# A simplified kinetics model of natural and iron complex catalysed hydrogen peroxide oxidation of starch

by Ratnawati Ratnawati

Submission date: 11-Oct-2020 07:47AM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1411333382 File name: iron\_complex\_catalysed\_hydrogen\_peroxide\_oxidation\_of\_starch.pdf (700.97K) Word count: 5685 Character count: 29301 Research article 💻

### A simplified kinetics model of natural and iron complex catalysed hydrogen peroxide oxidation of starch

Andri Cahyo Kumoro,\* R. Ratnawati and Diah Susetyo Retnowati

Received 7 December 2014; Revised 23 March 2015; Accepted 31 March 2015

KEYWORDS: kinetics; modeling; carbohydrate; controlling rate; solid-liquid reaction

#### INTRODUCTION

Although oxidised starch is primarily used as surface zing agents and coating binders in the paper industry as well as to provide water holdout for superior proting properties,<sup>[1]</sup> its applications in any industries where film formation and adhesion properties are desired steadily increase.<sup>[2]</sup> Oxidised starch is commonly manufactured by oxidation of starch using a specific dising agent under controlled pH and temperature. Hydroxyl groups on the starch molecules are oxidised to carbonyl and carboxyl groups during the oxidation process, which contribute to the in 12 ovement of starch paste stability.<sup>[1]</sup> In addition, the reaction also causes degradation of the starch molecules producing a modified starch with low viscosity. This allows the use of oxidised starch in various applications where high solid concentration is needed.<sup>[3]</sup>

A number of oxidising agents have been used in the starch oxidation process including sodium hypochlorite, bromine, periodate, permanganate, hydrogen peroxide
 d ammonium persulfate.<sup>[2]</sup> Due to its high efficiency, hypochlorite oxidation is the most common technique for the commercial production of oxidised starch.

Unfortunately, this oxidant may lead to a for 13tion of toxic chlorinated by-products. Alternatively, hydrogen peroxide has also been used as oxidant in a commercial practice to a much lesser extent. Unlike sodium hypochlorite, this oxidant does not generate harmful by-product. During oxidation reaction, decomposition of hydrogen peroxide produces an oxygen atom to oxidise the starch, leaving water as a by-product. For that reason, hydrogen peroxide is therefore considered as a more environmentally benign and preferred oxidant especially when a chlorine-free process is obliged.<sup>[1,4]</sup> 7 Unfortunately, only few studies on the kinetics of starch oxidation by hydrogen peroxide are reported in the literature.<sup>[1,5]</sup> Most reported studies were performed in batch reactors and followed by analysing only the final products.<sup>[6,7]</sup> It is reported that hydroxyl groups in starch molecules are first oxidised to carbonyl groups and then to carboxyl groups, which primarily occurs at C-2, C-3 and C-6.<sup>[4]</sup> This would indicate that the reaction path is consecutive with carbonyl groups as intermediates, which react further to carboxyl groups after prolonged action times. Depending on the type of oxidant used, parallel reaction paths are also reported. An oxident may promote selective formation of carbonyl groups by oxidation of the hydroxyl groups at the positions C-2 and C-3, whereas another oxidant may trigger the hydroxyl groups at the position C-6 to form carboxyl groups.<sup>[8]</sup> A study by Tolvanen *et al.*  2) the catalytic system FePcS/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concluded that oxidation reactions take place mainly on the outer 2) rface of the starch granules. As a result, partial surface oxidation of the granules allows further oxidation in the inner part of the granules that 2) comes more accessible.<sup>[5]</sup> Therefore, to obtain a clear understanding of the reaction kinetics of starch oxidation using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and metal complex 2) talyst, a set of experiments was performed in a batch mode (all components were added at the beginning of the experiments) followed by a simple mathematical modelling.

#### REACTION KINETIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT

A simple kinetic model was proposed to explain the reaction rate of starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide with or without the presence of water soluble iron complex catalyst. Because the residual concentration of free hydrogen peroxide in the bulk liquid is the easiest parameter to measure, then the overall oxidation reaction rate was simply expressed as the rate of hydrogen peroxide consumption rather than the rate of carbonyl and carboxyl group formations in the starch molecules. To adequately describe the real phenomena of the system, the following assumptions were taken: (1) oxidation reactions occur mainly on the outer surface of the starch granules and followed by further oxidation in the inner part of the granules, [5] (2) no accumulation of substances in the combined film on the solid film region, (3) reaction temperatures are set to be below the gelatinization temperature of the starch; therefore, changes in the surface area and volume of starch granules are negligible and (4) reaction rate is temperature, hydrogen peroxide concentration, pH and impurities dependent.

## Decomposition of hydrogen peroxide with or without catalyst

Under alkaline condition, hydrogen peroxide a ontaneously decomposes to oxygen and water, and the rate of this natural decomposition is dependent on the temperature, concentration and impurities. The overall reaction of natural decomposition of hydrogen peroxide [Eqn (1.d)] was proposed to be a sequential reaction comprising Eqn (1.a) and Eqn (1.b).<sup>[5]</sup> Ionisation of hydrogen peroxide to form a proton and a hydroperoxide anion also simultaneously occurs as expressed by Eqn (1.c).<sup>[9]</sup>

Natural decomposition:

$$OH^- + H_2O_2 \leftrightarrows HO_2^- + H_2O$$
 (1.a)

$$H_2O_2 + HO_2^{-} \hookrightarrow OH^{-} + H_2O + O_2 \qquad (1.b)$$

A SIMPLIFIED KINETICS MODEL OF HYDROGEN PEROXIDE 649

$$\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{2} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{H}^{+} + \mathrm{H}\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \tag{1.c}$$

$$2H_2O_2 \Leftrightarrow 2H_2O + O_2$$
 (1.d)

In the presence of metal catalyst however, hydrogen peroxide is decomposed more rapidly, forming a hydroxide ion (OH<sup>-</sup>), hydroxyl radicals (•HO) and hydroperoxide radical (•OOH) [Eqns (2.a) and (2.b)]. <sup>[4]</sup> The metal catalyst is regenerated according to Eqn (2.e). Moreover, radical interactions can lead to recombination at rate constant of  $5 \times 10^9$  (1/M.s) to form hydrogen peroxide according to Eqn (2.k).<sup>[10]</sup> However, the steady-state concentration of hydroxyl radicals is only about  $10^{-10}$  M at best; therefore, under normal circumstances, recombination of OH radicals to form hydrogen peroxide can be totally neglected. The metal-catalysed decomposition of hydrogen peroxide takes place via the following mechanisms<sup>[11]</sup>:

Catalytic decomposition:

$$M^{2^+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow M^{3^+} + OH^- + \bullet OH$$
 (2.a)

$$\bullet OH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + \bullet OOH$$
(2.b)

$$\bullet OOH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2 + \bullet OH$$
 (2.c)

$$\mathbf{M}^{2^{+}} + \bullet \mathbf{OH} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{3^{+}} + \mathbf{OH}^{-}$$
(2.d)

$$M^{3^+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow M^{2^+} + \bullet OOH + H^+$$
 (2.e)

•OOH
$$\rightarrow$$
 •O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + H<sup>+</sup> (2.f)

$$M^{3^+} + HO_2^- \rightarrow M^{2^+} + \bullet OOH$$
 (2.g)

$$\mathbf{M}^{3^{+}} + \mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{O}_{2} \leftrightarrows \mathbf{M} (\mathbf{HO}_{2})^{2^{+}} + \mathbf{H}^{+}$$
 (2.h)

$$M (HO_2)^{2^+} \rightarrow Fe^{2^+} + \bullet OOH$$
 (2.i)

$$\overline{H_2}O_2 + \bullet O_2^- \rightarrow \bullet OH + OH^- + O_2$$
 (2.j)

•OH + •OH 
$$\rightarrow$$
 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (2.k)

It is difficult to measure the concentration of radicals  $(C_{\bullet OOH} \text{ and } C_{\bullet OH})$  in the reaction system experimentally. Therefore, they should be calculated using the correlations between them and hydrogen peroxide concentration  $(C_{HPl})$  when only the  $M^{2+}$  is considered. The aforementioned correlations are expressed by the following equations<sup>[12]</sup>:

$$C_{\bullet OH} = C_{HR\bullet} = \frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2d}} C_{HPl}$$
(3.a)

$$C_{\text{OOH}} = \frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2c}} \frac{k_{2b}}{k_{2d}} C_{\text{HPI}}$$
(3.b)

 $k_{2a}$ ,  $k_{2b}$ ,  $k_{2c}$  and  $k_{2d}$  are the reaction rate constants for reactions (2.a), (2.b), (2.c) and (2.d), respectively.

Brooks and Moore<sup>[13]</sup> and Tolvanen et al.<sup>[1]</sup> reported that the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide under alkaline condition either without or with the presence of metal catalyst obeys second-order reaction with respect to hydrogen peroxide concentration. With the absence of metal ion, Brooks and Moore<sup>[13]</sup> assumed a non-chain process occurs when one mole of hydrogen peroxide and one mole of perhydroxyl anion form an intermediate complex, which decomposes to molecular oxygen. Tolvanen et al.<sup>[1]</sup> analyse their own hydrogen peroxide decomposition data empirically using power law to come out with conclusion of second-order reaction with respect to hydrogen peroxide concentration. To obtain better theoretical reason, we applied the integral method to analyse the kinetics of natural and metal ion catalysed decompositions of hydrogen peroxide using the data reported by Tolvanen et al.<sup>[T]</sup> That effort revealed that both natural and metalcatalysed decompositions of hydrogen peroxide are pseudo-first-order reactions with regard to hydrogen peroxide concentration. Our results agree well with Abbot and Brown<sup>[14]</sup> and Lin and Gurol,<sup>[15]</sup> who reported that the hydrogen peroxide reactions using Fe(III) are first order with respect to hydrogen peroxide 1 pncentration, and Tolvanen et al., [16] who investigate the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide with the presence of iron tetrasulfopthalocyar 3e. In addition, Lin et al. [17] also reported first-order kinetics of natural decomposition of hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, hydrogen peroxide decomposition rates can be simply written as

$$r_{\text{NatDecHP}} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPl}}}{dt}\right)_1 = -k_{\text{Nat}}C_{\text{HPl}}$$
 (4.a)

$$r_{\text{CatDecHP}} = - = -k_{\text{Cat}}C_{\text{HPl}} \tag{4.b}$$

 $k_{\text{Nat}}$  and  $k_{\text{Cat}}$  are the natural and catalytic decomposition rate constants. To facilitate further study on the effect of temperature (*T*) on the reaction rate, the reaction rate constant ( $k = k_{\text{Nat}}$  or  $k_{\text{Cat}}$ ) was assumed to follow the Arrhenius correlation:

$$k = A \cdot e^{-E/RT} \tag{5}$$

with A, E and R are the Arrhenius (collision) constant, activation energy and ideal gas constant, respectively.

## Diffusion of hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical from the bulk liquid to the surface of starch particles

As oxidation reactions take place mainly on the outer surface of the starch granules and followed by further oxidation in the inner part of the granules, hydrogen peroxide should diffuse from bulk of liquid to the active surface of solid granules.<sup>[5]</sup> Because the



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of concentration gradient for mass transfer through combined film in the solid phase for hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical.

diffusion resistance of fluid through the solid film is greater than the resistance of fluid diffusion through the fluid film, it is plausible to make simplification by assuming the existence of a combined film on the solid film region. Then, the concentration gradont can be approximated as shown in Fig. 1, and the mass transfer rate can be written as

$$r_{\text{DifHP}} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt}\right)_{3a}$$
$$= K_{\text{cs}} \frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} (C_{\text{HPs}}^{*} - C_{\text{HPs}}) \qquad (6.a)$$

$$D_{ifHR} = -\left(\frac{dC_{HRI}}{dt}\right)_{3b}$$
$$= K_{cs}\frac{S_{pt}}{V_{pt}}(C_{HR\bullet s}^{*} - C_{HR\bullet s}) \qquad (6.b)$$

with  $\frac{S_{\text{Pl}}}{V_{\text{Pl}}}$  and  $K_{\text{cs}}$  are, respectively, the specific surface area of the starch and combined mass transfer coefficient in the solid phase. In this case,  $C_{\text{HPs}}^*$  exists in equilibrium with  $C_{\text{HPl}}$ . Similarly,  $C_{\text{HR•s}}^*$  presents equilibrium with  $C_{\text{HR•l}}$  and can be represented by the following Henry-like correlations:

r

$$C_{\rm HPs}^* = H \times C_{\rm HPl} \tag{7.a}$$

$$C_{\mathrm{HR}\bullet\mathrm{s}}^{*} = H \times C_{\mathrm{HR}\bullet\mathrm{l}} \tag{7.b}$$

Substituting Eqn (7.a) to Eqn (6.a) gives

$$\begin{split} \hat{T}_{\text{DifHP}} &= -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt}\right)_{3a} \\ &= K_{\text{cs}}\frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}}(H \times C_{\text{HPI}} - C_{\text{HPs}}) \end{split} \tag{8.a}$$

Substituting Eqn (3.a) and Eqn (7.b) to Eqn (6.b) results

#### A SIMPLIFIED KINETICS MODEL OF HYDROGEN PEROXIDE 651

$$r_{\text{DifHR}} = -\frac{k_2}{k_5} \left( \frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt} \right)_{3b}$$
$$= K_{\text{cs}} \frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} \left( H \cdot \frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2d}} \cdot C_{\text{HPI}} - C_{\text{HR} \cdot \text{s}} \right) \qquad (8.b)$$

Reaction of atmospheric oxygen and hydroxyl radicals from hydrogen peroxide decomposition with starch molecules at the reaction surface

The reaction mechanisms of hydrogen peroxide with starch are very complex and may change depending on 24 reaction conditions. Carbohydrates with lacking of carbonyl group are almost inert to aqueous hydrogen peroxide.<sup>[18]</sup> Tolvanen *et al.*<sup>[5]</sup> observed no or hardly formation of new carboxyl groups during un-catalysed hydrogen peroxide oxidation of potato and corn starches. Direct oxidation of organic contaminants by atmospheric oxygen nom decomposition of hydrogen peroxide [Eqn (1.a)] is slow, often considered too slow to be of significance.<sup>[19]</sup> On the other hand, depending on pH of the system, the highly reactive hydroxyl radicar generated from hydrogen peroxide decomposition may match with carbohydrate exceedingly rapidly.<sup>[20]</sup> This free radical oxidises the glucose unit by subtracting hydrogen from a C-H group on the sugar ring, forming a radical (R•CHOH) that further reacts with metal ion or hydroger 10 eroxide yielding a carbonyl groups or oxidises hydroxyl groups in gl4 cose units to carbonyl and/or carboxyl groups.<sup>[2,6]</sup> Generally, though, the reaction follows a mechanism similar to the one listed as follows:

$$RCH_2OH + \bullet OH \rightarrow R\bullet CHOH + H_2O$$
 (9.a)

 $R \bullet CHOH + M^{3+} \rightarrow RCHO + H^{+} + M^{2+} \qquad (9.b)$ 

$$R \bullet CHOH + H_2O_2 \rightarrow RCHO + \bullet OH + H_2O$$
 (9.c)

B<sub>20</sub> d on their experiments on the influence of alkali on the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in the presence of starch, Tolvanen *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup> concluded that the decomposition is first order with respect to hydrogen peroxide. Therefore, the rate of reaction of starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide on the starch surface without catalyst can be written as

$$-r_{\rm OXHP} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\rm HPl}}{dt}\right)_4 = k_{\rm ox,Nat} \frac{S_{\rm pt}}{V_{\rm pt}} C_{\rm Ag} C_{\rm HPs} \quad (10)$$

 $C_{\text{HPS}}$  is the concentration of hydrogen peroxide in the starch surface, while  $k_{\text{ox,Nat}}$  is the reaction rate constant. Assumption (2) suggests an equimolar rate between hydrogen peroxide diffusion through the combined film and the reaction of hydrogen peroxide on the starch surface. Rearrangement of Eqns (8.a) and (10) will obtain

$$-r_{\text{OxHP}} = -r_{\text{DiffHP}} = \frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} \frac{H.C_{\text{HPl}}}{\left(\frac{1}{K_{\text{Cs}}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{os,Niff}}.C_{\text{Ag}}}\right)}$$
(11)

Because the concentration of starch molecules in the starch granules  $(C_{Ag})$  is very much higher than the concentration of hydrogen peroxide on the starch surface suggesting a nearly constant value of  $C_{Ag}$ , and the oxidation reaction is very slow,<sup>[5]</sup> then  $\frac{1}{28 \text{ K}_{Cs}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{ox,Nat}}C_{Ag}} \approx c$ . This simplification results in a pseudo-first-order reaction with respect to hydrogen peroxide concentration as follows:

$$-r_{\text{oxHP}} = -r_{\text{DiffHP}} = \frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} \cdot \frac{H}{c} \cdot C_{\text{HPl}}$$
(12)

Assumption (3) suggests that no starch fragmentation occurs during the oxidation process, therefore, the value of  $\frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} \cdot \frac{H}{c} = k_{\text{r,Nat}}$  will be constant. As a result, Eqn (12) becomes

$$-r_{\rm OxHP} = -r_{\rm DiffHP} = k_{r,\rm Nat} \cdot C_{\rm HPl}$$
(13)

The rate of reaction of starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide on the starch surface using metal ion catalyst can be expressed as

$$-r_{\rm OxHR} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\rm HRl}}{dt}\right)_5 = k_{\rm ox,Cat} \frac{S_{\rm pt}}{V_{\rm pt}} C_{\rm Ag} C_{\rm HR \bullet s}$$
(14.a)

Substituting of Eqn (3.a) to Eqn (14.a) results in

$$-r_{\text{OxHR}} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt}\right)_{5} = k_{\text{ox,Cat}} \frac{S_{\text{pt}}}{V_{\text{pt}}} \frac{k_{5}}{k_{2}} C_{\text{Ag}} C_{\text{HR} \cdot \text{s}}$$
(14.b)

 $C_{\text{HR-s}}$  is the concentration of hydroxyl radical in the starch surface, while  $k_{\text{ox,Cat}}$  is the catalytic reaction rate constant. Taking advantage of the assumption (2), the rate of hydro 14 radical diffusion through the combined film should be equal to the reaction rate of hydroxyl radical on the starch surface. Rearrangement Eqns (4.b), (8.b) and (14.b) will obtain

$$-r_{\text{OxHR}} = -r_{\text{CatDecHP}} = -r_{\text{DiffHR}}$$
$$= \frac{\left(1 + H \cdot \frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2d}}\right) \cdot C_{\text{HPI}}}{\left(\frac{1}{k_{Cat}} + \frac{V_{\text{pt}}}{S_{\text{pt}}} \frac{1}{k_{Cs}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{ox},Cat} \cdot C_{\text{Ag}}}\right)}$$
(15)

 $k_{2a}$  and  $k_{2d}$  are the reaction rate constants for reaction (2.a) and (2.d), and their value are  $6.3 \times 10^1$  (1/M.s)

and  $3.2 \times 10^8$  (1/M.s), respectively.<sup>[11]</sup> Therefore, the value of 1 + H.  $\frac{k_{2a}}{k_{2d}}$  will be reasonably approximated to be 1.Because the concentration of carbohydrate molecules in the starch granules ( $C_{Ag}$ ) is very much higher than the concentration of hydrogen peroxide, and the reaction with hydroxyl radical is very fast,<sup>[21]</sup> then  $\frac{1}{k_{oxcGat}, C_{Ag}} \approx 0$ . This simplification results in a pseudo-first-order reaction with respect to hydrogen peroxide concentration as follows:

$$-r_{\text{OxHR}} = -r_{\text{CatDecHP}} = -r_{\text{DiffHR}} = \frac{C_{\text{HPI}}}{\left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{Cat}}} + \frac{V_{\text{pt}}}{S_{\text{pt}}}\frac{1}{K_{\text{Ca}}}\right)}$$
(16)

Taking the benefit of assumption (3), no starch fragmentation occurs during the oxidation process; therefore, the value of  $\frac{V_{\text{Pf}}}{S_{\text{pf}}} \frac{1}{K_{\text{Cs}}} = \frac{1}{K_{\text{Cs}}}$  will be constant. As a result, Eqn (16) becomes

$$-r_{\text{OxHR}} = -r_{\text{CatDecHP}} = -r_{\text{DiffHR}}$$
$$= \frac{C_{\text{HP1}}}{\left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{Cat}}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{Ca}}}\right)}$$
(17)

#### The overall reaction rate

The overall reaction rate  $(r_{ox})$  during natural oxidation of starch using hydrogen peroxide can be regarded as the overall consumptions of hydrogen peroxide, which include the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide and oxidation of the starch. Because all hydroxyl radicals generated from catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide will oxidise starch molecules, then the overall reaction rate of catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to hydroxyl radical should be equal to the observed rate of reaction between hydroxyl radicals and starch molecules. For non-catalytic and catalytic oxidations of starch, this definition can be written as

$$-r_{\text{ox,Nat}} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt}\right) = -(r_{\text{DecHP}} + r_{\text{oxHP}})$$
$$= \left(k_{\text{Nat}} + k_{\text{r,Nat}}\right) \cdot C_{\text{HPI}}$$
(18.a)

$$-r_{\text{ox,Cat}} = -\left(\frac{dC_{\text{HPI}}}{dt}\right) = -r_{\text{OxHR}} = -r_{\text{CatDecHP}}$$
$$= -r_{\text{DiffHR}} = \frac{C_{\text{HPI}}}{\left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{Cat}}} + \frac{1}{K_{\text{Cs}}}\right)}$$
(18.b)

Under a specific condition, the values of  $k_{\text{Nat}} + k_{r,\text{Nat}}$ and  $\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{k_{\text{Cat}}} + \frac{1}{k_{\text{Cs}}}\right)}$  are constant, therefore they can be

written as kobs, Nat and kobs, Cat, respectively. Then,

the introduction of these new terms to either Eqn (18.a) or (18.b) and followed by integration from t=0 to t=t with boundary condition  $C_{HP1}=C_{HP10}$  and  $C_{HP1}=C_{HP1}$  should result

$$C_{\rm HPl} = C_{\rm HPlo}.e^{-(k_{\rm obs,Nat}).t}$$
(19.a)

$$C_{\rm HPI} = C_{\rm HPIo.} e^{-(k_{\rm obs,Cat}).t}$$
(19.b)

The optimum value of  $k_{obs, Nat}$  and  $k_{obs, Cat}$  in Eqns (19.a) and (19.b) can be obtained through minimisation of the average of absolute relative errors (AARD) between the calculated hydrogen peroxide concentration and that of experimental data reported by Tolvanen *et al.*<sup>[11]</sup> and Tolvanen *et al.*<sup>[16]</sup> for hydrogen peroxide oxidation of starch with or without iron tetrasulfoph-thalocyanine catalyst (FePcS).

$$AARD = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{n} ABS\left(\frac{C_{\text{HPI, exp}} - C_{\text{HPI, calc}}}{C_{\text{HPL, calc}}}\right) \times 100\%$$
(20)

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Native potato starch and high amylose corn st<sub>27</sub>h for oxidation experiments were purchased 8 cm Sigma– Aldrich Inc. (ST. Louis, MO, USA). All chemicals used were of analytical grade purity and purchased from Sigma–Aldrich Pte Ltd. (Singapore). Water soluble iron tetrasulfophthalocyanine (FePcS) was prepared by a modified Weber-Busch procedure as described previously by Hadasch *et al.*<sup>[21]</sup> The molecular structures of the FePcS catalyst and anhydroglucose unit of starch are illustrated in Fig. 2 (a) and 2(b).

#### Methods

#### **b** crostructure characterization of starches

**Low-temperat 6 e nitrogen adsorption was performed to determine the specific surface area, mesospore volume** and average pore diameter using an apparatus ASAP 2405 (Micromeritics Inc., USA). Measurements involved determining the isotherms of adsorption of high-purity nitrogen at temperature of 77.3 K. The monolayer capacity was calculated on the basis of Brunauer–Emmett–Teller adsorption isotherm from five measurement points in the relative pressure range  $p/p_0 \ 0.006-0.2$ .<sup>[22]</sup> Prior to the measurements, starch samples were dried for 24h in vacuum at 100 °C, automatically desorbed and flushed with pure helium. Pore characteristic was determined according to



Figure 2. The molecular structure of iron complex catalyst (a) and anhydroglucose unit (b).

Barrett-Joyner-Halenda method.<sup>[23]</sup> Samples were an 23 sed in triplicate.

Scanning electron microscopy was performed to obtain the shape, surface structure and size of starch Barticles. Starch samples were dehydrated in 99.6% 8 hanol, dried and directly mounted on circular Buminum stubs with silver paste. They were then 8 ated with gold using Sputter Coater CS 100 (Poland). Starch samples were observed and photographed in a Leica Cambridge S360 (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) scanning electron microscope at 1500 times (potato starch) and 5000 times (corn starch) magnifications with accelerating voltage of 10kV.[24]

#### Oxidation of starch

A set of experiments was performed in a 250 mL glass reactor immersed in a waterbath heater to maintain the temperature at 52 °C. A specific amount of starch (3.10 to 24.76 g) was suspended in 120 mL deionized water at room temperature to obtain solid to liquid ratio (S/L between 1/12 to 2/3). The suspension was introduced into the reactor and continuously agitated using a glass stirrer at 700 rpm. The suspension system

#### A SIMPLIFIED KINETICS MODEL OF HYDROGEN PEROXIDE 653

pas heated up, and the temperature was maintained at 52 °C. The pH was 51 justed manually to the desired level (7.8 or 8.4) by addition of 2M NaOH. All H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was added instantaneously, and the initial concentration of H2O2 was determined by titration prior to the catalyst addition. The stalyst was added by dissolving it (10, 20 or 😓 g) in 10 mL of water and poured into the reactor. During the experiments, samples were collected at certain time intervals, and the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration was immediately analysed by iodometric titration. The experiments were terminated when the  $H_2O_2$  concentration was close to zero (or alternatively until the concentration did not decrease significantly). To ensure the reproducibility of the data, the experiments were carried out in triplicate.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Non-catalytic starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide

The non-catalytic oxidation of corn and potato starches using hydrogen peroxide was carried out at 52 °C, which is below the gelatinization temperature of both starches. The gelatinization temperatures of the starch are 61.1 °C for potato starch<sup>[25]</sup> and 65.5 °C for corn starch.<sup>[26]</sup> The results of non-catalytic starch oxidation modelling are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 3. The profile of hydrogen peroxide concentrations during the oxidation of high amylopectin starch (potato starch) in comparison with high amylose starch (corn starch), both at pH=8.4 and temperature of 52 °C is shown in Fig. 3. For comparison purposes, the profile of hydrogen peroxide concentration during its natural decomposition is also included in that figure. Obviously, the difference of the hydrogen peroxide consumption rates is evident. In the presence of low amylose, hydrogen peroxide consumption rate was very slow, which the value almost the same with hydrogen peroxide decomposition rate. Starches with lack of carbonyl group or low amylose content are mostly inert to aqueous hydrogen peroxide as indicated by no or little formation of new carboxyl groups during potato starch oxidation by Tolvanen *et al.*<sup>[5]</sup> Petri *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> also suggest that direct oxidation of organic contaminants by atmospheric oxygen from

Table 1. Observed reaction rate constants (kobs, Nat) of non-catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of corn and potato starches at 52 °C and pH 8.4.

System	$k_{\rm obs,Nat}$ (1/min)	$K_s$ (1/min)	AARD (%)	Remark
$\begin{array}{c} H_2O_2\\ Corn \ starch + H_2O_2\\ Potato \ starch + H_2O_2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.68 \times 10^{-4} \\ 9.27 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.81 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$7.59 \times 10^{-4}$ $1.30 \times 10^{-5}$	3.16 8.21 3.37	High amylose High amylopectin

AARD, average of absolute relative errors.



Figure 3. Non-catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of corn and potato starch at 52 °C and pH 8.4.

decomposition of hydrogen peroxide is slow, often considered too slow to be of significance. For system with the presence of high amylose starch however, hydrogen peroxide consumption rate was much more rapid, and the reaction rate constant was about five times of that of low amylose starch. Because most amylose is residing mostly within the amorphous region of the starch granule, then it is logical that hydrogen peroxide will diffuse more beasily in the pores and reacts with corn starch.<sup>[27]</sup> In both cases, the reaction is well suited by the simple first-order kinetics. Based on the hydrogen peroxid poncentration curve, Tolvanen et al. concluded that in the absence of the catalyst, the hydrogen peroxide decomposition follows zero-order kinetics.<sup>[16]</sup> However, our analysis revealed that a first-order kinetic gives better estimation of hydrogen peroxide decomposition rate. The AARD of hydrogen peroxide concentrations calculated using Eqn (19.a) during corn and potato starch oxidations were 8.21% and 3.37%, respectively.

The model reveals that instead of only experiencing decomposition, some hydrogen peroxide molecules also simultaneously undergo reaction with starch. This

fact is indicated by lower hydrogen peroxide concentration at any time when starch is present in the system. In addition, the observed reaction rate constants of hydrogen peroxide-starch systems as tabulated in Table 1 are higher than that of hydrogen peroxide alone. Table 1 also discloses the fact that non-catalytic starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide is controlled by mass transfer resistance. Amylose-rich corn starch, which is more porous, has higher mass transfer coefficient or less mass transfer resistance than amylopectin rich potato starch, vaich is less porous. The scanning electron microscope images of potato and corn starches are presented in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b), while the pore and specific surface area of both starches obtained from low temperature nitrogen sorption analysis are presented in Table 2.

## Iron complex catalysed starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide

The catalytic starch oxidation investigated in this study employed iron tetrasulfophthalocyanine (FePcS) catalyst with concentration of 70-280 mg/L or about  $8.2 \times 10^{-5}$  -  $32.08 \times 10^{-5}$  m, while the initial hydrogen peroxide concentration was 2.1 m. The pseudo-firstorder reaction rate constant for decomposition of hydrogen peroxide using that water soluble iron complex catalyst in the absence of starch was  $35.80 \times 10^{-4}$  (1/min). This value is lower than that of reported by Lin and Gurol for the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide with goethite (a-FeOOH) catalyst, which is  $9.80 \times 10^{-3}$  (1/min).<sup>[15]</sup> However, the observed rate constant value is still higher than that of extrapolated value for decomposition of hydrogen peroxide using ferric perchlorate (Fe(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.9H<sub>2</sub>O) catalyst reported by De Laat and Gallard,[11] which is  $7.80 \times 10^{-4}$  (1/min). The difference of iron sources is likely to be the cause of the variation of apparently observed pseudo-first-order reaction rate constant values.



**Figure 4.** Scanning electron microscope photograph of native potato (a) and com (b) starches.

reported that hydrogen peroxide decomposition using

complexed Fe (III) catalyst is retarded by several

organic substrates. In addition, this hydrogen peroxide

decomposition also depends on hydrogen peroxide/ substrate ratios, concentration, pH and temperature.<sup>[28]</sup>

Surprisingly, the hydrogen peroxide decomposition

rate was also even faster when higher value of starchwater ratio (S/L) was applied. At higher value of

Material	Specific surface area $(m^2/g)$	Mesopore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> /g)	Average pore diameter (nm)	Remark
Corn starch	0.57	1 210	8.90	High amylose
Potato starch	0.15	158	6.52	High amylopectin

Table 2. Microstructure parameters of potato and corn starches.

The reaction between hydrogen peroxide and starch molecules was found to be highly dependent on the S/L ratio; catalyst concentration and pH (Tables 3–5 and Figs 5–7). However, Table 3 and Fig. 5 also show that iron-catalysed hydrogen peroxide decomposition under alkaline condition without starch was faster than that of with the presence of starch. Similar finding was also reported in the literature.<sup>[28]</sup> Walling and Goosen

Table 3. Observed reaction rate constants ( $k_{obs,Cat}$ ) of hydrogen peroxide oxidation of corn starch at 52 °C, 40 mg iron complex catalyst and pH 8.4 at various starch-water ratio.

Starch-water ratio (S/L)	$k_{\rm obs,Cat}$ (1/min)	$K_s$ (1/min)	AARD (%)
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> without	$25.80 \times 10^{-4}$		2.59
starch		_	
2/3	$18.73 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.16 \times 10^{-5}$	3.35
1/3	$16.03 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.70 \times 10^{-5}$	6.52
1/6	$11.03 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.85 \times 10^{-5}$	2.68
1/12	$9.84 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.65 \times 10^{-5}$	1.53

AARD, average of absolute relative errors.

Table 4. Observed reaction rate constants ( $k_{obs,Cat}$ ) of catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of potato starch at (S/L = 1/3), 52 °C and pH 8.4 using various concentration iron complex catalyst.

Catalyst concentration (mg/L)	k <sub>obs,Cat</sub> (1/min)	$K_s$ (1/min)	AARD (%)
70	$5.99 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.00 \times 10^{-5}$	3.13
140	$7.21 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.21 \times 10^{-5}$	2.72
280	$15.97 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.69 \times 10^{-5}$	2.67

AARD, average of absolute relative errors.

Table 5. Observed reaction rate constants ( $k_{obs,Cat}$ ) of catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of potato starch using iron complex catalyst at (S/L=1/3), 52 °C and various pH.

pН	$k_{\rm obs,Cat}$ (1/min)	$K_s$ (1/min)	AARD (%)
8.4	$22.00 \times 10^{-4}$	$8.33 \times 10^{-6}$	6.13
7.8	$4.98 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.71 \times 10^{-5}$	5.37

AARD, average of absolute relative errors.



**Figure 5.** Effect of starch–water ratio (S/L) on iron catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of corn starch at 52  $^{\circ}$ C and pH 8.4.



Figure 6. Effect of catalyst concentration on catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of potato starch using iron complex catalyst at (S/L = 1/3), 52 °C and pH 8.4.



**Figure 7.** Effect of pH on catalytic hydrogen peroxide oxidation of potato starch using 280 m/L iron complex catalyst at S/L = 2/3 and 52 °C.

starch-water ratio (S/L), more anhydroglucose units are available in the system for reaction with hydroxyl radicals. Therefore, the reaction occurs at higher speed. Figure 6 depicts that the reaction also goes more rapidly as more catalyst was added to the reaction system. At low catalyst concentration however, only slight differences in the reaction rate constant were observed (Table 4). Increasing of the pH of the reaction system from 7.8 to 8.4 also speeds up the reaction rate 4.4 times (Table 5 and Fig. 7). Smilar result was reported by Beltra'n *et al.* that the rates of hadroxyl radical generation by the Fenton's reaction increase with increasing pH.<sup>[29]</sup> Tao *et al.* mentioned that the hydrox gradical formation is faster at pH 8.4, which trigger nucleophilic peroxo complex PcSFe<sup>3+</sup>-OOto cleave the C-C bond bearing hydroxyl groups to form acids via a Grob-type fragmentation.<sup>[30]</sup> Caution should be taken as the cataly may also undergo deactivation at higher pH via fragmentation of the phthalocyanine ring in the presence of peroxides.[31] However, at even lower pH (acidic conditions), considerable depolymerisation of the starch occur.<sup>[32]</sup> In this catalytic system, starch oxidation can still procect at higher rates even under alkaline conditions, distinct from the Fenton reaction, in which iron ions will cause the precipitation of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>.nH<sub>2</sub>O at pH greater 21 3. In addition, Tachiev et al. also reported that pH plays a major role in hydrogen peroxide decomposition rate. For complexed iron (Fe-Ligand and related species), the pH range for significant decomposition extends from 2 to 10, facilitating hydrogen peroxide decomposition in the neutral pH range.<sup>[33]</sup>

The slower reaction of iron complex catalysed starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide compared with the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide under similar condition needs further examination to determine the rate controlling mechanism. Although without giving any evidence, Tolvanen *et al.* suggested that the oxidation of starch is mainly available at the granule surface, and less oxidation occurs in the centre of the particle.<sup>[5]</sup> Collinson and Thielemans also reviewed that the oxidation process begins on the surface of the starch particle before oxidative degradation improves the access of the catalyst to thable oxidation to occur inside the particle.<sup>[34]</sup> The hydroxyl radical has been traditionally known as the work orse of hydrogen peroxide oxidation systems. Hydroxyl radicals generally react with organic compounds via three mechanisms: hydrogen abstraction, addition to multiple gonds and direct electron transfer.[35] Because hydroxyl radicals are extremely reactive, it is plausible that they will react with hydrogen peroxide and other species on the starch surface nearly instantaneously before being able to difference back to the solution. Under these conditions, we may assume that 'OH and 'OOH will be completely consumed on the surface prior to diffusing to the solution. Thus, the reactions of 'OH and 'OOH with solutes in the solution phase are expected to be negligible under the given conditions.<sup>[15]</sup> Therefore, a possibility of intrinsic reaction rate on the starch granules as the rate controlling mechanism can now be vanished.

An analysis is then subjected to the rate of decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to form hydroxyl radicals. Hydrogen peroxide concentrations are high in this system because it mintroduced at a concentration of approximately 0.7 M. Because of this elevated concentration of hydrogen peroxide, as well as the relatively fast second-order reaction rate for reaction [Eqn (2.a)] (fast for a reaction not involving free radicals as a reactant), the pseudo-first-order rate constant of this reaction may be expected to be very fast. As a result, Fe (II) may be expected to be depleted from solution via Eqn (2.a) at a rapid rate, often within mingles or seconds. Considering that reactions (2.g)–(2.i) that regenerate Fe (II) from 1 (III) with reaction rate constant of  $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$  (1/s) are observed to be considerably slower than the constant of  $6.3 \times 10^1 (1/M.s)$ .<sup>[11]</sup> Thus, the cycling of n from Fe (III) back to Fe (II) via Eqns (2.g)–(2.i) will generally be the controlling step in the reaction scheme, and the bulk of the iron in the statem may be assumed to be Fe (III).<sup>[19]</sup> Having known the cycling rolling iron from Fe (III) back to Fe (II) as the controlling step in the catalytic decomposition of hydrogen peroxide to form hydroxyl radicals, then the controlling step of the overall starch oxidation is necessary to be investigated. The observed reaction rate constants were  $4.98 \times 10^{-4}$  to  $22.00 \times 10^{-4}$  (1/min), whereas the liquid-solid mass transfer coefficients ranged between  $8.33 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $3.71 \times 10^{-5}$  (1/min). It is clear now that mass transfer is the controlling step for the overall iron complex catalysed starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The non-catalytic and iron complex catalysed hydrogen peroxid 26 starch oxidations were found to follow pseudo-first-order reaction with respect to hydrogen peroxide concentration. The proposed model is adequately describing the mass transfer and reaction kinetics of both systems as reflected by AARD values between 1.53% and 8.21%. The effect of starch-water ratio (S/L), catalyst concentration and pH on the reaction rate was evaluated. The observed reaction rate constants ranged between  $1.81 \times 10^{-4}$  and  $18.73 \times 10^{-4}$ (1/min). On the other hand, the liquid-solid mass transfer coefficients obtained in this work exist between  $8.33 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $7.59 \times 10^{-5}$  (1/min). The mass transfer of hydroxyl radicals to starch granules was the controlling step for the overall mechanism during iron complex catalysed starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors greatly acknowledge Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia for its financial support through Non-Tax National Revenue-Diponegoro University Budget Execution List Year 2014 under contract No.: 023.04.02.189185/2014.

#### REFERENCES

## A simplified kinetics model of natural and iron complex catalysed hydrogen peroxide oxidation of starch



Environmental Science & Technology, 1998 Publication
P. Tolyanen, P. Mäki-Arvela, A.B. Sorokin, T.

4

P. Tolvanen, P. Mäki-Arvela, A.B. Sorokin, T. Salmi, D.Yu. Murzin. "Kinetics of starch oxidation using hydrogen peroxide as an environmentally friendly oxidant and an iron complex as a catalyst", Chemical Engineering Journal, 2009 Publication

5

Pasi Tolvanen, Alexander Sorokin, Päivi Mäki-Arvela, Dmitry Yu. Murzin, Tapio Salmi. " Oxidation of Starch by H O in the Presence of Iron Tetrasulfophthalocyanine Catalyst: The Effect of Catalyst Concentration, pH, Solid– Liquid Ratio, and Origin of Starch ", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2013 Publication

2%

2%

1%

6 Monika Sujka, Jerzy Jamroz. "Characteristics of pores in native and hydrolyzed starch granules", Starch - Stärke, 2010

 Kunruedee Sangseethong, Niti
 Termvejsayanon, Klanarong Sriroth.
 "Characterization of physicochemical properties of hypochlorite- and peroxide-oxidized cassava starches", Carbohydrate Polymers, 2010

8

Monika Sujka, Jerzy Jamroz. "α-Amylolysis of native potato and corn starches – SEM, AFM, nitrogen and iodine sorption investigations", LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2009 Publication

- 9 Collinson, S.R.. "The catalytic oxidation of biomass to new materials focusing on starch, cellulose and lignin", Coordination Chemistry Reviews, 201008 Publication
- 20 Zhang, Y.R.. "Preparation and properties of oxidized starch with high degree of oxidation", Carbohydrate Polymers, 20120301 Publication

Xia Tao, Wanhong Ma, Tianyong Zhang, Jincai Zhao. "A Novel Approach for the Oxidative Degradation of Organic Pollutants in Aqueous Solutions Mediated by Iron
 Tetrasulfophthalocyanine under Visible Light Radiation", Chemistry - A European Journal, 2002
 Publication

<1%

1%

1%

1%

Yunia Verónica García-Tejeda, Carlos López-González, Juan Pablo Pérez-Orozco, Rodolfo Rendón-Villalobos et al. "Physicochemical and mechanical properties of extruded laminates from native and oxidized banana starch during storage", LWT - Food Science and Technology, 2013 Publication

- Alvaro Renato Guerra Dias, Elessandra da
   Rosa Zavareze, Elizabete Helbig, Fernanda
- <**1**%

	Aline de Moura et al. "Oxidation of fermented cassava starch using hydrogen peroxide", Carbohydrate Polymers, 2011 Publication	
14	S. C. SAXENA. "Bubble Column Reactors and Fischer-Tropsch Synthesis", Catalysis Reviews, 1995 Publication	<1%
15	Benjamin G. Petri. "Fundamentals of ISCO Using Hydrogen Peroxide", In Situ Chemical Oxidation for Groundwater Remediation, 2011 Publication	<1%
16	Tufvesson, F "Digestibility of Starch Systems Containing Amylose-Glycerol monopalmitin Complexes", LWT - Food Science and Technology, 200105 Publication	< <b>1</b> %
17	Gallard, H "Spectrophotometric study of the formation of iron(III)-hydroperoxy complexes in homogeneous aqueous solutions", Water Research, 199909 Publication	<1%
18	Wang, Y.J "Physicochemical properties of common and waxy corn starches oxidized by different levels of sodium hypochlorite", Carbohydrate Polymers, 200305 Publication	<1%

Marianna Czaplicka, Katarzyna Jaworek, Marta Bąk. "Study of photodegradation and photooxidation of p-arsanilic acid in water solutions at pH = 7: kinetics and by-products", Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2015 Publication

<1%

<1%

Gilbert Gordon. "The role of transition metal ions on oxyhalogen redox reactions", Pure and Applied Chemistry, 1989

Publication

- G. Tachiev, J. A. Roth, A. R. Bowers. "Kinetics of hydrogen peroxide decomposition with complexed and ?free? iron catalysts", International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, 2000 Publication
- Graham C. Hobbs, John Abbot. "The Role of the Hydroxyl Radical in Peroxide Bleaching Processes", Journal of Wood Chemistry and Technology, 1994 Publication
- Shuidong Zhang, Fang Liu, Huaqiao Peng, Xiangfang Peng, Saihua Jiang, Junsheng Wang. "Preparation of Novel c-6 Position Carboxyl Corn Starch by a Green Method and Its Application in Flame Retardance of Epoxy Resin", Industrial & Engineering Chemistry

Research, 2015 Publication

24	Horace S. Isbell, Harriet L. Frush. "Mechanisms for hydroperoxide degradation of disaccharides and related compounds", Carbohydrate Research, 1987 Publication	<1%
25	Ho-In Lee. "Purification of Toxic Compounds in Water and Treatment of Polymeric Materials", Nanostructure Science and Technology, 2010 Publication	<1%
26	J. Abbot, D. G. Brown. "Kinetics of Iron- catalyzed decomposition of hydrogen peroxide in alkaline solution", International Journal of Chemical Kinetics, 1990 Publication	<1%
27	Sujka, M "@a-Amylolysis of native potato and corn starches - SEM, AFM, nitrogen and iodine sorption investigations", LWT - Food Science and Technology, 200909 Publication	<1%

Anna Goi, Marina Trapido. "Hydrogen peroxide photolysis, Fenton reagent and photo-Fenton for the degradation of nitrophenols: a comparative study", Chemosphere, 2002 Publication

Monika Sujka. "Influence of  $\alpha$ -amylolysis on the

## 29 formation of electron density inhomogeneities on the surface of starch granules", Starch -Stärke, 01/2011

<1%

<1%

Publication

30

Endre Nagy. "Basic equations of mass transfer through biocatalytic membrane layer", Asia-Pacific Journal of Chemical Engineering, 2009

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	Off		