

Bukti Korespondensi Artikel Jurnal

AIMS Mathematics

Published :

Sebagai Syarat Khusus Usulan Lektor Kepala (dari Asisten Ahli)

Nama : Nikken Prima Puspita

No	Tanggal	Aktifitas Korespondensi*
1	7 Juni 2022	Proses Submission dan masuk proses review
2	7 Juli 2022	Hasil Revisi dikirimkan
3	2 Agustus 2022	Paper Diterima oleh Editorial Team
4	23 Agustus 2022	Paper Published

*Secara rinci bukti korespondensi terlampir sebagai berikut:

Lampiran 1 : Proses Submit

Lampiran 2 : Paper awal yang disubmit

Lampiran 3 : Saran Perbaikan dari Reviewer (with accepted decision)

Lampiran 4 : Paper Revisi terakhir

Lampiran 5 : Paper Hasil Layout dari AIMS Mathematics

Lampiran 6 : Tampilan/Daftar isi AIMS Vol. 7 No. 10 (2022)

Lampiran 7 : Bukti bahwa AIMS ter-Index By Scopus

Lampiran 1 : Proses Submit

Manuscript Status

Journal	Manuscript ID	Special Issue	Title	Status	Submission Date
Math	Math-4112		Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules	Website online	2022-06-07 04:51:01

© Powered by JAMS, an MDPI publishing platform.

Manuscript ID **Math-4112**

Manuscript Status Website online

Title Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules

Journal AIMS Mathematics

Type Research article

License n/a

Abstract Let SR_S be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity and SBS be an SR_S -bialgebra. A clean comodule is a generalization and also a dualization of a clean module. An SR_S -module SMS is called a clean module if the endomorphisms ring of SMS over SR_S (denoted by $S\text{End}_{(R)}(M_S)$) is clean. Thus, any element of $S\text{End}_{(R)}(M_S)$ can be expressed as a sum of a unit and an idempotent element of $S\text{End}_{(R)}(M_S)$. Moreover, for any right SCS -comodule SMS , the endomorphisms of SCS -comodule SMS denoted by $S\text{End}^{(C)}(M_S)$ is a subring of $S\text{End}_{(R)}(M_S)$. We call a SCS -comodule SMS is a clean comodule if $S\text{End}^{(C)}(M_S)$ is a clean ring. A Hopf module SMS over SBS is a SBS -module and a SBS -comodule that satisfies the compatible conditions. This paper brings the notions of clean of ring, clean module, clean coalgebra, and clean comodule to Hopf Module. We divide our discussion into two parts, i.e., clean and bi-clean Hopf modules. A SBS -Hopf module SMS is said to be clean if the endomorphisms ring of SMS is clean, and SMS is a bi-clean Hopf module if SMS is clean as a module over SBS and also clean as a comodule over SBS . Moreover, we give sufficient conditions of (bi)-clean bialgebras and Hopf modules related to the cleanness concept of modules and comodules.

Authors Nikken Puslita *, Indah Wijayanti
Author Emails nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id, ind_wijayanti@ugm.ac.id
Submission Date 07 June 2022
Date of last revision request 31 July 2022

Files

Manuscript	manuscript v6.rar
Manuscript PDF	manuscript v6.pdf
Coverletter	coverletter v1.docx

Funding

Funding Information This paper was supported by the Post-Doctoral Research Grant of The Directorate of Research and Development Reputation Team to World Class University-Quality Assurance Office of Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia: No. 6144/UN1.P.III/DIT-LIT/PT/2021

© Powered by JAMS, an MDPI publishing platform.

Feedback

https://outlook.office.com/mail/id/AAQkAGZjYmUxMGNjLWJhMTYtNGVhNi04YWQ1LWFlMGE3YzRlNjI4MAAQAD%2B%28reYiFOtbG%...
Semua folder < ams

Beranda Lihat Bantuan
Email baru

Favorit
Kotak Masuk 787
Item Terkirim
Konsep 9
Folder
Kotak Masuk 787
Konsep 9
Item Terkirim
Item Dihapus 52
Email Sampah 10
Arsip
Catatan

Hasil Filter
Dear Nikken Prima Pus... Kotak Masuk
F-Article Text, 1... +1
Gustina Eflyanti [jims] Editor Decision 07/06/2022
Dear Nikken Prima Pus... Kotak Masuk
F-Article Text, 1... +1
math@aimspress.org Submission - Manuscri... 07/06/2022
Dear Dr. Puspita, Than... Kotak Masuk
Indah Emilia Wijayanti Invitation for ICFAS2022 31/03/2022
Yth. Bapak dan Ibu, ber... Kotak Masuk
Nikken Prima Puspita REVIEWER RESULT 14/03/2022
Dear The Organizing C... Item Terkirim

Submission - Manuscript Uploaded
math@aimspress.org
Kepada: Nikken Prima Puspita
Cc: Nikken Prima Puspita; ind_wijayanti
Sel 07/06/2022 02:51
Dear Dr. Puspita,
Thank you very much for uploading the following manuscript to the submission and editorial system for AIMS Press at www.aimspress.com.
Manuscript ID: Math-4112
Type of manuscript: Research article
Title: Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules
Authors: Nikken Puspita *, Indah Wijayanti
Received: 7 June 2022
E-mails: nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id, ind_wijayanti@ugm.ac.id
https://aimspress.jams.pub/user/manuscripts/review_info/eb8e2a5404af448fca1b1797fb4b9a8b
One of our editors will be in touch with you soon.

Type here to search 25°C Berawan 07:18 12/04/2023



Type of article

Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules

Nikken Prima Puspita^{1*} and Indah Emilia Wijayanti²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof Jacob Rais, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia, 50275

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 55128

* **Correspondence:** nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity, C a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra, B an R -bialgebra. A clean comodule is a generalization and dualization of a clean module. An R -module M is called a clean module if the endomorphism ring of M over R (denoted by $End_R(M)$) is clean. Thus, any element of $End_R(M)$ can be expressed as a sum of a unit and an idempotent element of $End_R(M)$. Moreover, for a right C -comodule M , the endomorphism set of C -comodule M denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$. A C -comodule M is a clean comodule if the $End^C(M)$ is a clean ring. A Hopf module M over B is a B -module and a B -comodule that satisfies the compatible conditions. This paper considers the notions of a clean ring, clean module, clean coalgebra, and clean comodule in relation to the Hopf Module. We divide our discussion into two parts, i.e., clean and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of M is clean, and M is a bi-clean Hopf module if M is clean as a module over B and also clean as a comodule over B . Moreover, we give sufficient conditions of (bi)-clean bialgebras and Hopf modules related to the cleanness concept of modules and comodules.

Keywords: (clean ring; clean module, clean comodule; clean coalgebra; clean Hopf module; bi-clean Hopf module)

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16T15, 16D10

1. Introduction

Throughout, R is a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. In 1977, W.K. Nicholson introduced the notion of a clean ring. The ring R is clean if every element of R can be expressed as the sum of a unit and an idempotent element [1]. Based on the isomorphism properties of R , R is clean if and only if the ring $End_R(R)$ is clean. Some authors study clean modules by using the cleanness of their endomorphisms. An R -module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring $End_R(M)$ is a clean ring

(see [2, 3]).

Sweedler introduces coalgebras over a field as the dualization of algebras over a field [4]. Furthermore, the ground field has been generalized to a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. The readers are suggested to refer to [5] for more detailed basic notions of coalgebra and comodule over a commutative ring. For any comodule M over a coalgebra C , the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$ over addition and composition functions. It is interesting since a subring of a clean ring is not need to be clean. Based on this fact and some results in module theory, Puspita et al. [6, 7] introduced the notion of clean comodule over a coalgebra.

Let (M, ϱ^M) be a right comodule over a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . The right C -comodule M is clean if the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is clean. By taking $M = C$, the clean R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) is defined by the fact that C is a comodule over itself with left and right coaction Δ . The R -coalgebra C is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of $End^C(C)$ is clean.

Now, we consider coalgebras with an algebraic structure. The Algebras with compatible coalgebras are known as bialgebra. A Hopf module over a bialgebra is a module over an algebra structure, and also, it is a comodule over a coalgebra structure. Both of these structures are compatible. The study of bialgebras and Hopf modules from the point of view of ring and module theory, for example, can be referred to [5, 8].

This paper divides the notion of clean in Hopf modules into two parts, i.e., clean Hopf modules and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be bi-clean if M is clean as a module and it is also clean as a comodule over B . It means both of the endomorphisms of B -module M (or $End_B(M)$) and the endomorphisms of B -comodule (or $End^B(M)$) are clean rings. On the other hand, in [5], the endomorphisms of B -Hopf module is the intersection of $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ i.e., $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A B -Hopf module M is a clean B -Hopf module if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

As we have already known from ring theory, if $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean, it does not imply $End_B^B(M)$ is clean. If $End_B^B(M)$ is clean, we also can not conclude that $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean. So the relationship of cleanness on $End_B(M)$, $End^B(M)$ and $End_B^B(M)$ are interesting to be observed.

The relationship for some clean algebra structures is clear for the trivial case. Any ring R with multiplication μ is an R -coalgebra by trivial comultiplication $\Delta_T : R \otimes_R R \rightarrow R, r \mapsto r \otimes 1$ and counit $\varepsilon_T = I_R$. Here, the R -algebra (R, μ, ι) and R -coalgebra $(R, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ satisfy the compatible properties on [5] such that R is a bialgebra over itself. Throughout, $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ is said to be the trivial R -bialgebra R .

Moreover, for any R -module M and the trivial R -bialgebra R , we can define an R -coaction $\varrho_T^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R, m \mapsto m \otimes 1$ such that for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R, \varrho_T^M(mr) = \varrho_T^M(m)\Delta_T(r)$. Consequently, any R -module M is a trivial R -Hopf module. Here, M is a clean R -module if and only if M is a clean R -comodule. Moreover, the trivial R -comodule M is clean if and only if M is a (bi)-clean Hopf module over R .

In general conditions, the perfect relationships on the trivial R -Hopf module $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ does not imply that every ring R is clean if and only if R is a clean Hopf module. For example, although \mathbb{Z}_4 is a clean ring (clean module over itself), by changing the trivial comultiplication with $n \mapsto n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, it shows that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not a clean coalgebra (comodule over itself). Thus, \mathbb{Z}_4 is not automatically a bi-clean bialgebra or clean Hopf module over itself. The transfer of clean properties among rings, modules, coalgebras, and comodules is not obvious. It motivates us to investigate clean

Hopf modules and bialgebras and their relation to clean modules and clean comodules. In the category of modules, we have some examples of the clean module, which are also clean as a comodule and satisfy the compatible axioms of a Hopf module. This work connects clean modules and comodules, which brings us to some properties on clean Hopf modules and clean bialgebras.

2. Some Basic Notions

In this section, we give some basic notions of Hopf modules before we study the properties of clean Hopf modules. To understand the R -bialgebra and a Hopf module, we need to study coalgebra, and comodule structures [5].

Definition 2.1. An R -module B that is an algebra (B, μ, ι) and a coalgebra (B, Δ, ε) is called a bialgebra if Δ and ε are algebra morphisms or, equivalently, μ and ι are coalgebra morphisms.

An R -linear map $f : B \rightarrow B_0$ of bialgebras is called a bialgebra morphism if f is both an algebra and a coalgebra morphism. An R -submodule $I \subseteq B$ is a sub-bialgebra if it is a subalgebra as well as a subcoalgebra. Moreover, I is a bi-ideal if it is both an ideal and a coideal.

Since an R -bialgebra B has both a coalgebra and an algebra structure, R -coalgebra B must be compatible with the algebra structure of B . One can require compatibility conditions for corresponding modules and comodules. Throughout, B is an R -bialgebra with product μ , coproduct Δ , unit map ι and counit ε .

Definition 2.2. An R -module M is called a right B -Hopf module if M is

1. a right B -module with an action $\varrho_M : M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M$,
2. a right B -comodule with a coaction $\varrho^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R B$,
3. for all $m \in M, b \in B, \varrho^M(mb) = \varrho^M(m)\Delta(b)$.

In Definition 2.2, it is essential to see that when B is a Hopf algebra over a field, then any Hopf B -modules are a free module over B [4, 9]. It is importantly related to the property of cleanness on free modules.

Let B be an R -bialgebra, M and N B -Hopf modules. An R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a B -Hopf module morphism if it is both a right B -module and a right B -comodule morphism. We denote the set of B -module homomorphisms, B -comodule morphisms, and B -Hopf module homomorphisms from M to N as $Hom_B(M, N)$, $Hom^B(M, N)$ and $Hom_B^B(M, N)$, respectively where $Hom_B^B(M, N) = Hom_B(M, N) \cap Hom^B(M, N)$. In case $M = N$ we have $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$.

For an R -bialgebra B , the dual $B^* = Hom_R(B, R)$ also has a natural (B^*, B^*) -bimodule structure with the following right and left scalar multiplication [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightharpoonup : B \otimes_R B^* &\rightarrow B^*, & b \otimes f &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(cb)], \\ \leftharpoonup : B^* \otimes_R B &\rightarrow B^*, & f \otimes b &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(bc)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in B$ and $f, g \in B^*$

$$a \rightharpoonup (f * g) = \sum (a_1 \rightharpoonup f) * (a_2 \rightharpoonup g).$$

Thus, a right B -Hopf module M is a left B^* -module. The clean Hopf module and bi-clean Hopf module come from the module's and comodule's clean properties. In the main result, we will focus our investigations on the compatible condition of the cleanness of modules and comodules and the cleanness of algebras and bialgebras.

3. Results

We start our result by defining clean and bi-clean Hopf modules.

Definition 3.1. Let B be an R -bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is bi-clean if both $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean.

In [5], the set of endomorphism of B -Hopf module M are denoted by $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A clean B -Hopf module is defined as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let B be a bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is clean if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

Since $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$ and for any clean rings does not imply its subring being clean, we have that the bi-cleanness and cleanness of Hopf modules are independent. A clean and a bi-clean R -bialgebra is a special case of a clean and bi-clean Hopf module in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2, when $B = M$ (or B consider as a Hopf module over itself).

In [5], for an R -module K and R -bialgebra B , we can construct a right B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$ with the canonical structure, $I_K \otimes \Delta : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B$ and $I_K \otimes \mu : (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we give a relationship of the clean property of the R -module K , bialgebra B , and B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$.

Lemma 3.3. Let B be an R -bialgebra and K a clean R -module. If $f \in End_R(K)$, then the endomorphism of B -Hopf module $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a clean element of the $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$.

Proof. Suppose that K is a clean R -module, $f \in End_R(K)$, and B is an R -bialgebra. By [5], clearly that $K \otimes_R B$ is a right B -Hopf module and for an R -module endomorphism $f : K \rightarrow K$, $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a B -Hopf module endomorphism or $f \otimes I_B \in End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we prove that $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean B -Hopf module morphism.

1. Since K is a clean R -module, $f = u + e$ for an idempotent e and a unit u in $End_R(K)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes I_B &= (u + e) \otimes I_B \\ &= u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the identity map I_B is a unit and also it is an idempotent of $End_R(B)$. Therefore, $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Consequently, $f \otimes I_B$ can be expressed as a sum of an idempotent and a unit element of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Thus, $f \in End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ is a clean element in \mathbf{M}_R .

2. We need prove f is a clean endomorphism of B Hopf module. Here, we need to make sure that $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. Based on [5] (page 135), since $u, e \in End_R(K)$ and B is an R -bialgebra, we have $u \otimes I_B$ and $e \otimes I_B$ are B -Hopf module morphisms. Analogue, we obtain $f \otimes I_B = u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$.

It means, if K is a clean R -module, then for any $f \in End_R(K)$, $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean element of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. \square

As a corollary, if $K = R$ is a clean ring (or R -module R), then the identity map of R -bialgebra B is a clean element of the endomorphism of R -bialgebra B . The simple result above brings us on some special cases related to the clean modules and clean comodules.

In ring theory, if R is a clean ring, then the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices over R (denoted by $M_n(R)$) is also a clean ring [10]. In [5], The ring $M_n(R)$ is an R -coalgebra with a comultiplication and counit as below:

$$\Delta : M_n(R) \rightarrow M_n(R) \otimes_R M_n(R), e_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e_{i,k} \otimes e_{kj}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\varepsilon : M_n(R) \rightarrow R, e_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and equal to zero if $i \neq j$. Throughout, the R -coalgebra $M_n(R)$ with the comultiplication (3.1) and the counit (3.2) is denoted by $M_n^C(R)$. In [7], we also have if R is a clean ring, then R -coalgebra $M_n^C(R)$ is clean. The following proposition gives a bi-clean R -bialgebra from the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices over R .

Proposition 3.4. *If R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that if R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a clean ring and also clean as an R -coalgebra ([10] and [7]). For this proposition, we only need to check that $M_n^C(R)$ is an R -bialgebra. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the set of canonical basis of $n \times n$ -matrices over R . Consider the R -algebra $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota)$ and the R -coalgebra $(M_n^C(R), \Delta, \varepsilon)$. See the commutative diagram on [5] page 130 and put $e_{ij}, e_{kl} \in M_n^C(R)$ where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1. For $j = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) &= \Delta(e_{il}) \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{al} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \left(\left(\sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{aj} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_b e_{kb} \otimes e_{bl} \right) \right) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} + e_{i2} \otimes e_{2j} + \dots + e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}) \\ &\quad \otimes (e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k} + e_{l2} \otimes e_{2k} + \dots + e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots \\ &\quad (e_{in} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots (e_{in} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= \mu(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1}) \otimes \mu(e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots + \mu(e_{in} \otimes e_{ln}) \otimes \mu(e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= e_{i1}e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j}e_{1k} + \dots + e_{in}e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj}e_{nk} \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}. \end{aligned}$$

In general case, for any k and j , the equation $\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}$ means :

$$\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak} = \begin{cases} \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{ak}, & a=1=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{ka}, & k=a=l=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{kl}, & k=j; \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

2. For $j \neq k$, we have $\Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) = \Delta(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})\left(\left(\sum_n e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}\right) \otimes \left(\sum_m e_{km} \otimes e_{ml}\right)\right) \\
&= 0, \text{ since } k \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

From Point 1 and 2, we have $\Delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$.

For the second step, prove that $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$. Here, the unit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\iota : R \rightarrow M_n^C(R)$, $1 \mapsto I_n$ such that for any $r \in R$, $\iota(r) = rI_n$ and the counit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\varepsilon : M_n^C(R) \rightarrow R$ where $e_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & i=j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$ Thus, for any $r \in R$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \circ \iota(r) &= \Delta(rI_n) \\
&= r(\Delta(I_n)) \\
&= r\left(\Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii}\right)\right) \\
&= r\left(\sum_k e_{1k} \otimes e_{k1} + \sum_k e_{2k} \otimes e_{k2} + \dots + \sum_k e_{nk} \otimes e_{kn}\right) \\
&\simeq r(e_{11} + e_{22} + \dots + e_{nn}) \\
&= r(I_n) \\
&= rI_n
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")(r) &= (\iota \otimes \iota)(r \otimes 1) \\
&= \iota(r) \otimes \iota(1) \\
&= rI_n \otimes I_n \\
&= r(I_n \otimes I_n) \\
&= rI_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$, ι is an R -coalgebra morphism. Thus, $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

Let G be an abelian group. For the next result, we take the R -coalgebra $R[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta : R[G] \rightarrow R[G] \otimes R[G]$, $g \mapsto g \otimes g$. Hence, $R[G]$ is an R -bialgebra (see on [5] page 130). We have the following result :

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a torsion group. If R is an Artinian principal ideal and a Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that $R[G] = \{\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in R\}$ is an R -bialgebra and also $R[G]$ is also an R -Hopf algebra. In [11], $R[G]$ is a clean ring if R is a Boolean ring and G is torsion where $\text{End}_{R[G]}(R[G]) \simeq R[G]$ is a clean ring. For its dual, in [6], we have any G -graded module M over R is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule. Take $M = R[G]$ and consider $R[G]$ as a G -graded module over R . Since R Artinian principal ideal, $R[G]$ is a clean G -graded module over R , then $R[G]$ is a clean comodule over itself or $R[G]$ a clean. Therefore, if R is an Artinian principal ideal and Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

In Proposition 3.5, since R is Artinian and Boolean, then every element of R is idempotent. It implies R is semi-simple with no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, R is a finite direct of some division rings, and the only Boolean division ring is the field with two elements. Thus, R is a finite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Functor F from the category of G -graded R -module to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule is equivalence. Thus, a G -graded R -module M is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule [6]. We will observe the cleanness for $R[G]$ -Hopf module M .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $R[G]$ be an Artinian principal ideal and M a G -graded module over R . If M is a Hopf $R[G]$ -modules, then M is a bi-clean Hopf $R[G]$ -module.*

Proof. Let M be a G -graded module over R . Since the category of G -graded module isomorphic to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule, M is a $R[G]$ -comodule with the following conditions:

1. From [6], $\text{End}_R(M) \simeq \text{End}^{R[G]}(M)$ is a clean ring since M is clean as a G -graded module over R .
2. As a module over $R[G]$, $\text{End}_{R[G]}(M)$ is clean since $R[G]$ is an Artinian principal ideal [2].

Points 1 and 2 imply M is a clean $R[G]$ -Hopf module. \square

We have already known from some previous results that the direct product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ is clean if and only if R_λ is clean for any λ . Analog to the family of R -coalgebra $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we have a similar property. We are going to bring these concepts for the direct sum of bialgebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{(B_\lambda, \mu_\lambda, \iota_\lambda, \Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of R -bialgebras and $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ the direct sums of the family of R -bialgebra $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then, B is clean if and only if B_λ is clean for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ be an R -bialgebra. Thus B is an R -algebra and B is an R -colagebra.

1. From [13], we have $\text{End}^B(B)$ is clean if and only if $\text{End}^{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ (as a comodule over itself) is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
2. Futhermore, [14] proved that B is a clean algebra if and only if $B_\lambda \simeq \text{End}_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $B_\lambda \simeq \text{End}_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ implies that $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ is clean.

Consequently, B is a clean R -bialgebra if and only if B_λ is clean R -algebra for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. \square

4. Conclusions

Bi-cleanness and cleanness on the Hopf modules category can be considered as generalizations of the clean module. This concept is motivated based on the fact that not any comodules are clean as a module, and not every clean module is a clean comodule. Therefore, we divided our result into bi-clean Hopf modules and clean Hopf modules using the property of their endomorphism as a module over a ring and as a comodule over coalgebra.

Acknowledgments (All sources of funding of the study must be disclosed)

This paper was supported by the Post-Doctoral Research Grant of The Directorate of Research and Development Reputation Team to World Class University-Quality Assurance Office of Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia No. 6144/UN1.P.III/DIT-LIT/PT/2021.

References

1. W.K. Nicholson, Lifting Idempotents and Exchange Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229**(1977) 269–278.
2. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, Continuous Modules are Clean, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006) 94–111.
3. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, A Short Proof that Continuous Modules are Clean, *Contemporary Ring Theory 2011, Proceedings of the Sixth China-Japan-Korea International Conference on Ring Theory* (2012) 165–169.
4. M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebra, Mathematics Lecture Note Series*, New York : W.A. Benjamins, Inc., 1969.
5. T. Brzeziński, R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, United Kingdom : Cambridge University Press, 2003.
6. N.P. Puspita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Graded Modules as a Clean Comodule, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **2**(6) (2020) 66–73.
7. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Clean Coalgebra and Clean Comodules from Finitely Generated Projective Modules, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Journal* **31**(2) (2021).
8. D. E. Radford, *Series on Knots and everything Vol 49, Hopf Algebras*, USA : World Scientific, 2012.
9. S. Montgomery, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics : Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings Number 82*, American Mathematical Society, 1993.
10. J. Han, W.K. Nicholson, Extension of Clean Rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2589–2595.
11. W. Wm. McGovern, Characterization of commutative clean rings, *Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **15** (2006) 403–413.
12. C. Natesescu, F. van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, DE, 2004.

-
13. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Some Properties of Clean R -Coalgebra, *AIP Conference Proceeding of the 4th IndoMS International Conference of Mathematics and Its Application*, 2019.
 14. D.D. Anderson, V.P. Camillo, Commutative Rings Whose Element are a sum of a Unit and Idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002) 3327–3336.



©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)



Type of article

Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules

Nikken Prima Puspita^{1*} and Indah Emilia Wijayanti²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof Jacob Rais, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia, 50275

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 55128

* Correspondence: nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity, C a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra, B an R -bialgebra. A clean comodule is a generalization and dualization of a clean module. An R -module M is called a clean module if the endomorphism ring of M over R (denoted by $End_R(M)$) is clean. Thus, any element of $End_R(M)$ can be expressed as a sum of a unit and an idempotent element of $End_R(M)$. Moreover, for a right C -comodule M , the endomorphism set of C -comodule M denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$. A C -comodule M is a clean comodule if the $End^C(M)$ is a clean ring. A Hopf module M over B is a B -module and a B -comodule that satisfies the compatible conditions. This paper considers the notions of a clean ring, clean module, clean coalgebra, and clean comodule in relation to the Hopf Module. We divide our discussion into two parts, i.e., clean and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of M is clean, and M is a bi-clean Hopf module if M is clean as a module over B and also clean as a comodule over B . Moreover, we give sufficient conditions of (bi)-clean bialgebras and Hopf modules related to the cleanness concept of modules and comodules.

Keywords: (clean ring; clean module, clean comodule; clean coalgebra; clean Hopf module; bi-clean Hopf module)

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16T15, 16D10

1. Introduction

Throughout, R is a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. In 1977, W.K. Nicholson introduced the notion of a clean ring. The ring R is clean if every element of R can be expressed as the sum of a unit and an idempotent element [1]. Based on the isomorphism properties of R , R is clean if and only if the ring $End_R(R)$ is clean. Some authors study clean modules by using the cleanness of their endomorphisms. An R -module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring $End_R(M)$ is a clean ring

(see [2, 3]).

Sweedler introduces coalgebras over a field as the dualization of algebras over a field [4]. Furthermore, the ground field has been generalized to a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. The readers are suggested to refer to [5] for more detailed basic notions of coalgebra and comodule over a commutative ring. For any comodule M over a coalgebra C , the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$ over addition and composition functions. It is interesting since a subring of a clean ring is not need to be clean. Based on this fact and some results in module theory, Puspita et al. [6, 7] introduced the notion of clean comodule over a coalgebra.

Let (M, ϱ^M) be a right comodule over a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . The right C -comodule M is clean if the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is clean. By taking $M = C$, the clean R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) is defined by the fact that C is a comodule over itself with left and right coaction Δ . The R -coalgebra C is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of $End^C(C)$ is clean.

Now, we consider coalgebras with an algebraic structure. The Algebras with compatible coalgebras are known as bialgebra. A Hopf module over a bialgebra is a module over an algebra structure, and also, it is a comodule over a coalgebra structure. Both of these structures are compatible. The study of bialgebras and Hopf modules from the point of view of ring and module theory, for example, can be referred to [5, 8].

This paper divides the notion of clean in Hopf modules into two parts, i.e., clean Hopf modules and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be bi-clean if M is clean as a module and it is also clean as a comodule over B . It means both of the endomorphisms of B -module M (or $End_B(M)$) and the endomorphisms of B -comodule (or $End^B(M)$) are clean rings. On the other hand, in [5], the endomorphisms of B -Hopf module is the intersection of $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ i.e., $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A B -Hopf module M is a clean B -Hopf module if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

As we have already known from ring theory, if $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean, it does not imply $End_B^B(M)$ is clean. If $End_B^B(M)$ is clean, we also can not conclude that $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean. So the relationship of cleanness on $End_B(M)$, $End^B(M)$ and $End_B^B(M)$ are interesting to be observed.

The relationship for some clean algebra structures is clear for the trivial case. Any ring R with multiplication μ is an R -coalgebra by trivial comultiplication $\Delta_T : R \otimes_R R \rightarrow R, r \mapsto r \otimes 1$ and counit $\varepsilon_T = I_R$. Here, the R -algebra (R, μ, ι) and R -coalgebra $(R, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ satisfy the compatible properties on [5] such that R is a bialgebra over itself. Throughout, $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ is said to be the trivial R -bialgebra R .

Moreover, for any R -module M and the trivial R -bialgebra R , we can define an R -coaction $\varrho_T^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R, m \mapsto m \otimes 1$ such that for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R, \varrho_T^M(mr) = \varrho^M(m)\Delta_T(r)$. Consequently, any R -module M is a trivial R -Hopf module. Here, M is a clean R -module if and only if M is a clean R -comodule. Moreover, the trivial R -comodule M is clean if and only if M is a (bi)-clean Hopf module over R .

In general conditions, the perfect relationships on the trivial R -Hopf module $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ does not imply that every ring R is clean if and only if R is a clean Hopf module. For example, although \mathbb{Z}_4 is a clean ring (clean module over itself), by changing the trivial comultiplication with $n \mapsto n \otimes 1 + \nu \otimes n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, it shows that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not a clean coalgebra (comodule over itself). Thus, \mathbb{Z}_4 is not automatically a bi-clean bialgebra or clean Hopf module over itself. The transfer of clean properties among rings, modules, coalgebras, and comodules is not obvious. It motivates us to investigate clean

Hopf modules and bialgebras and their relation to clean modules and clean comodules. In the category of modules, we have some examples of the clean module, which are also clean as a comodule and satisfy the compatible axioms of a Hopf module. This work connects clean modules and comodules, which brings us to some properties on clean Hopf modules and clean bialgebras.

2. Some Basic Notions

In this section, we give some basic notions of Hopf modules before we study the properties of clean Hopf modules. To understand the R -bialgebra and a Hopf module, we need to study coalgebra, and comodule structures [5].

Definition 2.1. An R -module B that is an algebra (B, μ, ι) and a coalgebra (B, Δ, ε) is called a bialgebra if Δ and ε are algebra morphisms or, equivalently, μ and ι are coalgebra morphisms.

An R -linear map $f : B \rightarrow B_0$ of bialgebras is called a bialgebra morphism if f is both an algebra and a coalgebra morphism. An R -submodule $I \subseteq B$ is a sub-bialgebra if it is a subalgebra as well as a subcoalgebra. Moreover, I is a bi-ideal if it is both an ideal and a coideal.

Since an R -bialgebra B has both a coalgebra and an algebra structure, R -coalgebra B must be compatible with the algebra structure of B . One can require compatibility conditions for corresponding modules and comodules. Throughout, B is an R -bialgebra with product μ , coproduct Δ , unit map ι and counit ε .

Definition 2.2. An R -module M is called a right B -Hopf module if M is

1. a right B -module with an action $\varrho_M : M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M$,
2. a right B -comodule with a coaction $\varrho^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R B$,
3. for all $m \in M, b \in B$, $\varrho^M(mb) = \varrho^M(m)\Delta(b)$.

In Definition 2.2, it is essential to see that when B is a Hopf algebra over a field, then any Hopf B -modules are a free module over B [4, 9]. It is importantly related to the property of cleanness on free modules.

Let B be an R -bialgebra, M and N B -Hopf modules. An R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a B -Hopf module morphism if it is both a right B -module and a right B -comodule morphism. We denote the set of B -module homomorphisms, B -comodule morphisms, and B -Hopf module homomorphisms from M to N as $Hom_B(M, N)$, $Hom^B(M, N)$ and $Hom_B^B(M, N)$, respectively where $Hom_B^B(M, N) = Hom_B(M, N) \cap Hom^B(M, N)$. In case $M = N$ we have $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$.

For an R -bialgebra B , the dual $B^* = Hom_R(B, R)$ also has a natural (B^*, B^*) -bimodule structure with the following right and left scalar multiplication [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow : B \otimes_R B^* &\rightarrow B^*, & b \otimes f &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(cb)], \\ \leftarrow : B^* \otimes_R B &\rightarrow B^*, & f \otimes b &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(bc)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in B$ and $f, g \in B^*$

$$a \rightarrow (f * g) = \sum (a_1 \rightarrow f) * (a_2 \rightarrow g).$$

Thus, a right B -Hopf module M is a left B^* -module. The clean Hopf module and bi-clean Hopf module come from the module's and comodule's clean properties. In the main result, we will focus our investigations on the compatible condition of the cleanness of modules and comodules and the cleanness of algebras and bialgebras.

3. Results

We start our result by defining clean and bi-clean Hopf modules.

Definition 3.1. Let B be an R -bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is bi-clean if both $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean.

In [5], the set of endomorphism of B -Hopf module M are denoted by $End^B_B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A clean B -Hopf module is defined as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let B be a bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is clean if $End^B_B(M)$ is clean.

Since $End^B_B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$ and for any clean rings does not imply its subring being clean, we have that the bi-cleanness and cleanness of Hopf modules are independent. A clean and a bi-clean R -bialgebra is a special case of a clean and bi-clean Hopf module in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2, when $B = M$ (or B consider as a Hopf module over itself).

In [5], for an R -module K and R -bialgebra B , we can construct a right B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$ with the canonical structure, $I_K \otimes \Delta : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B$ and $I_K \otimes \mu : (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we give a relationship of the clean property of the R -module K , bialgebra B , and B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$.

Lemma 3.3. Let B be an R -bialgebra and K a clean R -module. If $f \in End_R(K)$, then the endomorphism of B -Hopf module $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a clean element of the $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$.

Proof. Suppose that K is a clean R -module, $f \in End_R(K)$, and B is an R -bialgebra. By [5], clearly that $K \otimes_R B$ is a right B -Hopf module and for an R -module endomorphism $f : K \rightarrow K$, $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a B -Hopf module endomorphism or $f \otimes I_B \in End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we prove that $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean B -Hopf module morphism.

1. Since K is a clean R -module, $f = u + e$ for an idempotent e and a unit u in $End_R(K)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes I_B &= (u + e) \otimes I_B \\ &= u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the identity map I_B is a unit and also it is an idempotent of $End_R(B)$. Therefore, $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Consequently, $f \otimes I_B$ can be expressed as a sum of an idempotent and a unit element of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Thus, $f \in End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ is a clean element in \mathbf{M}_R .

2. We need prove f is a clean endomorphism of B Hopf module. Here, we need to make sure that $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. Based on [5] (page 135), since $u, e \in End_R(K)$ and B is an R -bialgebra, we have $u \otimes I_B$ and $e \otimes I_B$ are B -Hopf module morphisms. Analogue, we obtain $f \otimes I_B = u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$.

It means, if K is a clean R -module, then for any $f \in End_R(K)$, $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean element of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. \square

As a corollary, if $K = R$ is a clean ring (or R -module R), then the identity map of R -bialgebra B is a clean element of the endomorphism of R -bialgebra B . The simple result above brings us on some special cases related to the clean modules and clean comodules.

In ring theory, if R is a clean ring, then the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices over R (denoted by $M_n(R)$) is also a clean ring [10]. In [5], The ring $M_n(R)$ is an R -coalgebra with a comultiplication and counit as below:

$$\Delta : M_n(R) \rightarrow M_n(R) \otimes_R M_n(R), e_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e_{i,k} \otimes e_{kj}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\varepsilon : M_n(R) \rightarrow R, e_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and equal to zero if $i \neq j$. Throughout, the R -coalgebra $M_n(R)$ with the comultiplication (3.1) and the counit (3.2) is denoted by $M_n^C(R)$. In [7], we also have if R is a clean ring, then R -coalgebra $M_n^C(R)$ is clean. The following proposition gives a bi-clean R -bialgebra from the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices over R .

Proposition 3.4. *If R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that if R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a clean ring and also clean as an R -coalgebra ([10] and [7]). For this proposition, we only need to check that $M_n^C(R)$ is an R -bialgebra. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the set of canonical basis of $n \times n$ -matrices over R . Consider the R -algebra $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota)$ and the R -coalgebra $(M_n^C(R), \Delta, \varepsilon)$. See the commutative diagram on [5] page 130 and put $e_{ij}, e_{kl} \in M_n^C(R)$ where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1. For $j = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) &= \Delta(e_{il}) \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{al} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \left(\left(\sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{aj} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_b e_{kb} \otimes e_{bl} \right) \right) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} + e_{i2} \otimes e_{2j} + \dots + e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}) \\ &\quad \otimes (e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k} + e_{l2} \otimes e_{2k} + \dots + e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots \\ &\quad (e_{in} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots (e_{in} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= \mu(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1}) \otimes \mu(e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots + \mu(e_{in} \otimes e_{ln}) \otimes \mu(e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= e_{i1}e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j}e_{1k} + \dots + e_{in}e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj}e_{nk} \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}. \end{aligned}$$

In general case, for any k and j , the equation $\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}$ means :

$$\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak} = \begin{cases} \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{ak}, & a=1=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{ka}, & k=a=l=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{kl}, & k=j; \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

2. For $j \neq k$, we have $\Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) = \Delta(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\left(\sum_n e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}\right) \otimes \left(\sum_m e_{km} \otimes e_{ml}\right)) \\
&= 0, \text{ since } k \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

From Point 1 and 2, we have $\Delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$.

For the second step, prove that $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$. Here, the unit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\iota : R \rightarrow M_n^C(R)$, $1 \mapsto I_n$ such that for any $r \in R$, $\iota(r) = rI_n$ and the counit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\varepsilon : M_n^C(R) \rightarrow R$ where

$$e_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & i=j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad \text{Thus, for any } r \in R \text{ we have:}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \circ \iota(r) &= \Delta(rI_n) \\
&= r(\Delta(I_n)) \\
&= r(\Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii})) \\
&= r(\sum_k e_{1k} \otimes e_{k1} + \sum_k e_{2k} \otimes e_{k2} + \dots + \sum_k e_{nk} \otimes e_{kn}) \\
&\simeq r(e_{11} + e_{22} + \dots + e_{nn}) \\
&= r(I_n) \\
&= rI_n
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")(r) &= (\iota \otimes \iota)(r \otimes 1) \\
&= \iota(r) \otimes \iota(1) \\
&= rI_n \otimes I_n \\
&= r(I_n \otimes I_n) \\
&= rI_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$, ι is an R -coalgebra morphism. Thus, $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

Let G be an abelian group. For the next result, we take the R -coalgebra $R[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta : R[G] \rightarrow R[G] \otimes R[G]$, $g \mapsto g \otimes g$. Hence, $R[G]$ is an R -bialgebra (see on [5] page 130). We have the following result :

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a torsion group. If R is an Artinian principal ideal and a Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that $R[G] = \{\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \mid a_g \in R\}$ is an R -bialgebra and also $R[G]$ is also an R -Hopf algebra. In [11], $R[G]$ is a clean ring if R is a Boolean ring and G is torsion where $End_{R[G]}(R[G]) \simeq R[G]$ is a clean ring. For its dual, in [6], we have any G -graded module M over R is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule. Take $M = R[G]$ and consider $R[G]$ as a G -graded module over R . Since R Artinian principal ideal, $R[G]$ is a clean G -graded module over R , then $R[G]$ is a clean comodule over itself or $R[G]$ a clean. Therefore, if R is an Artinian principal ideal and Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

In Proposition 3.5, since R is Artinian and Boolean, then every element of R is idempotent. It implies R is semi-simple with no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, R is a finite direct of some division rings, and the only Boolean division ring is the field with two elements. Thus, R is a finite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Functor F from the category of G -graded R -module to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule is equivalence. Thus, a G -graded R -module M is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule [6]. We will observe the cleanness for $R[G]$ -Hopf module M .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $R[G]$ be an Artinian principal ideal and M a G -graded module over R . If M is a Hopf $R[G]$ -modules, then M is a bi-clean Hopf $R[G]$ -module.*

Proof. Let M be a G -graded module over R . Since the category of G -graded module isomorphic to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule, M is a $R[G]$ -comodule with the following conditions:

1. From [6], $End_R(M) \simeq End^{R[G]}(M)$ is a clean ring since M is clean as a G -graded module over R .
2. As a module over $R[G]$, $End_{R[G]}(M)$ is clean since $R[G]$ is an Artinian principal ideal [2].

Points 1 and 2 imply M is a clean $R[G]$ -Hopf module. \square

We have already known from some previous results that the direct product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ is clean if and only if R_λ is clean for any λ . Analog to the family of R -coalgebra $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we have a similar property. We are going to bring these concepts for the direct sum of bialgebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{(B_\lambda, \mu_\lambda, \iota_\lambda, \Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of R -bialgebras and $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ the direct sums of the family of R -bialgebra $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then, B is clean if and only if B_λ is clean for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ be an R -bialgebra. Thus B is an R -algebra and B is an R -colagebra.

1. From [13], we have $End^B(B)$ is clean if and only if $End^{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ (as a comodule over itself) is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
2. Futhermore, [14] proved that B is a clean algebra if and only if $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ implies that $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ is clean.

Consequently, B is a clean R -bialgebra if and only if B_λ is clean R -algebra for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. \square

4. Conclusions

Bi-cleanness and cleanness on the Hopf modules category can be considered as generalizations of the clean module. This concept is motivated based on the fact that not any comodules are clean as a module, and not every clean module is a clean comodule. Therefore, we divided our result into bi-clean Hopf modules and clean Hopf modules using the property of their endomorphism as a module over a ring and as a comodule over coalgebra.

Acknowledgments (All sources of funding of the study must be disclosed)

This paper was supported by the Post-Doctoral Research Grant of The Directorate of Research and Development Reputation Team to World Class University-Quality Assurance Office of Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia No. 6144/UN1.P.III/DIT-LIT/PT/2021.

References

1. W.K. Nicholson, Lifting Idempotents and Exchange Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229**(1977) 269–278.
2. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, Continuous Modules are Clean, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006) 94–111.
3. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, A Short Proof that Continuous Modules are Clean, *Contemporary Ring Theory 2011, Proceedings of the Sixth China-Japan-Korea International Conference on Ring Theory* (2012) 165–169.
4. M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebra, Mathematics Lecture Note Series*, New York : W.A. Benjamins, Inc., 1969.
5. T. Brzeziński, R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, United Kingdom : Cambridge University Press, 2003.
6. N.P. Puspita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Graded Modules as a Clean Comodule, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **2**(6) (2020) 66–73.
7. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Clean Coalgebra and Clean Comodules from Finitely Generated Projective Modules, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Journal* **31**(2) (2021).
8. D. E. Radford, *Series on Knots and everything Vol 49, Hopf Algebras*, USA : World Scientific, 2012.
9. S. Montgomery, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics : Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings Number 82*, American Mathematical Society, 1993.
10. J. Han, W.K. Nicholson, Extension of Clean Rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2589–2595.
11. W. Wm. McGovern, Characterization of commutative clean rings, *Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **15** (2006) 403–413.
12. C. Natesescu, F. van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, DE, 2004.

-
13. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Some Properties of Clean R -Coalgebra, *AIP Conference Proceeding of the 4th IndoMS International Conference of Mathematics and Its Application*, 2019.
 14. D.D. Anderson, V.P. Camillo, Commutative Rings Whose Element are a sum of a Unit and Idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002) 3327–3336.



©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)



Type of article

Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules

Nikken Prima Puspita^{1*} and Indah Emilia Wijayanti²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof. Jacub Rais, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia, 50275

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 55128

* **Correspondence:** nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity, C a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra, B an R -bialgebra. A clean comodule is a generalization and dualization of a clean module. An R -module M is called a clean module if the endomorphism ring of M over R (denoted by $End_R(M)$) is clean. Thus, any element of $End_R(M)$ can be expressed as a sum of a unit and an idempotent element of $End_R(M)$. Moreover, for a right C -comodule M , the endomorphism set of C -comodule M denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$. A C -comodule M is a clean comodule if the $End^C(M)$ is a clean ring. A Hopf module M over B is a B -module and a B -comodule that satisfies the compatible conditions. This paper considers the notions of a clean ring, clean module, clean coalgebra, and clean comodule in relation to the Hopf Module. We divide our discussion into two parts, i.e., clean and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of M is clean, and M is a bi-clean Hopf module if M is clean as a module over B and also clean as a comodule over B . Moreover, we give sufficient conditions of (bi)-clean bialgebras and Hopf modules related to the cleanness concept of modules and comodules.

Keywords: (clean ring; clean module, clean comodule; clean coalgebra; clean Hopf module; bi-clean Hopf module)

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16T15, 16D10

1. Introduction

Throughout, R is a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. In 1977, W.K. Nicholson introduced the notion of a clean ring. The ring R is clean if every element of R can be expressed as the sum of a unit and an idempotent element [1]. Based on the isomorphism properties of R , R is clean if and only if the ring $End_R(R)$ is clean. Some authors study clean modules by using the cleanness of their endomorphisms. An R -module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring $End_R(M)$ is a clean ring

(see [2, 3]).

Sweedler introduces coalgebras over a field as the dualization of algebras over a field [4]. Furthermore, the ground field has been generalized to a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. The readers are suggested to refer to [5] for more detailed basic notions of coalgebra and comodule over a commutative ring. For any comodule M over a coalgebra C , the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$ over addition and composition functions. It is interesting since a subring of a clean ring is not need to be clean. Based on this fact and some results in module theory, Puspita et al. [6, 7] introduced the notion of clean comodule over a coalgebra.

Let (M, ϱ^M) be a right comodule over a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . The right C -comodule M is clean if the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is clean. By taking $M = C$, the clean R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) is defined by the fact that C is a comodule over itself with left and right coaction Δ . The R -coalgebra C is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of $End^C(C)$ is clean.

Now, we consider coalgebras with an algebraic structure. The Algebras with compatible coalgebras are known as bialgebra. A Hopf module over a bialgebra is a module over an algebra structure, and also, it is a comodule over a coalgebra structure. Both of these structures are compatible. The study of bialgebras and Hopf modules from the point of view of ring and module theory, for example, can be referred to [5, 8].

This paper divides the notion of clean in Hopf modules into two parts, i.e., clean Hopf modules and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be bi-clean if M is clean as a module and it is also clean as a comodule over B . It means both of the endomorphisms of B -module M (or $End_B(M)$) and the endomorphisms of B -comodule (or $End^B(M)$) are clean rings. On the other hand, in [5], the endomorphisms of B -Hopf module is the intersection of $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ i.e., $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A B -Hopf module M is a clean B -Hopf module if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

As we have already known from ring theory, if $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean, it does not imply $End_B^B(M)$ is clean. If $End_B^B(M)$ is clean, we also can not conclude that $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean. So the relationship of cleanness on $End_B(M)$, $End^B(M)$ and $End_B^B(M)$ are interesting to be observed.

The relationship for some clean algebra structures is clear for the trivial case. Any ring R with multiplication μ is an R -coalgebra by trivial comultiplication $\Delta_T : R \otimes_R R \rightarrow R, r \mapsto r \otimes 1$ and counit $\varepsilon_T = I_R$. Here, the R -algebra (R, μ, ι) and R -coalgebra $(R, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ satisfy the compatible properties on [5] such that R is a bialgebra over itself. Throughout, $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ is said to be the trivial R -bialgebra R .

Moreover, for any R -module M and the trivial R -bialgebra R , we can define an R -coaction $\varrho_T^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R, m \mapsto m \otimes 1$ such that for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R, \varrho_T^M(mr) = \varrho_T^M(m)\Delta_T(r)$. Consequently, any R -module M is a trivial R -Hopf module. Here, M is a clean R -module if and only if M is a clean R -comodule. Moreover, the trivial R -comodule M is clean if and only if M is a (bi)-clean Hopf module over R .

In general conditions, the perfect relationships on the trivial R -Hopf module $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ does not imply that every ring R is clean if and only if R is a clean Hopf module. For example, although \mathbb{Z}_4 is a clean ring (clean module over itself), by changing the trivial comultiplication with $n \mapsto n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, it shows that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not a clean coalgebra (comodule over itself). Thus, \mathbb{Z}_4 is not automatically a bi-clean bialgebra or clean Hopf module over itself. The transfer of clean properties among rings, modules, coalgebras, and comodules is not obvious. It motivates us to investigate clean

Hopf modules and bialgebras and their relation to clean modules and clean comodules. In the category of modules, we have some examples of the clean module, which are also clean as a comodule and satisfy the compatible axioms of a Hopf module. This work connects clean modules and comodules, which brings us to some properties on clean Hopf modules and clean bialgebras.

2. Some Basic Notions

In this section, we give some basic notions of Hopf modules before we study the properties of clean Hopf modules. To understand the R -bialgebra and a Hopf module, we need to study coalgebra, and comodule structures [5].

Definition 2.1. An R -module B that is an algebra (B, μ, ι) and a coalgebra (B, Δ, ε) is called a bialgebra if Δ and ε are algebra morphisms or, equivalently, μ and ι are coalgebra morphisms.

An R -linear map $f : B \rightarrow B_0$ of bialgebras is called a bialgebra morphism if f is both an algebra and a coalgebra morphism. An R -submodule $I \subseteq B$ is a sub-bialgebra if it is a subalgebra as well as a subcoalgebra. Moreover, I is a bi-ideal if it is both an ideal and a coideal.

Since an R -bialgebra B has both a coalgebra and an algebra structure, R -coalgebra B must be compatible with the algebra structure of B . One can require compatibility conditions for corresponding modules and comodules. Throughout, B is an R -bialgebra with product μ , coproduct Δ , unit map ι and counit ε .

Definition 2.2. An R -module M is called a right B -Hopf module if M is

1. a right B -module with an action $\varrho_M : M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M$,
2. a right B -comodule with a coaction $\varrho^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R B$,
3. for all $m \in M, b \in B, \varrho^M(mb) = \varrho^M(m)\Delta(b)$.

In Definition 2.2, it is essential to see that when B is a Hopf algebra over a field, then any Hopf B -modules are a free module over B [4, 9]. It is importantly related to the property of cleanness on free modules.

Let B be an R -bialgebra, M and N B -Hopf modules. An R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a B -Hopf module morphism if it is both a right B -module and a right B -comodule morphism. We denote the set of B -module homomorphisms, B -comodule morphisms, and B -Hopf module homomorphisms from M to N as $Hom_B(M, N)$, $Hom^B(M, N)$ and $Hom_B^B(M, N)$, respectively where $Hom_B^B(M, N) = Hom_B(M, N) \cap Hom^B(M, N)$. In case $M = N$ we have $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$.

For an R -bialgebra B , the dual $B^* = Hom_R(B, R)$ also has a natural (B^*, B^*) -bimodule structure with the following right and left scalar multiplication [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow : B \otimes_R B^* &\rightarrow B^*, & b \otimes f &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(cb)], \\ \leftarrow : B^* \otimes_R B &\rightarrow B^*, & f \otimes b &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(bc)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in B$ and $f, g \in B^*$

$$a \rightarrow (f * g) = \sum (a_1 \rightarrow f) * (a_2 \rightarrow g).$$

Thus, a right B -Hopf module M is a left B^* -module. The clean Hopf module and bi-clean Hopf module come from the module's and comodule's clean properties. In the main result, we will focus our investigations on the compatible condition of the cleanness of modules and comodules and the cleanness of algebras and bialgebras.

3. Results

We start our result by defining clean and bi-clean Hopf modules.

Definition 3.1. Let B be an R -bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is bi-clean if both $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean.

In [5], the set of endomorphism of B -Hopf module M are denoted by $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A clean B -Hopf module is defined as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let B be a bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is clean if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

Since $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$ and for any clean rings does not imply its subring being clean, we have that the bi-cleanness and cleanness of Hopf modules are independent. A clean and a bi-clean R -bialgebra is a special case of a clean and bi-clean Hopf module in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2, when $B = M$ (or B consider as a Hopf module over itself).

In [5], for an R -module K and R -bialgebra B , we can construct a right B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$ with the canonical structure, $I_K \otimes \Delta : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B$ and $I_K \otimes \mu : (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we give a relationship of the clean property of the R -module K , bialgebra B , and B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$.

Lemma 3.3. Let B be an R -bialgebra and K a clean R -module. If $f \in End_R(K)$, then the endomorphism of B -Hopf module $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a clean element of the $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$.

Proof. Suppose that K is a clean R -module, $f \in End_R(K)$, and B is an R -bialgebra. By [5], clearly that $K \otimes_R B$ is a right B -Hopf module and for an R -module endomorphism $f : K \rightarrow K$, $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a B -Hopf module endomorphism or $f \otimes I_B \in End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we prove that $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean B -Hopf module morphism.

1. Since K is a clean R -module, $f = u + e$ for an idempotent e and a unit u in $End_R(K)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes I_B &= (u + e) \otimes I_B \\ &= u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the identity map I_B is a unit and also it is an idempotent of $End_R(B)$. Therefore, $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Consequently, $f \otimes I_B$ can be expressed as a sum of an idempotent and a unit element of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Thus, $f \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ is a clean element in \mathbf{M}_R .

2. We need prove f is a clean endomorphism of B Hopf module. Here, we need to make sure that $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. Based on [5] (page 135), since $u, e \in End_R(K)$ and B is an R -bialgebra, we have $u \otimes I_B$ and $e \otimes I_B$ are B -Hopf module morphisms. Analogue, we obtain $f \otimes I_B = u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$.

It means, if K is a clean R -module, then for any $f \in End_R(K)$, $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean element of $End_B^B(K \otimes_R B)$. □

As a corollary, if $K = R$ is a clean ring (or R -module R), then the identity map of R -bialgebra B is a clean element of the endomorphism of R -bialgebra B . The simple result above brings us on some special cases related to the clean modules and clean comodules.

In ring theory, if R is a clean ring, then the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices over R (denoted by $M_n(R)$) is also a clean ring [10]. In [5], The ring $M_n(R)$ is an R -coalgebra with a comultiplication and counit as below:

$$\Delta : M_n(R) \rightarrow M_n(R) \otimes_R M_n(R), e_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e_{i,k} \otimes e_{kj}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\varepsilon : M_n(R) \rightarrow R, e_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and equal to zero if $i \neq j$. Throughout, the R -coalgebra $M_n(R)$ with the comultiplication (3.1) and the counit (3.2) is denoted by $M_n^C(R)$. In [7], we also have if R is a clean ring, then R -coalgebra $M_n^C(R)$ is clean. The following proposition gives a bi-clean R -bialgebra from the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices over R .

Proposition 3.4. *If R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that if R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a clean ring and also clean as an R -coalgebra ([10] and [7]). For this proposition, we only need to check that $M_n^C(R)$ is an R -bialgebra. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the set of canonical basis of $n \times n$ -matrices over R . Consider the R -algebra $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota)$ and the R -coalgebra $(M_n^C(R), \Delta, \varepsilon)$. See the commutative diagram on [5] page 130 and put $e_{ij}, e_{kl} \in M_n^C(R)$ where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1. For $j = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) &= \Delta(e_{il}) \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{al} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \left(\left(\sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{aj} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_b e_{kb} \otimes e_{bl} \right) \right) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} + e_{i2} \otimes e_{2j} + \dots + e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}) \\ &\quad \otimes (e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k} + e_{l2} \otimes e_{2k} + \dots + e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots \\ &\quad (e_{in} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots (e_{in} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= \mu(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1}) \otimes \mu(e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots + \mu(e_{in} \otimes e_{ln}) \otimes \mu(e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= e_{i1}e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j}e_{1k} + \dots + e_{in}e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj}e_{nk} \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}. \end{aligned}$$

In general case, for any k and j , the equation $\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}$ means :

$$\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak} = \begin{cases} \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{ak}, & a=1=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{ka}, & k=a=l=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{kl}, & k=j; \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

2. For $j \neq k$, we have $\Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) = \Delta(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\left(\sum_n e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}\right) \otimes \left(\sum_m e_{km} \otimes e_{ml}\right)) \\
&= 0, \text{ since } k \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

From Point 1 and 2, we have $\Delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$.

For the second step, prove that $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$. Here, the unit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\iota : R \rightarrow M_n^C(R)$, $1 \mapsto I_n$ such that for any $r \in R$, $\iota(r) = rI_n$ and the counit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\varepsilon : M_n^C(R) \rightarrow R$ where

$$e_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & i=j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad \text{Thus, for any } r \in R \text{ we have:}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \circ \iota(r) &= \Delta(rI_n) \\
&= r(\Delta(I_n)) \\
&= r(\Delta(\sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii})) \\
&= r(\sum_k e_{1k} \otimes e_{k1} + \sum_k e_{2k} \otimes e_{k2} + \dots + \sum_k e_{nk} \otimes e_{kn}) \\
&\simeq r(e_{11} + e_{22} + \dots + e_{nn}) \\
&= r(I_n) \\
&= rI_n
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")(r) &= (\iota \otimes \iota)(r \otimes 1) \\
&= \iota(r) \otimes \iota(1) \\
&= rI_n \otimes I_n \\
&= r(I_n \otimes I_n) \\
&= rI_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$, ι is an R -coalgebra morphism. Thus, $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

Let G be an abelian group. For the next result, we take the R -coalgebra $R[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta : R[G] \rightarrow R[G] \otimes R[G]$, $g \mapsto g \otimes g$. Hence, $R[G]$ is an R -bialgebra (see on [5] page 130). We have the following result :

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a torsion group. If R is an Artinian principal ideal and a Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that $R[G] = \{\sum_{g \in G} a_g g | a_g \in R\}$ ([11]) is an R -bialgebra and also $R[G]$ is also an R -Hopf algebra. In [12], $R[G]$ is a clean ring if R is a Boolean ring and G is torsion where $End_{R[G]}(R[G]) \simeq R[G]$ is a clean ring. For its dual, in [6], we have any G -graded module M over R is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule. Take $M = R[G]$ and consider $R[G]$ as a G -graded module over R . Since R Artinian principal ideal, $R[G]$ is a clean G -graded module over R , then $R[G]$ is a clean comodule over itself or $R[G]$ a clean. Therefore, if R is an Artinian principal ideal and Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

In Proposition 3.5, since R is Artinian and Boolean, then every element of R is idempotent. It implies R is semi-simple with no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, R is a finite direct of some division rings, and the only Boolean division ring is the field with two elements. Thus, R is a finite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Functor F from the category of G -graded R -module to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule is equivalence. Thus, a G -graded R -module M is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule [6]. We will observe the cleanness for $R[G]$ -Hopf module M .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $R[G]$ be an Artinian principal ideal and M a G -graded module over R . If M is a Hopf $R[G]$ -modules, then M is a bi-clean Hopf $R[G]$ -module.*

Proof. Let M be a G -graded module over R . Since the category of G -graded module isomorphic to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule, M is a $R[G]$ -comodule with the following conditions:

1. From [6], $End_R(M) \simeq End^{R[G]}(M)$ is a clean ring since M is clean as a G -graded module over R .
2. As a module over $R[G]$, $End_{R[G]}(M)$ is clean since $R[G]$ is an Artinian principal ideal [2].

Points 1 and 2 imply M is a clean $R[G]$ -Hopf module. \square

We have already known from some previous results that the direct product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ is clean if and only if R_λ is clean for any λ . Analog to the family of R -coalgebra $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we have a similar property. We are going to bring these concepts for the direct sum of bialgebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{(B_\lambda, \mu_\lambda, \iota_\lambda, \Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of R -bialgebras and $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ the direct sums of the family of R -bialgebra $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then, B is clean if and only if B_λ is clean for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ be an R -bialgebra. Thus B is an R -algebra and B is an R -colagebra.

1. From [13], we have $End^B(B)$ is clean if and only if $End^{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ (as a comodule over itself) is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
2. Futhermore, [14] proved that B is a clean algebra if and only if $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ implies that $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ is clean.

Consequently, B is a clean R -bialgebra if and only if B_λ is clean R -algebra for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. \square

4. Conclusions

Bi-cleanness and cleanness on the Hopf modules category can be considered as generalizations of the clean module. This concept is motivated based on the fact that not any comodules are clean as a module, and not every clean module is a clean comodule. Therefore, we divided our result into bi-clean Hopf modules and clean Hopf modules using the property of their endomorphism as a module over a ring and as a comodule over coalgebra.

Acknowledgments (All sources of funding of the study must be disclosed)

This paper was supported by the Post-Doctoral Research Grant of The Directorate of Research and Development Reputation Team to World Class University-Quality Assurance Office of Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia No. 6144/UN1.P.III/DIT-LIT/PT/2021.

References

1. W.K. Nicholson, Lifting Idempotents and Exchange Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229**(1977) 269–278.
2. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, Continuous Modules are Clean, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006) 94–111.
3. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, A Short Proof that Continuous Modules are Clean, *Contemporary Ring Theory 2011, Proceedings of the Sixth China-Japan-Korea International Conference on Ring Theory* (2012) 165–169.
4. M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebra, Mathematics Lecture Note Series*, New York : W.A. Benjamins, Inc., 1969.
5. T. Brzeziński, R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, United Kingdom : Cambridge University Press, 2003.
6. N.P. Puspita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Graded Modules as a Clean Comodule, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **2**(6) (2020) 66–73.
7. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Clean Coalgebra and Clean Comodules from Finitely Generated Projective Modules, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Journal* **31**(2) (2021).
8. D. E. Radford, *Series on Knots and everything Vol 49, Hopf Algebras*, USA : World Scientific, 2012.
9. S. Montgomery, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics : Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings Number 82*, American Mathematical Society, 1993.
10. J. Han, W.K. Nicholson, Extension of Clean Rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2589–2595.
11. C. Natasescu, F. van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, DE, 2004.
12. W. Wm. McGovern, Characterization of commutative clean rings, *Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **15** (2006) 403–413.

-
13. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Some Properties of Clean R -Coalgebra, *AIP Conference Proceeding of the 4th IndoMS International Conference of Mathematics and Its Application*, 2019.
 14. D.D. Anderson, V.P. Camillo, Commutative Rings Whose Element are a sum of a Unit and Idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002) 3327–3336.



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)



Type of article

Bi-Clean and Clean Hopf Modules

Nikken Prima Puspita^{1*} and Indah Emilia Wijayanti²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Diponegoro, Jl. Prof. Jacub Rais, Semarang, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia, 50275

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 55128

* **Correspondence:** nikkenprima@lecturer.undip.ac.id

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with multiplicative identity, C a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra, B an R -bialgebra. A clean comodule is a generalization and dualization of a clean module. An R -module M is called a clean module if the endomorphism ring of M over R (denoted by $End_R(M)$) is clean. Thus, any element of $End_R(M)$ can be expressed as a sum of a unit and an idempotent element of $End_R(M)$. Moreover, for a right C -comodule M , the endomorphism set of C -comodule M denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$. A C -comodule M is a clean comodule if the $End^C(M)$ is a clean ring. A Hopf module M over B is a B -module and a B -comodule that satisfies the compatible conditions. This paper considers the notions of a clean ring, clean module, clean coalgebra, and clean comodule in relation to the Hopf Module. We divide our discussion into two parts, i.e., clean and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of M is clean, and M is a bi-clean Hopf module if M is clean as a module over B and also clean as a comodule over B . Moreover, we give sufficient conditions of (bi)-clean bialgebras and Hopf modules related to the cleanness concept of modules and comodules.

Keywords: (clean ring; clean module, clean comodule; clean coalgebra; clean Hopf module; bi-clean Hopf module)

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16T15, 16D10

1. Introduction

Throughout, R is a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. In 1977, W.K. Nicholson introduced the notion of a clean ring. The ring R is clean if every element of R can be expressed as the sum of a unit and an idempotent element [1]. Based on the isomorphism properties of R , R is clean if and only if the ring $End_R(R)$ is clean. Some authors study clean modules by using the cleanness of their endomorphisms. An R -module M is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring $End_R(M)$ is a clean ring

(see [2, 3]).

Sweedler introduces coalgebras over a field as the dualization of algebras over a field [4]. Furthermore, the ground field has been generalized to a commutative ring with a multiplicative identity. The readers are suggested to refer to [5] for more detailed basic notions of coalgebra and comodule over a commutative ring. For any comodule M over a coalgebra C , the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is denoted by $End^C(M)$ is a subring of $End_R(M)$ over addition and composition functions. It is interesting since a subring of a clean ring is not need to be clean. Based on this fact and some results in module theory, Puspita et al. [6, 7] introduced the notion of clean comodule over a coalgebra.

Let (M, ϱ^M) be a right comodule over a coassociative and counital R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) . The right C -comodule M is clean if the endomorphism ring of C -comodule M is clean. By taking $M = C$, the clean R -coalgebra (C, Δ, ε) is defined by the fact that C is a comodule over itself with left and right coaction Δ . The R -coalgebra C is said to be clean if the endomorphism ring of $End^C(C)$ is clean.

Now, we consider coalgebras with an algebraic structure. The Algebras with compatible coalgebras are known as bialgebra. A Hopf module over a bialgebra is a module over an algebra structure, and also, it is a comodule over a coalgebra structure. Both of these structures are compatible. The study of bialgebras and Hopf modules from the point of view of ring and module theory, for example, can be referred to [5, 8].

This paper divides the notion of clean in Hopf modules into two parts, i.e., clean Hopf modules and bi-clean Hopf modules. A B -Hopf module M is said to be bi-clean if M is clean as a module and it is also clean as a comodule over B . It means both of the endomorphisms of B -module M (or $End_B(M)$) and the endomorphisms of B -comodule (or $End^B(M)$) are clean rings. On the other hand, in [5], the endomorphisms of B -Hopf module is the intersection of $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ i.e., $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A B -Hopf module M is a clean B -Hopf module if $End_B^B(M)$ is clean.

As we have already known from ring theory, if $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean, it does not imply $End_B^B(M)$ is clean. If $End_B^B(M)$ is clean, we also can not conclude that $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean. So the relationship of cleanness on $End_B(M)$, $End^B(M)$ and $End_B^B(M)$ are interesting to be observed.

The relationship for some clean algebra structures is clear for the trivial case. Any ring R with multiplication μ is an R -coalgebra by trivial comultiplication $\Delta_T : R \otimes_R R \rightarrow R, r \mapsto r \otimes 1$ and counit $\varepsilon_T = I_R$. Here, the R -algebra (R, μ, ι) and R -coalgebra $(R, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ satisfy the compatible properties on [5] such that R is a bialgebra over itself. Throughout, $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ is said to be the trivial R -bialgebra R .

Moreover, for any R -module M and the trivial R -bialgebra R , we can define an R -coaction $\varrho_T^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R R, m \mapsto m \otimes 1$ such that for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R, \varrho_T^M(mr) = \varrho_T^M(m)\Delta_T(r)$. Consequently, any R -module M is a trivial R -Hopf module. Here, M is a clean R -module if and only if M is a clean R -comodule. Moreover, the trivial R -comodule M is clean if and only if M is a (bi)-clean Hopf module over R .

In general conditions, the perfect relationships on the trivial R -Hopf module $(R, \mu, \iota, \Delta_T, \varepsilon_T)$ does not imply that every ring R is clean if and only if R is a clean Hopf module. For example, although \mathbb{Z}_4 is a clean ring (clean module over itself), by changing the trivial comultiplication with $n \mapsto n \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, it shows that \mathbb{Z}_4 is not a clean coalgebra (comodule over itself). Thus, \mathbb{Z}_4 is not automatically a bi-clean bialgebra or clean Hopf module over itself. The transfer of clean properties among rings, modules, coalgebras, and comodules is not obvious. It motivates us to investigate clean

Hopf modules and bialgebras and their relation to clean modules and clean comodules. In the category of modules, we have some examples of the clean module, which are also clean as a comodule and satisfy the compatible axioms of a Hopf module. This work connects clean modules and comodules, which brings us to some properties on clean Hopf modules and clean bialgebras.

2. Some Basic Notions

In this section, we give some basic notions of Hopf modules before we study the properties of clean Hopf modules. To understand the R -bialgebra and a Hopf module, we need to study coalgebra, and comodule structures [5].

Definition 2.1. An R -module B that is an algebra (B, μ, ι) and a coalgebra (B, Δ, ε) is called a bialgebra if Δ and ε are algebra morphisms or, equivalently, μ and ι are coalgebra morphisms.

An R -linear map $f : B \rightarrow B_0$ of bialgebras is called a bialgebra morphism if f is both an algebra and a coalgebra morphism. An R -submodule $I \subseteq B$ is a sub-bialgebra if it is a subalgebra as well as a subcoalgebra. Moreover, I is a bi-ideal if it is both an ideal and a coideal.

Since an R -bialgebra B has both a coalgebra and an algebra structure, R -coalgebra B must be compatible with the algebra structure of B . One can require compatibility conditions for corresponding modules and comodules. Throughout, B is an R -bialgebra with product μ , coproduct Δ , unit map ι and counit ε .

Definition 2.2. An R -module M is called a right B -Hopf module if M is

1. a right B -module with an action $\varrho_M : M \otimes_R B \rightarrow M$,
2. a right B -comodule with a coaction $\varrho^M : M \rightarrow M \otimes_R B$,
3. for all $m \in M, b \in B, \varrho^M(mb) = \varrho^M(m)\Delta(b)$.

In Definition 2.2, it is essential to see that when B is a Hopf algebra over a field, then any Hopf B -modules are a free module over B [4, 9]. It is importantly related to the property of cleanness on free modules.

Let B be an R -bialgebra, M and N B -Hopf modules. An R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a B -Hopf module morphism if it is both a right B -module and a right B -comodule morphism. We denote the set of B -module homomorphisms, B -comodule morphisms, and B -Hopf module homomorphisms from M to N as $Hom_B(M, N)$, $Hom^B(M, N)$ and $Hom_B^B(M, N)$, respectively where $Hom_B^B(M, N) = Hom_B(M, N) \cap Hom^B(M, N)$. In case $M = N$ we have $End_B^B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$.

For an R -bialgebra B , the dual $B^* = Hom_R(B, R)$ also has a natural (B^*, B^*) -bimodule structure with the following right and left scalar multiplication [5]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rightharpoonup : B \otimes_R B^* &\rightarrow B^*, & b \otimes f &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(cb)], \\ \leftharpoonup : B^* \otimes_R B &\rightarrow B^*, & f \otimes b &\mapsto [c \mapsto f(bc)]. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in B$ and $f, g \in B^*$

$$a \rightharpoonup (f * g) = \sum (a_1 \leftharpoonup f) * (a_2 \rightharpoonup g).$$

Thus, a right B -Hopf module M is a left B^* -module. The clean Hopf module and bi-clean Hopf module come from the module's and comodule's clean properties. In the main result, we will focus our investigations on the compatible condition of the cleanness of modules and comodules and the cleanness of algebras and bialgebras.

3. Results

We start our result by defining clean and bi-clean Hopf modules.

Definition 3.1. Let B be an R -bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is bi-clean if both $End_B(M)$ and $End^B(M)$ are clean.

In [5], the set of endomorphism of B -Hopf module M are denoted by $End^B_B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$. A clean B -Hopf module is defined as follows.

Definition 3.2. Let B be a bialgebra. A B -Hopf module M is clean if $End^B_B(M)$ is clean.

Since $End^B_B(M) = End_B(M) \cap End^B(M)$ and for any clean rings does not imply its subring being clean, we have that the bi-cleanness and cleanness of Hopf modules are independent. A clean and a bi-clean R -bialgebra is a special case of a clean and bi-clean Hopf module in Definition 3.1 and Definition 3.2, when $B = M$ (or B consider as a Hopf module over itself).

In [5], for an R -module K and R -bialgebra B , we can construct a right B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$ with the canonical structure, $I_K \otimes \Delta : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B$ and $I_K \otimes \mu : (K \otimes_R B) \otimes_R B \rightarrow (K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we give a relationship of the clean property of the R -module K , bialgebra B , and B -Hopf module $K \otimes_R B$.

Lemma 3.3. Let B be an R -bialgebra and K a clean R -module. If $f \in End_R(K)$, then the endomorphism of B -Hopf module $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a clean element of the $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$.

Proof. Suppose that K is a clean R -module, $f \in End_R(K)$, and B is an R -bialgebra. By [5], clearly that $K \otimes_R B$ is a right B -Hopf module and for an R -module endomorphism $f : K \rightarrow K$, $f \otimes I_B : K \otimes_R B \rightarrow K \otimes_R B$ is a B -Hopf module endomorphism or $f \otimes I_B \in End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. Here, we prove that $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean B -Hopf module morphism.

1. Since K is a clean R -module, $f = u + e$ for an idempotent e and a unit u in $End_R(K)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes I_B &= (u + e) \otimes I_B \\ &= u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the identity map I_B is a unit and also it is an idempotent of $End_R(B)$. Therefore, $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Consequently, $f \otimes I_B$ can be expressed as a sum of an idempotent and a unit element of $End_R(K \otimes_R B)$. Thus, $f \otimes I_B \in End_R(K \otimes_R B)$ is a clean element in \mathbf{M}_R .

2. We need prove f is a clean endomorphism of B Hopf module. Here, we need to make sure that $u \otimes I_B$ is a unit of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$ and $e \otimes I_B$ is an idempotent of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. Based on [5] (page 135), since $u, e \in End_R(K)$ and B is an R -bialgebra, we have $u \otimes I_B$ and $e \otimes I_B$ are B -Hopf module morphisms. Analogue, we obtain $f \otimes I_B = u \otimes I_B + e \otimes I_B \in End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$.

It means, if K is a clean R -module, then for any $f \in End_R(K)$, $f \otimes I_B$ is a clean element of $End^B_B(K \otimes_R B)$. □

As a corollary, if $K = R$ is a clean ring (or R -module R), then the identity map of R -bialgebra B is a clean element of the endomorphism of R -bialgebra B . The simple result above brings us on some special cases related to the clean modules and clean comodules.

In ring theory, if R is a clean ring, then the ring of $n \times n$ -matrices over R (denoted by $M_n(R)$) is also a clean ring [10]. In [5], The ring $M_n(R)$ is an R -coalgebra with a comultiplication and counit as below:

$$\Delta : M_n(R) \rightarrow M_n(R) \otimes_R M_n(R), e_{ij} \mapsto \sum_{i,j} e_{i,k} \otimes e_{kj}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\varepsilon : M_n(R) \rightarrow R, e_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$ and equal to zero if $i \neq j$. Throughout, the R -coalgebra $M_n(R)$ with the comultiplication (3.1) and the counit (3.2) is denoted by $M_n^C(R)$. In [7], we also have if R is a clean ring, then R -coalgebra $M_n^C(R)$ is clean. The following proposition gives a bi-clean R -bialgebra from the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices over R .

Proposition 3.4. *If R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that if R is a clean ring, then $M_n^C(R)$ is a clean ring and also clean as an R -coalgebra ([10] and [7]). For this proposition, we only need to check that $M_n^C(R)$ is an R -bialgebra. Let $\{e_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the set of canonical basis of $n \times n$ -matrices over R . Consider the R -algebra $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota)$ and the R -coalgebra $(M_n^C(R), \Delta, \varepsilon)$. See the commutative diagram on [5] page 130 and put $e_{ij}, e_{kl} \in M_n^C(R)$ where $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

1. For $j = k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) &= \Delta(e_{il}) \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{al} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \left(\left(\sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{aj} \right) \otimes \left(\sum_b e_{kb} \otimes e_{bl} \right) \right) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} + e_{i2} \otimes e_{2j} + \dots + e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}) \\ &\quad \otimes (e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k} + e_{l2} \otimes e_{2k} + \dots + e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(e_{i1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots \\ &\quad (e_{in} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= (\mu \otimes \mu)(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots (e_{in} \otimes e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= \mu(e_{i1} \otimes e_{l1}) \otimes \mu(e_{1j} \otimes e_{1k}) + \dots + \mu(e_{in} \otimes e_{ln}) \otimes \mu(e_{nj} \otimes e_{nk}) \\ &= e_{i1}e_{l1} \otimes e_{1j}e_{1k} + \dots + e_{in}e_{ln} \otimes e_{nj}e_{nk} \\ &= \sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}. \end{aligned}$$

In general case, for any k and j , the equation $\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak}$ means :

$$\sum_a e_{ia}e_{la} \otimes e_{aj}e_{ak} = \begin{cases} \sum_a e_{ia} \otimes e_{ak}, & a=1=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{ka}, & k=a=l=j; \\ 0, & a \neq l, j \neq a. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{kl}, & k=j; \\ 0, & j \neq k. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

2. For $j \neq k$, we have $\Delta \circ \mu(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) = \Delta(0) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)(e_{ij} \otimes e_{kl}) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})(\Delta(e_{ij}) \otimes \Delta(e_{kl})) \\
&= (\mu \otimes \mu)(I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)})\left(\left(\sum_n e_{in} \otimes e_{nj}\right) \otimes \left(\sum_m e_{km} \otimes e_{ml}\right)\right) \\
&= 0, \text{ since } k \neq j.
\end{aligned}$$

From Point 1 and 2, we have $\Delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ (I_{M_n^C(R)} \otimes tw \otimes I_{M_n^C(R)}) \circ (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$.

For the second step, prove that $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$. Here, the unit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\iota : R \rightarrow M_n^C(R)$, $1 \mapsto I_n$ such that for any $r \in R$, $\iota(r) = rI_n$ and the counit of $M_n^C(R)$ is $\varepsilon : M_n^C(R) \rightarrow R$ where

$$e_{i,j} \mapsto \begin{cases} 1, & i=j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad \text{Thus, for any } r \in R \text{ we have:}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \circ \iota(r) &= \Delta(rI_n) \\
&= r(\Delta(I_n)) \\
&= r\left(\Delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n e_{ii}\right)\right) \\
&= r\left(\sum_k e_{1k} \otimes e_{k1} + \sum_k e_{2k} \otimes e_{k2} + \dots + \sum_k e_{nk} \otimes e_{kn}\right) \\
&\simeq r(e_{11} + e_{22} + \dots + e_{nn}) \\
&= r(I_n) \\
&= rI_n
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")(r) &= (\iota \otimes \iota)(r \otimes 1) \\
&= \iota(r) \otimes \iota(1) \\
&= rI_n \otimes I_n \\
&= r(I_n \otimes I_n) \\
&= rI_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta \circ \iota = (\iota \otimes \iota) \circ (" \simeq ")$, ι is an R -coalgebra morphism. Thus, $(M_n^C(R), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

Let G be an abelian group. For the next result, we take the R -coalgebra $R[G]$ with comultiplication $\Delta : R[G] \rightarrow R[G] \otimes R[G]$, $g \mapsto g \otimes g$. Hence, $R[G]$ is an R -bialgebra (see on [5] page 130). We have the following result :

Proposition 3.5. *Let G be a torsion group. If R is an Artinian principal ideal and a Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra.*

Proof. It is clear that $R[G] = \{\sum_{g \in G} a_g g | a_g \in R\}$ ([11]) is an R -bialgebra and also $R[G]$ is also an R -Hopf algebra. In [12], $R[G]$ is a clean ring if R is a Boolean ring and G is torsion where $End_{R[G]}(R[G]) \simeq R[G]$ is a clean ring. For its dual, in [6], we have any G -graded module M over R is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule. Take $M = R[G]$ and consider $R[G]$ as a G -graded module over R . Since R Artinian principal ideal, $R[G]$ is a clean G -graded module over R , then $R[G]$ is a clean comodule over itself or $R[G]$ a clean. Therefore, if R is an Artinian principal ideal and Boolean ring, then $R[G]$ is a bi-clean R -bialgebra. \square

In Proposition 3.5, since R is Artinian and Boolean, then every element of R is idempotent. It implies R is semi-simple with no nonzero nilpotent elements. Furthermore, R is a finite direct of some division rings, and the only Boolean division ring is the field with two elements. Thus, R is a finite direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Functor F from the category of G -graded R -module to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule is equivalence. Thus, a G -graded R -module M is clean if and only if M is a clean $R[G]$ -comodule [6]. We will observe the cleanness for $R[G]$ -Hopf module M .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $R[G]$ be an Artinian principal ideal and M a G -graded module over R . If M is a Hopf $R[G]$ -modules, then M is a bi-clean Hopf $R[G]$ -module.*

Proof. Let M be a G -graded module over R . Since the category of G -graded module isomorphic to the category of $R[G]$ -comodule, M is a $R[G]$ -comodule with the following conditions:

1. From [6], $End_R(M) \simeq End^{R[G]}(M)$ is a clean ring since M is clean as a G -graded module over R .
2. As a module over $R[G]$, $End_{R[G]}(M)$ is clean since $R[G]$ is an Artinian principal ideal [2].

Points 1 and 2 imply M is a clean $R[G]$ -Hopf module. \square

We have already known from some previous results that the direct product $\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} R_\lambda$ is clean if and only if R_λ is clean for any λ . Analog to the family of R -coalgebra $\{C_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ we have a similar property. We are going to bring these concepts for the direct sum of bialgebra.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\{(B_\lambda, \mu_\lambda, \iota_\lambda, \Delta_\lambda, \varepsilon_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a family of R -bialgebras and $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ the direct sums of the family of R -bialgebra $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then, B is clean if and only if B_λ is clean for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Let $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ be an R -bialgebra. Thus B is an R -algebra and B is an R -colagebra.

1. From [13], we have $End^B(B)$ is clean if and only if $End^{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ (as a comodule over itself) is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
2. Futhermore, [14] proved that B is a clean algebra if and only if $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ is a clean ring for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Since $B_\lambda \simeq End_{B_\lambda}(B_\lambda)$ implies that $B = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} B_\lambda$ is clean.

Consequently, B is a clean R -bialgebra if and only if B_λ is clean R -algebra for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. \square

4. Conclusions

Bi-cleanness and cleanness on the Hopf modules category can be considered as generalizations of the clean module. This concept is motivated based on the fact that not any comodules are clean as a module, and not every clean module is a clean comodule. Therefore, we divided our result into bi-clean Hopf modules and clean Hopf modules using the property of their endomorphism as a module over a ring and as a comodule over coalgebra.

References

1. W.K. Nicholson, Lifting Idempotents and Exchange Rings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **229**(1977) 269–278.
2. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, Continuous Modules are Clean, *J. Algebra* **304** (2006) 94–111.
3. V.P. Camillo, D. Khurana, T.Y. Lam, W.K. Nicholson, Y. Zhou, A Short Proof that Continuous Modules are Clean, *Contemporary Ring Theory 2011, Proceedings of the Sixth China-Japan-Korea International Conference on Ring Theory* (2012) 165–169.
4. M.E. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebra, Mathematics Lecture Note Series*, New York : W.A. Benjamins, Inc., 1969.
5. T. Brzeziński, R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, United Kingdom : Cambridge University Press, 2003.
6. N.P. Puspita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Graded Modules as a Clean Comodule, *Journal of Mathematics Research* **2**(6) (2020) 66–73.
7. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Clean Coalgebra and Clean Comodules from Finitely Generated Projective Modules, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics Journal* **31**(2) (2021).
8. D. E. Radford, *Series on Knots and everything Vol 49, Hopf Algebras*, USA : World Scientific, 2012.
9. S. Montgomery, *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics : Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings Number 82*, American Mathematical Society, 1993.
10. J. Han, W.K. Nicholson, Extension of Clean Rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001) 2589–2595.
11. C. Natasescu, F. van Oystaeyen, *Methods of Graded Rings*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, DE, 2004.
12. W. Wm. McGovern, Characterization of commutative clean rings, *Int. J. Math. Game Theory Algebra* **15** (2006) 403–413.
13. N.P. Pusita, I.E. Wijayanti, B. Surodjo, Some Properties of Clean R -Coalgebra, *AIP Conference Proceeding of the 4th IndoMS International Conference of Mathematics and Its Application*, 2019.
14. D.D. Anderson, V.P. Camillo, Commutative Rings Whose Element are a sum of a Unit and Idempotent, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002) 3327–3336.



AIMS Press

©2022 the Author(s), licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Lampiran 6 : Tampilan/Daftar isi AIMS Vol. 7 No. 10 (2022)

AIMS Press

Home Journals

Journal Home About Contribute Articles

2022 Volume 7, Issue 10

Research article

An inertial iterative method for solving split equality problem in Banach spaces
Meiyang Wang, Luoyi Shi, Cuijuan Guo
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17628-17646. doi: 10.3934/math.2022971
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article | Special Issue

Analytic technique for solving temporal time-fractional gas dynamics equations with Caputo fractional derivative
Mohammad Alaroud, Osama Ababneh, Nedal Tahat, Shrideh Al-Omari
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17647-17669. doi: 10.3934/math.2022972
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article

Oscillation criteria for sublinear and superlinear first-order difference equations of neutral type with several delays
Mohamed Altani, Gokula Nanda Chhatra, Shyam Sundar Santra, Andrea Scapellato

AIMS Mathematics
Impact Factor 2.739
Citescore 2.4

Submit a Paper
Reviewer Login
Email Alert

Type here to search

26°C Sebagian cerah 07:59 12/04/2023

AIMS Press

Home Journals

Research article

The existence of entire solutions of some systems of the Fermat type differential-difference equations
Yeyang Jiang, Zhihua Liao, Di Qiu
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17685-17698. doi: 10.3934/math.2022974
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article

MABAC under non-linear diophantine fuzzy numbers: A new approach for emergency decision support systems
Sohail Ahmad, Ponam Basharat, Saleem Abdullah, Thongchai Botmart, Anuwat Jirawattanapanit
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17699-17736. doi: 10.3934/math.2022975
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article

Estimations for aggregate amount of claims in a risk model with arbitrary dependence between claim sizes and inter-arrival times
Weiwei Ni, Chenghao Xu, Kaiyong Wang
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17737-17746. doi: 10.3934/math.2022976
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article

Type here to search

26°C Sebagian cerah 07:59 12/04/2023

← Not secure | aimspress.com/math/article/2022/10/archive-articles

AIMS Press Home Journals

Research article

On a non-Newtonian fluid type equation with variable diffusion coefficient
 Huashui Zhan, Yuan Zhi, Xiaohua Niu
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17747-17766. doi: 10.3934/math.2022977
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article

Almost sure convergence theorems for arrays under sub-linear expectations
 Li Wang, Qunying Wu
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17767-17784. doi: 10.3934/math.2022978
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article

The NHRS scheme for the Chaplygin gas model in one and two dimensions
 Kamel Mohamed, Hanan A. Alkhdhr, Mahmoud A. E. Abdelrahman
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17785-17801. doi: 10.3934/math.2022979
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article | **Special Issue**

A new type of three dimensional metric spaces with applications to fractional differential equations
 Nizar Souayah, Nabil Mlaiki, Salma Haque, Doaa Rizk, Amani S. Baazeem, Wasfi Shatanawi
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17802-17814. doi: 10.3934/math.2022980

Type here to search 26°C Sebagian cerah 07:59 12/04/2023

← Not secure | aimspress.com/math/article/2022/10/archive-articles

AIMS Press Home Journals

Research article

Some specific classes of permutation polynomials over \mathbb{F}_q
 Xiaoe Qin, Li Yan
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17815-17828. doi: 10.3934/math.2022981
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article | **Special Issue**

An efficient algorithm for the numerical evaluation of pseudo differential operator with error estimation
 Amit K. Pandey, Manoj P. Tripathi, Harendra Singh, Pentylala S. Rao, Devendra Kumar, D. Baleanu
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17829-17842. doi: 10.3934/math.2022982
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article

On the Blaschke approach of Bertrand offsets of spacelike ruled surfaces
 Maryam T. Aldossary, Rashad A. Abdel-Baky
 2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17843-17858. doi: 10.3934/math.2022983
[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article | **Special Issue**

On the application of G_α integral transform to nonlinear dynamical models with non-integer order derivatives

Type here to search 26°C Sebagian cerah 08:00 12/04/2023

← Not secure | aimspress.com/math/article/2022/10/archive-articles

AIMS Press Home Journals

Research article
The non-linear Schrödinger equation associated with the soliton surfaces in Minkowski 3-space
Ayman Elsharkawy, Clemente Cesarano, Abdelrhman Tawfiq, Abdul Aziz Ismail
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17879-17893. doi: 10.3934/math.20222985
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article
Fixed point results of a new family of hybrid contractions in generalised metric space with applications
Jamilu Abubakar Jiddah, Maha Noorwali, Mohammed Shehu Shagari, Saima Rashid, Fahd Jarad
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17894-17912. doi: 10.3934/math.20222986
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article | Special Issue
On fuzzy numerical model dealing with the control of glucose in insulin therapies for diabetes via nonsingular kernel in the fuzzy sense
Shao-Wen Yao, Saima Rashid, Mustafa Inc, Ehab E. Elattar
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 17913-17941. doi: 10.3934/math.20222987
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article
On constructing almost complex Norden metric structures

Type here to search 26°C Sebagian cerah 08.00 12/04/2023

← Not secure | aimspress.com/math/article/2022/10/archive-articles

AIMS Press Home Journals

Abstract HTML PDF

Research article
Bi-clean and clean Hopf modules
Nikken Prima Puspita, Indah Emilia Wijayanti
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18784-18792. doi: 10.3934/math.20221033
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article
Stability property of the boundary equilibria of a symbiotic model of commensalism and parasitism with harvesting in commensal populations
Xiaowan Liu, Qin Yue
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18793-18806. doi: 10.3934/math.20221034
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article
Analysis of fractal fractional Lorenz type and financial chaotic systems with exponential decay kernels
Ihtisham Ul Haq, Shabir Ahmad, Sayed Saifullah, Kamsing Nonlaopon, Ali Akgül
2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18809-18823. doi: 10.3934/math.20221035
Abstract HTML PDF

Research article | Special Issue

Type here to search 26°C Sebagian cerah 08.01 12/04/2023



2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18809-18823. doi: 10.3934/math.20221035

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article | [Special Issue](#)

Gap solitons in periodic difference equations with sign-changing saturable nonlinearity

Zhenguang Wang, Yuanxian Hui, Liuyong Pang

2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18824-18836. doi: 10.3934/math.20221036

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article

Finite-time stability of nonlinear stochastic ψ -Hilfer fractional systems with time delay

Qing Yang, Chuanzhi Bai, Dandan Yang

2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18837-18852. doi: 10.3934/math.20221037

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article | [Special Issue](#)

Existence and uniqueness of a positive solutions for the product of operators

Golnaz Pakgalb, Mohammad Jahangiri Rad, Ali Salimi Shamloo, Majid Derafshpour

2022, Volume 7, Issue 10: 18853-18869. doi: 10.3934/math.20221038

[Abstract](#) [HTML](#) [PDF](#)

Research article



Lampiran 7 : Bukti bahwa AIMS ter-Index By Scopus

