Bukti Korespodensi artikel " The Functional Properties Ofof Rabbit Skin Gelatin Compared Toto Commercial Gelatin Andand Its Application Inin Jelly Candy"

An. Dr. Sri Mulyani

No	Tanggal	Aktivitas Korespodensi
1	26/08/2021	Submit
2	08/11/2021	Revisi
3	28/03/2022	accepted









The Functional Properties <u>Ofof</u> Rabbit Skin Gelatin Compared <u>Toto</u> Commercial Gelatin <u>Andand</u> Its Application <u>Inin</u> Jelly Candy

ABSTRACT

Rabbit skin can be used <u>utilized</u> as an ingredient in <u>making</u> gelatin <u>making</u>. Gelatin can be used in <u>making</u> jelly candy. The <u>purpose of this</u> study <u>aimswas</u> to compare the functional properties of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin to<u>in</u> gel strength, viscosity, and ash content and to determine the different test and hedonic test of jelly candy. This study used the t-test with 2 treatments and 6 replications. The results between P1 (rabbit skin gelatin) and P2 (commercial gelatin) were not different (P> 0.05) on gel strength, <u>and however</u>, there was a difference (P <0.05) on viscosity and ash content. In the differentiation test for jelly candy, 21 panelists said it was different and 4 panelists said the same._The hedonic test on jelly candy gave no difference (P> 0.05) on texture, flavor, taste, and overall, <u>except</u> <u>-and</u> there was a difference (P <0.05) on color. <u>ConclusionThe gel strength of rabbit</u> skin gelatin and commercial gelatin only differ in viscosity and ash content. Jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin only differ in color acceptance by the panelist. Both jelly candies <u>can be distinguished and accepted by the panelist</u>.

Keywords: gelatin; rabbit skin; jelly candy

INTRODUCTION

Jelly candy is one product that is very popular with consumers from children to adults. CurrentlyNowadays, there are many jelly candy products with various shapes and flavors so thatto they are_increasinglye attractive-appealing forto consumers. Jelly candy includes soft candy made from fruit juice and gelling material which has a clear, transparent appearance, and has a certain elasticity. (Susanti and Asyik, 2019). The ingredients in making jelly candy are sucrose, glucose syrup, citric acid, and gelling agents (Putri *et al.*, 2015). Gelling material commonly used in the manufacture of jelly candy is gelatin. Gelatin is a water-soluble protein obtained from collagen tissue derived from skin, bone, and connective tissue which <u>is is-</u>hydrolyzed by acid or base (Abustam *et al.*, 2020). The gelatin in jelly candy <u>serves-roles</u> to improve the shape and texture of jelly candy and inhibits sugar crystallization (Eletra and Astuti, 2013).

Indonesia <u>has been importeds</u> 2000-3000 tons of gelatin products or worth 25,036.10 from various countries such as China, Japan, France, New Zealand, and Australia (Atma, 2016). Gelatin from pork skin is a problem for Muslims, while gelatin from cow skin and bones is not accepted by Hindu society. This encourages the search for alternative sources in gelatin production (Ratnasari *et al.*, 2013). Rabbit skin has not been fully utilized to its full potential. The chemical composition of rabbit skin is a protein content of 22.98%; fat 5.6%; ash 3.49%; and other ingredients 2.03% (Mas'ud *et al.*, 2015). Rabbit skin has a high protein content such as collagen protein so it has the potential to be extracted into gelatin (Wuysang *et al.*, 2016). Rabbit skin gelatin can be <u>used-utilized</u> as a-gelling agent in jelly candy. The purpose of this study was to determine the differences in the functional properties of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin in terms of gel strength, viscosity, and ash

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, (Asian) Chinese (Simplified, Mainland China)

content and to determine the differences in the characteristics of jelly candy in terms of organoleptic tests.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

The research material was the skin of male New Zealand rabbits aged 6 months, distilled water, 0.5 M NaOH, 0.3 M HCl, sucrose, glucose syrup, citric acid, commercial gelatin. Research equipment includes measuring cups, stirrer, filter cloth, pans, stoves, thermometers, analytical balances, jelly candy, plastic cups, trays, porcelain dishes, desiccators, water baths, furnaces, refrigerator, freezer, viscometer brookfield, texture analyzer, erlenmeyer, cabinet dryer.

Methods

Extraction of Rabbit Skin Gelatin

The rabbit skin <u>wasis</u> washed and the remaining fat <u>wais</u> cleaned, then the rabbit skinit <u>wais</u> soaked in 2% lime water for 24 hours. The soaking water <u>wasis</u> removed and the rabbit skin <u>wasis</u> rewashed and the fur <u>wais</u> cleaned. The rabbit skin was_cut into pieces 1 x 1 cm and weighed per 100 g_z-Rabbit skin that had been cut and weighed then soaked in 300 mL of 0.25 M NaOH solution for 2 h and <u>, then</u>-rinsed with water repeatedly. The skin was <u>re-</u>soaked in 300 mL of 0.3 M HCl solution for 4 h, then washed to neutralize the pH between 5-6. Extraction was carried out in 3 stages, namely at temperature 65°C for 5 h, 68°C for 5 h, and 70°C for 5 h in the water bath. The extract was filtered and the filtrate was dried in a cabinet dryer at temperature 50-55°C for 48 h (Mulyani *et al*, 2017).

Making of Jelly Candy

<u>Sucrose</u> 40 <u>g</u> g sucrose-was heated at 40°C plus 40 g glucose syrup and 0.3 g citric acid (for one experimental unit). Gelatin dissolved in hot water (50°C) as much as 50 ml in a different container. The gelatin <u>iswas</u> added to a mixture of sucrose, glucose syrup, and citric acid. Heating <u>was was</u> continued at 100°C for 10 minutes until thickened, <u>t</u>. Then removed and poured into the mold and cooled at room temperature 28°C for 1 hour. Candy <u>is putstored</u> in the refrigerator at 5°C for 24 hours, <u>then</u> - candy is left at room temperature 28°C for 1 hour <u>and and then</u> removed from the mold (Sachlan *et al.*, 2020)

Design Research

The <u>study followed</u> experimental design used a t-test with 2 treatments and 8 replications so that thust 16 experimental units were obtained. The treatments were <u>determined</u>, namely, P1: the use of rabbit skin gelatin in jelly candies and P2: the use of commercial gelatin in jelly candies.

Measurement

<u>MeasurementTestss</u> for the functional properties of gelatin <u>include_are tests of gel</u> strength (Mulyani *et al.*, 2017), viscosity (Santoso *et al.*, 2019), and ash content (Male *et al.*, 2014). Organoleptic tests for jelly candy include discriminatory tests (Syukroni *et al.*, 2013) and hedonic tests (Megantara *et al.*, 2017).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Gel Strength

Gel strength is a functional property of gelatin that is important to determine the quality of gelatin because it <u>can_can_convert</u> liquids into solids or change the sol into a reversible gel. The results of testing the gel strength of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1, Gel strength, viscosity, and ash content of rabbit skin gelatin compared to commercial gelatin				
Treatment	Gel strength	Viscosity (cP)	Ash Content	•
	(BIOOIII)		(70)	
Rabbit skin gelatin	238.1 ± 16.07	10.4 ± 1.45^{a}	1.2 ± 0.059^{a}	•
Commercial gelatin	243.0 ± 33.55	13.7 ± 1.53^{b}	0.4 ± 0.061^{b}	•
noteRemarks:-				-

Data is displayed in the form of mean±standard deviation.

 $\frac{a,b}{a}$. Different lowercase superscripts showed a significant difference (P<0.05)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 10 pt Formatted: Font: 9 pt Formatted Table Formatted: Font: 9 pt Formatted: Centered Formatted: Font: 9 pt Formatted: Centered Formatted: Font: 9 pt Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers Formatted: Font: 9 pt Formatted: Indent: Left: 1,75 cm, First line: 0 cm, Space After: 8 pt, Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between

Asian text and numbers

<u>Based on Table 1, </u><u>T</u>there was no significant difference (P>0.05) between the gel strength of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. According to SNI 06-3735-1995 states that the gel strength value ranges from 75-300 g Bloom. Gel strength is divided into 3 classifications, namely low (50-100 Bloom), moderate (100-200 Bloom), and high (200-300 Bloom) Oktaviani *et al.* (2017).

The gel strength of commercial gelatin and rabbit skin gelatin is high. This is caused by s because the extraction that has been optimally carried out optimally. Extraction using HCl can help collagen break down the triple helix into single chains. Kusumawati and Wawasto (2008) stated that acid solution can convert triple helix collagen into single chains in a short time, therefore so that more collagen can be hydrolyzed. Extraction wais carried out at optimal temperatures therefore so that the resulting gel strength is high. Rabbit skin gelatin extraction was carried out at temperature 65-70°C, while tTemperature 70°C-it would convert unbreakable collagen at to temperature 65°C, so that hence the collagen in rabbit skin could be converted into gelatin optimally.

-Extraction optimization is influenced by the optimal extraction time (Wulandari et al. 2013).

The extraction process for 5 hours <u>wasis</u> the optimal time <u>to to be able to</u> convert the collagen into gelatin, <u>because should if</u> it <u>iwas</u> more than 5 hours, <u>then</u> the skin w<u>ould ill</u> be destroyed and <u>more</u> dissolved with distilled water (Pratiwi *et al*, 2018). The optimal extraction process on rabbit skin gelatin produces gel strength that <u>has</u>-is not different from commercial gelatin. Gel strength is an important property of gelatin to determine the quality which affects the quality of jelly candy.

Viscosity

Viscosity is the degree of <u>viscosity-consistency</u> of a solution (Pelu *et al.*, 2017). The results of testing the viscosity of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin can be seen in Table 1. There was a significant difference (P<0.05) between viscosity rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. The protein content of rabbit skin is 28.51% while the protein content of cow skin is 62.01% (Sasmitaloka *et al.* 2017). Different viscosity <u>happened</u> due to different protein content. The protein content will affect the level of collagen, the higher the amount of protein, the <u>higher the</u>-amount of collagen<u>will</u> <u>increase</u>. Collagen content depends on the type of animal. Commercial gelatin is made from cowhide, <u>which the</u>-collagen content of <u>cowhide</u> is higher than rabbit skin. This can be seen from the protein content of cowhide which <u>isthat</u> is higher than the protein content of rabbit skin. High collagen content is directly proportional to the levels of amino acids proline and hydroxyproline in gelatin which will ultimately contribute to gelatin viscosity (Suseno, 2013, Sugihartono, 2014).

Another factor that affects the viscosity of gelatin is the age of the livestock. The age of rabbits in this study was only 5 months <u>hence so that</u> the viscosity value of rabbit skin gelatin was lower than commercial gelatin. The age of livestock is one of the factors that affect the amount of collagen protein in animal skin. The older the animal, the <u>more higher the protein will increase</u> (Putro *et al.*, 2019).

The high and low viscosity of gelatin can be caused by the ash content of gelatin. low ash content will produce high viscosity (Sasmitaloka *et al.*, 2017). The high ash content of rabbit skin gelatin <u>is is thought to be duecaused by to its water-insoluble-the-</u>mineral content that is not soluble in water in the demineralization process. Suboptimal demineralization c<u>aused_an_cause_</u>low gelatin viscosity (Wulandari *et al.*, 2013).

Ash Content

Ash content is one of the requirements that must be met by gelatin. Tests for the ash content of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin can be seen in Table 1. The results showed that there was a significant difference (P<0.05) between the ash content of rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. The ash content of rabbit skin gelatin is higher than commercial gelatin, this may occur because-since the filtering process for rabbit skin gelatin is not correct. Hasdar and Rahmawati (2016) stated that filtration that leaves a precipitate can produce higher gelatin ash content. The ash content is still matched withat-the SNI 06-3735-1995 quality standard with a maximum ash content of gelatin purity. The higher the ash content, the larger the gelatin impurities, while the lower the ash content, the higher the collagen purity (Amin, 2017). The purity of gelatin is affected by impurities from the raw material or in the gelatin manufacturing process. The presence of deposits produced on rabbit skin gelatin is

suspected as an impurity resulting in higher ash content. Kusnadi and Putri (2020) stated that raw materials that are not clean will result in the increasing of increase ash content.

The high ash content is due to the presence of minerals bound to collagen in the washing process thus mineral impurities so that in the extraction process minerals will also be extracted (Islam, 2018). In addition, the high and low ash content of gelatin is determined by washing or demineralization, the more minerals that dissolve in the washing process, the lower the ash content (Juliasti et al, 2014). Sompie et al. (2012) stated that the ash content in gelatin indicates its mineral content.

Organoleptic Test

Organoleptic tests carried out include differentiation tests and hedonic test. Difference test on jelly candy is used to find out the differences or similarities between two jelly candies with different gelatins. The results of the difference test carried out on 25 panelists can be seen in Table 2. The hedonic test on jelly candy is used to determine the level of preference between two jelly candies with different gelatin. The results of hedonic test carried out on 25 panelists using a scale 1-4 can be seen in 3. Table

Type of gelatin	S	limilar	Diff	erent		
Rabbit skin gelatin		4 21				
Commercial gelatin		4	4	21		
Table 3. Hedonic trest of jJe	lly <u>c</u> €andy made f	rom rabbit skin gel	atin and commerce	cial gelatin		
Table 3. Hedonic <u>t</u> Test of <u>j</u> Je	<u>lly c</u> €andy made f Texture	rom rabbit skin gel Color	atin and commerce Taste	cial gelatin Aroma	Overall	•
Table 3. Hedonic <u>t</u> Fest of jJe Type of gelatin Rabbit skin gelatin	lly <u>c</u> €andy made f Texture 2,92 ± 0,86	rom rabbit skin gel Color 3,36 ± 0,57ª	$\frac{\text{tin and commerc}}{\text{Taste}}$ 3,12 ± 0,88	cial gelatin Aroma 2,56 ± 0,77	Overall 3,12 ± 0,67	•
Table 3. Hedonic tFest of jJe Type of gelatin Rabbit skin gelatin Commercial gelatin	<u>lly c</u> Candy made f Texture 2,92 ± 0,86 3,04 ± 0,79	$\frac{\text{rom rabbit skin gel}}{\text{Color}}$ $3,36 \pm 0,57^{a}$ $2,72 \pm 0,79^{b}$	$\frac{\text{tin and commerc}}{\text{Taste}}$ $3,12 \pm 0,88$ $2,96 \pm 0,73$	Aroma 2,56 ± 0,77 2,48 ± 0,87	Overall $3,12 \pm 0,67$ $3,04 \pm 0,61$	•

Data is displayed in the form on mean ±standard deviation ______a,b, Different lowercase superscripts in the same column showed a significant difference (P<0.05) ______Hedonic scale = 1 (dislike very much), 2 (dislike), 3 (like), 4 (like very much)

Formatted: Font: 10 pt	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Font: 10 pt	
Formatted: Font: 9 pt	
Formatted Table	
Formatted: Font: 9 pt	
Formatted: Font: 9 pt	
Formatted: Font: 9 pt	
Formatted: Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 0,39 cm + 0,74 cm	
Formatted: Indent: Left: 0 cm, Hanging: 1,25 cm, Widow/Orphan control, Adjust space between Latin an Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 0,39 cm	d

Formatted: Font: 9 pt

<u>Based on Table 2 Ddifference</u> test showed that 21 panelists stated different and 4 panelists stated the <u>same-similar</u> from 25 panelists. The color of jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin is a cloudy white, while jelly candy with commercial gelatin is a clear white. The shape of the jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin is bear-shaped <u>because-since</u> it is <u>printed-formed</u> with a bear-shaped mold. The aroma of jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin of essence. The taste of jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin are sweet and sour <u>because-due tothere are</u> sucrose, glucose syrup, and citric acid in the manufacture of jelly candy.

The results of hedonic test conducted by 25 panelists (Table 3) showed that there was a significant difference (P<0.05) on color, but there was no significant difference (P>0.05) on texture, taste, aroma, and overall. In Table 2, the results of the difference test on jelly candy state that there wasis a significant difference between rabbit skin gelatin jelly candy and commercial gelatin jelly candy. The product differentiation is thought-clarified of due to with the difference in color between both jelly candies (Table 5). The high ash content in rabbit skin gelatin affects Tthe color difference was caused by the high ash content of gelatin in rabbit skin gelatin. Rabbit skin gelatin is has dark brownish-yellow and affects the color of jelly candy as its final product. The high mineral content in gelatin color affects the color of the gelatin to become cloudy. Gelatin which is brownish-yellow in color affects the color of jelly candy (Arima and Fithriyah, 2015; Rahmawati and Ppranoto, 2015).

The results showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in texture between candy jelly with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. There was no difference becausesince the gel strength of gelatin from rabbit skin and commercial gelatin was similar-and high. The texture of the jelly candy is influenced by the gel strength of the gelling agent (Estherella *et al.*, 2018). Jelly candy has a chewy and elastic texture. The texture of the jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin wasas acceptable to the panelists. Gelatin-The gelatin in jelly candy is able to binds water, consequently, -so that the texture will_becomesbecome chewy. Using gGelatin added in jelly candy can improve the texture, which is chewy and not too soft, and increase the preference of the panelists (Mufida *et al.*, 2020; Nelwan *et al.*-, 2014).

The results showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) on-in_the taste of jelly candy. The taste of jelly candy is more influenced by the ingredients for making jelly candy such as sucrose, glucose syrup, and citric acid. Sucrose and glucose syrup are added cause a sweet taste to balance the sour taste in jelly candy (Simorangkir *et al.*, 2017; Fajarini *et al.*, 2018; Johan and Herawati, 2017).

The results showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the aroma between jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. Jelly candy has a caramelized aroma, fragrant

aroma, and a slight aroma from the main ingredient in making jelly candy (Mansur, 2017). The addition of essence can affect organoleptic assessment and consumer acceptance <u>therefore so that</u>-it can provide the aroma that consumers like (Mahardika *et al.*, 2014).

The results showed that there was no significant difference (P>0.05) in-overall between jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin. Overall acceptance is the key to determine whether a product is <u>liked-accepted</u> or not, so that thus it can help to understand the consumer's insight or preferenceeonsume it or not (Parnanto *et al.*, 2016). In general, both are acceptable and liked by the panelists.

CONCLUSION

Gel-<u>The gel</u> strength of rabbit skin gelatin is the same as commercial gelatin, but differs in viscosity and ash content. Jelly candy with rabbit skin gelatin and commercial gelatin can be distinguished by panelists, but both jelly <u>candy_candies</u> are still in the <u>medium</u> preferred level by panelists, only differ in color acceptance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

_____The authors would like to thank Diponegoro University for theits financial support.

REFERENCES

Abustam, E., M	alaka, R., S	aid, M. I.,	& Rifqi, R. (2	2020).	Pengar	uh umur terhad	ap kualitas g	elatin
tulang l	kaki kambir	ng melalui	pretreatment	asam	asetat	(CH ₃ COOH)).	Jurnal Ilmu	dan
Teknolog	gi Peternaka	n, 8(2), 85	-90.					

- Amin, I. (2017). Pengaruh kualitas kadar air dan kadar abu terhadap potensi pemanfaatan dan produksi gelatin dari limbah ayam dan limbah ikan. Dalam Seminar Nasional Teknologi Industri Hijau (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 147-152).
- Arima, I. N., & Fithriyah, N. H. (2015). Pengaruh waktu perendaman dalam asam terhadap rendemen gelatin dari tulang ikan nila merah. Dalam *Prosiding Semnastek*.
- Atma, Y. (2016). Pemanfaatan limbah ikan sebagai sumber alternatif produksi gelatin dan peptida bioaktif. Dalam *Prosiding Semnastek*.
- Badan Standarisasi Nasional. 1995. *Mutu dan cara uji gelatin*. SNI 06- 3735-1995. Badan Standarisasi Nasional, Jakarta.
- Eletra, Y., & Astuti, S. (2013). Pengaruh konsentrasi gelatin terhadap sifat organoleptik permen jelly susu kambing. Jurnal Teknologi & Industri Hasil Pertanian, 18(2), 185-195.
- Estherella, R., Putri, M. S., & Suhandana, M. (2018). Karakteristik mutu dan organoleptik permen jelly rumput laut Gelidium sp. *Jurnal Prodi Teknologi Hasil Perikanan*, 13(2), 45-57.
- Fajarini, L. D. R., Ekawati, G., & Ina, P. (2018). Pengaruh penambahan karagenan terhadap karakteristik permen jelly kulit anggur hitam (*Vitis vinifera*). Jurnal ITEPA Vol, 7(2), 43-52.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish

8

Hasdar, M as	., & Rahmawati, Y. D. (2016). Nilai pH, titik leleh dan viskositas pada gelatin kulit domba al brebes yang dikatalis berbagai konsentrasi NaOH. <i>Parapemikir: Jurnal Ilmiah Farmasi</i> , 2) 98-102	Formatted: Font: Not It:
	2), 90 102.	Formatted. Form. Not ha
Islami, A. be	D. (2018). Karakteristik fisik dan kimia gelatin kulit kakap pada hasil ekstraksi suhu yang rbeda. <i>Jurnal Perikanan Kelautan</i> , 9(2), 34-40.	 Formatted: Font: Not Ita
Johan. V.	S., & Herawati, N. (2017). Pemanfaatan kulit buah naga merah dalam pembuatan permen	
jel	lly buah pedada. Jurnal Online Mahasiswa FAPERTA, 4(2), 1-13.	 Formatted: Font: Not Ita

- Juliasti, R., Legowo, A. M., & Pramono, Y. B. (2014). Pengaruh konsentrasi perendaman asam klorida pada limbah tulang kaki kambing terhadap kekuatan gel, viskositas, warna dan kejernihan, kadar abu dan kadar protein gelatin. Jurnal Teknologi Hasil Pertanian, <u>7(1)</u>, 32-38.
- Kusnadi, K., & Putri, A. R. (2020). Karakteristik kimiawi dan analisis cemaran bakteri pada bubur instan berbahan baku tepung rosella (*Hibiscus sabdariffa* L.). JURNAL KONVERSI, <u>9(1)</u>, 29-38.
- Kusumawati, R., & Wawasto, A. (2008). Pengaruh perendaman dalam asam klorida terhadap kualitas gelatin tulang kakap merah (*Lutjanus sp.*). Jurnal Pascapanen dan Bioteknologi Kelautan dan Perikanan, 3(1), 63-68.
- Mahardika, B. C., Darmanto, Y. S., & Dewi, E. N. (2014). Karakteristik permen jelly dengan penggunaan campuran semi refined carrageenan dan alginat dengan konsentrasi berbeda. Jurnal Pengolahan dan Bioteknologi Hasil Perikanan, 3(3), 112-120.
- Male, Y. T., Sunarti, S., & Nunumete, N. (2014). Analisys of lead (Pb) and chromium (Cr) content in the roots of seagrass (*Enhalus acoroides*) in waters of Waai and Tulehu Village Central Maluku Regency. *Indonesian Journal of Chemical Research*, 1(2), 66-71.
- Mas'ud, C. S., Tulung, Y. L. R., Umboh, J., & Rahasia, C. A. (2015). Pengaruh pemberian beberapa jenis hijauan terhadap performans ternak kelinci. ZOOTEC, 35(2), 289-294.
- Megantara, I. N. A. P., Megayanti, K., Wirayanti, R., Esa, I. B. D., Wijayanti, N. P. A. D., & Yustiantara, P. S. (2017). Formulasi lotion ekstrak buah raspberry (*Rubus rosifolius*) dengan variasi konsentrasi trietanolamin sebagai emulgator serta uji hedonik terhadap lotion. *Jurnal Farmasi Udayana*, 1-5.
- Mufida, R. T., Darmanto, Y. S., & Suharto, S. (2020). Karakteristik permen jelly dengan penambahan gelatin sisik ikan yang berbeda. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Teknologi Perikanan*, 2(1), 29-36.
- Mulyani, S., Setyabudi, F. M. C. S., Pranoto, Y., & Santoso, U. (2017). The effect of pretreatment using hydrochloric acid on the characteristics of buffalo hide gelatin. *Journal of Indonesian Tropical Animal Agriculture*, 42(1), 14-22.
- Mulyani, S., Setyabudi, F. M. S., Pranoto, Y., & Santoso, U. (2017). Physicochemical properties of gelatin extracted from buffalo hide pretreated with different acids. *Korean journal for food science of animal resources*, 37(5), 708-715.
- Nelwwan, B., Langi, T., Koapaha, T., & Tuju, T. (2015). Pengaruh konsentrasi gelatin dan sirup glukosa terhadap sifat kimia dan sensoris permen jelly sari buah pala (*Myristica fragrans* houtt). *Cocos*, 6(3).
- Oktaviani, I., Perdana, F., & Nasution, A. Y. (2017). Perbandingan sifat gelatin yang berasal dari kulit ikan patin (Pangasius hypophthalmus) dan gelatin yang berasal dari kulit ikan komersil. *JOPS* (*Journal of Pharmacy and Science*), 1(1), 1-8.

omba	
masi,	Formatted: Font: Not Italic Danich
vang	Tormatted. Fond two rane, Danish
yung	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
rmen	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
asam dan	
, 32-	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
ubur	
, 29-	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
alitas 1 <i>dan</i>	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
ngan beda.	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
ent in entral	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
erapa	Formattade Font: Not Italia
D., & engan urnal	
ahan	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
ment esian	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
as of	

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

9

Parnanto, N. H. R., Nurhartadi, E., Rohmah, L. N. R. L. N., & Rohmah, L. N. (2016). Karakteristik
fisik, kimia dan sensori permen jelly sari pepaya (Carica Papaya. L) dengan konsentrasi
karagenan-konjak sebagai gelling agent. Jurnal Teknosains Pangan, 5(1), 19-27.

- Pelu, H., Harwanti, S., & Chasanah, E. (2017). Ekstraksi gelatin dari kulit ikan tuna melalui proses asam. *Jurnal Penelitian Perikanan Indonesia*, 4(2), 66-74.
- Pertiwi, M., Atma, Y., Mustopa, A. Z., & Maisarah, R. (2018). Karakteristik fisik dan kimia gelatin dari tulang ikan patin dengan pre-treatment asam sitrat. Jurnal Aplikasi Teknologi Pangan, 7(2), 83-91.
- Putri, R. M. S. P. S., Ninsix, R., & Sari, A. G. (2015). Pengaruh jenis gula yang berbeda terhadap mutu permen jelly rumput laut (*Eucheuma cottonii*). Jurnal Teknologi Pertanian Andalas, 19(1), 51-58.
- Putro, P. A., Wachid, M., & Harini, N. (2019). Ekstraksi gelatin dari kulit kelinci lokal jawa (*Lepus negricollis*) dengan variasi jenis pelarut dalam suhu ekstraksi serta aplikasinya pada bakso kelinci. *Food Technology and Halal Science Journal*, 2(2), 183-199.
- Rahmawati, H., & Pranoto, Y. (2018). Sifat fisiko-kimia gelatin hasil ekstraksi kulit segar dan kering beberapa jenis ikan. Dalam *Prosiding Seminar Nasional dan Kongres PATPI 2008*. PATPI Cab Palembang.
- Ratnasari, I., Yuwono, S. S., Nusyam, H., & Widjanarko, S. B. (2013). Extraction and characterization of gelatin from different fresh water fishes as alternative sources of gelatin. *International Food Research Journal*, 20(6), 3085-3091.
- Sachlan, P. A., Mandey, L. C., & Langi, T. M. (2020). Sifat organoleptik permen jelly mangga kuini (##Mangifera odorata griff) dengan variasi konsentrasi sirup glukosa dan gelatin. Jurnal Teknologi Pertanian (Agricultural Technology Journal, 10(2), 113-118.
- Santoso, U., Pranoto, Y., Afriyanti, Y. T., & Mulyani, S. (2019). The physical and chemical properties of marshmallow made from bufallo (*Bubalus bubalis*) hide gelatin compared to commercial gelatin. *Journal of Applied Food Technology*, 6(2), 28-34.
- Sasmitaloka, K. S., Miskiyah, M., & Juniawati, J. (2017). Kajian potensi kulit sapi sebagai bahan dasar produksi gelatin halal. *Buletin Peternakan*, 41(3), 328-337.
- Simorangkir, T. R., Rawung, D., & Moningka, J. (2017, October). Pengaruh konsentrasi sukrosa terhadap karakteristik permen jelly sirsak (*Annona Muricata Linn*). COCOS, 1(8).
- Sompie, M., Triatmojo, S., Pertiwiningrum, A., & Pranoto, Y. (2012). Pengaruh umur potong dan konsentrasi larutan asam asetat terhadap sifat fisik dan kimia gelatin kulit babi. Jurnal Penelitian Ilmu Peternakan, 10(1), 176-182.
- Sugihartono. (2014). Kajian gelatin dari kulit sapi limbah sebagai renewable flocculants untuk proses pengolahan air. *Jurnal Riset Industri (Journal of Industrial Research)*, 8(3), 180-189.
- Susanti, K. I. A., & Asyik, N. (2019). Pengaruh penambahan sari jahe gajah (Zingiber officinale) terhadap organoleptik, sifat fisik dan kimia dalam pembuatan permen jelly daun katuk (Sauropus Androgynus). Jurnal Sains dan Teknologi Pangan, 4(2), 2073-2085.
- Suseno, S. H. (2013). Analisis kekuatan gel (gel strength) produk permen jelly dari gelatin kulit ikan cucut dengan penambahan karaginan dan rumput laut. Jurnal Pengolahan Hasil Perikanan Indonesia, 16(2), 183-191.
- Syukroni, I., Yuliati, K., & Baehaki, A. (2013). Karakteristik nata de seaweed (*eucheuma cottonii*) dengan perbedaan konsentrasi rumput laut dan gula aren. Jurnal Fishtech, 2(1), 1-8.

stik rasi	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
oses	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Portuguese (Brazil)
atin <i>an</i> .	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
dap <i>las</i> ,	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
<i>pus</i> kso	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
ring TPI	
tion mal	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
uini <i>nal</i>	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
ties	
ciai	Formatted: Font: Italic
han	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
osa	
dan <i>nal</i>	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
oses	Formatted: Font: Not Italic
ale) tuk	
uun	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
kan nan	
	Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Danish
nii)	Formatted: Font: Not Italic Danich

Wulandari, W., Supriadi, A., & Purwanto, B. (2013). Pengaruh defatting dan suhu ekstraksi terhadap karakteristik fisik gelatin tulang ikan gabus. *Fishtech*, 2(1), 38-45.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

Wuysang, S., Rahasia, C. A., Umboh, J. F., & Tulung, Y. L. R. (2016). Pengaruh penggunaan molases sebagai sumber energi pakan penguat dalam ransum terhadap pertumbuhan ternak kelinci. ZOOTEC, <u>37</u>(1), 149-155.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

1