

Dose Distribution 6 MV of X-Ray Photon Beam over Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles Solution

by Iis Nurhasanah

Submission date: 05-May-2023 02:06PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2084876586

File name: f_X-Ray_Photon_Beam_over_Cerium_Oxide_Nanoparticles_Solution.pdf (359.28K)

Word count: 2555

Character count: 14136



Dose Distribution 6 MV of X-Ray Photon Beam over Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles Solution

Iis Nurhasanah^{1*}, Hella Arofah¹, Agus Subagio¹, Eko Hidayanto¹, Tri Windarti²

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

²Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Semarang, Indonesia

*Corresponding author: Nurhasanah@fisika.fsm.undip.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received: 1 November 2020

Accepted: 26 November 2020

Available online: 30 November 2020

Keywords:

Nanoparticles

CeO₂

Dose distribution

Radioprotector

ABSTRACT

CeO₂ nanoparticles are biomaterial that demonstrate potential as antioxidant and radioprotector for medical application. In this study, the radioprotector properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles was evaluated by measuring absorbed dose of X-ray radiation. CeO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by precipitation and characterized using UV-Vis and FTIR spectrometers. CeO₂ nanoparticle solution was prepared with various concentrations from 0.005 mg/mL to 0.06 mg/mL. The solution was exposed to 6 MV X-ray photon beam from medical linear acceleration with a source-to-surface distance of 100 cm and radiation dose of 2 Gy. The absorbed dose of X-ray by CeO₂ nanoparticle solution was measured by an ion chamber detector. The absorbance peak at 301 nm observed in UV-Vis spectrum and absorbance peak at 854 and 492 cm⁻¹ in the FTIR spectrum confirmed the formation of CeO₂ nanoparticles. Radiation dose measurement exhibited dose reduction of CeO₂ nanoparticles solution depend on concentration. CeO₂ nanoparticle solution with concentration higher than 0.04 mg/mL showed potential application for radioprotector 6 MV of X-ray photon beam.

1. Introduction

To date, ionizing radiation such as X-rays is considered the most adequate method for cancer therapy. Cancer cells can be killed easily by ionizing radiation but this technique can also damage healthy tissues [1,2]. In high doses, healthy tissues are more difficulty in repairing themselves as opposed to abnormal tissues. Therefore, the challenge in cancer therapy is optimizing cancer cell killing and minimizing healthy cell damage surrounding cancer tissues. To overcome these problems, radiosensitizers have been developed to enhance cancer cell killing, whereas radioprotectors have been developed to protect healthy tissue and reduce radiation damage.

Cell damage due to ionizing radiation with low LET (photon or electron) is mainly ascribed to the effect of free radical generation [3]. Therefore, the material that can scavenge free radicals is beneficial as a radioprotector. Suitable antioxidant treatment in radiotherapy appears to inhibit or reduce harmful effect of free radical, thereby protecting against radiation. The toxicity, antioxidant activity and radioprotective effect of CeO₂ nanoparticles have been extensively investigated. The most attractive potential of CeO₂ nanoparticles is its possible application for anti-cancer treatment. CeO₂ nanoparticles are toxic to cancer cell, but exhibit low

toxicity to healthy cells around the cancer tissue and tend to protect such cells [4-8]. Given the antioxidant properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles, they are a good radioprotector of healthy tissue in radiotherapy. Antioxidant properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles attributed to the reversible transformation of oxidation state between Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ [4-9].

In our previous study, we reported that the antioxidant activity of CeO₂ nanoparticles depends on the preparation synthesis. CeO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by precipitation at various calcination temperatures. As-calcined CeO₂ nanoparticles at 500°C with concentrations of 0.02-0.06 mg/mL exhibited a protective effect on *Escherichia coli* damage induced by X-rays radiation [9]. When the CeO₂ nanoparticle solution was irradiated by 6 MV of X-rays photon beam, the X-rays interacted directly with the water molecules causing radiolysis and generating ROS. At the same time X-rays also interacted with CeO₂ nanoparticles causing the pair production effect [8, 10, 11]. In the present study, the radioprotective effect of CeO₂ nanoparticles were analyzed by investigating the dose distribution of X-rays radiation over CeO₂ nanoparticle solution with various concentrations. Furthermore, dose enhancement factor (DEF) of CeO₂ nanoparticles solutions was calculated to

evaluate the role of CeO₂ nanoparticles in radiotherapy. Nanoparticles can act as radioprotector when DEF < 1.0 [8, 10, 11].

2. Experimental method

Synthesis and Characterization of CeO₂ Nanoparticles

CeO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by precipitation with a solvent mixture of demineralized water and isopropanol with the volume ratio of 1:6. Precipitation was conducted by dripping ammonium hydroxide into cerium (III) nitrate hexahydrate solution until pH 10 was obtained. The product precipitate was dried at 60°C for 1 h and calcined at 500°C for 2 h [9].

Characterization was performed to identify the formation of CeO₂ nanoparticles. The optical properties and functional groups of CeO₂ nanoparticles were characterized using a UV-Vis and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer. The absorbance spectrum was then analyzed to determine the band gap, and the functional group of CeO₂ nanoparticles was identified by FTIR spectroscopy.

Measurement of Radiation Dose

CeO₂ nanoparticle solution was prepared by dissolving a certain amount of CeO₂ nanoparticles into 0.9% NaCl solution to obtain concentrations of 0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.04 and 0.06 mg/mL. Pure NaCl solution and CeO₂ nanoparticle solution were placed in a Petri dish with a diameter of 8.5 cm. Each solution was exposed to 6 MV X-ray photon beam from a medical linear accelerator. The radiation experiment was carried out with the source to surface distance of 100 cm, field width of 10 cm x 10 cm, and radiation dose of 2 Gy. The scheme of the setup for dose measurement is shown in Fig. 1. The radiation dose at given coordinate points was measured by an ion chamber detector. The data were then used to calculate the dose enhancement factor (DEF) using Eq. (1). DEF is defined as the ratio of absorbed dose with CeO₂ nanoparticles present to absorbed dose without CeO₂ nanoparticles [8, 10, 11].

$$DEF = \frac{\text{Dose in the sample with CeO}_2}{\text{Dose in the sample without CeO}_2} \quad (1)$$




Fig 1: Scheme of the setup for dose measurement.

3. Results and discussion

Characteristic of CeO₂ nanoparticles

Nanoparticles were obtained in the form of a fine yellow powder suggesting the formation of CeO₂. This can be confirmed based on the absorbance spectrum analysis. The absorbance spectrum in Fig.

2 shows the strong absorption properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles in the wavelength range between 200 and 350 nm. The figure also shows the absorption peak at 301 nm which was the peak characteristic of CeO₂ and correspond to the band gap of CeO₂ nanoparticles. The band gap was determined using Tauc's plot method, as shown in the insert Fig. 2. On the basis of the extrapolation of Tauc's plot, the band gap of CeO₂ nanoparticles in this study was 3.49 eV. This value was higher than the band gap of bulk CeO₂ (3.2 eV) [12]. The increase in band gap of CeO₂ nanoparticles compared with that of bulk CeO₂ was also observed in several studies, with value of 3.44 [13], 3.64-3.76 eV [14] and 3.46 eV [15]. In addition, the peak at 301 nm revealed the existency of Ce⁴⁺ on the sample that important role in the interaction with x-ray radiation as radioprotection [4-9].




Fig. 2: An absorbance spectrum of CeO₂ nanoparticles.

Fig. 3 depicts the FTIR spectrum of the synthesized CeO₂ nanoparticles. The absorption peak at 2000-3400 cm⁻¹ was observed for the OH stretching vibration of H₂O in the sample. The absorption bands at 1342 and 1542 cm⁻¹ were related to the physically adsorbed water molecules. The absorption bands at 491 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the stretching vibration characteristic of CeO₂. These results indicated the formation of CeO₂ nanoparticles [14-15].




Fig. 3: FTIR spectrum of CeO₂ nanoparticles.

Dose Distribution of X-ray over CeO₂ Nanoparticles Solution

The role of nanoparticles as radioprotector in radiotherapy, can be determined by the ability of nanoparticles to absorb radiation and is characterized by DEF. The dose distribution of each coordinate position for various concentrations of CeO₂ nanoparticles is shown in Fig. 4. The coordinate points from -50 to 50 represent the value of absorbed dose measured on the radiation field, whereas the other coordinate points show exposure

outside the radiation field, which was still captured by the detector. The insert in Fig. 4 shows the dose distribution over CeO₂ nanoparticle solutions differed for each concentration. The concentration of 0.02 mg/mL presented the highest absorbed dose value than the other concentrations, and it was higher than the absorbed dose for the solution without CeO₂ nanoparticles. Meanwhile, the absorbed dose of other concentrations was almost similar and close to the control. Thus, the ability of CeO₂ nanoparticles to absorb radiation was dependent on its concentration [8, 10, 11].

The potential role of CeO₂ nanoparticles in radiotherapy was then determined by calculating DEF. Nanoparticles can act as radioprotector when DEF < 1.0 [8, 10, 11]. Fig. 5 shows the DEF value of CeO₂ nanoparticles solution with various concentrations. The DEF value > 1 (1.001 and 1.004) were found for CeO₂ nanoparticle solutions with concentrations of 0.01 and 0.02 mg/mL, respectively. DEF values < 1 were found for other concentrations.





Fig. 4: Dose distribution in each position graph (a) target-gun, (b) left-right, (c) diagonal gun-right, and (d) diagonal gun-left, (the insert shows the dose distribution in a short range).

Theoretically, cross section probability of interaction between X-rays and CeO₂ nanoparticles solution would increase and X-rays radiation energy deposited in solution would also increase as increase in concentration [17-19]. Therefore, the absorbed dose increase and DEF decrease with increasing concentration of CeO₂ nanoparticles. However, DEF increased from 0.999 to 1.004 with increasing CeO₂ concentration from 0.005 to 0.02 mg/mL and then DEF decreased at concentration of CeO₂ nanoparticles 0.04 mg/mL. When the CeO₂ nanoparticle solution was irradiated by X-rays, the X-rays interacted directly with the water molecules causing radiolysis and generating ROS such as OH^{*}, H₂O₂, OH^{*}, O₂^{*}, H₂O^{*}, and H^{*}. Two valence states Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ (co-exist) were present on the surface of CeO₂ nanoparticles. CeO₂ nanoparticles could reversibly oxidize from Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ through interaction with free radicals. This phenomenon led to a reduction in the absorbed dose [4-8]. In addition, the physical interaction between photons (with energy > 1.02 MeV) and the high-Z material like Cerium (Z = 58) is predominantly based on the pair production effect [19]. The concentration of CeO₂ from 0.005 to 0.02 mg/mL did not provide an effective increase in the cross-section for the pair production, then DEF is increased. Meanwhile, the concentration of CeO₂ at 0.04 and 0.06 mg/mL provided more effective of cross section for the pair production lead to lower DEF.




Fig. 3: DEF for various concentrations of CeO₂ nanoparticles solution.

The major component in biological systems such as human tissue is water molecules. Therefore, by

injecting high-Z material, such as CeO₂ into tissue, the local radiation effect was enhanced and cell damage occurred. However, the obtaining DEF value is in the range of 0.998 to 1.004. These values are less than 1.01, so that CeO₂ nanoparticles could reduce the radiation dose and lead to a protective effect [8].

4. Conclusions

CeO₂ nanoparticles are biomaterials with potential application in radiotherapy. Cerium oxide nanoparticles were synthesized by precipitation. The peak in the observed absorbance spectrum at 301 nm wavelength and absorption bands at 491 cm⁻¹ observed on the FTIR spectrum corresponded to characteristic of CeO₂ nanoparticles. The radiation dose of 6 MV X-ray photon beam was measured to investigate the absorbed dose over CeO₂ nanoparticle solutions. The radiation dose distribution of CeO₂ nanoparticles solution was depended on the concentration. The DEF of the CeO₂ nanoparticles solution was lower than 1.0 for the CeO₂ concentrations higher than 0.04 mg/mL. Therefore, CeO₂ nanoparticles were found to provide radioprotection effects.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by The Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education of Republic of Indonesia.

References

[1] R. Baskar, K. A. Lee, R. Yeo and K. Yeoh, "Cancer and radiation therapy: Current advances and future directions," *Int. J. Med. Sci.*, **9**, 193-199, (2012).

[2] M. I. Koukourakis, "Radiation damage and radioprotectants: New concepts in the era of molecular medicine," *Br. J. Radiol.*, **85**, 313-330, (2012).

[3] P. Maier, F. Wenz and C. Herskind, "Radioprotection of normal tissue cells," *Strahlenther Onkol.*, **190**, 745-752, (2014).

[4] H. Li, Z. Yang, C. Liu, Y. Zeng, Y. Hao, Gu Y, W. Wang, and R. Li, "PEGylated ceria nanoparticles used for radioprotection on human liver cells under γ -ray irradiation," *Free Radic. Biol. Med.*, **87**, 26-35, (2015).

[5] C. Xu and X. Qu, "Cerium oxide nanoparticle: a remarkably versatile rare earth nanomaterial for biological applications," *NPG Asia Mater.*, **6**, e90, (2014).

[6] D. Gil D, J. Rodriguez, B. Ward, A. Vertegel, V. Ivanov, and V. Reukov, "Antioxidant activity of SOD and catalase conjugated with nanocrystalline ceria," *Bioeng.*, **4**, 18, (2017).

[7] Z. Serebrovska, R. J. Swanson, V. Portnichenko, A. Shysh, S. Pavlovich, L. Tumanovska, A. Dorovskych, V. Lysenko, V. Tertykh, Y. Bolbukh and V. Dosenko, "Anti-inflammatory and antioxidant effect of cerium dioxide nanoparticles immobilized on the surface of silica nanoparticles in rat experimental pneumonia," *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, **92**, 69-77, (2017).

[8] Z. Ouyang, M. K. Mainali, N. Sinha, G. Strack, Y. Altundal, Y. Hao, T. A. Winningham, E. Sajo, J. Celli and W. Ngwa, "Potential of using cerium oxide nanoparticles for protecting healthy tissue during accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI)," *Phys. Med.*, **32**, 631-635, (2016).

[9] I. Nurhasanah, W. Safitri, T. Wlindarti and A. Subagio, "The calcination temperature effect on the antioxidant and radioprotection properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles," *Reaktor*, **18**, 22-26, (2018).

[10] C. Hwang, J. M. Kim and J. H. Kim, "Influence of concentration, nanoparticle size, beam energy, and material on dose enhancement in radiation therapy," *J. Radiat. Res.*, **58**, 405-411, (2017).

[11] P. Retif, S. Pinel, M. Toussaint, C. Frochot, R. Chouikrat, T. Batogne, and M. B. Heyob, "Nanoparticles for radiation therapy enhancement: the key parameters," *Theranostics*, **5**, 1030-1044, (2015).

[12] R. Zamiri, H. A. Ahangar, A. Kaushal, A. Zakaria, G. Zamiri, D. Tobaldi and J. M. F. Ferreira, "Dielectrical properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles at different temperatures," *PLoS One.*, **10**, e0131851, (2015).

[13] S. Phoka, P. Laokul, E. Swastieng, V. Promarak, S. Seraphin and S. Maensiri, "Synthesis, structural and optical properties of CeO₂ nanoparticles synthesized by a simple polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP) solution route," *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, **115**, 423-428, (2009).

[14] H. Ko, G. Yang, M. Wang and X. Zhao, "Isothermal crystallization kinetics and effect of crystalline on the optical properties of nanosized CeO₂ powder," *Ceram. Int.*, **40**, 6663-6671, (2014).

[15] K. K. Babitha, A. Sreedevi, K. K. Priyanka, B. Sabu and T. Varghese, "Structural Characterization and Optical Studies of CeO₂ Nanoparticles Synthesized by Chemical Precipitation," *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.* **53**, 596-603, (2015).

[16] Z. Lu, C. Mao, M. Mei, L. Sang, Y. Tian, L. Yu, B. Sun and C. M. Li, "Fabrication of CeO₂ nanoparticle-modified silk for UV protection and antibacterial application," *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, **435**, 8-14, (2014).

[17] M. S. Wason and J. Zhao, "Cerium oxide nanoparticles: Potential applications for cancer and other disease," *Am. J. Transl. Res.*, **5**, 126-131, (2013).

[18] G. Huang, H. Chen, Y. Dong, X. Luo, H. Yu, Z. Moore, E. A. Be, D. A. Boothman and J. Gao, "Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: Amplifying ROS stress to improve anticancer drug efficacy," *Theranostics*, **3**, 116-126, (2013).

[19] K. Kobayashi, N. Usami, E. Porcel, S. Lacombe and C. L. Sech, "Enhancement of radiation effect by heavy element," *Mutat. Res.*, **704**, 123-131, (2010).

Dose Distribution 6 MV of X-Ray Photon Beam over Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles Solution

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

10%

INTERNET SOURCES

14%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	estro-events.org Internet Source	2%
2	www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov Internet Source	1%
3	theses.hal.science Internet Source	1%
4	files.eric.ed.gov Internet Source	1%
5	Iis Nurhasanah, Kadarisman, Vincensius Gunawan, Heri Sutanto. "Feasibility of Ceria Nanocrystal Adsorbent for Amoxicillin Removal from Water", Key Engineering Materials, 2020 Publication	1%
6	Majdeh Hasanzadeh, Mehdi Shahidi, Maryam Kazemipour. "Application of EIS and EN techniques to investigate the self-healing ability of coatings based on microcapsules	1%

filled with linseed oil and CeO₂ nanoparticles",
Progress in Organic Coatings, 2015

Publication

7

K. K. Babitha, K. P. Priyanka, A. Sreedevi, Bincy Abraham, J. K. Thomas, Thomas Varghese. "Studies on the structural, optical and electrical properties of CeO₂/SnPc nanocomposite for electronic applications", Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics, 2016

Publication

8

Chao Zhang, Yangsheng Zhao, Zijun Feng, Qiaorong Meng, Lei Wang, Yang Lu. "Thermal maturity and chemical structure evolution of lump long-flame coal during superheated water vapor-based in situ pyrolysis", Energy, 2022

Publication

9

Gang Wang, Dan Wang, Chuan Qing, Li Chen, Peng Gao, Min Huang. "Impacts of electron-beam-irradiation on microstructure and physical properties of yam (*Dioscorea opposita* Thunb.) flour", LWT, 2022

Publication

10

Ouyang, Zi, Madan Kumar Mainali, Neeharika Sinha, Guinevere Strack, Yucel Altundal, Yao Hao, Thomas Andrew Winningham, Erno Sajo, Jonathan Celli, and Wilfred Ngwa. "Potential of

1 %

1 %

1 %

1 %

using cerium oxide nanoparticles for protecting healthy tissue during accelerated partial breast irradiation (APBI)", *Physica Medica*, 2016.

Publication

11

Zahra Alyani Nezhad, Ghazale Geraily, Wrya Parwaie, Elham Hossein Nezhad. "Evaluation of dose enhancement effect of bismuth oxide nanoparticles by means of MAGAT and nPAG gel dosimeters", *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 2022

Publication

1 %

12

journals.plos.org

Internet Source

<1 %

13

Soltani, Nayereh, Elias Saion, Mohd Zobir Hussein, Robiah Bt. Yunus, and Manizheh Navaseri. "Characterization of CdS Nanoparticles Synthesized Using Microwave-Assisted Polyol Method", *Advanced Materials Research*, 2013.

Publication

<1 %

14

www.mdpi.com

Internet Source

<1 %

15

Jodi E. Belz, Wilfred Ngwa, Houari Korideck, Robert A. Cormack, Ross Berbeco, Mike Makrigiorgos, Srinivas Sridhar, Rajiv Kumar. "Multifunctional Nanoparticles in Radiation Oncology: An Emerging Paradigm", *American*

<1 %

16

Kai Mi, Yonghong Ni, Yanwei Xu, Xiang Ma, Jianming Hong. "A simple mixed-solvothermal route for LaPO₄ nanorods: Synthesis, characterization, affecting factors and PL properties of LaPO₄:Ce³⁺", Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 2011

Publication

<1 %

17

Kang-Qiang Liu, Ming-Qiang Zhong, Yan-Qin Shi. "Synthesis and characterization of stable and crystalline Ce_{0.6}Y_{0.4}O_{1.8} nanoparticle sol", Materials Science and Engineering: B, 2011

Publication

<1 %

18

Leila Hasanzadeh, Reza Kazemi Oskuee, Kayvan Sadri, Esmail Nourmohammadi et al. "Green synthesis of labeled CeO₂ nanoparticles with ^{99m}Tc and its biodistribution evaluation in mice", Life Sciences, 2018

Publication

<1 %

19

Li Wang. "Tumor cell apoptosis induced by nanoparticle conjugate in combination with radiation therapy", Nanotechnology, 11/26/2010

Publication

<1 %

20

Yoki Yulizar, Eny Kusriani, Dewangga Oky Bagus Apriandanu, Nadya Nurdini. "Datura metel L. Leaves Extract Mediated CeO₂ Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterizations, and Degradation Activity of DPPH Radical", Surfaces and Interfaces, 2020

Publication

<1 %

21

Zahra Rajabimashhadi, Rahim Naghizadeh, Ashkan Zolriasatein, Sonia Bagheri, Claudio Mele, Carola Esposito Corcione. "Hydrophobic, Mechanical, and Physical Properties of Polyurethane Nanocomposite: Synergistic Impact of Mg(OH)₂ and SiO₂", Polymers, 2023

Publication

<1 %

22

pdfs.semanticscholar.org

Internet Source

<1 %

23

worldwidescience.org

Internet Source

<1 %

24

www.nature.com

Internet Source

<1 %

25

www.science.gov

Internet Source

<1 %

26

www.sid.ir

Internet Source

<1 %

27

Alexandre Detappe, Sijumon Kunjachan, Pascal Drané, Shady Kotb et al. "Key clinical beam parameters for nanoparticle-mediated radiation dose amplification", Scientific Reports, 2016

Publication

<1 %

28

Arshad Iqbal, Arham S. Ahmed, Nafees Ahmad, Tanveer Ahamad, Mohammad Zain Khan, Seema Srivastava. "Biogenic synthesis of CeO₂ nanoparticles and its potential application as an efficient photocatalyst for the degradation of toxic amido black dye", Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring & Management, 2021

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Dose Distribution 6 MV of X-Ray Photon Beam over Cerium Oxide Nanoparticles Solution

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4
