ENVIRONMENTAL
DISCLOSURE INFORMATION:
THE ROLE OF
ENVIRONMENTAL
CERTIFICATION AND FIRM
SIZE

Maya Indriastuti, Anis Chariri and Fuad

```
• ID: 2108357401
    Word Count: 5543
Similarity Index
16%
Similarity by Source
Internet Sources:
      15%
Publications:
      17%
Student Papers:
      N/A
sources:
         2% match (Internet from 09-Dec-2022)
    1
         http://journal.efsa.unsa.ba/index.php/see/article/download/437/108/
         2% match (Sheila Mendes Fernandes, Antonio Cezar Bornia, Luiz Ricardo Nakamura. "The
         influence of boards of directors on environmental disclosure", Management Decision, 2018)
   Sheila Mendes Fernandes, Antonio Cezar Bornia, Luiz Ricardo Nakamura. "The influence of boards
   of directors on environmental disclosure", Management Decision, 2018
         2% match (Internet from 30-Sep-2022)
         https://www.srac.ro/calitatea/en/arhiva/2021/QAS Vol.22 No.181 April.2021.pdf
         2% match (Internet from 22-Jan-2023)
         https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/fdec/f731df111a3f5579598337658fb8b1803c3a.pdf
         2% match (Internet from 22-Feb-2023)
    5
         https://theijbmt.com/archive/0949/2102862385.pdf
         1% match (Internet from 18-Apr-2023)
    6
         https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/jrak/article/download/6156/2512/26154
   7 1% match (Internet from 19-Mar-2023)
         https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/jrak/article/download/5623/2510/26023
         1% match (Internet from 08-Oct-2022)
    8
         https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/jrak/article/download/5182/2257/22247
         1% match ()
    9
   Suryarahman, Erlangga, Trihatmoko, Huda. "EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE AND BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS ON ENVIRONMENTAL DISCLOSURES",
   'Universitas PGRI Madiun', 2021
          1% match ()
    10
          Adriana, Jane, Uswati Dewi, Nurul Hasanah. "The effect of environmental performance, firm
   size, and profi tability on environmental disclosure", STIE Perbanas Surabaya, 2019
          1% match ("Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems", Springer Science and
    11
          Business Media LLC, 2021)
   "Complex, Intelligent and Software Intensive Systems", Springer Science and Business Media LLC,
   2021
          1% match ()
    12
          Indriastuti, Maya -, Suhendi, Chrisna. "FINANCIAL RISK ANALYSIS FOR INCREASING
   FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SHARIA BANKS IN INDONESIA", 'Universitas Mercu Buana', 2021
paper text:
JJurRnaAlRKiset Akuntansi Kontemporer ENVIRONMENTAL DISCLOSURE INFORMATION: THE ROLE
OF ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION AND FIRM SIZE
         8https://journal.unpas.ac.id/index.php/jrak/index
Maya Indriastuti1?, Anis Chariri2, Fuad3 1,2,3Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics and
Business, Universitas Diponegoro 1Department of Accounting, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Islam
Sultan Agung ?1
         11maya@unissula.ac.id Jalan Erlangga Tengah, Pleburan, Semarang
         6Indonesia Article Info History of Article Received: 28/6/2022 Revised:
         20/9/2022 Published: 10/10/2022 Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer Volume
         14, No. 2, Oktober 2022, Page 148-156 ISSN 2088-5091 (Print) ISSN 2597-6826
         (Online) Keywords: board of
directors; environmental certification; environmental disclosure; firm size; mining companies Abstract
Activities disclosure reflects corporate accountability, responsibility, and transparency to investors and other
stakeholders. Therefore, the objectives
         3of this study are to analyze and empirically test the effect of the board of
         directors and the
environment certification at 36
         5mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange within 2019-2021
Parbonetti (2012) revealed that there is no relationship between the board of directors and the level of
environmental disclosure. Meanwhile, Jizi (2017); Jizi et al., (2014); Khan et al., (2013); Welbeck (2017)
found that
         3the size of the board of directors has a positive effect on the level of
         environmental disclosure. Monitoring the board
is more effective, thereby encouraging increased voluntary
         2disclosure. Alfraih (2016); Dienes & Velte (2016
Budiwati (2018) show that environmental certification affects
         9environmental disclosure. On the other hand
Meutia (2016) stated
         9that environmental certification does not affect environmental disclosure. The
         amount of
information presented voluntarily can be increased through corporate governance mechanisms. As part of
the mechanism, the board of directors will monitor the company's policies and administration and protect the
shareholders' interests (Alfraih, 2016). In addition, the board raises the company's legitimacy,
         2encourages the rational use of resources, and oversees the disclosure
         strategy and policies for issuing company reports (Habbash, 2016
). The number of directors of issuers or public companies consists of at least two members of the Board of
Directors, where one is appointed as the principal director or president director (Financial Services Authority
regulations Number 33/POJK.04/2014).
         2Ntim et al., (2013) proved that the higher the number of board members, the
         greater their experience and the
Velte, 2016). In addition,
         2the number of board members can influence environmental disclosure
policies (Alfraih, 2016; Nasreem et al., 2017);
         2the volume of environmental information reported voluntarily (Ntim et al.,
         2013
Sebastianell, 2017); determine
         2the company's environmental agenda and also the type of information that
         should be disclosed voluntarily (Bomfim et al., 2015
Ting, 2018).
         4In line with the legitimacy theory that a company with a good level of
         environmental management will have an ISO 14001 certificate
Yanto, 2020). The phenomena raised in this study and their gaps form the basis for further analysis.
Researchers try to analyze and empirically test the effect of the board of directors and environmental
certification on environmental disclosure. This research implies that mining companies are not only profit-
oriented but also concerned for the environment. This is due to: firstly, the mining companies has an
industrial nature and characteristic which different with other companies. Mining sectors is one of the nations
economical development support, due to its role as a natural resources providers that highly required to the
nations economical growth. The rich potency of natural resources will lead companies to emerge on exploit
it. Secondly, stock of mining company sectors are highly interested by the investor. It can be seen on the
high volume of stock trades on the mining sector, in which this will encourge the company to provide a better
financial report. Board of Directors (X1) ► Environmental ► Discloasure (Y) Environmental Certification
(X2) Control Variable: Firm Size Figure 1. Research Framework METHOD
         5The population in this study are all companies listed on the Indonesia Stock
         Exchange from 2019-2021. The
determination method which used on this research is purposive sampling. The sample criteria in which
became the target on the population are as follow: Table 1. Sample of Research No Criterias Total 1 2 The
Mining companies active
         3on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during the observation period The mining
         companies which has not
published their annual report, financial report and sustainability report over observation period 3 4 The
mining companies which did not publish their financial report in rupiah currency The mining companies
which has no complete data regarding to the variables used in this research 47 (7) (4) (0) The mining
companies which are being used as a sample within 1 year 36 The mining companies which are being used
as a sample within 3 years 108 Source: Processed Secondary Data, 2022 The variables of this research
consist of 3 types of variables, which include: independent variables (boards of directors and environmental
certification), control variables (firm size), and the dependent variable (environmental disclosure). The board
of directors variable is responsible for monitoring the company's administration, policies, and guidelines and
protecting the interests of shareholders to increase the number of voluntary disclosures (Alfraih, 2016). The
measurement of boards
         2of directors uses the number of influential board members
Budiwati, 2018). To communicate with interested parties, environmental disclosures are used so that
information about environmental investments and their activities can be properly disseminated (Cormier et
al., 2015). Measurement of environmental disclosure uses the formula (Ong et al., 2016) as follows: (Total
items disclosed) ED: (GRI total item) All hypotheses
         12in this study were processed using multiple linear regression analysis
         (Ghozali, 2016), with the following equation: ED = \alpha + \beta1BD + \beta2EC + \beta3FS + e
In which ED is environmental disclosure, \alpha is constant, \beta 1– \beta3 are regression coefficient, BD is board of
directors, EC is environmental certification, FS is firm size, e is error RESULTS Descriptive statistics
         6The results of descriptive statistical tests for the board of
directors variable, firm size, and environmental disclosure
         11can be seen in table 1. While the results of
dummy variable descriptive statistical tests for environmental certification can be seen in table 2. Table 2.
Descriptive Statistic N Minimum Maximum Mean Std. Deviation Board of Directors 108 Environmental
Certification 108 Firm Size 108 Environmental Disclosure 108 Valid N (listwise) 108 2 4 0 1 18.50 22.70 0.07
0.75 3.25 0.54 20.83 0.27 0.44 0.509 1.20 0.18 Source: Processed Secondary Data, 2022
         1The mean value of the dependent variable of the study, the extent of
         environmental disclosure (ED) is 0.27 with a range of 0.07 to 0.75. Based on
         the available data, it can be concluded that there
is a significant variation in the company's annual reports
         1in the volume of environmental disclosures of the sample companies
         1With regard to the independent variables, Table 2 shows that the mean
         value of board of directors ranges from a minimum of 2 to a maximum of 4 with
         a mean of 3.25, about 3 members. On the other hand, the mean value of
         1size that is measured by the natural logarithm of total assets at the end of
         year 2019-2021 is 18.50, implying that the
value of the firm size ratio is above the average number of 60 companies and the increase in firm size is
below the average number of 48 companies from the total sample of 108 companies. Table 3. Dummy
Variable Descriptive Statistics Results Variable Dummy 0 Dummy 1 Observation Total Percentage Total
Percentage Total Percentage Environmental 50 46% 58 54% 108 100% Certification Source: Processed
Secondary Data, 2022
         5Mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2019-2021
show that 58 companies have environmental certification or 54%, and 50 companies or 46% do not have
environmental certification from 108 companies. Classic Assumption Test Results The results of the
normality test (Kolmogrov-Smirnov) in table 4 show a value of 0.520 (sig. value > 5%). It indicates that the
data is normally distributed. The results of the multicollinearity test show that the board of directors,
environmental certification, and firm size variables have a tolerance value of 0.10 and the value of variance
inflation factor (VIF) of 10. Thus
         8the regression model in this study does not
have multicollinearity between independent variables. The heteroscedasticity (Glejser test) showed a
significant value above 5%. In conclusion, the regression model did not find heteroscedasticity. The results
of the autocorrelation test (runs test) show a significance value of 0.158 (sig. > 5%). Hence
         8regression model in this study does not occur
autocorrelation. Table 4. Resume of Asumption Classic Test
         12Dependent Variable Variable Normality Test Multicollinearity Test
         Heteroscedasticity Test Autocorrelation Test
Sig Tolerance VIF t Sig Runs Test
         10E n v i r o n m e n t a l Disclosure
Independent: Board of Directors
         10Environmental
Certification Control: Firm Size 0.860 0.520 0.854 0.748 1.456 1.670 1.300 1.141 1.365 1.255 0.407 0.158
0.804 0.229 Source: Processed Secondary Data, 2022
         10Multiple Linear Regression Analysis The multiple linear regression
         analysis
5%). Accordingly, the variables of boards of directors, environmental certification, and firm size affect
environmental disclosure. The results of the coefficient of determination test show a value of 0.187. it means
that the variables of boards of directors, environmental certification, and company size can explain the
dependent variable (environmental disclosure) by 18.7%, and the remaining 81.3%
         4is explained by other factors outside the model in this study. The results of
         the
t-test indicate that the boards of directors do not affect environmental disclosure. Meanwhile, environmental
certification and firm size positively affect environmental disclosure. Table 5. Multiple Linier Regression D e p
e n d e n t Variable B t Sig Decision Variable Unstandardized Environmental Independent: Board of Directors
Disclosure Environmental Certification Control: Firm Size -0.043 -0.770 0.116 2.102 0.042 2.304 0.272
0.000 0.002 Rejected Accepted Accepted F count 2.784 0.001 Adj. R square 0.187 Source: Regression
Output, 2022 DISCUSSION The findings show that the board of directors have no effect on environmental
disclosure. It means the board of directors at
         5mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2019-2021
do not guarantee that these companies make environmental disclosures. The hypothesis which states
         4that the size of the board of directors has a positive effect on environmental
         disclosure is not supported by
the data generated in the testing of this study. This is caused by the bigger the size of the board of director
then its getting harder to perform the good coordination among them. The more members on the board of
directors may generate a potential conflic in term of decision making, especialy that related to the
environtmental disclosure activities. It shows that the bigger the size of the board of directors will result to
ineffectiveness of coordination, communication, decicion making and control form the CEO. On the contrary
the smaller the size of the board of directors will result ot positif impact of participation in doing monitoring
function toward environtmental disclosure information. This
         4result is not in line with the legitimacy theory, where the number of board of
directors in mining companies is less effective in monitoring and protecting the amount of environmental
disclosure information. Consequently, cannot improve the image and recognition from the public.
         3The findings of this study are in line with
&
         2Velte (2016); Fuente et al., (2017
Djajadikerta (2016); Welbeck (2017)
         3that the size of the board of directors has a positive effect on the level of
         environmental disclosure. The environmental certification variable has a significant
         positive effect on
         9environmental disclosure. Mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock
         Exchange in
Meutia (2016), that environmental certification does not affect environmental disclosure. It means that
companies with environmental certifications do not always make extensive environmental disclosures, so
there is no difference in the level of environmental disclosure issued by companies that have environmental
certifications with companies that do not have environmental certifications. Firm size,
         11the control variable, has a significant positive effect on
         9environmental disclosure. Mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock
         Exchange in
Eboigbe (2017) asserted that large companies require more outstanding external financing. Additionally, to
boost investor confidence, these companies increase the amount of voluntary disclosure of information.
         3The results of this study are in line with research by Adriana & Uswati Dewi
         (2019
Meutia (2016) found that company size does not affect environmental disclosure. It indicates that the firm
size does not determine the high or low environmental disclosure because the company views the
environmental disclosure policy as advantageous. Faizah and Ediraras (2021) believed that firm value no
effected by economic, environmental, and social performance. CONCLUSION Test results gained from 36
         5mining companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange within 2019-2021
with 108 annual reports show that board of directors have an insignificant negative effect on environmental
disclosure. On the other hand, environmental certification and firm size significantly and positively
         9affect environmental disclosure. The implications of this
Brodhag, C. 2017. Detecting Unsustainable Pressures Exerted on Biodiversity by a Company. Application to
the food portfolio of a retailer. Journal of Cleaner Production, 166, 784–797.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.057 Environmental Disclosure Information... 149 150
         7Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer Volume 14, No. 2, Oktober 2022, Page.
         148-156 Indriastuti, et al
. Environmental Disclosure Information... 151 152
         7Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer Volume 14, No. 2, Oktober 2022, Page.
         148-156 Indriastuti, et al
```

. Environmental Disclosure Information... 153 154

148-156 Indriastuti, et al

Oktober 2022, Page. 148-156 Indriastuti, et al.

7Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer Volume 14, No. 2, Oktober 2022, Page.

. Environmental Disclosure Information... 155 156 Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Kontemporer Volume 14, No. 2,

Turnitin Originality Report

From Quick Submit (Quick Submit)

Processed on 04-Jun-2023 12:30 WIB

by Na2 Na2

DUPAK_NA2.pdf

turn**it**in