

Dietary supplementation of butyric acid, probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* or their combination on weight gain, internal organ weight and carcass traits of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

by Endang Widiastuti

Submission date: 11-May-2023 10:33AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2090065811

File name: C1-_Livestock_Research_for_Rural_Development_31_9_2019.pdf (512.54K)

Word count: 3374

Character count: 16577

2

Dietary supplementation of butyric acid, probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* or their combination on weight gain, internal organ weight and carcass traits of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

Endang Widiastuti, Isroli Isroli, Retno Murwani, Tri A Sartono, Hanny I Wahyuni, Turrini Yudiarti and Sugiharto Sugiharto

3

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia
endwidia@yahoo.co.id

Abstract

The study investigated the effect of dietary supplementation of butyric acid, probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* or their combination on the weight gain, internal organ relative weight and carcass traits of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chicken (IICC). Two hundred day-old of the IICC were randomly distributed to CONT (basal diet supplemented with no additive), BUA (basal diet supplemented with 0.1% butyric acid), BAS (basal diet supplemented with 0.02% *B. subtilis*) and BUBA (basal diet supplemented with the blend of 0.1% butyric acid and 0.02% *B. subtilis*). Weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were weekly gathered. At week 8, the chicks were killed, and from which the internal organs weight and carcass yield were determined. Feed consumption was less ($p < 0.05$) in BUBA than in other groups at week 4. At week 8, weight gain and feed intake were higher ($p < 0.05$), while FCR was lower ($p < 0.05$) in the treated than in the control IICC. The relative weight of duodenum was lower ($p < 0.05$) in BUBA than in BUA chicks. The blends of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* resulted in greater ($p < 0.05$) proportion of the eviscerated carcass. In conclusion, the combination of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* was essential in improving the growth performance and carcass yield of the IICC.

Keywords: antibiotic alternative, crossbred chicken, organic acid, probiotics

Introduction

Recently, the meat from the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chicken (IICC), which is the hybrid of the Indonesian indigenous roosters and modern laying hen (Isa Brown),

has gained increasing interest from the consumers due to its unique taste and texture compared to meat from the modern broilers (Pramono 2006). The increasing demand for such product may consequently encourage the farmers' effort to increase population as well as productivity of the ICC. For the latter purpose, farmers have traditionally used antibiotics in the ICC diets. In response to the consumers' concern regarding to the phenomenon of antimicrobial resistance, the application of in-feed antibiotics in poultry diets have, however, been prohibited in Indonesia start from 2018. Indeed, the negative effect of antibiotic withdrawal from chicken diets has widely been suffered by poultry farmers. The in-feed antibiotic retraction may lead to many problems related to infections and retarded growth rate in chickens (Sugiharto 2016; Sugiharto and Ranjitkar 2019). For the sustainable and profitable production, it is therefore essential to find the effective alternative to in-feed antibiotics for the ICC. Among the alternatives to in-feed antibiotics, organic acids particularly butyric acid has long been used as feed additive in broiler production. The acid has been shown to protect the chicks from the attack of pathogenic bacteria (Panda et al 2009). Unlike other organic acids, butyric acid is a substrate or energy source that is very important for enterocytes or intestinal epithelial cells (Deepa et al 2018). For this reason, administration of butyric acid could improve the morphology and functions of the small intestine and thus growth performance of chicks (Salmanzadeh 2013; Kaczmarek et al 2016; Deepa et al 2018). Other than organic acids, probiotics have been used by farmers to help maintaining health and improve the production performances of chicks. Of the probiotics, *Bacillus subtilis* has widely been applied in poultry production (Sugiharto et al 2018a).

To maximize the health- and growth-promoting effects on chickens, organic acids may be combined with probiotics. Earlier study by Rodjan et al (2018) revealed that the blend of organic acids and probiotics was attributed to better intestinal morphology and microbial ecosystem when compared with the single use of either organic acids or probiotics. In contrast, Agboola et al (2015) and Barbieri et al (2015) did not observe any synergistic effect between organic acids and probiotics on the growth performance of broilers. It seemed that the nature of organic acids and probiotics combined as well as the acid tolerance of probiotics to organic acids may account for the above divergent results. In this current study, butyric acid was combined with *B. subtilis* to maximize the production performance of the ICC fed antibiotics-free diets. Probiotic *B. subtilis* was selected given its ability to form endospores enabling the bacteria to tolerate many extreme conditions (Ulrich et al 2018), including acidic properties of butyric acid. The present study aimed to investigate the effect of dietary supplementation of butyric acid, probiotic *B. subtilis* or their combination on the weight gain, internal organ relative weight and carcass traits of the ICC.

Materials and methods

Two hundred day-old of the ICC were employed in the present trial. At arrival at the chicken house, the initial body weight (BW; 38.1 ± 0.37 g) of chicks were recorded and distributed to four dietary treatment groups, each consisting of five replicates with 10 chicks in each. These dietary groups were COM (chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with no additive), BUA (chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.1% butyric acid), BAS (chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.02% *B. subtilis*) and BUBA (chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with the blend of 0.1% butyric acid and 0.02% *B. subtilis*). Butyric acid (Butipearl, Kemin Cavriago, Italy) and *B. subtilis* (Baymix Grobig, PT. Bayer Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia) were incorporated into the basal feeds at the ultimate of the mixing process. The basal feeds were prepared in mash form and formulated as starter and finisher feeds (Table 1). The basal feeds contained no antibiotics, enzymes, antiprotozoal and antifungal agents. The feeds and water were served *ad libitum* to all chicks for the entire period of trial.

Table 1. Ingredients and nutrient compositions of diets

Items (% , unless otherwise noted)	Starter (days 1-28)	Finisher (days 29-56)
Maize	54.8	58.5
Soybean meal	35.7	32.8
Meat bone meal	4.75	2.00
Soybean oil	1.50	3.50
DL-methionine, 990 g	0.7	0.30
L-Lysine, 780 g	0.20	0.20
Limestone	0.50	0.50
Dicalcium phosphate	1.50	1.50
Premix ¹	0.50	0.50
Salt	0.25	0.25
Calculated composition:		
Crude protein	22.0	20.0
Crude fiber	5.50	5.50
Ca	1.00	1.00
P (available)	0.60	0.60
Lysine	1.20	1.20
Methionine	0.60	0.60

¹Premix contained (per kg of diet) of vit A 7,750 IU, vit D3 1,550 IU, vit E 1.88 mg, vit B1 1.25 mg, vit B2 3.13 mg, vit B6 1.88 mg, vit B12 0.01 mg, vit C 25 mg, folic acid 1.50 mg, Ca-d-pantothenate 7.5 mg, niacin 1.88 mg, biotin 0.13 mg, BHT 25 mg, Co 0.20 mg, Cu 4.35 mg, Fe 54 mg, I 0.45 mg, Mn 130 mg, Zn 86.5 mg, Se 0.25 mg, L-lysine 80 mg, Choline chloride 500 mg, DL-methionine 900 mg, CaCO₃ 641.5 mg, Dicalcium phosphate 1500 mg

Vaccinations using commercial Newcastle disease vaccine (NDV) were conducted at day 4 and week 4 through eye drop and drinking water, respectively. The data on weight gain, feed intake and feed conversion ratio (FCR) were weekly gathered

throughout the experiment. At the ultimate of experiment (week 8), five chicks per treatment group (one chicks from each replicate) were killed (by neck-cutting) and de-feathered. The chicks were then eviscerated, and the internal organs were quickly obtained, emptied and weighed. The carcass yield and commercial cuts of chicks were also determined.

The present in vivo study was designed according to a completely randomized design. The data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The Duncan's multiple-range test was further carried out if the differences ($p < 0.05$) were seen among the treatment groups.

Results and discussion

Data on the performances of the IICC are presented in Table 2. Accumulative feed intake was less ($p < 0.05$) in BUBA than in other treatment groups at week 4. At week 8, weight gain and accumulative feed intake were higher ($p < 0.05$), while the FCR was lower ($p < 0.05$) in the treated IICC when compared with the control IICC. These present findings were in agreement with that of formerly reported in broiler chicken studies. Panda et al (2009) documented that feeding diets supplemented with 0.2% butyric acid improved the growth rate and FCR of broiler chicks. It seemed that butyric acid supplementation was able to improve the morphology of the intestine resulting in better growth performance and nutrient utilization by the chicks (Panda et al 2009; Kaczmarek et al 2016; Sugiharto 2016; Deepa et al 2018). With regard to the effect of probiotic *B. subtilis*, such dietary supplementation has also been reported to improve the growth and feed efficiency both in modern broiler chickens (Sugiharto et al 2018a) as well as in the IICC (Sugiharto et al 2018b). The capability of *B. subtilis* in improving the physiological conditions, immune system and the intestinal ecology of the IICC may explain the growth-promoting effect of above mentioned additive (Sugiharto et al 2018ab). In this study, cumulative feed intake was notably higher ($p < 0.05$) in BAS and BUBA than in CONT and BUA chicks. In the case of feed intake in BAS group, the corresponding results were formerly documented by Abdel-Hafeez et al (2017) and Gao et al (2017), at which feeding probiotic *B. subtilis* resulted in increased feed consumption in modern broiler strains. These authors suggested that the increase in the appetite and the improved intestinal morphology and functions accounted for the substantial increased feed utilization and, thereby, feed intake in broiler chicks provided with *B. subtilis*. With regard to the high feed intake in BUBA, the effect of probiotic *B. subtilis* seemed to be more dominant than that of butyric acid, as we did not find any increasing effect of butyric acid on the feed consumption of chicks as compared to the IICC in control group. In this study, the combination of butyric and *B. subtilis* did not further improve the growth

performance of the IICC. The reason for the latter condition was not exactly known, but the maximum growth potential (genetic potential) of the IICC perhaps limit the growth-promoting potential of the blends of butyric and *B. subtilis*. Previously, we reported that the IICC reached the live BW of 830 to 881 g at 10 weeks of age (Sugiharto et al 2018b).

Table 2. Performances of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

Items	Experimental groups				SEM	p value
	CONT	BUA	BAS	BUBA		
Days 1-28						
BW (g)	246	271	267	265	6.17	0.32
Weight gain (g/d)	7.42	8.34	8.18	8.11	0.22	0.50
Feed intake, g/d	15.2 ^a	14.8 ^a	15.0 ^a	14.5 ^b	0.08	<0.01
FCR	2.01	1.82	1.86	1.81	0.11	0.35
Days 1-56						
BW (g)	624 ^c	702 ^b	797 ^a	820 ^a	15.3	<0.01
Weight gain (g/d)	10.5 ^c	11.9 ^b	13.6 ^a	14.0 ^a	0.34	<0.01
Feed intake, g/d	28.6 ^b	29.3 ^b	32.4 ^a	33.6 ^a	0.52	<0.01
FCR	2.75 ^a	2.48 ^b	2.39 ^b	2.41 ^b	0.05	<0.01

CONT: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with no additive, BUA: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.1% butyric acid, BAS: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.02% *B. subtilis*, BUBA, chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with the blend of 0.1% butyric acid and 0.02% *B. subtilis*, SE: standard error, BW: body weight, FI: feed intake, FCR: feed conversion ratio

It was apparent in this current study that the relative weight of duodenum was lower ($p < 0.05$) in BUBA as compared particularly with that in BUA chicks (Table 3). In the latter case, it was difficult to infer that the lower duodenum relative weight was attributed to the negative effect of the blend of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* on the IICC, as the weight gain of the BUBA was greater than BUA chicks. The lower duodenum relative weight in the BUBA seemed due to the higher live BW of the chicks in the BUBA group that had been used as the denominator in the calculation (Sugiharto et al 2018a).

Table 3. Internal organs of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

Items (% live BW)	Experimental groups				SEM	p value
	CONT	BUA	BAS	BUBA		
Heart	0.48	0.49	0.55	0.50	0.02	0.08
Liver	2.41	2.27	2.31	2.27	0.08	0.55
Proventriculus	0.71	0.74	0.71	0.68	0.06	0.92
Gizzard	3.95	3.50	3.44	3.18	0.20	0.09
Spleen	0.26	0.20	0.27	0.21	0.03	0.41
Thymus	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.44	0.06	0.72
Bursa of Fabricius	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.02	0.70
Duodenum	0.69 ^{ab}	0.89 ^a	0.67 ^{ab}	0.46 ^b	0.08	0.01
Jejunum	1.19	1.21	1.16	0.84	0.18	0.44
Ileum	0.95	0.86	0.75	0.95	0.12	0.62
Pancreas	0.31	0.32	0.34	0.29	0.03	0.63

CONT: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with no additive, BUA: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.1% butyric acid, BAS: chicks provided with basal diet

supplemented with 0.02% *B. subtilis*, BUBA, chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with the blend of 0.1% butyric acid and 0.02% *B. subtilis*, SE: standard error, BW: body weight

In this study, the administration of butyric acid or *B. subtilis* alone did not exert any effect on the eviscerated carcass of the IICC. Interestingly, the combination of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* resulted in greater ($p < 0.05$) proportion of the eviscerated carcass (Table 4). This may suggest the beneficial effect of the blend of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* in increasing the carcass yield of the IICC. The mechanism by which the blend of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* affecting the carcass yield of the IICC remains unclear, but the attribution of such blend in increasing the final BW of the IICC seemed to be responsible. Our latter inference was supported by Marapana et al (2016) previously reporting that eviscerated carcass of chicks tended to increase as the slaughter weight increased.

Table 4. Carcass traits of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

Items	Experimental groups				SE	p value
	CONT	BUA	BAS	BUBA		
Live weight, g	626 ^c	701 ^b	800 ^a	822 ^a	19.3	<0.01
As % live weight						
Carcass	54.2 ^b	56.8 ^b	58.0 ^{ab}	61.2 ^a	1.33	0.02
As % carcass						
Breast	23.3	24.4	21.5	21.5	1.53	0.46
Thigh	16.4	17.1	16.4	17.0	0.39	0.49
Drumstick	17.7	17.2	17.6	17.4	0.48	0.88
Wings	16.9	16.0	16.3	16.0	0.37	0.27
Back	25.6	25.3	28.1	28.2	1.53	0.39

CONT: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with no additive, BUA: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.1% butyric acid, BAS: chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with 0.02% *B. subtilis*, BUBA, chicks provided with basal diet supplemented with the blend of 0.1% butyric acid and 0.02% *B. subtilis*, SE: standard error, BW: body weight

Conclusion

- The combination of butyric acid and *B. subtilis* was essential in improving the growth performance and carcass yield of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by the Faculty of Animal and Agricultural Sciences, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java Indonesia.

References

- Abdel-Hafeez H M, Saleh E S E, Tawfeek S S, Youssef I M I and Abdel-Daim A S A 2017** Effects of probiotic, prebiotic, and synbiotic with and without feed restriction on performance, hematological indices and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Asian-Australasian Journal Animal Science*, 30, 672-682. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0535>
- Agboola A F, Omidwura B R O, Odu O, Popoola I O and Iyayi E A 2015** Effects of organic acid and probiotic on performance and gut morphology in broiler chickens. *South African Journal of Animal Science*, 45, 494-501. <https://doi.org/10.4314/sajas.v45i5.6>
- Barbieri A, do Valle Polycarpo G, Cardoso R G A, da Silva K M, Dadalt J C, Madeira A M B N, de Sousa R L M, de Albuquerque R and Cruz-Polycarpo V C 2015** Effect of probiotic and organic acids in an attempt to replace the antibiotics in diets of broiler chickens challenged with *Eimeria* spp. *International Journal of Poultry Science*, 14, 606-614. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2015.606.614>
- Deepa K, Purushothaman M R, Vasanthakumar P and Sivakumar K 2018** Butyric acid as an antibiotic substitute for broiler chicken - a review. *Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 6, 63-69. <https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2018/6.2.63.69>
- Gao Z, Wu H, Shi L, Zhang X, Sheng R, Yin F Gooneratne R 2017** Study of *Bacillus subtilis* on growth performance, nutrition metabolism and intestinal microflora of 1 to 42 d broiler chickens. *Animal Nutrition*, 3, 109-113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2017.02.002>
- Kaczmarek S A, Barria, Hejdysz M and Rutkowski A 2016** Effect of different doses of coated butyric acid on growth performance and energy utilization in broilers. *Poultry Science*, 95, 851-859. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pev382>
- Marapana R A U J 2016** Effect of different dress weight categories on yield part percentage and relationship of live and dress weight of broiler carcasses slaughter at different conditions. *Journal of Food Science and Technology Nepal*, 9, 31-38.
- Pramono D 2006** Ayam hasil persilangan sebagai alternatif pengembangan usaha ternak unggas. *Prosiding Lokakarya Nasional Inovasi Teknologi dalam Mendukung Usaha Ternak Unggas Berdaya Saing*. Puslitbang Peternakan, Bogor (article in Indonesian language).
- Rodjan P, Soisuwan K, Thongprajukaew K, Theapparat Y, Khongthong S, Jeenkeawpieam J and Salaeharac T 2018** Effect of organic acids or probiotics alone or in combination on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, enzyme activities, intestinal morphology and gut microflora in broiler chickens. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 102, e931-e940. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpn.12858>
- Salmanzadeh M 2013** Evaluation of dietary butyric acid supplementation on small intestinal morphology, performance and carcass traits of Japanese quails. *Revue de Médecine Vétérinaire*, 164, 481-485.
- Sugiharto S 2016** Role of nutraceuticals in gut health and growth performance of poultry. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, 15, 99-111. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2014.06.001>
- Sugiharto S and Ranjitkar S 2019** Recent advances in fermented feeds towards improved broiler chicken performance, gastrointestinal tract microecology and immune responses: A review. *Animal Nutrition*, 5, 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2018.11.001>

Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Isroli I, Widiastuti E and Wahyuni HI 2018b Hematological parameters and selected intestinal microbiota populations in the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens fed basal diet supplemented with multi-strain probiotic preparation in combination with vitamins and minerals. *Veterinary World*, 11, 874-882. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.874-882>

Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Isroli I, Widiastuti E, Wahyuni H I and Sartono TA 2018a The effect of fungi-origin probiotic *Chrysonilia crassa* in comparison to selected commercially used feed additives on broiler chicken performance, intestinal microbiology, and blood indices. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, 5, 332-342. <https://doi.org/10.5455/javar.2018.e284>

Ulrich N, Nagler K, Laue M, Cockell CS, Setlow P and Moeller R 2018 Experimental studies addressing the longevity of *Bacillus subtilis* spores - The first data from a 500-year experiment. *PLoS ONE* 13(12): e0208425. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208425>

Received 15 July 2019; Accepted 28 July 2019; Published 1 September 2019

[Go to top](#)

Dietary supplementation of butyric acid, probiotic *Bacillus subtilis* or their combination on weight gain, internal organ weight and carcass traits of the Indonesian indigenous crossbred chickens

ORIGINALITY REPORT

14%

SIMILARITY INDEX

9%

INTERNET SOURCES

15%

PUBLICATIONS

6%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Sato, K., K. Matsushita, K. Takahashi, M. Aoki, J. Fuziwara, S. Miyanari, and T. Kamada. "Dietary supplementation with 5-aminolevulinic acid modulates growth performance and inflammatory responses in broiler chickens", *Poultry Science*, 2012. **3%**
Publication
- 2** Submitted to University of New England **2%**
Student Paper
- 3** Endang Widiastuti, Isroli Isroli, Turini Yudiarti, Sugiharto Sugiharto, Tri Agus Sartono, Hanny Indrat Wahyuni, Retno Murwani. "Haematological indices and carcass yield of Kampong chickens administrated with turmeric () ", *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2020 **1%**
Publication

4

D.H. Nguyen, K.Y. Lee, M. Mohammadigheisar, I.H. Kim. "Evaluation of the blend of organic acids and medium-chain fatty acids in matrix coating as antibiotic growth promoter alternative on growth performance, nutrient digestibility, blood profiles, excreta microflora, and carcass quality in broilers", Poultry Science, 2018

Publication

1 %

5

Sugiharto Sugiharto, Turrini Yudiarti, Isroli Isroli. "Performances and haematological profile of broilers fed fermented dried cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz)", Tropical Animal Health and Production, 2016

Publication

1 %

6

Submitted to Mansoura University

Student Paper

1 %

7

eprints2.undip.ac.id

Internet Source

1 %

8

D.V. Thomas, A.L. Molan, Y. Singh, V. Ravindran. "Influence of green tea powder on the performance, nutrient utilisation, caecal microbiota profile and meat quality of broiler chickens", Journal of Applied Animal Nutrition, 2022

Publication

1 %

9

doaj.org

Internet Source

1 %

10

sintadev.ristekdikti.go.id

Internet Source

1 %

11

Antón Pascual, Angela Trocino, Marco Birolo, Barbara Cardazzo et al. "Dietary supplementation with sodium butyrate: growth, gut response at different ages, and meat quality of female and male broiler chickens", Italian Journal of Animal Science, 2020

Publication

1 %

12

Doaa Ibrahim, Amira Moustafa, Sara E. Shahin, Wafaa R. I. A. Sherief et al. "Impact of Fermented or Enzymatically Fermented Dried Olive Pomace on Growth, Expression of Digestive Enzyme and Glucose Transporter Genes, Oxidative Stability of Frozen Meat, and Economic Efficiency of Broiler Chickens", Frontiers in Veterinary Science, 2021

Publication

1 %

13

Submitted to University of Limpopo

Student Paper

1 %

14

www.lrrd.org

Internet Source

1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 1%

Exclude bibliography On