


Bukti Korespodensi artikel Development of biodegradable smart packaging from chitosan, *polyvinyl alcohol* (PVA) and butterfly pea flower's (*Clitoria ternatea* L.) anthocyanin extract"


An. Dr. Sri Mulyani


No	tanggal	ktivitas Korespodensi	
01	26/09/2020	Submit artikel	
02	10/11/2020-20/11/2020	Revisi I	
03	15/12/2020	Revisi I	
04	07/02/2021	Decision : Accepted lanjut ke galley Proof	














MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION FORM

Date	:	27 th September 2020
Manuscript ID	:	FR-2020-537
Please return by	:	27 th October 2020
Title of Manuscript	:	Development of biodegradable smart packaging from chitosan, <i>polyvinyl alcohol</i> (PVA) and butterfly pea flower's (<i>Clitoria ternatea</i> L.) anthocyanin extract

- 1. IF YOU CANNOT REVIEW THIS MANUSCRIPT OR MEET THE DEADLINE, PLEASE INFORM US WITHOUT DELAY.
- 2. Your review should consider the article's scholarly merit including originality of the research issue and/or methodology, adequacy and rigor of the research methodology and techniques used, quality and rigor of data analysis, comprehensiveness of literature review, and the readability and presentation of the article. Please provide detailed and specific comments to all items. Also, where appropriate please provide suggestions for revision.

COMMENT SHEET

Using item 2 in page 1 as a guideline, please indicate the reasons for your recommendations. Most author(s) will appreciate frankness, combined with a modicum of tact. Even if you recommend that the manuscript be accepted for publication, please provide some general comments to the author(s).

	Grade					
Evaluation Criteria	А	В	С	D	E	
	(Excellent)				(Worst)	
1. Appropriateness of Contents	х					
2. Originality of Topic	х					
3. Manuscript Format		х				
4. Research Methodology	х					
5. Data Analysis	х					
6. Relevance to the Journal	х					

	(REVIEWER'S SECTION) REVIEWER'S COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS	(AUTHOR'S SECTION) AUTHOR'S ACTION/RESPONSE *NOTE FOR AUTHOR: Please state your response to the reviewer's comments/suggestion below
1.	Title It should reflect the article Acceptable	Thank you very much for this comment
2.	Abstract Background, Aim, Methodology and Conclusion Smart packaging is an indicator of changes in food due to spoilageThis sentence is not clear in its message? No	We have revised the sentence as in the manuscript lines 9-12
3.	Keywords Min. 3 and Max. 6 Acceptable	Thank you very mauch
4.	Introduction Concise with sufficient background Acceptable	Thank you very much
5.	Research design/Methodology <i>Clearly described and reproducible</i> Standard methods were used. Please follow Food Research guide lines in writing the subheadingslower case letters	Thanks for your comments. We have adjusted the subheadings, including lower cases letters, according to the Food Research guidelines. The revised manuscript is as attached.
6.	Data Analysis <i>Results well presented and discussed</i> Good	Thank you very much
7.	Conclusion A clear summary of the study Acceptable	Thanks for your decision
8.	References <i>References should follow the journal's format</i> Acceptable The VOLUME number for the journals must be in normal print not italics	Thanks. The VOLUME number for the journals have been revised, written in normal not italics
9.	English Proficiency Good	Thank you very much

1(). Additional comments/suggestions by the reviewer about the article	Thank you for your comments. We've revised it following the food research guidelines. Revised manuscript as attached
	Follow strictly Food Research guidelines when writing subheadings in the materials and methods, and also in results and discussion	

Overall Evaluation

Please choose one.

Accept		Major Revision	
Minor Revision	х	Reject	

Please return Manuscript and/or Review Comments to:

Professor Dr. Son Radu Food Research Email: foodresearch.my@outlook.com