_Zone	N	Mean rank	Sum of ranks	р
GFAP	Cortical ± SVZ	10	9.00	92.00	0.00*
	Cortical	10	11.00	118.00	
	Total	20			
EGFR	Cortical ± SVZ	10	11.00	111.00	0.00*
	Cortical	10	9.00	98.00	
	Total	20			

surgery. As a result, serum GFAP might be a useful tool in the follow-up of HGG patients [2], [5], [6].

Both astrocytes and malignant gliomas produce large amounts of GFAP. GBM is usually linked to tumor cell necrosis and disruption of the bloodbrain barrier, which explains why GFAP is released into the bloodstream. Previous research in various disorders has shown that GFAP is released from the brain into the bloodstream when there is extensive astroglial loss, such as in the event of an expanding intracerebral hemorrhage or traumatic brain injury. Patients with most other neurological illnesses, such as MS, neurodegenerative entities, and epilepsy, did not have GFAP in their blood. GBM is the only "non hyperacute" condition in which a significant amount of GFAP is released into the bloodstream. This is most likely due to GFAP expression and subsequent release in cases of necrosis and blood-brain barrier failure, as described above [3], [7], [8], [9].

One of the genetic hallmarks of GBM is EGFR gene amplification. Even when the histologic criteria for GBM are not met because of the absence of necrosis and microvascular proliferation in the biopsy, identification of neoplastic astrocytes with EGFR amplification by fluorescent or chromogenic *in situ* hybridization constitutes strong evidence that the tumor is a GBM, or at least should be treated as a GBM, in diagnostic neuropathology practice. In underdeveloped countries, circulating EGFR might be utilized to diagnose a suspected grade of glioma and provide a reliable follow-up strategy for patients [3].

We regard GFAP and EGFR to be a clinically significant indication of GBM, despite the fact that it cannot be employed as a diagnostic "stand-alone" tool due to limits in diagnostic sensitivity caused by tumor features. Future research is needed to find correlation between pre- and post-operative circulating GFAP and EGFR with larger cohort study.

Conclusion

Circulating GFAP and EGFR are promising method for "supportive" methods for differentiate between glioma and non-glioma patients, especially high-grade glioma. Routine radiology examination, clinical assessment, and pathological analysis are mandatory needed to confirm the diagnosis of glioma.

B - Clinical Sciences Surgery

References

- Salem A, Hashem SA, Al-Rashdan A, Ezam N, Nour A, Alsharbaji A, et al. The challenges of managing glioblastoma multiforme in developing countries: A trade-off between cost and quality of care. Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther. 2011;4(3):116-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.5144/1658-3876.2011.116
 PMid:21982884
- Wei P, Zhang W, Yang LS, Zhang HS, Xu XE, Jiang YH, et al. Serum GFAP autoantibody as an ELISA-detectable glioma marker. Tumor Biol. 2013;34(4):2283-92. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13277-013-0770-7
 - PMid:23589055
- Kiviniemi A, Gardberg M, Frantzén J, Parkkola R, Vuorinen V, Pesola M, et al. Serum levels of GFAP and EGFR in primary and recurrent high-grade gliomas: Correlation to tumor volume, molecular markers, and progression-free survival. J Neurooncol. 2015;124(2):237-45. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11060-015-1829-7

PMid:26033547

- Jafri NF, Clarke JL, Weinberg V, Barani IJ, Cha S. Relationship of glioblastoma multiforme to the subventricular zone is associated with survival. Neuro Oncol. 2013;15(1):91-6. https:// doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/nos268
 PMid:23095230
- 5. Tichy J, Spechtmeyer S, Mittelbronn M, Hattingen E, Rieger J,

Senft C, et al. Prospective evaluation of serum glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) as a diagnostic marker for glioblastoma. J Neurooncol. 2015;126(2):361-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11060-015-1978-8

PMid:26518540

 Jung CS, Foerch C, Schänzer A, Heck A, Plate KH, Seifert V, et al. Serum GFAP is a diagnostic marker for glioblastoma multiforme. Brain. 2007;130(12):3336-41. https://doi. org/10.1093/brain/awm263

PMid:17998256

 Yang Z, Wang KK. Glial fibrillary acidic protein: From intermediate filament assembly and gliosis to neurobiomarker. Trends Neurosci. 2015;38(6):364-74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tins.2015.04.003

PMid-25975510

 Uceda-Castro R, van Asperen JV, Vennin C, Sluijs JA, van Bodegraven EJ, Margarido AS, et al. GFAP splice variants finetune glioma cell invasion and tumour dynamics by modulating migration persistence. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):1-14. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-021-04127-5

PMid:35013418

 Lim DA, Cha S, Mayo MC, Chen MH, Keles E, Vandenberg S, et al. Relationship of glioblastoma multiforme to neural stem cell regions predicts invasive and multifocal tumor phenotype. Neuro Oncol. 2007;9(4):424-9. https://doi. org/10.1215/15228517-2007-023

PMid:17622647

Serum GFAP and EGFR as Supportive Diagnostic Biomarker of Glioma Patients A Single-Center Study

ORIGINAL	ITY REPORT		
2. SIMILAR	2% 17% INTERNET SOURCES	17% PUBLICATIONS	5% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMARY	SOURCES		
1	link.springer.com Internet Source		2%
2	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source		2%
3	www.frontiersin.org Internet Source		2%
4	f1000research.com Internet Source		1 %
5	mafiadoc.com Internet Source		1 %
6	Submitted to Turun yli	opisto	1 %
7	Submitted to Massach Professional Psycholog Student Paper		1 %
8	Ying Liang, Yongsheng Zhongsheng Tong, Yeh "Characterization of 94	nui Shi.	▮ %

triple-positive breast cancer by clinicopathological and treatment outcomes", Research Square Platform LLC, 2022

Publication

9	www.medrxiv.org Internet Source	1 %
10	Primary Central Nervous System Tumors, 2011. Publication	1%
11	Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 2015. Publication	1 %
12	Diffuse Low-Grade Gliomas in Adults, 2013. Publication	1 %
13	mdpi-res.com Internet Source	1 %
14	Submitted to Coventry University Student Paper	1 %
15	bmcvetres.biomedcentral.com Internet Source	1 %
16	P. D Inskip. "Sociodemographic indicators and risk of brain tumours", International Journal of Epidemiology, 04/01/2003 Publication	<1%
17	aapsblog.aaps.org Internet Source	<1%

18	www.yumpu.com Internet Source	<1%
19	Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro Student Paper	<1%
20	www.scielo.br Internet Source	<1%
21	Alja Zottel, Ivana Jovčevska, Neja Šamec, Radovan Komel. "Cytoskeletal proteins as glioblastoma biomarkers and targets for therapy: a systematic review", Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology, 2021 Publication	<1%
22	jsurgmed.com Internet Source	<1%
23	Edgar Vieira, Rubens da Silva, Maria Severi, Alexandre Barbosa et al. "Balance and Gait of Frail, Pre-Frail, and Robust Older Hispanics", Geriatrics, 2018	<1%
24	dspace.knust.edu.gh Internet Source	<1%
25	jerami.faperta.unand.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
26	mgend.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp Internet Source	<1%



<1%

Kimmo J. Hatanpaa, Sandeep Burma, Dawen Zhao, Amyn A. Habib. "Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor in Glioma: Signal Transduction, Neuropathology, Imaging, and Radioresistance", Neoplasia, 2010

<1%

Publication

Chuanbao Zhang, Jiye Li, Haoyuan Wang, Sonya Wei Song. "Identification of a five B cell-associated gene prognostic and predictive signature for advanced glioma patients harboring immunosuppressive subtype preference", Oncotarget, 2016

<1%

Publication

N. V. Lyubimova, Yu. S. Timofeev, A. A. Mitrofanov, A. Kh. Bekyashev, Z. A. Goncharova, N. E. Kushlinskii. "Glial Fibrillary Acidic Protein in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Malignant Glial Tumors", Bulletin of Experimental Biology and Medicine, 2020

<1%

journal.ummat.ac.id

<1%

Exclude quotes On Exclude matches Off

Serum GFAP and EGFR as Supportive Diagnostic Biomarker of Glioma Patients A Single-Center Study

GRADEMA	GRADEMARK REPORT		
FINAL GRADE		GENERAL COMMENTS	
/0		Instructor	
-			
PAGE 1			
PAGE I			
	Comment 1 no comment		
PAGE 2			
PAGE 3			
PAGE 4			