Submission Files

1.	Original Submission:	[19 Nov 2020]
	Article entering review process	[20 Nov 2020]
2.	Uploaded Revised File:	[23 Mar 2021]
3.	Agreement File:	[30 Mar 2021]
4.	Author's final version:	[30 Mar 2021]

Author article proofs

5.	Article received from Typesetter for first proof:	[04 May 2021]
6.	Proof reply send to Typesetter:	[04 May 2021]
7.	1st Amendment Sheet:	[04 May 2021]
8.	Article received from Typesetter for final proof:	[18 May 2021]
9.	Proof reply send to Typesetter:	[19 May 2021]
10	. 2nd Amendment Sheet:	[19 May 2021]
11	. Final Published Version:	[21 Apr 2022]

Submission Files

1.	Original Submission:	[19 Nov 2020]
	Article entering review process	[20 Nov 2020]

THE APPLICATION OF SUPPLY POSITIONING MODEL (SPM) AND QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT (QFD) IN PROCUREMENT STRATEGY OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN SEMARANG)

Abstract

Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing Supply Positiong Model (SPM) and Quality Function Deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM Matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Semarang, Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The most important supplier criteria to achieve best construction quality are the supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords : construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; strategic procurement; supply positioning models

1. Introduction

•

Developing countries are never separated from development, such as building roads, buildings and other facilities. In the last five years (2014-2019) Indonesia has succeeded in building 1,387 km of toll roads, 3,194 km of border roads, 811.89 km of railroads, 15 new airports, 65 dams, and many other developments throughout the country according to datas of Ministry of PUPR, KPPIP in 2019. The infrastructure development process really requires planning time, costs, labor, tools and materials, to design so that the construction process runs with precise and clear planning (Chofreh et al. 2019). In some of the year, that labor, material, and equipment factors have a positive and significant impact on the time strength of project work costs (Berampu, 2014).

In the project implementation process, there are 7 elements that intersect with each other, including labor, materials, equipment, place characteristics, managerial, financial, and other factors (Andi et al. 2003) in (Insyiroh, 2016). Without materials, the project will not be completed properly, so to obtain these materials the contractor needs a procurement division. This division has very large duties and responsibilities, such as planning resource allocation, paying for quality and service at the lowest price, creating good relationships between buyers and suppliers, reducing working capital requirements in order to increase profits for the company, and purchasing the required materials (Panchal, 2018).

However, contractors often make the wrong purchasing process, because they do not think about the right strategy and stages in choosing material suppliers. Therefore, the contractor needs a strategy and the right steps in choosing a supplier. These strategies are called strategic procurement which will appear in a Supply Positioning Model (SPM). Baily, et al. (2015) explain the stages in choosing suppliers, starting from setting the vision and mission of the organization, then determining organizational goals, organizational strategy in achieving the vision / mission / goals, establishing organizational policies, and establishing organizational programs. From these stages the contractor can choose which supplier is able to fulfill the vision / mission and goals of the organization so that the project built can match the expectations of the construction owner.

This research is in collaboration with the Regional Leadership Board (RLB) of GAPENSI Central Java, where until now RLB GAPENSI Central Java has \pm 5525 members of contractor companies spread across 35 districts / cities. However, due to limited space and time, this study only focuses on 86 contractors in the city of Semarang, who have medium-large qualifications in the business sectors of road, warehouse, irrigation, and bridge construction.

This research has 5 interrelated main objectives, the first is to analyze what factors are hindering the project development process, the second is to explain the work sequence of the procurement function, the third

Submission Files

2. Uploaded Revised File:

[23 Mar 2021]

REVISION NOTICE

Reviewer 1

`

Comments	Revision Comment	Further notice (page, paretc)
Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.	Thanks in advance, we are try to align the article style to IJPM templates. Please let us know if there is word document template as guidance	Overall article style
The introduction section should include 4 to 6 latest references (2017 to 2020) from well.known journals in the field and appropriate extracts from them in order to motivate the researchers in the subject.	Thank you for your comments, we revise the introduction section and add some latest references	Page 1, introduction section
Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 8 to 10 latest references (2017 to 2020) from well.known journals in the field and relevant extracts from them. Please try to include 4 to 6 references from IJPM. The theoretical/conceptual/mathematical model/framework should be described and motivated further.	Thank you, we revise this section	Page 2-11
The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated.	Thank you, we revise this section	Method section
The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.	Yes, we compare with previous researches and develop arguments	Section 4
Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.	Yes we add specific contribution of this paper, the implications both academic and practice, also limitation and further research	Page 11-12
References must follow the style of IJPM.	Thank you for this suggestion, we make some corrections in this part	Page 12-13

Reviewer 2

`

Comments	Revision Comment	Further notice (page, par.,etc)	
 The title is too long. Please make it concise and straightforward 	Thank you, already revised with "Development of Procurement Consolidation Strategy Using Supply Positioning Model and Quality Function Deployment Among Construction Projects in Semarang."	Title of Journal	
 Please clarify this research contribution to the literatu (the production of ne knowledge), not only th practical contributions. 	Thank you for this comments. We already added the research's contribution to the literature.	Page 4 below point 3, start from the third sentence to the last.	
3. The author(s) haver mentioned any theoretical ga that this research tries address in the literature review	Thank you for the suggestions. Weare pleased to inform that thetheoretical gaps already revised on<	Page 3 paragraph 2 until Page 4 paragraph 1	
4. Please clarify and elaborate of the method before 3.1. (eg. the research employs quantitative method and Ho as the analytical tool SPM ar QFD as theoretical framework	n Thank you, we already clarify and elaborate on the method before point 3.1 with this sentences "This research on procurement strategy application is applied using quantitative and qualitative methods. The quantitative method is used to classify each material items into the SPM Matrix, and the qualitative method is used in the HOQ Matrix, which being used as an analytical tool for meeting customer needs (in this case is the construction owner).	Page 4 below point "3. Methodology"	
5. Please mention in the conclusion what this researce has achieved related to the fire objectives	 Thank you for this suggestion. We already mention the achievement of this research on conclusion. 	Please see page 11 (point 5. Conclusion) till page 12	
6. Please fix typos ar grammatical errors	d Thank you for this comment, the typos and grammatical errors have been repaired	The entire journal	

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 : Request to revise your article
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Date:	10 Jan 2021
Content:	Dear Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh,

We have now completed the reviewing process of your article IJPM-64146 entitled "TH APPLICATION OF SUPPLY POSITIONING MODEL (SPM) AND QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT (QFD) IN PROCUREMENT STRATEGY OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN SEMARANG)".

which you submitted to the Int. J. of Procurement Management, and we are pleased to say that we consider it a good candidate for publication, once a number of revisions are made.

Please read the reviewers' recommendations listed below and revise your article in ligh of their comments.

To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

When revising your article please ensure that your reference list is up to date and that any recent articles, including those from IJPM, which are of relevance to your article an included. Having a broad and up to date reference list is vital to the quality of an article and very useful to the readership.

You will need to upload your revised article to our submissions system. The reviewers will then re-review your article. If they accept your revised version without any additional changes, it will move onto the next step in the publication process.

Please be reassured that only very few submissions are accepted without requiring some revision.

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 Revised article uploaded
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Angappa Gunasekaran <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	04 Feb 2021
Content:	Dear Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran,
	Reference submission code: IJPM-64146
	entitled "THE APPLICATION OF SUPPLY POSITIONING MODEL (SPM) AND QUALIT FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT (QFD) IN PROCUREMENT STRATEGY OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (AN EMPIRICAL STUDY IN SEMARANG)"
	submitted to Int. J. of Procurement Management.
	I have now uploaded a revised version of my article which incorporates any changes requested by reviewers.
	You can access this submission directly here: https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/editorpeer/step4/index.php? proceed=1&selJournals=255&intSubmissionId=64146
	(Log in to view.)

Kind regards, Mrs.Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 : Request to revise your article
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Date:	05 Feb 2021
Content:	Dear Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh,

We have now completed the reviewing process of your article IJPM-64146 entitled "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT CONSOLIDATION STRATEGY USING SUPPLY POSITIONING MODEL AND QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN SEMARANG",

which you submitted to the Int. J. of Procurement Management, and we are pleased to say that we consider it a good candidate for publication, once a number of revisions are made.

You have to highlight the changes you made to address all the comments of reviewers in color. Otherwise, it is challenging to see whether you have taken the revision seriouly to address all the comments.

To help the reviewers check that you have made the required corrections, please insert at the beginning of your revised article a detailed response to the reviewers' recommendations. Make sure you address each recommendation thoroughly and methodically. You should also show where the reviewers can find your change in the revised article by referring to the page number and preferably highlighting the updated text.

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJPM. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.

2. The introduction section should include 4 to 6 latest references (2017 to 2020) from well.known journals in the field and appropriate extracts from them in order to motivate the researchers in the subject.

3. Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 8 to 10 latest references (2017 to 2020) from well.known journals in the field and relevant extracts from them. Please try to include 4 to 6 references from IJPM.

4. The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated

5. The theoretical/conceptual/mathematical model/framework should be described and motivated further.

6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.

Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.

8. The language of the paper needs a careful proof.editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.

9. References must follow the style of IJPM.

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

1. The title is too long. Please make it concise and straightforward

2. Please clarify this research's contribution to the literature (the production of new knowledge), not only the practical contributions.

The author(s) haven't mentioned any theoretical gaps that this research tries to address in the literature review.

 Please clarify and elaborate on the method before 3.1. (eg. this research employs a quantitative method and HoQ as the analytical tool... SPM and QFD as theoretical frameworks)

Please mention in the conclusion what this research has achieved related to the five objectives.

6. Please fix typos and grammatical errors.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:

The author(s) may separate the discussion section from the result. This will provide a more clear dialogue between this research and existing literature.

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 Revised article uploaded
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
To:	Angappa Gunasekaran <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	19 Feb 2021
Content:	Dear Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran,
	Greetings!hope you're having a great week, Prof. In this time we would like to submit sooner our article revision with reference submission code: IJPM-64146
	And, we change the title into "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT CONSOLIDATIO STRATEGY USING SUPPLY POSITIONING MODEL AND QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN SEMARANG"
	We did some revisions according to reviewer comments and suggestion. In details : 1. We align the article style to IJPM style (including numberings and sectionings) . We believe that it still need to be corrected to make it perfect. And we wonder if there is Word Doc templates to smooth the style well.
	2. We also revise the introduction, to be more elaborated. And of course we add some
	 In the Literature review sections, we include more than 12 recent references includin from IJPM. We also reconstruct our matrix (see page 2-4). We also inform that the theoretical gaps already revised on table 1
	4. The methodology has been restructured by revising several paragraphs and tables (see page 4-7), we already clarify and elaborate on the method before point 3.1 with th sentences "This research on procurement strategy application is applied using quantitative and qualitative methods. The quantitative method is used to classify each material items into the SPM Matrix, and the qualitative method is used in the HOQ Matrix, which being used as an analytical tool for meeting customer needs (in this case is the construction owner).
	 The results and discussion section were developed by comparing with previous researches and adding arguments (see page 7-11)
	 We also revise the conclusion. And include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions. (see page 11-12)
	We make some corrections in reference part also.
	You can access this submission directly here, Prof: https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/editorpeer/step4/index.php? proceed=1&selJournals=255&intSubmissionId=64146 (Log in to view.)
	We are looking forward to your next review, Have a Good Day!
	Kind regards, Mrs.Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh

Subject: Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 Revised article uploaded From: Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com> To: Angappa Gunasekaran <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com> Cc: Inderscience Submissions <kiranaririh@gmail.com> 23 Mar 2021 Date: Dear Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran, Content: Greetings!hope you're having a great week, Prof. In this time we would like to submit our article revision with reference submission code: IJPM-64146 And, we change the title into "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT CONSOLIDATIO AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN SEMARANG" We did some revisions according to reviewer comments and suggestion. In details : 1. We align the article style to UPM style (including numberings and sectionings) . We believe that it still need to be corrected to make it perfect. And we wonder if there is Word Doc templates to smooth the style well. We also revise the introduction, to be more elaborated. And of course we add some recent references. In the Literature review sections, we include more than 12 recent references includin. from IJPM. We also reconstruct our matrix (see page 2-4). We also inform that the theoretical gaps already revised on table 1 The methodology has been restructured by revising several paragraphs and tables (see page 4-7), we already clarify and elaborate on the method before point 3.1 with th sentences "This research on procurement strategy application is applied using guantitative and gualitative methods. The guantitative method is used to classify each material items into the SPM Matrix, and the gualitative method is used in the HOQ Matrix, which being used as an analytical tool for meeting customer needs (in this case is the construction owner). And else elaborations (please see details in the revision tab and article) 4. The results and discussion section were developed by comparing with previous researches and adding arguments (see page 7-11). We also revise the conclusion. Anc include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions. (see page 11-12) 5. We also proof read the article with our certified local partners Details can be seen in the intial page. You can access this submission directly here: https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/editorpeer/step4/index.php? proceed=1&selJournals=255&intSubmissionId=64146 (Log in to view.) We are looking forward to your next review, Have a Good Day! Kind regards.

Mrs.Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: Article accepted for publication - IJPM-64146
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
To:	Hery Suliantoro,Samuel Pandiangan,Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com;samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com;kiranaririh@gmail.com< td=""></suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com;samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com;kiranaririh@gmail.com<>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Date:	24 Mar 2021
Content:	Dear Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh,

(Co-authors are copied into this email for information purposes.)

Ref: Article title: "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT CONSOLIDATION STRATEGY AMONG CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN SEMARANG"

Submission code: IJPM-64146

I am pleased to inform you that your article has been accepted for publication in Int. J. of Procurement Management.

You now need to upload the final revised version for this article and your author copyrigh agreement form(s) or your Open Access order form.

The reviewers' comments are added to the end of this email for your information. There may be some useful suggestions for improving your final version.

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

The subject of the paper looks interesting and suitable for IJPM. However, the paper requires a revision before it can be accepted for publication:

1. Number the main and sub.sections correctly to avoid any confusion.

The introduction section should include 4 to 6 latest references (2017 to 2020) from well.known journals in the field and appropriate extracts from them in order to motivate the researchers in the subject.

 Develop the literature review part of the paper to include 8 to 10 latest references (201 to 2020) from well known journals in the field and relevant extracts from them. Please try to include 4 to 6 references from IJPM.

4. The research objectives and methodology should be better explained and motivated.

The theoretical/conceptual/mathematical model/framework should be described and motivated further.

6. The results and analysis section should be better analyzed and developed further.

Develop the conclusions section to include the unique contributions of the paper, theoretical and managerial implications, limitations of the research and future research directions.

The language of the paper needs a careful proof.editing. Otherwise, the paper will be rejected.

9. References must follow the style of IJPM.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential fo publication:

NA

REVIEW COMMENTS:

Changes which must be made before publication:

1. The title is too long. Please make it concise and straightforward

Please clarify this research's contribution to the literature (the production of new knowledge), not only the practical contributions.

The author(s) haven't mentioned any theoretical gaps that this research tries to addres in the literature review.

 Please clarify and elaborate on the method before 3.1. (eg. this research employs a quantitative method and HoQ as the analytical tool... SPM and QFD as theoretical frameworks)

Please mention in the conclusion what this research has achieved related to the five objectives.

6. Please fix typos and grammatical errors.

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential fo publication:

The author(s) may separate the discussion section from the result. This will provide a more clear dialogue between this research and existing literature.

Submission Files

3. Agreement File :

[30 Mar 2021]



Author Copyright Agreement

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")

If your article has been accepted for publication, each author must sign a copyright agreement form after reading the Explanatory Notes below and either *(for online submissions)* follow the online instructions or *(for email submissions)* send the signed forms, in electronic format, to the Editor (or other recipient as advised by the Editor of the specific journal), together with the final version of the article.

So that we can ensure both the widest dissemination and protection of material published in Inderscience's journals, we ask authors to assign copyright in their articles, including abstracts, to Inderscience. This enables us to ensure copyright protection against infringement, and to disseminate your article, and our journals, as widely as possible.

1. In consideration of the undertaking set out in paragraph 2, and upon acceptance by Inderscience for publication in the Journal, [insert the full names of all authors, reflecting the name order given in the article]

hereafter 'the Author' hereby assigns and transfers to Inderscience, the copyright in and to [insert article title]

hereafter 'the Article' by the Author to be published in [insert journal title]

hereafter ('the Journal'). This assignment provides Inderscience the sole right and responsibility to publish the Article, including the right to sub-license publishing or distribution rights to the Article as may be appropriate, in both printed and electronic form; the Article may be published in printed, online, CD-ROM, microfiche or in other media formats.

- 2. In consideration of this assignment, Inderscience hereby undertakes to prepare and publish the Article named in paragraph 1 in the Journal, subject only to its right to refuse publication as provided in paragraph 5 or if there are other reasonable grounds; in such case Inderscience reverts and assigns to the Author any and all copyright and other rights in the Article otherwise assigned to it under this Agreement.
- 3. The Editor of the Journal and Inderscience are empowered to make such editorial changes as may be necessary to make the Article suitable for publication. Every effort will be made to consult the Author if substantive changes are required.
- 4. The Author hereby asserts his/her moral rights under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the Author of the Article.
- 5. The Author warrants that the Article is the Author's original work, it has not been published before either in full or in part and is not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere; and that the Article contains no libellous or unlawful statements and that it in no way infringes the rights of others, nor it is in breach of any English law, and that the Author, as the owner of the copyright, is entitled to make this assignment. If the Author is the Corresponding Author*, the Author warrants that where s/he enters into any correspondence about or agrees to any changes to the Article s/he is authorised to act on behalf of any co-authors in doing so and has provided full and accurate information relating to them where required on the understanding that no further changes can be made after signature of this Agreement.

* The Author designated in the published Article as the individual to contact in the event of an enquiry about a manuscript. The Corresponding Author normally is responsible for correcting page proofs and working with the production editor.

Signed by the Author	 	 	
Date	 	 	



Author Copyright Agreement: Explanatory Notes

Inderscience's policy is to acquire copyright for all contributions, for the following reasons:

- a. ownership of copyright by a central body helps to ensure maximum international protection against infringement and/or plagiarism;
- b. requests for permission to reproduce articles in books, course packs, electronic reserve or for library loan can be handled centrally, relieving authors of a time-consuming administrative burden;
- c. the demand for research literature in electronic form can be met efficiently, with proper safeguards for authors, editors and journal owners.

There are opportunities to reach institutions (e.g. companies, schools and public libraries) and individual readers that are unlikely to subscribe to the printed Journal. Inderscience works with other organisations to publish its journals online, and to deliver copies of individual articles. It has registered the Journal with the Copyright Licensing Agency, which offers centralised licensing arrangements for digital copying and photocopying around the world. Income received from all of these sources is used to further the interests of the Journal.

Once accepted for publication, your Article will be published in the Journal, and will be stored and distributed electronically, in order to meet increasing library and faculty demand and to deliver it as part of the Journal, as an individual article or as part of a larger collection of articles to meet the specific requirements of a particular market. By signing this Author Copyright Agreement and assigning copyright you agree to Inderscience making such arrangements.

It may be that the Author is not able to make the assignment solely by him- or herself:

- a. If it is appropriate, the Author's employer may sign this agreement. The employer may reserve the right to use the Article for internal or promotional purposes (by indicating on this agreement) and reserve all rights other than copyright.
- b. If the Author is a UK Government employee, the Government will grant a non-exclusive licence to publish the Article in the Journal in any medium or form provided that Crown Copyright and user rights (including patent rights) are reserved. This also applies to other Commonwealth countries.
- c. If the Author is a US Government employee and the work was done in that capacity, the assignment applies only to the extent allowed by US law.

Under the UK's Copyright Design and Patents Act 1988, the Author has the moral right to be identified as the Author wherever the Article is published, and to object to its derogatory treatment or distortion. Inderscience encourages assertion of this right; it represents best publishing practice and is an important safeguard for all authors. Paragraph 4 above asserts the Author's moral rights, as required by the Act.

Authors can use their Article for non-commercial purposes after publication in these ways:

- Posting the Author's Original* on the Author's personal or departmental web pages and/or institutional repositories and/or subject
 repositories without embargo and sharing it as much as desired. For open [freely available] repositories, if the manuscript was
 funded by either RCUK or the Wellcome Trust, use the CC-BY-NC: Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 4.0. Otherwise,
 follow the licensing restrictions applied to all material copyrighted by Inderscience;
- Posting the Accepted Manuscript*
 - Internally sharing the Accepted Manuscript within their research collaboration groups only, at any point after publication
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on institutional repositories and/or subject repositories, subject to an embargo of 12 months after publication (Green Open Access)
- after publication (Green Open Access)
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on academic social networks or social media subject to an embargo of 24 months after publication (Green Open Access)

Note for authors of articles funded by Research Councils UK (RCUK) and Wellcome Trust and other governmental organisations: If you are required to deposit your accepted manuscript into your institutional repository within 90 days of acceptance and our embargo period is longer than that permitted by your funder, please choose Gold Open Access. If this is not possible for you, please speak to your institution about applying for an exception to HEFCE's Research Excellence Framework policy.

- Posting the Version of Record* to a subject-based repository such as PubMed Central only in cases where a funding agency providing the grant for the research on which the Article is based requires this of the Author, upon condition that it shall not be accessible until after six months from Inderscience's publication date. The PDF of the VoR should not be posted anywhere else unless it has been published as Open Access. This also applies to any Author who has published with Inderscience in the past;
- Using the article in further research and in courses that the Author is teaching;
- Incorporating the article content in other works by the Author.

In all cases, acknowledgement in the form of a full citation must be given to the journal as the original source of publication, together with a link to the journal webpage and/or DOI as soon as they are available.

*Versions of a paper defined as

- · Author's Original = Author's manuscript prior to peer review [often called a 'preprint']
- Accepted Manuscript = Accepted version of author's manuscript, accepted for publication, i.e. post-review, pre-typesetting. *We recommend retaining this version for future posting.*
- Version of Record = Publisher's version of finished article

Inderscience, as Publisher, reserves the right to refuse to publish your Article where its publication creates – or it reasonably believes may create – legal liability, or where circumstances come to light that were not known to the Editor, including prior publication of the whole or part of the Article, conflict of interest, manifest error, etc. Inderscience is the ultimate custodian of academic quality and integrity, and will ensure that this will be done only in exceptional circumstances and on reasonable grounds. In such circumstances the Article will be returned to the Author together with all rights in it.

Thank you for reading these notes. If you require more detailed information, go to Inderscience's web site. This assignment will enable Inderscience to ensure that the Article will reach the optimum readership.

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")



Author Copyright Agreement

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")

If your article has been accepted for publication, each author must sign a copyright agreement form after reading the Explanatory Notes below and either *(for online submissions)* follow the online instructions or *(for email submissions)* send the signed forms, in electronic format, to the Editor (or other recipient as advised by the Editor of the specific journal), together with the final version of the article.

So that we can ensure both the widest dissemination and protection of material published in Inderscience's journals, we ask authors to assign copyright in their articles, including abstracts, to Inderscience. This enables us to ensure copyright protection against infringement, and to disseminate your article, and our journals, as widely as possible.

1. In consideration of the undertaking set out in paragraph 2, and upon acceptance by Inderscience for publication in the Journal, [insert the full names of all authors, reflecting the name order given in the article]

hereafter 'the Author' hereby assigns and transfers to Inderscience, the copyright in and to [insert article title]

hereafter 'the Article' by the Author to be published in [insert journal title]

hereafter ('the Journal'). This assignment provides Inderscience the sole right and responsibility to publish the Article, including the right to sub-license publishing or distribution rights to the Article as may be appropriate, in both printed and electronic form; the Article may be published in printed, online, CD-ROM, microfiche or in other media formats.

- 2. In consideration of this assignment, Inderscience hereby undertakes to prepare and publish the Article named in paragraph 1 in the Journal, subject only to its right to refuse publication as provided in paragraph 5 or if there are other reasonable grounds; in such case Inderscience reverts and assigns to the Author any and all copyright and other rights in the Article otherwise assigned to it under this Agreement.
- 3. The Editor of the Journal and Inderscience are empowered to make such editorial changes as may be necessary to make the Article suitable for publication. Every effort will be made to consult the Author if substantive changes are required.
- 4. The Author hereby asserts his/her moral rights under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the Author of the Article.
- 5. The Author warrants that the Article is the Author's original work, it has not been published before either in full or in part and is not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere; and that the Article contains no libellous or unlawful statements and that it in no way infringes the rights of others, nor it is in breach of any English law, and that the Author, as the owner of the copyright, is entitled to make this assignment. If the Author is the Corresponding Author*, the Author warrants that where s/he enters into any correspondence about or agrees to any changes to the Article s/he is authorised to act on behalf of any co-authors in doing so and has provided full and accurate information relating to them where required on the understanding that no further changes can be made after signature of this Agreement.

* The Author designated in the published Article as the individual to contact in the event of an enquiry about a manuscript. The Corresponding Author normally is responsible for correcting page proofs and working with the production editor.

Signed by the Author	 	 	
Date	 	 	



Author Copyright Agreement: Explanatory Notes

Inderscience's policy is to acquire copyright for all contributions, for the following reasons:

- a. ownership of copyright by a central body helps to ensure maximum international protection against infringement and/or plagiarism;
- b. requests for permission to reproduce articles in books, course packs, electronic reserve or for library loan can be handled centrally, relieving authors of a time-consuming administrative burden;
- c. the demand for research literature in electronic form can be met efficiently, with proper safeguards for authors, editors and journal owners.

There are opportunities to reach institutions (e.g. companies, schools and public libraries) and individual readers that are unlikely to subscribe to the printed Journal. Inderscience works with other organisations to publish its journals online, and to deliver copies of individual articles. It has registered the Journal with the Copyright Licensing Agency, which offers centralised licensing arrangements for digital copying and photocopying around the world. Income received from all of these sources is used to further the interests of the Journal.

Once accepted for publication, your Article will be published in the Journal, and will be stored and distributed electronically, in order to meet increasing library and faculty demand and to deliver it as part of the Journal, as an individual article or as part of a larger collection of articles to meet the specific requirements of a particular market. By signing this Author Copyright Agreement and assigning copyright you agree to Inderscience making such arrangements.

It may be that the Author is not able to make the assignment solely by him- or herself:

- a. If it is appropriate, the Author's employer may sign this agreement. The employer may reserve the right to use the Article for internal or promotional purposes (by indicating on this agreement) and reserve all rights other than copyright.
- b. If the Author is a UK Government employee, the Government will grant a non-exclusive licence to publish the Article in the Journal in any medium or form provided that Crown Copyright and user rights (including patent rights) are reserved. This also applies to other Commonwealth countries.
- c. If the Author is a US Government employee and the work was done in that capacity, the assignment applies only to the extent allowed by US law.

Under the UK's Copyright Design and Patents Act 1988, the Author has the moral right to be identified as the Author wherever the Article is published, and to object to its derogatory treatment or distortion. Inderscience encourages assertion of this right; it represents best publishing practice and is an important safeguard for all authors. Paragraph 4 above asserts the Author's moral rights, as required by the Act.

Authors can use their Article for non-commercial purposes after publication in these ways:

- Posting the Author's Original* on the Author's personal or departmental web pages and/or institutional repositories and/or subject
 repositories without embargo and sharing it as much as desired. For open [freely available] repositories, if the manuscript was
 funded by either RCUK or the Wellcome Trust, use the CC-BY-NC: Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 4.0. Otherwise,
 follow the licensing restrictions applied to all material copyrighted by Inderscience;
- Posting the Accepted Manuscript*
 - Internally sharing the Accepted Manuscript within their research collaboration groups only, at any point after publication
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on institutional repositories and/or subject repositories, subject to an embargo of 12 months after publication (Green Open Access)
- after publication (Green Open Access)
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on academic social networks or social media subject to an embargo of 24 months after publication (Green Open Access)

Note for authors of articles funded by Research Councils UK (RCUK) and Wellcome Trust and other governmental organisations: If you are required to deposit your accepted manuscript into your institutional repository within 90 days of acceptance and our embargo period is longer than that permitted by your funder, please choose Gold Open Access. If this is not possible for you, please speak to your institution about applying for an exception to HEFCE's Research Excellence Framework policy.

- Posting the Version of Record* to a subject-based repository such as PubMed Central only in cases where a funding agency providing the grant for the research on which the Article is based requires this of the Author, upon condition that it shall not be accessible until after six months from Inderscience's publication date. The PDF of the VoR should not be posted anywhere else unless it has been published as Open Access. This also applies to any Author who has published with Inderscience in the past;
- Using the article in further research and in courses that the Author is teaching;
- Incorporating the article content in other works by the Author.

In all cases, acknowledgement in the form of a full citation must be given to the journal as the original source of publication, together with a link to the journal webpage and/or DOI as soon as they are available.

*Versions of a paper defined as

- · Author's Original = Author's manuscript prior to peer review [often called a 'preprint']
- Accepted Manuscript = Accepted version of author's manuscript, accepted for publication, i.e. post-review, pre-typesetting. *We recommend retaining this version for future posting.*
- Version of Record = Publisher's version of finished article

Inderscience, as Publisher, reserves the right to refuse to publish your Article where its publication creates – or it reasonably believes may create – legal liability, or where circumstances come to light that were not known to the Editor, including prior publication of the whole or part of the Article, conflict of interest, manifest error, etc. Inderscience is the ultimate custodian of academic quality and integrity, and will ensure that this will be done only in exceptional circumstances and on reasonable grounds. In such circumstances the Article will be returned to the Author together with all rights in it.

Thank you for reading these notes. If you require more detailed information, go to Inderscience's web site. This assignment will enable Inderscience to ensure that the Article will reach the optimum readership.

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")



Author Copyright Agreement

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")

If your article has been accepted for publication, each author must sign a copyright agreement form after reading the Explanatory Notes below and either *(for online submissions)* follow the online instructions or *(for email submissions)* send the signed forms, in electronic format, to the Editor (or other recipient as advised by the Editor of the specific journal), together with the final version of the article.

So that we can ensure both the widest dissemination and protection of material published in Inderscience's journals, we ask authors to assign copyright in their articles, including abstracts, to Inderscience. This enables us to ensure copyright protection against infringement, and to disseminate your article, and our journals, as widely as possible.

1. In consideration of the undertaking set out in paragraph 2, and upon acceptance by Inderscience for publication in the Journal, [insert the full names of all authors, reflecting the name order given in the article]

hereafter 'the Author' hereby assigns and transfers to Inderscience, the copyright in and to [insert article title]

hereafter 'the Article' by the Author to be published in [insert journal title]

hereafter ('the Journal'). This assignment provides Inderscience the sole right and responsibility to publish the Article, including the right to sub-license publishing or distribution rights to the Article as may be appropriate, in both printed and electronic form; the Article may be published in printed, online, CD-ROM, microfiche or in other media formats.

- 2. In consideration of this assignment, Inderscience hereby undertakes to prepare and publish the Article named in paragraph 1 in the Journal, subject only to its right to refuse publication as provided in paragraph 5 or if there are other reasonable grounds; in such case Inderscience reverts and assigns to the Author any and all copyright and other rights in the Article otherwise assigned to it under this Agreement.
- 3. The Editor of the Journal and Inderscience are empowered to make such editorial changes as may be necessary to make the Article suitable for publication. Every effort will be made to consult the Author if substantive changes are required.
- 4. The Author hereby asserts his/her moral rights under the UK Copyright Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the Author of the Article.
- 5. The Author warrants that the Article is the Author's original work, it has not been published before either in full or in part and is not currently under consideration for publication elsewhere; and that the Article contains no libellous or unlawful statements and that it in no way infringes the rights of others, nor it is in breach of any English law, and that the Author, as the owner of the copyright, is entitled to make this assignment. If the Author is the Corresponding Author*, the Author warrants that where s/he enters into any correspondence about or agrees to any changes to the Article s/he is authorised to act on behalf of any co-authors in doing so and has provided full and accurate information relating to them where required on the understanding that no further changes can be made after signature of this Agreement.

* The Author designated in the published Article as the individual to contact in the event of an enquiry about a manuscript. The Corresponding Author normally is responsible for correcting page proofs and working with the production editor.

Signed by the Author	 	 	
Date	 	 	



Author Copyright Agreement: Explanatory Notes

Inderscience's policy is to acquire copyright for all contributions, for the following reasons:

- a. ownership of copyright by a central body helps to ensure maximum international protection against infringement and/or plagiarism;
- b. requests for permission to reproduce articles in books, course packs, electronic reserve or for library loan can be handled centrally, relieving authors of a time-consuming administrative burden;
- c. the demand for research literature in electronic form can be met efficiently, with proper safeguards for authors, editors and journal owners.

There are opportunities to reach institutions (e.g. companies, schools and public libraries) and individual readers that are unlikely to subscribe to the printed Journal. Inderscience works with other organisations to publish its journals online, and to deliver copies of individual articles. It has registered the Journal with the Copyright Licensing Agency, which offers centralised licensing arrangements for digital copying and photocopying around the world. Income received from all of these sources is used to further the interests of the Journal.

Once accepted for publication, your Article will be published in the Journal, and will be stored and distributed electronically, in order to meet increasing library and faculty demand and to deliver it as part of the Journal, as an individual article or as part of a larger collection of articles to meet the specific requirements of a particular market. By signing this Author Copyright Agreement and assigning copyright you agree to Inderscience making such arrangements.

It may be that the Author is not able to make the assignment solely by him- or herself:

- a. If it is appropriate, the Author's employer may sign this agreement. The employer may reserve the right to use the Article for internal or promotional purposes (by indicating on this agreement) and reserve all rights other than copyright.
- b. If the Author is a UK Government employee, the Government will grant a non-exclusive licence to publish the Article in the Journal in any medium or form provided that Crown Copyright and user rights (including patent rights) are reserved. This also applies to other Commonwealth countries.
- c. If the Author is a US Government employee and the work was done in that capacity, the assignment applies only to the extent allowed by US law.

Under the UK's Copyright Design and Patents Act 1988, the Author has the moral right to be identified as the Author wherever the Article is published, and to object to its derogatory treatment or distortion. Inderscience encourages assertion of this right; it represents best publishing practice and is an important safeguard for all authors. Paragraph 4 above asserts the Author's moral rights, as required by the Act.

Authors can use their Article for non-commercial purposes after publication in these ways:

- Posting the Author's Original* on the Author's personal or departmental web pages and/or institutional repositories and/or subject
 repositories without embargo and sharing it as much as desired. For open [freely available] repositories, if the manuscript was
 funded by either RCUK or the Wellcome Trust, use the CC-BY-NC: Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs 4.0. Otherwise,
 follow the licensing restrictions applied to all material copyrighted by Inderscience;
- Posting the Accepted Manuscript*
 - Internally sharing the Accepted Manuscript within their research collaboration groups only, at any point after publication
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on institutional repositories and/or subject repositories, subject to an embargo of 12 months after publication (Green Open Access)
- after publication (Green Open Access)
 - Posting the Accepted Manuscript on academic social networks or social media subject to an embargo of 24 months after publication (Green Open Access)

Note for authors of articles funded by Research Councils UK (RCUK) and Wellcome Trust and other governmental organisations: If you are required to deposit your accepted manuscript into your institutional repository within 90 days of acceptance and our embargo period is longer than that permitted by your funder, please choose Gold Open Access. If this is not possible for you, please speak to your institution about applying for an exception to HEFCE's Research Excellence Framework policy.

- Posting the Version of Record* to a subject-based repository such as PubMed Central only in cases where a funding agency providing the grant for the research on which the Article is based requires this of the Author, upon condition that it shall not be accessible until after six months from Inderscience's publication date. The PDF of the VoR should not be posted anywhere else unless it has been published as Open Access. This also applies to any Author who has published with Inderscience in the past;
- Using the article in further research and in courses that the Author is teaching;
- Incorporating the article content in other works by the Author.

In all cases, acknowledgement in the form of a full citation must be given to the journal as the original source of publication, together with a link to the journal webpage and/or DOI as soon as they are available.

*Versions of a paper defined as

- · Author's Original = Author's manuscript prior to peer review [often called a 'preprint']
- Accepted Manuscript = Accepted version of author's manuscript, accepted for publication, i.e. post-review, pre-typesetting. *We recommend retaining this version for future posting.*
- Version of Record = Publisher's version of finished article

Inderscience, as Publisher, reserves the right to refuse to publish your Article where its publication creates – or it reasonably believes may create – legal liability, or where circumstances come to light that were not known to the Editor, including prior publication of the whole or part of the Article, conflict of interest, manifest error, etc. Inderscience is the ultimate custodian of academic quality and integrity, and will ensure that this will be done only in exceptional circumstances and on reasonable grounds. In such circumstances the Article will be returned to the Author together with all rights in it.

Thank you for reading these notes. If you require more detailed information, go to Inderscience's web site. This assignment will enable Inderscience to ensure that the Article will reach the optimum readership.

Inderscience Enterprises Ltd, trading as Inderscience Publishers, of Rue de Pré-Bois 14, Meyrin - 1216, Geneva, Switzerland ("Inderscience")

Submission Files

4. Author's final version:

[30 Mar 2021]

DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA

Hery Suliantoro^{1#}, Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh^{2*}, Samuel Pandiangan^{1#}

¹Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang-Indonesia ²Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta-Indonesia

#emails : suliantoro hery@yahoo.com; samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com.
*corresponding author : kiranaririh@gmail.com.

Abstract : Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing Supply Positiong Model (SPM) and Quality Function Deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM Matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The most important supplier criteria to achieve best construction quality are the supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords : construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; strategic procurement; supply positioning models

Biographical notes : HERY SULIANTORO is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Industrial Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Diponegoro University. He received his PhD in Management Science, Faculty of Economics and Business from Diponegoro University, Indonesia. He has taught a variety of courses in organizational management and supply chain management at undergraduate and postgraduate levels. His research interests focus primarily in the field of supply chain and procurement with a particular focus on public procurement. Specific topics include adoption technology, innovative process and government policy in public procurement. He also has actively taught a variety of short courses in public procurement as an expert trainner and senior consultant.

KIRANA RUKMAYUNINDA RIRIH is a researcher at the Research Center for Policy and Management of Science, Technology and Innovation – Indonesian Institute of Sciences. Previously she was a lecturer in Vocational Program of Business Administration- University of Indonesia. Her research interest is in industrial management; with special preference to innovation management, project management, and entrepreneurship. She has conducted several consulting projects, for example in Telkom Infra Indonesia and Directorate General of Construction Development. She actively involved in many joint research projects both national and international such as with Georgia Tech and Glasgow Caledonian University. In 2015, She also won the Best Paper Award during Bappenas International Conference.

SAMUEL PANDIANGAN is an employee at Fast Moving Consumer Good company - PT. GarudaFood Putra Putri Jaya, Tbk. He obtained a Bachelor's degree from Industrial Engineering, Diponegoro University in 2020, and previously he worked at Puninar Logistics as an Account Executive. His research interest is in the supply chain management, especially in procurement. Several other research themes that also have been carried out besides supply chain management are Human Integrated Systems in the National Seminar on Industrial Engineering, and also Marketing during the National Marketing Research Competition.

1. Introduction

•

Developing countries are never separated from development, such as building roads, buildings and other facilities. In the last five years (2014-2019) Indonesia has succeeded in building 1,387 km of toll roads, 3,194 km of border roads, 811.89 km of railroads, 15 new airports, 65 dams, and many other developments throughout the country -including Semarang- according to datas of Ministry of PUPR, KPPIP in 2019. The infrastructure development process really requires planning time, costs, labor, tools and materials, to design so that the construction process runs with precise and clear planning (Chofreh et al. 2019). In some of the year, that labor,

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 - Final version of article has been uploaded
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Angappa Gunasekaran <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	30 Mar 2021
Content:	Dear Prof. Angappa Gunasekaran,
	RE: IJPM-64146 Title: DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
	I have now submitted my final revised article. https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com/editorpeer/senttojm/index.php
	I have completed the required items:
	 updated the metadata according to your recommendations uploaded a zipped file with the Copyright Agreement forms signed by each author restored author names, email addresses, mailing addresses and biographical statements in the "Author's final version" of my article uploaded my "Author's final version" file
	I trust all is in order.

With kind regards, Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh

Author article proofs

7. Article received from Typesetter for first proof: [04 May 2021]

Int. J. Procurement Management, Vol. X, No. Y, xxxx

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia

1

Hery Suliantoro

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh*

Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia Email: kiranaririh@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Samuel Pandiangan

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Abstract: Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing supply positioning model (SPM) and quality function deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords: construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; QFD; strategic procurement; supply positioning models; SPMs; Indonesia.

Copyright © 20XX Inderscience Enterprises Ltd.

2 H. Suliantoro et al.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Suliantoro, H., Ririh, K.R. and Pandiangan, S. (xxxx) 'Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia', *Int. J. Procurement Management*, Vol. X, No. Y, pp.xxx–xxx.

Biographical notes: Hery Suliantoro is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Industrial Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Diponegoro University. He received his PhD in Management Science, Faculty of Economics and Business from the Diponegoro University, Indonesia. He has taught a variety of courses in organisational management and supply chain management at undergraduate and postgraduate levels. His research interests focus primarily in the field of supply chain and procurement with a particular focus on public procurement. Specific topics include adoption technology, innovative process and government policy in public procurement. He also has actively taught a variety of short courses in public procurement as an expert trainer and senior consultant.

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh is a researcher at the Research Center for Policy and Management of Science, Technology and Innovation, Indonesian Institute of Sciences. Previously she was a Lecturer in Vocational Program of Business Administration – University of Indonesia. Her research interest is in industrial management, with special preference to innovation management, project management, and entrepreneurship. She has conducted several consulting projects, for example in Telkom Infra Indonesia and the Directorate General of Construction Development. She actively involved in many joint research projects both national and international such as with Georgia Tech and Glasgow Caledonian University. In 2015, she also won the Best Paper Award during Bappenas International Conference.

Samuel Pandiangan is an employee at the Fast Moving Consumer Good Company – PT, Garuda Food Putra Putri Jaya, Tbk. He obtained a Bachelor's degree from the Industrial Engineering, Diponegoro University in 2020, and previously he worked at Puninar Logistics as an account executive. His research interest is in the supply chain management, especially in procurement. Several other research themes that also have been carried out besides supply chain management are human integrated systems in the national seminar on industrial engineering, and also marketing during the national marketing research competition.

This paper is a revised and expanded version of a paper entitled [title] presented at [name, location and date of conference].

Commented [t1]: Author: If a previous version of your paper has originally been presented at a conference please complete the statement to this effect or delete if not applicable.

1 Introduction

Developing countries are never separated from development, such as building roads, buildings and other facilities. In the last five years (2014–2019) Indonesia has succeeded in building 1,387 km of toll roads, 3,194 km of border roads, 811.89 km of railroads, 15 new airports, 65 dams, and many other developments throughout the country – including Semarang – according to datas of Ministry of PUPR, KPPIP in 2019. The infrastructure development process really requires planning time, costs, labour, tools and materials, to design so that the construction process runs with precise and clear planning (Chofreh et al., 2019). In some of the year, that labour, material, and equipment factors have a

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection

positive and significant impact on the time strength of project work costs (Berampu, 2014).

In the project implementation process, there are seven elements that intersect with each other, including labour, materials, equipment, place characteristics, managerial, financial, and other factors. Without materials, the project will not be completed properly, so to obtain these materials the contractor needs a procurement division. This division has very large duties and responsibilities, such as planning resource allocation, paying for quality and service at the lowest price, creating good relationships between buyers and suppliers, reducing working capital requirements in order to increase profits for the company, and purchasing the required materials (Panchal, 2017; Altay et al., 2018; Ambe, 2019; Gottschalk, 2019; Suliantoro and Ririh, 2019).

However, contractors often make the wrong purchasing process, because they do not consider about the right strategy and stages in choosing material suppliers. Therefore, the contractor needs a strategy and the right steps in choosing a supplier (Berezinets and Ivanov, 2019). These strategies are called strategic procurement which will appear in a supply positioning model (SPM). Visani and Boccali (2020) explain the stages in choosing suppliers, starting from setting the vision and mission of the organisation, then determining organisational goals, organisational strategy in achieving the goals, establishing organisational policies, and establishing organisational programs. From these stages, the contractor can choose which supplier is able to fulfil the vision-mission and goals of the organisation so that the project built can match the expectations of the construction owner. Furthermore, quality function deployment (QFD) was an important dimension of quick response to customer needs on which a project procurement may compete. The first QFD application was in Japan (1972), and then it widely applied in various sectors and countries including construction projects, aviation, tourism, and many more. This method had been an ideal device of customer's voices, it made possible the projects or organisations to become much responsive and proactive to quality problems (Chen et al., 2020; Nie et al., 2020). Combining SPM and QFD method is a strong way to analyse procurement consolidation strategy in construction projects. Previously, many researches of QFD combined it with analytical hierarchy process rather than SPM. Therefore in this research, the consolidation strategy of construction procurement is evaluated by SPM and QFD.

This research is in collaboration with the regional leadership board (RLB) of GAPENSI Central Java, where until now RLB GAPENSI Central Java has $\pm 5,525$ members of contractor companies spread across 35 districts. However, due to limited space and time, this study only focuses on 86 contractors in the city of Semarang, who have medium-large qualifications in the business sectors of road, warehouse, irrigation, and bridge construction.

This research has five main objectives: the first is to analyse what factors are hindering the project development process, the second is to explain the work sequence of the procurement function, the third is to provide the best strategic procurement alternatives to increase effectiveness and efficiency of the project, the fourth is to find out and analyse what the customer needs for the construction entrusted to the implementing contractor, and the last is to find out and analyse the supplier criteria that the contractors are looking for in meeting the material needs. **Commented [t2]:** Author: Please provide full reference or delete from the text if not required.

3

4 H. Suliantoro et al.

2 Literature review

2.1 Purchasing and procurement

Christoper and Schooner (2007) state that procurement or procurement is an activity carried out to be able to obtain goods or services in a transparent, effective and efficient manner according to the needs of its users. Procurement functions can be divided into two, namely functions internally and externally. The internal function of procurement is to provide information (supplier capacity, logistical data, information on charging prices and also discounts on new products) to other functions in the organisation. Externally, procurement functions to provide accountability for the cost of goods or services, delivery period, quality of products purchased, and procurement decisions (such as selecting the best supplier and relationship with suppliers).

In the connection between the contractor and the supplier, there is a close relationship therein, namely the purchasing relationship. Monczka et al. (2011) stated that purchasing is a functional activity that provides value to an organisation. Because of this, the purchasing process must go through the stages of identification, selection, supplier evaluation, buying goods by individual or groups through contracts and negotiations, market observation, and developing a purchasing system. By carrying out these stages, the principle of getting the right quality, in the right amount, at the right time, for the right price, from the right source can be fulfilled (Thiyagarajan, 2019; Alvanchi et al., 2020; Cherian et al., 2020; Mufleh, 2020; Abutabenjeh et al., 2021).

2.2 Supply positioning model

The SPM is a tool used to classify the weight of material interests into four quadrant categories, namely routine, leverage, bottleneck, and critical. In the SPM there are two factors are taken into consideration before classifying materials (Hesping and Schiele, 2016), those are supply-impact -opportunity-risk, and also the level of annual expenditure for materials. Figure 1 is a picture of the SPM diagram.





Source: Adopted from Hesping and Schiele (2016)

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection

The horizontal axis is expenditure and the vertical is supply risk (SR). Expenditures are divided into two categories, namely the category 80% items = 20% value. SR is the risk that arises when the company will supply materials. SR is determined based on the rating with the information H (high), M (moderate), L (low), and N (negligible). The SPM diagram has two main objectives, namely guiding the company in choosing business priorities, and also guiding the company in developing a supply strategy.

2.3 Quality function deployment

QFD is a well-structured, cross-functional planning technique where it is used to listen to customers' voices throughout the planning, development, engineering and production stages of any product. The QFD method uses a matrix that is universal and can be used to prioritise most of the tasks of any industry, namely the house of quality (HOQ) matrix (Rajesh and Maligga, 2013). It is generally used to improve understanding of customer's needs and develop products and processes in a more customer-oriented manner (Rampersad, 2006). The HOQ matrix has two main parts, namely voice of customer (VOC) on the horizontal axis, and technical responses (TRs) on the vertical axis (Gaspersz, 2004).

In using the house of quality matrix, some stages that must be passed, including identifying customer needs, studying technical provisions in producing goods or services, making relationships between customer needs and technical provisions, making comparisons or physical relationships to TR performance, evaluating customers (the stage for comparing customer opinions), and making trade-offs to assess the effect between activities (Rajesh and Maligga, 2013).

SPM research is often used to group material items into the SPM diagram so that companies can find out the right procurement strategy in managing them. Whereas QFD is often used to listen to customer voices during the planning, development, engineering and production stages of any product using the HOQ matrix.

References	Method	Variables	Findings
Lee and Drake (2010)	Analytical hierarchy process	Quality, availability, cost, time	Kraljic purchasing portfolio model complements the AHP methods, which quantifies risk based on monopoly condition and size of supplier.
Jafari (2013)	Quality function deployment	Contractor's abilities and project owner's requirement	Procurement strategy relates to project objectives and owner's requirements, which also can affect the contractor pre-qualification.
El-Khalek et al. (2019)	Descriptive statistical summary	Cost, quality, technical capability, management, health and safety, reputation, and time	In the project procurement, tender price – which is recognised by clients – played as keyrole in the subcontractor selection. Moreover, time and reputation are also the most essential criteria.

 Table 1
 Summary of previous studies on procurement consolidation of construction projects

5

6 *H. Suliantoro et al.*

 Table 1
 Summary of previous studies on procurement consolidation of construction projects (continued)

References	Method	Variables	Findings
Arabzad and Ghorbani (2011)	Failure mode and effect analysis; data envelopment analysis	Supply risk and profit impact	Leverage items allow the buying company to exploit its full purchasing power, for instance by tough negotiating, target pricing and product substitution. Meanwhile, bottleneck items relates to problems and risks.
Morkunaite et al. (2019)	Analytical hierarchy process and PROMETHEE	Financial soundness, contracts, sub-contractors, management ability, personnel management, risk, and reputation	Qualified contractors for cultural heritage projects are significant influences for project success. Factors of lack of employee number and number of contracts can result low value of income, value of total heritage contracts.
Gambo and Musonda (2021)	Part least square	Procurement planning, the quality performance, construction firm's business partnership	Construction firms, especially in developing countries, should adopt the culture of a firm's business partnership to increase productivity. In addition this would enhance the quality performance of their products.
Enshassi et al. (2013)	Multiple regression	Financial evaluation of the bid, completeness of bid document, past performances in similar projects, staff skills and experience, contractor's reputation/image, quality of work, contractor site management/execution, bid understanding, plant and equipment resources, health and safety performance	Contractor selection system need to be changed from lowest price criteria to multi-criteria selection. Moreover, the multi-criteria selection process involves establishing alternative contractor selection methods (technical and financial criteria).
Yang et al. (2016)	Data envelopment analysis	Technical efficiency, pure technical efficiency, scale efficiency	Bid evaluations of supplier selection process were most efficiently aided by DEA.

Table 1 shows this research position by combining SPM and QFD. The SPM is used to design the right procurement strategy, while the QFD is used to determine supplier criteria in the construction process (Rajesh and Malingga, 2013; Hesping and Schiele, 2016). The results of combining the two methods will benefit in construction projects, such as generating strong procurement strategies and defining proper supplier criteria's (Panchal, 2018; Morkunaite et al., 2019; Mac Donald et al., 2020). This study also adopts many variables from various past researches, which fitted to the research case and questions.

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection

3 Methodology

This research on procurement strategy application is applied using quantitative and qualitative methods. The quantitative method is used to classify each material items into the SPM matrix, and the qualitative method is used in the preliminary study and QFD which contains HOQ matrix. HOQ matrix is being used as an analytical tool for meeting customer needs, in this case is the construction owner (Rajesh and Malingga, 2013). This research, which involving 86 construction companies, contributes to define several main aspects and stages in the procurement process which must be considered by the company apart from only paying attention to the price aspect. On the other hand, this study also wants to show that the QFD method not only be used in the product development process but also be well applied in determining the supplier characteristics required by the contractors in construction projects.

The preliminary study was carried out in two stages, namely literature study and field study. The literature study was conducted by selecting articles with topics 'SPM', 'procurement', 'construction projects', and 'quality function development' from more than 250 reputable journals. Meanwhile, the field study (internal observation) was carried out by meeting the contractors directly in order to understand the company's procurement process.

3.1 Samples and variables

This research is implementing a purposive sampling method. The 86 construction companies are chosen as suggested by GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. Research questionnaires were sent through email and regular mail. This study assessed all important materials that were included in the procurement process; such as ready-mix concrete (M1), granites (M2), AC-WC hot mix (M3), paving blocks (M4), iron (M5), stone. split (M6), cement (M7), sand (M8), kerb/kansteen (M9), stake (M10), gabion wire (M11), pipe (M12), bricks (M13), wood (M14), aluminium (M15), glass (M16), galvalum/tile (M17), steel (M18), frame (M19), ceiling (M20), paint (M21), plumbing (M22), ceramics (M23), and nails (M24).

Table 2SR variable

No.	Criteria	Source
1	Material transportation and delivery	Prostean et al. (2014)
2	Investment in technology and machinery	
3	Electronic device test and fabrication	
4	Availability of materials	
5	Materials quality	Prostean et al. (2014) and Arabzad and
6	Materials cost	Ghorbani (2011)
7	Material fulfilment flexibility	Seifbarghy (2010)
8	Availability to supplier	
9	Number of suppliers	

According to Hesping and Schiele (2016), the SPM variables were measured by the dimension of material SR and expenditure (EX) because both dimensions played

7

8 H. Suliantoro et al.

important role in most procurement processes among construction projects. This research defines the indicator for SR and expenditure dimensions through several related previous researches as can be seen in Tables 2 and 3 and also aligned with GAPENSI standards.

Table 3Expenditure variable (EX)

lo.	Criteria	Source
	Impact on profitability	Padhi et al. (2012)
	Level of importance	
	Materials value	
	Project conditions being implemented	Lai et al. (2008)
	Field conditions around the project	
	Regulation conditions	
	Planning and estimation conditions	

Meanwhile, for defining QFD, this study assessed two aspects in QFD namely VOC and TR. Questionnaire items were constructed by using several previous researches. Details as shown in Tables 4 and 5.

 Table 4
 VOC variable

No.	Indicator	Source
1	Conformity to construction specifications (VOC 1)	Jafari (2013) and Ahmad et al. (2019)
2	Earthquake resistant construction (VOC 2)	RKS Proyek 88 Avenue (BGA, 2017)
3	The construction health space (VOC 3)	Ahmad et al. (2019)
4	Low emission construction (VOC 4)	
5	Availability of clear and qualified workforce (VOC 5)	Struyk (2007)
6	Availability of materials (VOC 6)	
7	Good control over construction tools (VOC 7)	
8	Technological innovations by contractors (VOC 8)	Mac Donald et al. (2020)
9	The duration of construction on time (VOC 9)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
10	Speed of delivery of construction to owner (VOC 10)	El Asmar et al. (2013)
11	The growth of project schedule (VOC 11)	
12	Type of construction delivery according to target (VOC 12)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
13	Adaptation of building (VOC 13)	Kronenburg (2008)
14	Transformation of building (VOC 14)	
15	Flexibility of building (VOC 15)	
16	Interaction of building (VOC 16)	
17	Suitability expenditures that have been planned (VOC 17)	Jafari (2013)
18	The budget according to the contract (VOC 18)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
19	Low lifecycle cost (VOC 19)	Ahmad et al. (2019)

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection

Table 5	TRs variable	

No.	Indicator	Source
1	Supplier financial stability (TR 1)	Jafari (2013)
2	Material prices offered (TR 2)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
3	Material discounts (TR 3)	
4	Payment flexibility (TR 4)	Jafari (2013)
5	The accuracy of the volume of the material (TR 5)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
6	The capabilities and technology used by suppliers (TR 6)	Jafari (2013)
7	Complete quality management system (TR 7)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
8	Supplier experiences (TR 8)	Markunaite et al. (2019)
9	Materials quality assurance (TR 9)	Jafari (2013)
10	Good managerial skills from suppliers (TR 10)	El-Khalek et al. (2019)
11	Delivery speed of materials (TR 11)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
12	Reliability of material delivery (TR 12)	El-Khalek et al. (2019)
13	Order tracking system (TR 13)	Jafari (2013)
14	Supply security from suppliers (TR 14)	
15	Flexibility to change the volume of materials (TR 15)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
16	Good etiquette and good communication from suppliers (TR 16)	Jafari (2013) and El-Khalek et al. (2019)
17	Complaint handling/customer service speed (TR 17)	Jafari (2013)

3.2 Data collection

Both SPM and QFD questionnaires were sent by online email. The scale used in the SPM questionnaire is 1-4 [scale 1 = negligible (N), 2 = low (L), 3 = moderate (M), 4 = high (H)], while the QFD questionnaire uses a scale of 1–9 (for VOC weights) and 0/1/3/9 (for QFD relationships). Gathered datas will be entered into the SPM matrix as to provide alternative procurement strategies from datas showed in the SPM quadrant. After finishing determining the procurement strategy through SPM matrix, this research will continue to evaluate QFD through VOC and TR. Variables VOC and TRs were obtained from several construction-related journals. The purpose of determining this relationship is to synchronise the criteria for suppliers (TR) with the needs of the owner (VOC), so that the right supplier criteria will be obtained in an effort to meet the needs of the construction project owner. The data process took place with an online questionnaire to 86 contractor companies (with qualifications M1, M2, B1, and B2) which are under the auspices of BPD Gapensi, Central Java. From a total of 86 companies who came to become respondents, there were 62 companies (72.09%) that were willing to answer.

Results and discussion 4

SPM matrix was weighting the SR and EX to conclude which materials group into leverage-strategic-non critical-bottleneck quadrants. From SPM matrix, it shows that most materials are included in the strategic quadrant. After the supply objectives have

9
been determined, the next step is to calculate the SR and EX of each material used in the construction project. The score of EX and SR is drawn from average points of total answered questions. Table 6 is the weighting of 24 materials that will be plotted into the SPM matrix.

 Table 6
 SPM weighting

No.	Materials	EX(x)	SR(y)
1	M1 - ready mix concrete	3.852941	3.823529
2	M2 - granites	3	2.911765
3	M3 - AC-WC hot mix	2.882353	3
4	M4 - paving blocks	2.823529	2.705882
5	M5-iron	3.852941	3.676471
6	M6 - stone split	2.882353	2.294118
7	M7-cement	3.117647	2.352941
8	M8-sand	2.882353	2.029412
9	M9-kerb/kansteen	2.647059	2.617647
10	M10 - stake	3.617647	3.558824
11	M11 - gabion wire	2.823529	2.852941
12	M12-pipe	2.764706	2.852941
13	M13-bricks	3	2.382353
14	M14 - wood	2.441176	2.735294
15	M15 - aluminium	3.382353	3.264706
16	M16 - glass	2.735294	2.941176
17	M17 - galvalum/tile	2.588235	2.882353
18	M18-steel	3.882353	3.794118
19	M19 - frame	2.5	2.794118
20	M20 - ceiling	2.529412	2.852941
21	M21 - paint	2.882353	2.352941
22	M22 – plumbing	2.529412	2.676471
23	M23 - ceramics	2.882353	2.735294
24	M24 – nails	2.441176	2.176471

After determining the coordinate points, the next step is to plot the materials into the SPM matrix, the plotting is done using SPSS software. From the SPM matrix, it depicts that materials 1, 5, 10, 15 and 18 which are ready mix concrete, iron, stake, aluminium, and steel – plot in quadrant which has highest SR and expenditure level. Figure 2 shows the SPM matrix.

QFD data processing utilises the HOQ matrix tools. Before entering into the HOQ matrix, the VOC weight will be calculated first by finding the mean of the answers of respondents who have filled out the online questionnaire. After that, the relationship between VOC and TR will be determined. Table 7 is a recapitulation of VOC weight and HOQ relationships.





Source: Data processing

Table	Table 7 VOC weighting			
No.	VOC	Weight		
1	VOC 11	7.785714		
2	VOC 8	7.785714		
3	VOC 10	7.75		
4	VOC 6	7.75		
5	VOC 16	7.678571		
6	VOC 2	7.678571		
7	VOC 19	7.642857		
8	VOC 17	7.642857		
9	VOC 15	7.642857		
10	VOC 9	7.642857		
11	VOC 1	7.642857		
12	VOC 12	7.607143		
13	VOC 13	7.571429		
14	VOC 4	7.571429		
15	VOC 14	7.464286		
16	VOC 7	7.392857		
17	VOC 5	7.357143		
18	VOC 18	7.25		
19	VOC 3	7.25		

There are 19 customer needs for the projects they entrust to the implementing contractor, including VOC 1, VOC 2, VOC 3, VOC 4, VOC 5, VOC 6, VOC 7, VOC 8, VOC 9,

VOC 10, VOC 11, VOC 12, VOC 13, VOC 14, VOC 15, VOC 16, VOC 17, VOC 18 and VOC 19.

The supplier criteria needed by the contractor to meet the owner's needs are TR 8, TR 9, TR 11, TR 7, TR 10, TR 5, TR 6, TR 12, TR 16, TR 2, TR 15, TR 17, TR 3, TR 4, TR 13, TR 14 and TR 1.

The objectives of this study are namely designing a procurement strategy and determining supplier criteria in the construction process carried out by the contractor. Procurement strategy discussions are carried out in each quadrant, starting from routine, bottleneck, leverage, and critical quadrants. Supplier criteria discussed are supplier criteria for each material (be it routine, bottleneck, leverage, or critical).

4.1 Routine

The materials included in the routine quadrant are M24, with the characteristics of having low SR and EX, having the same specifications for each supplier, and having many suppliers in the field. With these characteristics, the relationship between the implementing contractor and the supplier is non-partnership (Lee and Drake, 2010). Even though they run a non-partnership relationship, the implementing contractor must still pay attention to the location factor, as well as the quality of the material provided by the supplier. That way, the right procurement strategy for the material routine is to carry out a spot purchase contract (choose a supplier based on the best price quote and immediately replace the supplier when experiencing a disruption), carry out a re-engineering process, eliminate the material inspection process, automate the process, and conduct electronic trading, to control material procurement more easily.

4.2 Bottleneck

The material included in the bottleneck quadrant is M14, with the characteristics of having high SR but low EX. This material is susceptible to material defects and non-conformity of material quality with company specifications, and other risks. This causes the supplier to have a dominant position on bottleneck items. With the characteristics and conditions of the supplier who is in control of the procurement process, the contractor must be able to establish a relationship and maintain good communication with the supplier so that errors do not occur in the procurement process. The power of supply held by suppliers makes contractors have to be careful in choosing suppliers, this result inline with Arabzad and Ghorbani (2011). The following are some of the supplier characteristics needed by the contractor, such as they do not want to take advantage of the strength of their position, must be able to be relied on in various conditions, and must be able to supply the required material for the long-term. The right procurement strategy in carrying out the procurement process is to use fixed contract types, make commitments with suppliers to determine the volume of purchases within a certain period of time, and supervise the quality of materials sent by suppliers.

4.3 Leverage

Materials included in the leverage quadrant are M6, M13, M21, M7 and M8 with the characteristics of having low SR, but high EX. All materials included in this leverage quadrant are consumable materials. These five materials are also included in the standard

item's category, many suppliers supply and also do not have special specifications such as critical materials. Even though they already have many suppliers and are standard items, the expenses resulting from the leveraged items are still relatively high, because the purchase cost for each leverage unit is important (Visani and Boccali, 2020). With these material characteristics, the relationship that must be established with the supplier of the leveraged item is a non-partnership relationship. This needs to be done to save administrative costs as well as time for the leveraged material procurement process. The company can also replace suppliers when their performance decreases. With the characteristics of the leveraged materials that have been mentioned, the most appropriate suppliers for leveraged materials are those who are competent in meeting the quantity and quality of company demand, able to offer competitive prices, and are technologically literate. The precise procurement strategy in carrying out the material bottleneck procurement process is to collaborate with spot purchase types of contracts and to establish relationships with many suppliers.

4.4 Critical

Materials included in the critical quadrant are M22, M20, M19, M17, M12, M2, M4, M9, M11, M16, M23, M3, M15, M10, M5, M18 and M1 with characteristics of SR and EX levels tall one. Materials included in the critical quadrant are non-standard items, have unique specifications, are not easily found in the market, do not have many suppliers, and are difficult to find replacements. That way, the right relationship between the contractor and the supplier is to form a partnership and to support the procurement process, the contractor needs to pay attention to some of the characteristics of his supplier (Gambo and Musonda, 2021). Figure 3 are some of the characteristics needed, being able to provide good material quality, stable financial condition, committed to supplying materials with the right quantity-quality-time, having the same business strategy as contractors, having an excellent reputation, being able to provide guarantees, and have clear historical data. With the characteristics that have been mentioned, the contractor must have the right strategy so that the procurement process can run very well. The procurement strategy that can be chosen is to build a partnership relationship (partnership) with suppliers, as well as looking for new suppliers if the supplier's performance deteriorates and cannot be repaired.

Based on the HOQ matrix, the results of the TRs to the rating can be seen. To calculate the technical responses rating (TRR) the formula is used:

 $TRR = 100 \times \sum (relationship weight \times RW \ VOC)$

For example, the TRs rating of 'material prices offered (TR 2)',

$$TRR = 100 \times \sum (9 \times 5\%) + (3 \times 5\%) + (1 \times 5\%)$$

 $TRR \approx 67.8$

TRR is used to determine the weight of each TRs. Then, it is used as a basis for determining supplier criteria. From this example, it reveals that the weight for the 'price of material offered' is obtained from 100 multiply by the accumulated relationship weight and the relative weight of the VOC. It should be noted that the relative weight of VOCs is

not absolute 5% but five points. TRR will rank the supplier criterias. Based on the calculation of TRR, Table 8 is a recapitulation of the rankings of TRR.



Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection	Development	of procurement	strategy and	supplier selection
--	--------------------	----------------	--------------	--------------------

1 able	able 8 I KS raung				
No.	Technical responses (TR) Technical responses rating (TRR)			
1	TR 8	191.44			
2	TR 9	148.72			
3	TR 11	134.09			
4	TR 7	126.30			
5	TR 10	116.60			
6	TR 5	112.3			
7	TR 6	102.04			
8	TR 12	96.46			
9	TR 16	69.30			
10	TR 2	67.80			
11	TR 15	64.47			
12	TR 17	64.47			
13	TR 3	62.53			
14	TR 4	21.26			
15	TR 13	20.91			
16	TR 14	10.64			
17	TR 1	5.274			

5 Conclusions

T.11.0

TD (

Based on direct observations, the factors that have inhibiting for the project development process are the mismatch between the DED and the actualisation of the condition of the project location, permits from the surrounding community which are quite difficult to obtain even though they have received permission from the local government, the inability of suppliers to supply materials ordered by contractors, non-technical factors (such as force major), and inadequate resources (human resources and financial resources). This findings support previous research which also stated mismatch in DED planning, difficulties getting permission from local governments, time constraints and remote location had been main obstacles for project developments (Chen et al., 2019; Chofreh et al., 2019; Ririh and Hidayah, 2020; Abutabenjeh et al., 2021).

This research also adds some insights that the work sequence of the procurement function starts from knowing the vision, mission, goals, and policies of the organisation or company, then knowing the corporate strategy so that the procurement function will strive to achieve the vision-mission and goals of the organisation, make targets and objectives of the procurement function, then issue the strategies that are needed to support the material procurement process. In reverse, a recent research argued that vision-mission of government organisation can be changed suddenly and depended on the active significant leader and this made procurement strategy need flexible implementation (Christoper and Schooner, 2007; Lee and Drake, 2010).

The customer needs for the building/construction entrusted to the implementing contractor consisting of VOC 11 (the growth of project schedule, which means the

Commented [t3]: Author: Please provide full reference or delete from the text if not required.

project schedule always has good progress), VOC 8 (technological innovations by contractors, which means with this technological innovations, contractors are able to manage the priority of work and choose the best method of work). VOC 10 (speed of delivery of construction to owner, which means the project must be completed on time according to the contract), VOC 6 (availability of materials, because of materials are the components that must be available during the construction process), VOC 16 (interaction of building, which means that the building or construction must be able to meet the needs of its users). VOC 2 (earthquake resistant construction, meaning that the construction must be resistant from earthquake threats), VOC 19 (low lifecycle cost, which means the maintenance cost or something related to the construction lifecycle), VOC 17 (suitability expenditures that have been planned, meaning that all costs in the project must be suitable with the agreed contract), VOC 15 (flexibility of building, which means that the building have to adjustment, both with their environment, climate, and there inhabitants), VOC 9 (the duration of construction on time, meaning that the project must be finished on time or in time), VOC 1 (conformity to construction specifications, meaning that the construction is in accordance with agreed specifications), VOC 12 (type of construction delivery according to target, meaning that delivery is an important part of the construction supply chain), VOC 13 (adaptation of building, which means that the building can respond to changes that will occur in the future), VOC 4 (low emission construction, especially for the current conditions that demand low emissions in every single construction project), VOC 14 (transformation of building, which means building whose shape, volume, and looks can change based on physical changes in the structure of the building. For example is transformable architecture), VOC 7 (good control over construction tools, this is an obligation that must be owned by contractors in working on projects), VOC 5 (availability of clear and qualified workforce, so that the construction is completed on target), VOC 18 (the budget according to the contract, which means there will be no overload in costs), and VOC 3 (the construction health space, which means the building have a social sustainability).

In line with those needs, the supplier criterias that the contractors look for in meeting the material needs are TR 8 (supplier experiences, which means contractors must looking for the experiences supplier so that all of needed by project can fulfilled well). TR 9 (materials quality assurance, meaning that contractor must find a supplier who is able to provide assurance in supplying materials), TR 11 (delivery speed of materials, meaning that the speed of delivery on a construction project is an important part of succeed), TR 7 (complete quality management system, this is a requirement that suppliers must have before supplying materials to contractors), TR 10 (good managerial skills from suppliers, which means that suppliers must be able to demonstrate their managerial ability), TR 5 (the accuracy of the volume of the material, so that project is not hampered by delivery delays), TR 6 (the capabilities and technology used by suppliers, this factor describe that the supplier has high capabilities in supplying materials needed by the contractor), TR 12 (reliability of material delivery, which means that the material delivery process runs according to its proper function or we can call reliable), TR 16 (good etiquette and good communication from suppliers, this is very necessary in the contractor-supplier relationship because communication will determine the success or failure of the project), TR 2 (material prices offered, this happened because prices is an economic sacrifice that must be spent by the contractor for a specific purpose so that it is an aspect that really needs to be considered in choosing supplier), TR 15 (flexibility to change the volume of materials, which means that the project completion will be more flexible especially when

there is sudden change in volume), TR 17 (complaint handling/customer service speed, this factor is very important because with the speed of customer service, the contractor can communicate efficiently when there is a problem), TR 3 (material discounts, the contractor will choose a supplier with a appropriate bid, not too high but has a good quality raw materials), TR 4 (payment flexibility, this factor often occurs in project implementation because with payment flexibility, contractors can allocate costs for more important things first), TR 13 (order tracking system, actually this is an additional factor that contractors do not really mention when choosing supplier. However, if the supplier has an order tracking system it will be an added value for the contractor), TR 14 (supply security from suppliers. Likewise with this factor, contractor does not really mention to it, but it can be an added value when choosing a supplier), and TR 1 (supplier financial stability, this factor can give an indication that the supplier has a good managerial system) – consecutively.

The alternative procurement strategies for each item are as follows. Policy makers in the procurement should pay attention and review the activities such as: routines carry out spot purchases, carry out re-engineering processes, eliminate material inspection processes, carry out automation processes, and conduct electronic trading. For managerial practice suggestions, the bottleneck item performs a fixed contract type, as well as making commitments with suppliers regarding the volume and duration of material delivery. Leverage items perform the spot purchase type and establish relationships with many suppliers. Critical items establish a strong partnership relationship, and look for new suppliers if the supplier's performance deteriorates and cannot be repaired.

This study also has limitations due to budget and time availability. Moreover, closed questions with self-reported answer may result insufficient point-of-view standard Further study will need to imply the risk averse of procurement team regarding to procurement efficiencies, since risk averse relates to benefit that earned by each parties in the procurement consolidation contracts. Qualitative method using NVivo will be best implemented to figure out precisely the significant factors. Rather than just using the self-report questionnaires, it will be better for future research to use quantitative modelling to define the significant factors in the procurement consolidation chain.

References

- Abutabenjeh, S., Dayarathna, V.L., Jaradat, R., Nagahi, M. and Gordon, S.B. (2021) 'The perceived value of public procurement and contract management certification', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp.62–92.
- Ahmad, T., Aibinu, A.A., Stephan, A. and Chan, A.P. (2019) 'Investigating associations among performance criteria in green building projects', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 232, p.1366.
- Altay, N., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R. and Childe, S.J. (2018) 'Agility and resilience as antecedents of supply chain performance under moderating effects of organizational culture within the humanitarian setting: a dynamic capability view', *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 29, No. 14, pp.1158–1174.
- Alvanchi, A., Hosseini, S.M.T., Nili-Ahmadabad, M.H. and Amiri Diba, A. (2020) 'Improving public-private partnership project procurement, addressing major shortfalls in the standard-form contract', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.482–506.
- Ambe, I.M. (2019) 'The role of public procurement to socio-economic development', International Journal of Procurement Management, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.652–668.

Commented [t4]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

- Arabzad, S.M. and Ghorbani, M. (2011) 'Using integrated FMEA-DEA approach to classify purchasing items based on Kraljic's model', *International Journal of Business and Social Science*.
- Baily, P., Farmer, D., Crocker, B., Jessop, D. and Jones, D. (2015) Procurement Principles and Management, Pearson Education Limited, Harlow.
- Berampu, L.T. (2014) Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Efektivitas Waktu Proyek dan Dampaknya Terhadap Efektivitas Biaya Proyek Konstruksi,
- Berezinets, I. and Ivanov, A. (2019) 'Well-known scoring rules under conditions of low competition in tenders', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.711–731.
- Bevilacqua, M., Ciarapica', F. and Giacchetta, G. (2006) 'A fuzzy-QFD approach to supplier selection', Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management, Vol. 12, pp.14–27.
- BGA (2017) Rencana Kerja dan Syarat Pekerjaan Struktur 88 Avenue, Benjamin Gideon & Associates Consulting, Surabaya.
- Cengiz, A.E., Aytekin, O., Ozdemir, I., Kusan, H. and Cabuk, A. (2017) 'A multi-criteria decision model for construction material supplier selection', *Proceedia Engineering*, Vol. 196, p.297.
- Chen, X., Ding, Y., Cory, C.A., Hu, Y., Wu, K.J. and Feng, X. (2020) 'A decision support model for subcontractor selection using a hybrid approach of QFD and AHP-improved grey correlation analysis', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Cherian, T.M., Munuswamy, S. and Jasim, K.M. (2020) 'E-procurement practices to improve the efficiency of vendor transactions in Indian cement companies', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.443–461.
- Chofreh, A.G., Goni, F.A., Malik, M.N., Khan, H.H. and Klemes, J.J. (2019) 'The imperative and research directions of sustainable project', *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Christoper and Schooner (2007) 'Incrementalism: eroding the impediments to a global public procurement market', *Journal of International Law*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp.529–546.
- El Asmar, M., Hanna, A.S. and Loh, W-Y. (2013) 'Quantifying performance for the integrated project delivery system as compared to established delivery systems', *Journal Construction Engineering Management*, Vol. 139.
- El-Khalek, H.A., Aziz, R.F. and Morgan, E.S. (2019) 'Identification of construction subcontractor prequalification evaluation criteria and their impact on project success', *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, pp.217–223.
- Enshassi, A., Mohamed, S. and Modough, Z. (2013) 'Contractors' selection criteria: opinions of Palestinian construction professionals', *International Journal of Construction Management*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.19–37.
- Gambo, N. and Musonda, I. (2021) 'Procurement planning factors influencing the quality performance of primary healthcare building facilities: a mediation effect of the firm's business partnership', *Cogent Engineering*, Vol. 8, No. 1, p.1872823.
- Gaspersz, V. (2004) 'Production planning and inventory control', in *Production Planning and Inventory Control*, Gramedia Pustaka Utama, PT, Jakarta.
- Gottschalk, P. (2019) 'Application of the theory of convenience to a case of illegal public procurement of healthcare services', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.621–631.
- Hesping, F.H. and Schiele, H. (2016) 'Matching tactical sourcing levers with the Kraljič matrix: empirical evidence on purchasing portfolios', *Int. J. Production Economics*.
- Jafari, A. (2013) 'A contractor pre-qualification model based on the quality function deployment method', Construction Management and Economics, Vol. 755.
- Kronenburg, R. (2008) Portable Architecture Design and Technology, 4th ed., Birkhauser Verlag AG, Basel – Boston – Berlin.

Commented [t5]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers. Commented [t6]: Author: Please cite the reference in the text or delete from the list if not required.

Commented [t7]: Author: Please provide full reference.

Commented [t8]: Author: Please cite the reference in the text or delete from the list if not required.

Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t9]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t10]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [111]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [112]: Author: Please provide the volume number and issue number.

Commented [t13]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t14]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

- Lai, Y-T., Wang, W-C. and Wang, H-H. (2008) 'AHP-and simulation-based budget determination procedure for public building construction projects', *Automation in Construction*, Vol. 17, pp.623–632.
- Lee, D. and Drake, P. (2010) 'A portofolio model for component purchasing strategy and the case study of two South Korean elevator manufacturers', *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 48, No. 22, pp.6651–6682.
- Mac Donald, K., Rezania, D. and Baker, R. (2020) 'A grounded theory examination of project manager's accountability', *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 29.
- Monczka, R., Handfield, R., Giunipero, L. and Patterson, J. (2011) *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management*, Cengage Learning, South-Western, USA.
- Morkunaite, Z., Podvezko, V., Zavadskas, E.K. and Bausys, R. (2019) 'Contractor selection for renovation of cultural heritage buildings by PROMETHEE method', Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 19, p.1067.
- Mufleh, M.A. (2020) 'The mediating role of Jordanian Joint Procurement Law: an examination of the effects of e-procurement enablers on the reduction of expenditure', *International Journal* of Procurement Management, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.553–577.
- Nie, R.X., Tian, Z.P., Kwai Sang, C. and Wang, J.Q. (2020) 'Implementing healthcare service quality enhancement using a cloud-support QFD model integrated with TODIM method and linguistic distribution assessments', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, pp.1–23.
- Padhi, S.S., Wagner, S.M. and Aggarwal, V. (2012) 'Positioning of commodities using the Kraljic portofolio matrix', *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*.

Panchal, V. (2018) Strategic approach to material procurement management for construction project?

- Prostean, G., Badea, A., Vasar, C. and Octavian, P. (2014) 'Risk variables in wind power supply chain', Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 124, pp.124–132.
- Rajesh, G. and Maligga, P. (2013) 'Supplier selection based on AHP QFD methodology', International Conference on Design and Manufacturing.
- Rampersad, H. (2006) Personal Balanced Scorecard, PPM Manajemen.
- Ririh, K.R. and Hidayah, N.Y. (2020) 'Reducing project duration of an apartment project by Waskita Karya using crashing method', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, IOP Publishing, July, Vol. 852, No. 1, p.12087.
- Seifbarghy, M. (2010) Measurement of Supply Risk and Determining Supply Strategy, Case Study: A Refrigerator Making Company, Alzahra University, Iran.
- Struyk, R.J. (2007) 'Factors in successful program implementation in Russia during the transition: pilot programs as a guide', *Public Administration and Development*, Vol. 68.
- Suliantoro, H. and Ririh, K.R. (2019) 'Enhancing usage behavior of e-procurement through organizational values', Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Industri, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp.8–16.
- Thiyagarajan, G. (2019) 'Major reasons for returns during online purchase in major cities of India', International Journal of Procurement Management, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp.475–492.
- Visani, F. and Boccali, F. (2020) 'Purchasing price assessment of leverage items: a data envelopment analysis approach', *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 4.
- Yang, J.B., Wang, H.H., Wang, W.C. and Ma, S.M. (2016) 'Using data envelopment analysis to support best-value contractor selection', *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp.199–209.

Commented [t15]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t16]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t17]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t18]: Author: Please provide the volume number and issue number. Commented [t19]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t20]: Author: Please provide full reference.

Commented [t21]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t22]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Inderscience Publishers

Close

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 -your article proofs are ready to check
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
To:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <inderscience@allsetbposervices.com></inderscience@allsetbposervices.com>
Date:	04 May 2021
Content:	Dear Kirana Rukmavuninda Ririh
	Ref: Article title: DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA Submission code: IJPM-64146
	The first proofs of your article submitted for publication in Int. J. of Procurement Management are now ready for checking.
	To do this, please log in to Inderscience Submissions at https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com
	There is a reminder facility on the home page if you have forgotten your log in details. Go to the "Track progress of your article and submit revisions" section.
	Download the proofs of your article and the Amendment Sheet, noting any required changes on the proof and/or the Amendment Sheet.
	 If a version of your article has been previously presented at a conference, complete the relevant section on your proof. If not previously presented, use the Amendment Sheet to ask for that section to be removed.
	 Please review your keywords - they should be single words or phrases, not descriptions. A pdf with guidelines on keywords can be found at http://www.inderscience.com/info/inauthors/author_mp.php#title
	 If you have supplied any figures, please note that they will only be in colour in the online version, and will appear in black and white in the printed version.
	However, if the quality of the colour figure you supplied is not suitable to be produced in colour, it will be shown in black and white in the online version too. You may like to send a better quality colour image now with your revised proof.
	4. Upload your annotated pdf and Amendment Sheet, if you have used it.
	Please check your proof carefully, within 14 days of the date of this email; this will assist us in publishing your article in a timely manner.
	The typesetters will review your changes and make the required amendments. You will then receive a further email indicating that the second and final proofs are ready for you to check.
	It is the policy of Inderscience Publishers not to publish any articles until approval of the edited copy has been obtained from the author, so we would appreciate your very prompt action.

Inderscience Publishers

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 comment from Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh
From:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Allset Allset <inderscience@allsetbposervices.com></inderscience@allsetbposervices.com>
Cc:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	04 May 2021
Content:	Dear Allset Allset,
	Ref: IJPM-64146 - DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIEF SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
	Dear Typesetting Team,
	First, we would like to thank for your works on our article. In this time, we also send the amendment checklist. However, we also send you back the commented article with no

amendment checklist. However, we also send you back the commented article with no additional comments or information on the file since our PDF can not make any comment or revision. Please let us know if there is any required actions that we need to do. Thank you for your time and cooperation. Stay safe and have a good day!

Best regards, Authors

Author article proofs

8. Proof reply send to Typesetter:

[04 May 2021]

Int. J. Procurement Management, Vol. X, No. Y, xxxx

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia

1

Hery Suliantoro

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh*

Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia Email: kiranaririh@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Samuel Pandiangan

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Abstract: Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing supply positioning model (SPM) and quality function deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords: construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; QFD; strategic procurement; supply positioning models; SPMs; Indonesia.

Copyright © 20XX Inderscience Enterprises Ltd.

Reference to this paper should be made as follows: Suliantoro, H., Ririh, K.R. and Pandiangan, S. (xxxx) 'Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia', *Int. J. Procurement Management*, Vol. X, No. Y, pp.xxx–xxx.

Biographical notes: Hery Suliantoro is a Senior Lecturer at the Department of Industrial Engineering, Engineering Faculty, Diponegoro University. He received his PhD in Management Science, Faculty of Economics and Business from the Diponegoro University, Indonesia. He has taught a variety of courses in organisational management and supply chain management at undergraduate and postgraduate levels. His research interests focus primarily in the field of supply chain and procurement with a particular focus on public procurement. Specific topics include adoption technology, innovative process and government policy in public procurement. He also has actively taught a variety of short courses in public procurement as an expert trainer and senior consultant.

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh is a researcher at the Research Center for Policy and Management of Science, Technology and Innovation, Indonesian Institute of Sciences. Previously she was a Lecturer in Vocational Program of Business Administration – University of Indonesia. Her research interest is in industrial management, with special preference to innovation management, project management, and entrepreneurship. She has conducted several consulting projects, for example in Telkom Infra Indonesia and the Directorate General of Construction Development. She actively involved in many joint research projects both national and international such as with Georgia Tech and Glasgow Caledonian University. In 2015, she also won the Best Paper Award during Bappenas International Conference.

Samuel Pandiangan is an employee at the Fast Moving Consumer Good Company – PT, Garuda Food Putra Putri Jaya, Tbk. He obtained a Bachelor's degree from the Industrial Engineering, Diponegoro University in 2020, and previously he worked at Puninar Logistics as an account executive. His research interest is in the supply chain management, especially in procurement. Several other research themes that also have been carried out besides supply chain management are human integrated systems in the national seminar on industrial engineering, and also marketing during the national marketing research competition.

This paper is a revised and expanded version of a paper entitled [title] presented at [name, location and date of conference].

Commented [t1]: Author: If a previous version of your paper has originally been presented at a conference please complete the statement to this effect or delete if not applicable.

1 Introduction

Developing countries are never separated from development, such as building roads, buildings and other facilities. In the last five years (2014–2019) Indonesia has succeeded in building 1,387 km of toll roads, 3,194 km of border roads, 811.89 km of railroads, 15 new airports, 65 dams, and many other developments throughout the country – including Semarang – according to datas of Ministry of PUPR, KPPIP in 2019. The infrastructure development process really requires planning time, costs, labour, tools and materials, to design so that the construction process runs with precise and clear planning (Chofreh et al., 2019). In some of the year, that labour, material, and equipment factors have a

positive and significant impact on the time strength of project work costs (Berampu, 2014).

In the project implementation process, there are seven elements that intersect with each other, including labour, materials, equipment, place characteristics, managerial, financial, and other factors. Without materials, the project will not be completed properly, so to obtain these materials the contractor needs a procurement division. This division has very large duties and responsibilities, such as planning resource allocation, paying for quality and service at the lowest price, creating good relationships between buyers and suppliers, reducing working capital requirements in order to increase profits for the company, and purchasing the required materials (Panchal, 2017; Altay et al., 2018; Ambe, 2019; Gottschalk, 2019; Suliantoro and Ririh, 2019).

However, contractors often make the wrong purchasing process, because they do not consider about the right strategy and stages in choosing material suppliers. Therefore, the contractor needs a strategy and the right steps in choosing a supplier (Berezinets and Ivanov, 2019). These strategies are called strategic procurement which will appear in a supply positioning model (SPM). Visani and Boccali (2020) explain the stages in choosing suppliers, starting from setting the vision and mission of the organisation, then determining organisational goals, organisational strategy in achieving the goals, establishing organisational policies, and establishing organisational programs. From these stages, the contractor can choose which supplier is able to fulfil the vision-mission and goals of the organisation so that the project built can match the expectations of the construction owner. Furthermore, quality function deployment (QFD) was an important dimension of quick response to customer needs on which a project procurement may compete. The first QFD application was in Japan (1972), and then it widely applied in various sectors and countries including construction projects, aviation, tourism, and many more. This method had been an ideal device of customer's voices, it made possible the projects or organisations to become much responsive and proactive to quality problems (Chen et al., 2020; Nie et al., 2020). Combining SPM and QFD method is a strong way to analyse procurement consolidation strategy in construction projects. Previously, many researches of QFD combined it with analytical hierarchy process rather than SPM. Therefore in this research, the consolidation strategy of construction procurement is evaluated by SPM and QFD.

This research is in collaboration with the regional leadership board (RLB) of GAPENSI Central Java, where until now RLB GAPENSI Central Java has $\pm 5,525$ members of contractor companies spread across 35 districts. However, due to limited space and time, this study only focuses on 86 contractors in the city of Semarang, who have medium-large qualifications in the business sectors of road, warehouse, irrigation, and bridge construction.

This research has five main objectives: the first is to analyse what factors are hindering the project development process, the second is to explain the work sequence of the procurement function, the third is to provide the best strategic procurement alternatives to increase effectiveness and efficiency of the project, the fourth is to find out and analyse what the customer needs for the construction entrusted to the implementing contractor, and the last is to find out and analyse the supplier criteria that the contractors are looking for in meeting the material needs. **Commented [t2]:** Author: Please provide full reference or delete from the text if not required.

2 Literature review

2.1 Purchasing and procurement

Christoper and Schooner (2007) state that procurement or procurement is an activity carried out to be able to obtain goods or services in a transparent, effective and efficient manner according to the needs of its users. Procurement functions can be divided into two, namely functions internally and externally. The internal function of procurement is to provide information (supplier capacity, logistical data, information on charging prices and also discounts on new products) to other functions in the organisation. Externally, procurement functions to provide accountability for the cost of goods or services, delivery period, quality of products purchased, and procurement decisions (such as selecting the best supplier and relationship with suppliers).

In the connection between the contractor and the supplier, there is a close relationship therein, namely the purchasing relationship. Monczka et al. (2011) stated that purchasing is a functional activity that provides value to an organisation. Because of this, the purchasing process must go through the stages of identification, selection, supplier evaluation, buying goods by individual or groups through contracts and negotiations, market observation, and developing a purchasing system. By carrying out these stages, the principle of getting the right quality, in the right amount, at the right time, for the right price, from the right source can be fulfilled (Thiyagarajan, 2019; Alvanchi et al., 2020; Cherian et al., 2020; Mufleh, 2020; Abutabenjeh et al., 2021).

2.2 Supply positioning model

The SPM is a tool used to classify the weight of material interests into four quadrant categories, namely routine, leverage, bottleneck, and critical. In the SPM there are two factors are taken into consideration before classifying materials (Hesping and Schiele, 2016), those are supply-impact -opportunity-risk, and also the level of annual expenditure for materials. Figure 1 is a picture of the SPM diagram.





Source: Adopted from Hesping and Schiele (2016)

The horizontal axis is expenditure and the vertical is supply risk (SR). Expenditures are divided into two categories, namely the category 80% items = 20% value. SR is the risk that arises when the company will supply materials. SR is determined based on the rating with the information H (high), M (moderate), L (low), and N (negligible). The SPM diagram has two main objectives, namely guiding the company in choosing business priorities, and also guiding the company in developing a supply strategy.

2.3 Quality function deployment

QFD is a well-structured, cross-functional planning technique where it is used to listen to customers' voices throughout the planning, development, engineering and production stages of any product. The QFD method uses a matrix that is universal and can be used to prioritise most of the tasks of any industry, namely the house of quality (HOQ) matrix (Rajesh and Maligga, 2013). It is generally used to improve understanding of customer's needs and develop products and processes in a more customer-oriented manner (Rampersad, 2006). The HOQ matrix has two main parts, namely voice of customer (VOC) on the horizontal axis, and technical responses (TRs) on the vertical axis (Gaspersz, 2004).

In using the house of quality matrix, some stages that must be passed, including identifying customer needs, studying technical provisions in producing goods or services, making relationships between customer needs and technical provisions, making comparisons or physical relationships to TR performance, evaluating customers (the stage for comparing customer opinions), and making trade-offs to assess the effect between activities (Rajesh and Maligga, 2013).

SPM research is often used to group material items into the SPM diagram so that companies can find out the right procurement strategy in managing them. Whereas QFD is often used to listen to customer voices during the planning, development, engineering and production stages of any product using the HOQ matrix.

References	Method	Variables	Findings
Lee and Drake (2010)	Analytical hierarchy process	Quality, availability, cost, time	Kraljic purchasing portfolio model complements the AHP methods, which quantifies risk based on monopoly condition and size of supplier.
Jafari (2013)	Quality function deployment	Contractor's abilities and project owner's requirement	Procurement strategy relates to project objectives and owner's requirements, which also can affect the contractor pre-qualification.
El-Khalek et al. (2019)	Descriptive statistical summary	Cost, quality, technical capability, management, health and safety, reputation, and time	In the project procurement, tender price – which is recognised by clients – played as keyrole in the subcontractor selection. Moreover, time and reputation are also the most essential criteria.

 Table 1
 Summary of previous studies on procurement consolidation of construction projects

 Table 1
 Summary of previous studies on procurement consolidation of construction projects (continued)

References	Method	Variables	Findings
Arabzad and Ghorbani (2011)	Failure mode and effect analysis; data envelopment analysis	Supply risk and profit impact	Leverage items allow the buying company to exploit its full purchasing power, for instance by tough negotiating, target pricing and product substitution. Meanwhile, bottleneck items relates to problems and risks.
Morkunaite et al. (2019)	Analytical hierarchy process and PROMETHEE	Financial soundness, contracts, sub-contractors, management ability, personnel management, risk, and reputation	Qualified contractors for cultural heritage projects are significant influences for project success. Factors of lack of employee number and number of contracts can result low value of income, value of total heritage contracts.
Gambo and Musonda (2021)	Part least square	Procurement planning, the quality performance, construction firm's business partnership	Construction firms, especially in developing countries, should adopt the culture of a firm's business partnership to increase productivity. In addition this would enhance the quality performance of their products.
Enshassi et al. (2013)	Multiple regression	Financial evaluation of the bid, completeness of bid document, past performances in similar projects, staff skills and experience, contractor's reputation/image, quality of work, contractor site management/execution, bid understanding, plant and equipment resources, health and safety performance	Contractor selection system need to be changed from lowest price criteria to multi-criteria selection. Moreover, the multi-criteria selection process involves establishing alternative contractor selection methods (technical and financial criteria).
Yang et al. (2016)	Data envelopment analysis	Technical efficiency, pure technical efficiency, scale efficiency	Bid evaluations of supplier selection process were most efficiently aided by DEA.

Table 1 shows this research position by combining SPM and QFD. The SPM is used to design the right procurement strategy, while the QFD is used to determine supplier criteria in the construction process (Rajesh and Malingga, 2013; Hesping and Schiele, 2016). The results of combining the two methods will benefit in construction projects, such as generating strong procurement strategies and defining proper supplier criteria's (Panchal, 2018; Morkunaite et al., 2019; Mac Donald et al., 2020). This study also adopts many variables from various past researches, which fitted to the research case and questions.

3 Methodology

This research on procurement strategy application is applied using quantitative and qualitative methods. The quantitative method is used to classify each material items into the SPM matrix, and the qualitative method is used in the preliminary study and QFD which contains HOQ matrix. HOQ matrix is being used as an analytical tool for meeting customer needs, in this case is the construction owner (Rajesh and Malingga, 2013). This research, which involving 86 construction companies, contributes to define several main aspects and stages in the procurement process which must be considered by the company apart from only paying attention to the price aspect. On the other hand, this study also wants to show that the QFD method not only be used in the product development process but also be well applied in determining the supplier characteristics required by the contractors in construction projects.

The preliminary study was carried out in two stages, namely literature study and field study. The literature study was conducted by selecting articles with topics 'SPM', 'procurement', 'construction projects', and 'quality function development' from more than 250 reputable journals. Meanwhile, the field study (internal observation) was carried out by meeting the contractors directly in order to understand the company's procurement process.

3.1 Samples and variables

This research is implementing a purposive sampling method. The 86 construction companies are chosen as suggested by GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. Research questionnaires were sent through email and regular mail. This study assessed all important materials that were included in the procurement process; such as ready-mix concrete (M1), granites (M2), AC-WC hot mix (M3), paving blocks (M4), iron (M5), stone. split (M6), cement (M7), sand (M8), kerb/kansteen (M9), stake (M10), gabion wire (M11), pipe (M12), bricks (M13), wood (M14), aluminium (M15), glass (M16), galvalum/tile (M17), steel (M18), frame (M19), ceiling (M20), paint (M21), plumbing (M22), ceramics (M23), and nails (M24).

Table 2SR variable

No.	Criteria	Source
1	Material transportation and delivery	Prostean et al. (2014)
2	Investment in technology and machinery	
3	Electronic device test and fabrication	
4	Availability of materials	
5	Materials quality	Prostean et al. (2014) and Arabzad and
6	Materials cost	Ghorbani (2011)
7	Material fulfilment flexibility	Seifbarghy (2010)
8	Availability to supplier	
9	Number of suppliers	

According to Hesping and Schiele (2016), the SPM variables were measured by the dimension of material SR and expenditure (EX) because both dimensions played

important role in most procurement processes among construction projects. This research defines the indicator for SR and expenditure dimensions through several related previous researches as can be seen in Tables 2 and 3 and also aligned with GAPENSI standards.

Table 3Expenditure variable (EX)

ю.	Criteria	Source
	Impact on profitability	Padhi et al. (2012)
	Level of importance	
	Materials value	
	Project conditions being implemented	Lai et al. (2008)
	Field conditions around the project	
	Regulation conditions	
	Planning and estimation conditions	

Meanwhile, for defining QFD, this study assessed two aspects in QFD namely VOC and TR. Questionnaire items were constructed by using several previous researches. Details as shown in Tables 4 and 5.

 Table 4
 VOC variable

No.	Indicator	Source
1	Conformity to construction specifications (VOC 1)	Jafari (2013) and Ahmad et al. (2019)
2	Earthquake resistant construction (VOC 2)	RKS Proyek 88 Avenue (BGA, 2017)
3	The construction health space (VOC 3)	Ahmad et al. (2019)
4	Low emission construction (VOC 4)	
5	Availability of clear and qualified workforce (VOC 5)	Struyk (2007)
6	Availability of materials (VOC 6)	
7	Good control over construction tools (VOC 7)	
8	Technological innovations by contractors (VOC 8)	Mac Donald et al. (2020)
9	The duration of construction on time (VOC 9)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
10	Speed of delivery of construction to owner (VOC 10)	El Asmar et al. (2013)
11	The growth of project schedule (VOC 11)	
12	Type of construction delivery according to target (VOC 12)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
13	Adaptation of building (VOC 13)	Kronenburg (2008)
14	Transformation of building (VOC 14)	
15	Flexibility of building (VOC 15)	
16	Interaction of building (VOC 16)	
17	Suitability expenditures that have been planned (VOC 17)	Jafari (2013)
18	The budget according to the contract (VOC 18)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
19	Low lifecycle cost (VOC 19)	Ahmad et al. (2019)

Table 5	TRs variable	

No.	Indicator	Source
1	Supplier financial stability (TR 1)	Jafari (2013)
2	Material prices offered (TR 2)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
3	Material discounts (TR 3)	
4	Payment flexibility (TR 4)	Jafari (2013)
5	The accuracy of the volume of the material (TR 5)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
6	The capabilities and technology used by suppliers (TR 6)	Jafari (2013)
7	Complete quality management system (TR 7)	Morkunaite et al. (2019)
8	Supplier experiences (TR 8)	Markunaite et al. (2019)
9	Materials quality assurance (TR 9)	Jafari (2013)
10	Good managerial skills from suppliers (TR 10)	El-Khalek et al. (2019)
11	Delivery speed of materials (TR 11)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
12	Reliability of material delivery (TR 12)	El-Khalek et al. (2019)
13	Order tracking system (TR 13)	Jafari (2013)
14	Supply security from suppliers (TR 14)	
15	Flexibility to change the volume of materials (TR 15)	Cengiz et al. (2017)
16	Good etiquette and good communication from suppliers (TR 16)	Jafari (2013) and El-Khalek et al. (2019)
17	Complaint handling/customer service speed (TR 17)	Jafari (2013)

3.2 Data collection

Both SPM and QFD questionnaires were sent by online email. The scale used in the SPM questionnaire is 1-4 [scale 1 = negligible (N), 2 = low (L), 3 = moderate (M), 4 = high (H)], while the QFD questionnaire uses a scale of 1–9 (for VOC weights) and 0/1/3/9 (for QFD relationships). Gathered datas will be entered into the SPM matrix as to provide alternative procurement strategies from datas showed in the SPM quadrant. After finishing determining the procurement strategy through SPM matrix, this research will continue to evaluate QFD through VOC and TR. Variables VOC and TRs were obtained from several construction-related journals. The purpose of determining this relationship is to synchronise the criteria for suppliers (TR) with the needs of the owner (VOC), so that the right supplier criteria will be obtained in an effort to meet the needs of the construction project owner. The data process took place with an online questionnaire to 86 contractor companies (with qualifications M1, M2, B1, and B2) which are under the auspices of BPD Gapensi, Central Java. From a total of 86 companies who came to become respondents, there were 62 companies (72.09%) that were willing to answer.

Results and discussion 4

SPM matrix was weighting the SR and EX to conclude which materials group into leverage-strategic-non critical-bottleneck quadrants. From SPM matrix, it shows that most materials are included in the strategic quadrant. After the supply objectives have

been determined, the next step is to calculate the SR and EX of each material used in the construction project. The score of EX and SR is drawn from average points of total answered questions. Table 6 is the weighting of 24 materials that will be plotted into the SPM matrix.

 Table 6
 SPM weighting

No.	Materials	EX(x)	SR(y)
1	M1 - ready mix concrete	3.852941	3.823529
2	M2 - granites	3	2.911765
3	M3 - AC-WC hot mix	2.882353	3
4	M4 - paving blocks	2.823529	2.705882
5	M5-iron	3.852941	3.676471
6	M6 - stone split	2.882353	2.294118
7	M7 - cement	3.117647	2.352941
8	M8-sand	2.882353	2.029412
9	M9-kerb/kansteen	2.647059	2.617647
10	M10 - stake	3.617647	3.558824
11	M11 - gabion wire	2.823529	2.852941
12	M12-pipe	2.764706	2.852941
13	M13-bricks	3	2.382353
14	M14 - wood	2.441176	2.735294
15	M15 - aluminium	3.382353	3.264706
16	M16 - glass	2.735294	2.941176
17	M17 - galvalum/tile	2.588235	2.882353
18	M18-steel	3.882353	3.794118
19	M19 - frame	2.5	2.794118
20	M20 - ceiling	2.529412	2.852941
21	M21 - paint	2.882353	2.352941
22	M22 – plumbing	2.529412	2.676471
23	M23 - ceramics	2.882353	2.735294
24	M24 – nails	2.441176	2.176471

After determining the coordinate points, the next step is to plot the materials into the SPM matrix, the plotting is done using SPSS software. From the SPM matrix, it depicts that materials 1, 5, 10, 15 and 18 which are ready mix concrete, iron, stake, aluminium, and steel – plot in quadrant which has highest SR and expenditure level. Figure 2 shows the SPM matrix.

QFD data processing utilises the HOQ matrix tools. Before entering into the HOQ matrix, the VOC weight will be calculated first by finding the mean of the answers of respondents who have filled out the online questionnaire. After that, the relationship between VOC and TR will be determined. Table 7 is a recapitulation of VOC weight and HOQ relationships.





Source: Data processing

Table 7 VOC weighting					
No.	VOC	Weight			
1	VOC 11	7.785714			
2	VOC 8	7.785714			
3	VOC 10	7.75			
4	VOC 6	7.75			
5	VOC 16	7.678571			
6	VOC 2	7.678571			
7	VOC 19	7.642857			
8	VOC 17	7.642857			
9	VOC 15	7.642857			
10	VOC 9	7.642857			
11	VOC 1	7.642857			
12	VOC 12	7.607143			
13	VOC 13	7.571429			
14	VOC 4	7.571429			
15	VOC 14	7.464286			
16	VOC 7	7.392857			
17	VOC 5	7.357143			
18	VOC 18	7.25			
19	VOC 3	7.25			

There are 19 customer needs for the projects they entrust to the implementing contractor, including VOC 1, VOC 2, VOC 3, VOC 4, VOC 5, VOC 6, VOC 7, VOC 8, VOC 9,

VOC 10, VOC 11, VOC 12, VOC 13, VOC 14, VOC 15, VOC 16, VOC 17, VOC 18 and VOC 19.

The supplier criteria needed by the contractor to meet the owner's needs are TR 8, TR 9, TR 11, TR 7, TR 10, TR 5, TR 6, TR 12, TR 16, TR 2, TR 15, TR 17, TR 3, TR 4, TR 13, TR 14 and TR 1.

The objectives of this study are namely designing a procurement strategy and determining supplier criteria in the construction process carried out by the contractor. Procurement strategy discussions are carried out in each quadrant, starting from routine, bottleneck, leverage, and critical quadrants. Supplier criteria discussed are supplier criteria for each material (be it routine, bottleneck, leverage, or critical).

4.1 Routine

The materials included in the routine quadrant are M24, with the characteristics of having low SR and EX, having the same specifications for each supplier, and having many suppliers in the field. With these characteristics, the relationship between the implementing contractor and the supplier is non-partnership (Lee and Drake, 2010). Even though they run a non-partnership relationship, the implementing contractor must still pay attention to the location factor, as well as the quality of the material provided by the supplier. That way, the right procurement strategy for the material routine is to carry out a spot purchase contract (choose a supplier based on the best price quote and immediately replace the supplier when experiencing a disruption), carry out a re-engineering process, eliminate the material inspection process, automate the process, and conduct electronic trading, to control material procurement more easily.

4.2 Bottleneck

The material included in the bottleneck quadrant is M14, with the characteristics of having high SR but low EX. This material is susceptible to material defects and non-conformity of material quality with company specifications, and other risks. This causes the supplier to have a dominant position on bottleneck items. With the characteristics and conditions of the supplier who is in control of the procurement process, the contractor must be able to establish a relationship and maintain good communication with the supplier so that errors do not occur in the procurement process. The power of supply held by suppliers makes contractors have to be careful in choosing suppliers, this result inline with Arabzad and Ghorbani (2011). The following are some of the supplier characteristics needed by the contractor, such as they do not want to take advantage of the strength of their position, must be able to be relied on in various conditions, and must be able to supply the required material for the long-term. The right procurement strategy in carrying out the procurement process is to use fixed contract types, make commitments with suppliers to determine the volume of purchases within a certain period of time, and supervise the quality of materials sent by suppliers.

4.3 Leverage

Materials included in the leverage quadrant are M6, M13, M21, M7 and M8 with the characteristics of having low SR, but high EX. All materials included in this leverage quadrant are consumable materials. These five materials are also included in the standard

item's category, many suppliers supply and also do not have special specifications such as critical materials. Even though they already have many suppliers and are standard items, the expenses resulting from the leveraged items are still relatively high, because the purchase cost for each leverage unit is important (Visani and Boccali, 2020). With these material characteristics, the relationship that must be established with the supplier of the leveraged item is a non-partnership relationship. This needs to be done to save administrative costs as well as time for the leveraged material procurement process. The company can also replace suppliers when their performance decreases. With the characteristics of the leveraged materials that have been mentioned, the most appropriate suppliers for leveraged materials are those who are competent in meeting the quantity and quality of company demand, able to offer competitive prices, and are technologically literate. The precise procurement strategy in carrying out the material bottleneck procurement process is to collaborate with spot purchase types of contracts and to establish relationships with many suppliers.

4.4 Critical

Materials included in the critical quadrant are M22, M20, M19, M17, M12, M2, M4, M9, M11, M16, M23, M3, M15, M10, M5, M18 and M1 with characteristics of SR and EX levels tall one. Materials included in the critical quadrant are non-standard items, have unique specifications, are not easily found in the market, do not have many suppliers, and are difficult to find replacements. That way, the right relationship between the contractor and the supplier is to form a partnership and to support the procurement process, the contractor needs to pay attention to some of the characteristics of his supplier (Gambo and Musonda, 2021). Figure 3 are some of the characteristics needed, being able to provide good material quality, stable financial condition, committed to supplying materials with the right quantity-quality-time, having the same business strategy as contractors, having an excellent reputation, being able to provide guarantees, and have clear historical data. With the characteristics that have been mentioned, the contractor must have the right strategy so that the procurement process can run very well. The procurement strategy that can be chosen is to build a partnership relationship (partnership) with suppliers, as well as looking for new suppliers if the supplier's performance deteriorates and cannot be repaired.

Based on the HOQ matrix, the results of the TRs to the rating can be seen. To calculate the technical responses rating (TRR) the formula is used:

 $TRR = 100 \times \sum (relationship weight \times RW \ VOC)$

For example, the TRs rating of 'material prices offered (TR 2)',

$$TRR = 100 \times \sum (9 \times 5\%) + (3 \times 5\%) + (1 \times 5\%)$$

 $TRR \approx 67.8$

TRR is used to determine the weight of each TRs. Then, it is used as a basis for determining supplier criteria. From this example, it reveals that the weight for the 'price of material offered' is obtained from 100 multiply by the accumulated relationship weight and the relative weight of the VOC. It should be noted that the relative weight of VOCs is

not absolute 5% but five points. TRR will rank the supplier criterias. Based on the calculation of TRR, Table 8 is a recapitulation of the rankings of TRR.



Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection	Development	of procurement	strategy and	supplier selection
--	--------------------	----------------	--------------	--------------------

1 able	8 I KS raung	
No.	Technical responses (TR)	Technical responses rating (TRR)
1	TR 8	191.44
2	TR 9	148.72
3	TR 11	134.09
4	TR 7	126.30
5	TR 10	116.60
6	TR 5	112.3
7	TR 6	102.04
8	TR 12	96.46
9	TR 16	69.30
10	TR 2	67.80
11	TR 15	64.47
12	TR 17	64.47
13	TR 3	62.53
14	TR 4	21.26
15	TR 13	20.91
16	TR 14	10.64
17	TR 1	5.274

5 Conclusions

T.11.0

TD (

Based on direct observations, the factors that have inhibiting for the project development process are the mismatch between the DED and the actualisation of the condition of the project location, permits from the surrounding community which are quite difficult to obtain even though they have received permission from the local government, the inability of suppliers to supply materials ordered by contractors, non-technical factors (such as force major), and inadequate resources (human resources and financial resources). This findings support previous research which also stated mismatch in DED planning, difficulties getting permission from local governments, time constraints and remote location had been main obstacles for project developments (Chen et al., 2019; Chofreh et al., 2019; Ririh and Hidayah, 2020; Abutabenjeh et al., 2021).

This research also adds some insights that the work sequence of the procurement function starts from knowing the vision, mission, goals, and policies of the organisation or company, then knowing the corporate strategy so that the procurement function will strive to achieve the vision-mission and goals of the organisation, make targets and objectives of the procurement function, then issue the strategies that are needed to support the material procurement process. In reverse, a recent research argued that vision-mission of government organisation can be changed suddenly and depended on the active significant leader and this made procurement strategy need flexible implementation (Christoper and Schooner, 2007; Lee and Drake, 2010).

The customer needs for the building/construction entrusted to the implementing contractor consisting of VOC 11 (the growth of project schedule, which means the

Commented [t3]: Author: Please provide full reference or delete from the text if not required.

project schedule always has good progress), VOC 8 (technological innovations by contractors, which means with this technological innovations, contractors are able to manage the priority of work and choose the best method of work). VOC 10 (speed of delivery of construction to owner, which means the project must be completed on time according to the contract), VOC 6 (availability of materials, because of materials are the components that must be available during the construction process), VOC 16 (interaction of building, which means that the building or construction must be able to meet the needs of its users). VOC 2 (earthquake resistant construction, meaning that the construction must be resistant from earthquake threats), VOC 19 (low lifecycle cost, which means the maintenance cost or something related to the construction lifecycle), VOC 17 (suitability expenditures that have been planned, meaning that all costs in the project must be suitable with the agreed contract), VOC 15 (flexibility of building, which means that the building have to adjustment, both with their environment, climate, and there inhabitants), VOC 9 (the duration of construction on time, meaning that the project must be finished on time or in time), VOC 1 (conformity to construction specifications, meaning that the construction is in accordance with agreed specifications), VOC 12 (type of construction delivery according to target, meaning that delivery is an important part of the construction supply chain), VOC 13 (adaptation of building, which means that the building can respond to changes that will occur in the future), VOC 4 (low emission construction, especially for the current conditions that demand low emissions in every single construction project), VOC 14 (transformation of building, which means building whose shape, volume, and looks can change based on physical changes in the structure of the building. For example is transformable architecture), VOC 7 (good control over construction tools, this is an obligation that must be owned by contractors in working on projects), VOC 5 (availability of clear and qualified workforce, so that the construction is completed on target), VOC 18 (the budget according to the contract, which means there will be no overload in costs), and VOC 3 (the construction health space, which means the building have a social sustainability).

In line with those needs, the supplier criterias that the contractors look for in meeting the material needs are TR 8 (supplier experiences, which means contractors must looking for the experiences supplier so that all of needed by project can fulfilled well). TR 9 (materials quality assurance, meaning that contractor must find a supplier who is able to provide assurance in supplying materials), TR 11 (delivery speed of materials, meaning that the speed of delivery on a construction project is an important part of succeed), TR 7 (complete quality management system, this is a requirement that suppliers must have before supplying materials to contractors), TR 10 (good managerial skills from suppliers, which means that suppliers must be able to demonstrate their managerial ability), TR 5 (the accuracy of the volume of the material, so that project is not hampered by delivery delays), TR 6 (the capabilities and technology used by suppliers, this factor describe that the supplier has high capabilities in supplying materials needed by the contractor), TR 12 (reliability of material delivery, which means that the material delivery process runs according to its proper function or we can call reliable), TR 16 (good etiquette and good communication from suppliers, this is very necessary in the contractor-supplier relationship because communication will determine the success or failure of the project), TR 2 (material prices offered, this happened because prices is an economic sacrifice that must be spent by the contractor for a specific purpose so that it is an aspect that really needs to be considered in choosing supplier), TR 15 (flexibility to change the volume of materials, which means that the project completion will be more flexible especially when

there is sudden change in volume), TR 17 (complaint handling/customer service speed, this factor is very important because with the speed of customer service, the contractor can communicate efficiently when there is a problem), TR 3 (material discounts, the contractor will choose a supplier with a appropriate bid, not too high but has a good quality raw materials), TR 4 (payment flexibility, this factor often occurs in project implementation because with payment flexibility, contractors can allocate costs for more important things first), TR 13 (order tracking system, actually this is an additional factor that contractors do not really mention when choosing supplier. However, if the supplier has an order tracking system it will be an added value for the contractor), TR 14 (supply security from suppliers. Likewise with this factor, contractor does not really mention to it, but it can be an added value when choosing a supplier), and TR 1 (supplier financial stability, this factor can give an indication that the supplier has a good managerial system) – consecutively.

The alternative procurement strategies for each item are as follows. Policy makers in the procurement should pay attention and review the activities such as: routines carry out spot purchases, carry out re-engineering processes, eliminate material inspection processes, carry out automation processes, and conduct electronic trading. For managerial practice suggestions, the bottleneck item performs a fixed contract type, as well as making commitments with suppliers regarding the volume and duration of material delivery. Leverage items perform the spot purchase type and establish relationships with many suppliers. Critical items establish a strong partnership relationship, and look for new suppliers if the supplier's performance deteriorates and cannot be repaired.

This study also has limitations due to budget and time availability. Moreover, closed questions with self-reported answer may result insufficient point-of-view standard Further study will need to imply the risk averse of procurement team regarding to procurement efficiencies, since risk averse relates to benefit that earned by each parties in the procurement consolidation contracts. Qualitative method using NVivo will be best implemented to figure out precisely the significant factors. Rather than just using the self-report questionnaires, it will be better for future research to use quantitative modelling to define the significant factors in the procurement consolidation chain.

References

- Abutabenjeh, S., Dayarathna, V.L., Jaradat, R., Nagahi, M. and Gordon, S.B. (2021) 'The perceived value of public procurement and contract management certification', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 14, No. 1, pp.62–92.
- Ahmad, T., Aibinu, A.A., Stephan, A. and Chan, A.P. (2019) 'Investigating associations among performance criteria in green building projects', *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 232, p.1366.
- Altay, N., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R. and Childe, S.J. (2018) 'Agility and resilience as antecedents of supply chain performance under moderating effects of organizational culture within the humanitarian setting: a dynamic capability view', *Production Planning & Control*, Vol. 29, No. 14, pp.1158–1174.
- Alvanchi, A., Hosseini, S.M.T., Nili-Ahmadabad, M.H. and Amiri Diba, A. (2020) 'Improving public-private partnership project procurement, addressing major shortfalls in the standard-form contract', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.482–506.
- Ambe, I.M. (2019) 'The role of public procurement to socio-economic development', International Journal of Procurement Management, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.652–668.

Commented [t4]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

- Arabzad, S.M. and Ghorbani, M. (2011) 'Using integrated FMEA-DEA approach to classify purchasing items based on Kraljic's model', *International Journal of Business and Social Science*.
- Baily, P., Farmer, D., Crocker, B., Jessop, D. and Jones, D. (2015) Procurement Principles and Management, Pearson Education Limited, Harlow.
- Berampu, L.T. (2014) Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Efektivitas Waktu Proyek dan Dampaknya Terhadap Efektivitas Biaya Proyek Konstruksi,
- Berezinets, I. and Ivanov, A. (2019) 'Well-known scoring rules under conditions of low competition in tenders', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.711–731.
- Bevilacqua, M., Ciarapica', F. and Giacchetta, G. (2006) 'A fuzzy-QFD approach to supplier selection', Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management, Vol. 12, pp.14–27.
- BGA (2017) Rencana Kerja dan Syarat Pekerjaan Struktur 88 Avenue, Benjamin Gideon & Associates Consulting, Surabaya.
- Cengiz, A.E., Aytekin, O., Ozdemir, I., Kusan, H. and Cabuk, A. (2017) 'A multi-criteria decision model for construction material supplier selection', *Proceedia Engineering*, Vol. 196, p.297.
- Chen, X., Ding, Y., Cory, C.A., Hu, Y., Wu, K.J. and Feng, X. (2020) 'A decision support model for subcontractor selection using a hybrid approach of QFD and AHP-improved grey correlation analysis', *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
- Cherian, T.M., Munuswamy, S. and Jasim, K.M. (2020) 'E-procurement practices to improve the efficiency of vendor transactions in Indian cement companies', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.443–461.
- Chofreh, A.G., Goni, F.A., Malik, M.N., Khan, H.H. and Klemes, J.J. (2019) 'The imperative and research directions of sustainable project', *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Christoper and Schooner (2007) 'Incrementalism: eroding the impediments to a global public procurement market', *Journal of International Law*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp.529–546.
- El Asmar, M., Hanna, A.S. and Loh, W-Y. (2013) 'Quantifying performance for the integrated project delivery system as compared to established delivery systems', *Journal Construction Engineering Management*, Vol. 139.
- El-Khalek, H.A., Aziz, R.F. and Morgan, E.S. (2019) 'Identification of construction subcontractor prequalification evaluation criteria and their impact on project success', *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, pp.217–223.
- Enshassi, A., Mohamed, S. and Modough, Z. (2013) 'Contractors' selection criteria: opinions of Palestinian construction professionals', *International Journal of Construction Management*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.19–37.
- Gambo, N. and Musonda, I. (2021) 'Procurement planning factors influencing the quality performance of primary healthcare building facilities: a mediation effect of the firm's business partnership', *Cogent Engineering*, Vol. 8, No. 1, p.1872823.
- Gaspersz, V. (2004) 'Production planning and inventory control', in *Production Planning and Inventory Control*, Gramedia Pustaka Utama, PT, Jakarta.
- Gottschalk, P. (2019) 'Application of the theory of convenience to a case of illegal public procurement of healthcare services', *International Journal of Procurement Management*, Vol. 12, No. 6, pp.621–631.
- Hesping, F.H. and Schiele, H. (2016) 'Matching tactical sourcing levers with the Kraljič matrix: empirical evidence on purchasing portfolios', *Int. J. Production Economics*.
- Jafari, A. (2013) 'A contractor pre-qualification model based on the quality function deployment method', Construction Management and Economics, Vol. 755.
- Kronenburg, R. (2008) Portable Architecture Design and Technology, 4th ed., Birkhauser Verlag AG, Basel – Boston – Berlin.

Commented [t5]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers. Commented [t6]: Author: Please cite the reference in the text or delete from the list if not required.

Commented [t7]: Author: Please provide full reference.

Commented [t8]: Author: Please cite the reference in the text or delete from the list if not required.

Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t9]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t10]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [111]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [112]: Author: Please provide the volume number and issue number.

Commented [t13]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t14]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

- Lai, Y-T., Wang, W-C. and Wang, H-H. (2008) 'AHP-and simulation-based budget determination procedure for public building construction projects', *Automation in Construction*, Vol. 17, pp.623–632.
- Lee, D. and Drake, P. (2010) 'A portofolio model for component purchasing strategy and the case study of two South Korean elevator manufacturers', *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 48, No. 22, pp.6651–6682.
- Mac Donald, K., Rezania, D. and Baker, R. (2020) 'A grounded theory examination of project manager's accountability', *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 29.
- Monczka, R., Handfield, R., Giunipero, L. and Patterson, J. (2011) *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management*, Cengage Learning, South-Western, USA.
- Morkunaite, Z., Podvezko, V., Zavadskas, E.K. and Bausys, R. (2019) 'Contractor selection for renovation of cultural heritage buildings by PROMETHEE method', Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 19, p.1067.
- Mufleh, M.A. (2020) 'The mediating role of Jordanian Joint Procurement Law: an examination of the effects of e-procurement enablers on the reduction of expenditure', *International Journal* of Procurement Management, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp.553–577.
- Nie, R.X., Tian, Z.P., Kwai Sang, C. and Wang, J.Q. (2020) 'Implementing healthcare service quality enhancement using a cloud-support QFD model integrated with TODIM method and linguistic distribution assessments', *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, pp.1–23.
- Padhi, S.S., Wagner, S.M. and Aggarwal, V. (2012) 'Positioning of commodities using the Kraljic portofolio matrix', *Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*.

Panchal, V. (2018) Strategic approach to material procurement management for construction project?

- Prostean, G., Badea, A., Vasar, C. and Octavian, P. (2014) 'Risk variables in wind power supply chain', Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, Vol. 124, pp.124–132.
- Rajesh, G. and Maligga, P. (2013) 'Supplier selection based on AHP QFD methodology', International Conference on Design and Manufacturing.
- Rampersad, H. (2006) Personal Balanced Scorecard, PPM Manajemen.
- Ririh, K.R. and Hidayah, N.Y. (2020) 'Reducing project duration of an apartment project by Waskita Karya using crashing method', in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, IOP Publishing, July, Vol. 852, No. 1, p.12087.
- Seifbarghy, M. (2010) Measurement of Supply Risk and Determining Supply Strategy, Case Study: A Refrigerator Making Company, Alzahra University, Iran.
- Struyk, R.J. (2007) 'Factors in successful program implementation in Russia during the transition: pilot programs as a guide', *Public Administration and Development*, Vol. 68.
- Suliantoro, H. and Ririh, K.R. (2019) 'Enhancing usage behavior of e-procurement through organizational values', Jurnal Ilmiah Teknik Industri, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp.8–16.
- Thiyagarajan, G. (2019) 'Major reasons for returns during online purchase in major cities of India', International Journal of Procurement Management, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp.475–492.
- Visani, F. and Boccali, F. (2020) 'Purchasing price assessment of leverage items: a data envelopment analysis approach', *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 4.
- Yang, J.B., Wang, H.H., Wang, W.C. and Ma, S.M. (2016) 'Using data envelopment analysis to support best-value contractor selection', *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp.199–209.

Commented [t15]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t16]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t17]: Author: Please provide the issue number.

Commented [t18]: Author: Please provide the volume number and issue number. Commented [t19]: Author: Please provide the volume number, issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t20]: Author: Please provide full reference.

Commented [t21]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Commented [t22]: Author: Please provide the issue number and page numbers.

Author article proofs

9. 1st Amendment Sheet:

[04 May 2021]

AMENDMENT SHEET

Page	Section	Paragraph	Line	Delete	Add/amend
2	Comment t1	5	No.	Please delete this : "This paper is a revised and expanded version of a paper entitled [title] presented at [name, location and date of conference]", since our paper genuinely submitted in this journal	
3	Comment t2				Thank you, will be answered in comment t20
15	Comment t3				Please revise as written : "Chen et al., 2020"
17	Comment t4				Ahmad, T., Aibinu, A. A., Stephan, A., & Chan, A. P. (2019). Investigating associations among performance criteria in Green Building projects. Journal of Cleaner Production, 232, 1348-1370.
18	Comment t5				Arabzad, S. M., & Ghorbani, M. (2011). Using integrated FMEA-DEA approach to classify purchasing items based on Kraljic's model. International Journal of Business and Social Science, 2(21), 253-257.
18	Comment t6			Please delete : Baily, P., Farmer, D., Crocker, B., Jessop, D. and Jones, D. (2015) <i>Procurement</i> <i>Principles and</i> <i>Management</i> , Pearson Education Limited, Harlow.	
18	Comment t7				BERAMPU, L. T. (2014). ANALISIS FAKTOR- FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI EFEKTIVITAS WAKTU PROYEK DAN DAMPAKNYA TERHADAP EFEKTIVITAS BIAYA PROYEK KONSTRUKSI (STUDI KASUS: PT PAN PASIFIC NESIA SUBANG–JAWA BARAT). JKBM (JURNAL KONSEP BISNIS DAN MANAJEMEN), 1(1), 9-20.
18	Comment t8			Please delete : Bevilacqua, M., Ciarapica`, F. and Giacchetta, G. (2006) 'A fuzzy-QFD approach to supplier selection', <i>Journal of Purchasing &</i> <i>Supply Management</i> , Vol. 12, pp.14–27.	
18	Comment t9				Chen, X., Ding, Y., Cory, C.A., Hu, Y., Wu, KJ. and Feng, X. (2020), "A decision support model for subcontractor selection using a hybrid approach of QFD and AHP-improved grey correlation analysis", Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/ECAM-12-2019-0715
18	Comment t10				Chofreh, A. G., Goni, F. A., Malik, M. N., Khan, H. H., & Klemeš, J. J. (2019). The imperative and research directions of sustainable project management. Journal of Cleaner Production, 238, 117810.
18	Comment t11				El Asmar, M., Hanna, A. S., & Loh, W. Y. (2013). Quantifying performance for the integrated project delivery system as compared to established delivery systems. <i>Journal of construction engineering and</i>

			management, 139(11), 04013012.
18	Comment		El-khalek, H. A., Aziz, R. F., & Morgan, E. S. (2019).
	t12		Identification of construction subcontractor
			pregualification evaluation criteria and their impact on
			project success. Alexandria Engineering
			Journal, 58(1), 217-223.
18	Comment		Hesping, F. H., & Schiele, H. (2016). Matching tactical
	t13		sourcing levers with the Kraljič matrix: Empirical
			evidence on purchasing portfolios. International
			iournal of production economics, 177, 101-117.
18	Comment		Jafari, A. (2013). A contractor pre-gualification model
	t14		based on the quality function deployment
			method Construction Management and
			Economics 31(7) 746-760
19	Comment		Lai Y T Wang W C & Wang H H (2008) AHP-
17	t15		and simulation-based budget determination procedure
	115		for public building construction projects Automation in
			Construction 17(5) 623-632
10	Comment		Mac Donald K Rezania D & Baker R (2020) A
19	t16		grounded theory examination of project managers'
	110		accountability. International Journal of Project
			Management 38(1) 27.25
10	Commont		Manugement, 30(1), 27-33.
19	t17		Norkunalle, Z., Pouvezko, V., Zavauskas, E. K., &
	117		af aultural havitage huildings has DDOMETHEE
			of cultural neritage buildings by PROMETHEE
			method. Archives of Civil and Mechanical
10			Engineering, 19, 1056-10/1.
19	Comment		Nie, R. X., Tian, Z. P., Kwai Sang, C., & Wang, J. Q.
	t18		(2020). Implementing healthcare service quality
			enhancement using a cloud-support QFD model
			integrated with TODIM method and linguistic
			distribution assessments. Journal of the Operational
			Research Society, 1-23.
10			https://doi.org/10.1080/01605682.2020.1824554
19	Comment		Padhi, S. S., Wagner, S. M., & Aggarwal, V. (2012).
	t19		Positioning of commodities using the Kraljic Portfolio
			Matrix. Journal of Purchasing and Supply
			<i>Management</i> , <i>18</i> (1), 1-8.
19	Comment		Panchal, V. (2013). Strategic approach to material
	t20		procurement management for construction project
			http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12725/11686
19	Comment		Struyk, R. J. (2007). Factors in successful program
	t21		implementation in Russia during the transition: pilot
			programs as a guide. Public Administration and
			Development: The International Journal of
			Management Research and Practice, 27(1), 63-83.
19	Comment		Visani, F., & Boccali, F. (2020). Purchasing price
	t22		assessment of leverage items: A Data Envelopment
			Analysis approach. International Journal of Production
			Economics, 223(4), 107521.

Author article proofs

10. Article received from Typesetter for final proof: [18 May 2021]
Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia

Hery Suliantoro

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh*

Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia Email: kiranaririh@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Samuel Pandiangan

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Abstract: Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing supply positioning model (SPM) and quality function deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The most important supplier criteria to achieve best construction quality are the supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords: construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; QFD; strategic procurement; supply positioning models; SPMs; Indonesia.

Close

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 - Final proof of article ready for you to check
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>

- Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranarinh@gmail.com> To:
- Cc: Inderscience Submissions <inderscience@allsetbposervices.com>
- Date: 18 May 2021
- Content: Dear Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh,

With regards to: Article title: "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA" Submission code: IJPM-64146

Submitted to: Int. J. of Procurement Management

The final proof of your article is now ready to be checked - Please

log in to the submission system to do this and go to 'Track progress' section.

https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com

If you have any further changes, please upload another annotated Amendment Sheet using the facility available in the system, under the pdf file display.

Please also use the 'Post a comment' feature to send a note to the typesetter indicating that you are submitting new changes.

It is important that you approve this final version by re-uploading it within the next seven days to avoid any delay in publication.

If we do not hear from you within this time period, this final proof version will be published. Thank you for your cooperation.

Please do not use the 'Reply' function with this email - we will not receive your message.

Kind regards, The Inderscience Submissions Team Inderscience Publishers Ltd.

	Subject:	IJPM-64146: Final proof paper uploaded
	From:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
	To:	Allset Allset <inderscience@allsetbposervices.com></inderscience@allsetbposervices.com>
	Cc:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
ł,	Date:	19 May 2021
	Content:	Dear Aliset Aliset,
k		I have uploaded the final version of my paper "IJPM-64146: DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA"
		Thanks & Regards.

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh

Close

Close

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 comment from Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh
From:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Angappa Gunasekaran <an gunasekaran@gmail.com=""></an>
Cc:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	06 Jul 2021
Content:	Dear Angappa Gunasekaran,
	Ref: IJPM-64146 - DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIE SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
	Dear Prof Angappa Gunasekaran,
	Hope this mail finds you well. First, we would like to thank that our article had been accepted and reached to final proof of article. We really appreciate for the hard works. Second, we just want to ask an information about the date of publication since the article had been entering publication phase from May 2021. We really grateful if you ca provide us this information.

Thank you for your time and hope you stay safe&healthy,

Best regards,

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: IJPM-64146 comment from Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh
From:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
To:	Angappa Gunasekaran <an.gunasekaran@gmail.com></an.gunasekaran@gmail.com>
Cc:	Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Date:	25 Feb 2022
Content:	Dear Angappa Gunasekaran,
	Ref: IJPM-64146 - DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIE SELECTION FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA
	Dear Prof Angappa,

Im Kirana as corresponding author of article IJPM-64146, I would like to ask information about publication schedule. Our article has been scheduled to publish since July 2021. How long does it take to be appear in the Scopus? since we are also have to inform to our institution about this publication, so this exact publication date will be meaning for us. I hope you can help us, thanks in advance.

Kirana & teams

Author article proofs

11. Proof reply send to Typesetter:[19 May 2021]

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia

Hery Suliantoro

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: suliantoro_hery@yahoo.com

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh*

Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia Email: kiranaririh@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Samuel Pandiangan

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Abstract: Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing supply positioning model (SPM) and quality function deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which also the members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The most important supplier criteria to achieve best construction quality are the supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords: construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; QFD; strategic procurement; supply positioning models; SPMs; Indonesia.

Author article proofs

12. 2nd Amendment Sheet:

[19 May 2021]

AMENDMENT SHEET

Page No.	Section	Paragraph	Line No.	Delete	Add/amend
Articl	le content i	is fine. The	re is no) further amendmer	nt

Submission Files

5. Final Published Version:

[21 Apr 2022]

Development of procurement strategy and supplier selection for construction projects in Central Java-Indonesia

Hery Suliantoro

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: suliantoro hery@yahoo.com

Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh*

Research Center for Policy and Management of Sciences, Technology and Innovation, Indonesia Institute of Sciences, Jakarta, Indonesia Email: kiranaririh@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Samuel Pandiangan

Department of Industrial Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia Email: samuel.pandiangan13@gmail.com *Corresponding author

Abstract: Material procurement, as well as user satisfaction, is the key factor of a successful construction project. Therefore, the contractors need strategies that support the effectiveness and efficiency of the procurement process in line with gaining the user satisfaction. This study aims to define material procurement strategies by implementing supply positioning model (SPM) and quality function deployment (QFD). There are 24 types of material to be measured using SPM matrix. The respondents are 86 construction companies which are also members of GAPENSI Central Java-Indonesia. The best procurement strategy for critical items is to have a strong partnership relationship and consider replacing suppliers when it is urgently needed. The most important supplier criteria to achieve best construction quality are the supplier's work experience, the quality of the materials provided by the supplier, the completeness of the quality management system, the capabilities and technology used by the supplier, and other criteria.

Keywords: construction projects; materials procurement; quality function deployment; QFD; strategic procurement; supply positioning models; SPMs; Indonesia.

Close

Subject:	Inderscience Publishers: Your article IJPM-64146 is now ready to be published
From:	Inderscience Submissions <no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com></no-reply@indersciencesubmissions.com>
То:	Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh <kiranaririh@gmail.com></kiranaririh@gmail.com>
Cc:	Inderscience Submissions <inderscience@allsetbposervices.com></inderscience@allsetbposervices.com>
Date:	21 Apr 2022
Content:	Dear Mrs. Kirana Rukmayuninda Ririh,
	Ref: Article ID: IJPM-64146,
	We are pleased to inform you that your article submitted to Int. J. of
	Procurement Management (IJPM) entitled: "DEVELOPMENT OF PROCUREMENT STRATEGY AND SUPPLIER SELECTION
	FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CENTRAL JAVA-INDONESIA* is ready to be published.
	No further changes to the article are possible at this stage.
	Access the final PDF version with its full citation.
	Please log into your account at: https://www.indersciencesubmissions.com