Subject: Fwd: 70790 [Asian Social Science] Review Result
From: alexandra jenewa <jenewa.alexandra@gmail.com>
Date: 02/06/2020 12.58
To: warsono_hardi@live.undip.ac.id

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Asian Social Science** <ass@ccsenet.org>
Date: Tue, Oct 10, 2017 at 6:53 AM
Subject: 70790 [Asian Social Science] Review Result
To: jenewa.alexandra <jenewa.alexandra@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Hardi Warsono ,

Thank you for your submission to the journal. We have reached a decision regarding your submission. The reviews for your manuscript are enclosed with this letter. Please consider the reviewers' comments carefully and submit a version of the manuscript with all changes HIGHLIGHTED.

Your revised manuscript should adhere to the CCSE format, especially the references.

Please confirm receipt of this e-mail.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any question.

Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jenny Zhang
Editorial Assistant, Asian Social Science
Canadian Center of Science and Education
****
1120 Finch Avenue West, Suite 701-309
Toronto, ON., M3J 3H7, Canada
Tel: 1-416-642-2606 ext.202
Fax: 1-416-642-2608
E-mail: ass@ccsenet.org
Website: ass.ccsenet.org

───Attachments:──────────────────────────────────────

Result of Review-70790.pdf   41,8 KB

Result of Review

Title: The Mission of Basic Education that Is Overlooked in the Border Country (Case Study of Education Special Services for Indonesian Labor Child in Sebatik Island Indonesia-Malaysia Border)

Author(s): Hardi Warsono

Decision of Paper Selection

( ) A. Accept submission, no revisions required.

(*) B. Accept submission, revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments.

( ) C. Major revision; you may revise and resubmit for review.

( ) D. Decline submission.

What should you do next? (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ Revise the paper according to the comments (if applicable).

- ✓ All authors must agree on the publication; please inform us of agreement by e-mail.

- ✓ Pay a publication fee of 400.00USD for the paper.

  - ✦ Please find payment information at: http://payment.ccsenet.org

  - ✦ Please notify the editorial assistant when payment has been made

Proposed Schedule for Publication (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ Vol. 13, No. 12, December 2017, if you meet above requirements within 2 weeks.

- ✓ e-Version First: the online version may be published soon after the final draft is completed.

- ✓ You may also ask to publish the paper later, if you need more time for revision or payment.

Additional Information (Only for accepted papers, A & B)

- ✓ You will receive two copies (per paper) of the printed journal, *free of charge*

- ✓ If you want to buy more printed journals, please contact the editorial assistant

- ✓ You may download the e-journal in PDF free of charge at: http://ass.ccsenet.org

- ✓ Other questions please contact the editorial assistant at: ass@ccsenet.org

Comments from Editor

Evaluation	Grade
	Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1(high to low)
Overall evaluation of the paper	3
Contribution to existing knowledge	3
Organization and readability	3
Soundness of methodology	3
Evidence supports conclusion	3
Adequacy of literature review	3

Comments and Suggestions

(*) Revise the paper according to *Paper Submission Guide*: www.ccsenet.org/submission

( ) Picture(s)/figure(s) are not clear; 300 dpi is required.

( ) Move the footnotes to endnotes.

( ) Resize the table(s)/figure(s), to fit A4 paper size, and make all the pages be vertical.

( ) Revise table(s) into three-line table(s).

( ) Insert table(s) and figure(s) into the text, not after references.

( ) Similarity index (checked by iThenticate) is high, please find the iThenticate report attached, revise to keep the Similarity Index $\leqslant 30\%$ and single source matches are not $>6\%$.

(*) Add DOI persistent links to those references that have DOIs, please see *Paper Submission Guide*.

(*) Others:

Please send the revised paper via the email ass@ccsenet.org directly.

Note: revise your paper according to the items with "*"

Comments from Reviewer A

❖ **Evaluation** (Please evaluate the manuscript by grade 1-5)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor	
Items	**Grade**
Contribution to existing knowledge	4
Organization and Readability	3
Soundness of methodology	3
Evidence supports conclusion	3
Adequacy of literature review	3

❖ **Strengths**

The article has very interesting content!

❖ **Weaknesses**

The article needs to be reorganized. Bibliographic sources are not noted in the text of the article. The article is written as a story without the sources of information being specified in the text.

The conclusions are not very well founded. So is the introduction of the article. Within the abstract, the purpose of this article should be better pointed. It does not clarify in the explanations whether certain claims are the views of the author, or is taken from bibliographic sources. The article is a source of knowledge, but it does not exactly follow the criteria of a scientific work.

❖ **Suggestions to Author/s**

Review Article!

Comments from Reviewer B

❖ **Evaluation** (Please evaluate the manuscript by grade 1-5)	
5=Excellent 4=Good 3=Average 2=Below Average 1=Poor	
Items	**Grade**
Contribution to existing knowledge	2
Organization and Readability	1
Soundness of methodology	1
Evidence supports conclusion	2
Adequacy of literature review	2

❖ Strengths

The author(s) is obviously passionate about the topic; hence, undertaking this research. However, there are substantial and significant issues in this manuscript that it will require major revision and rewrite to gain an optimal standard for further peer-review.

❖ Weaknesses

First of all, I normally don't suggest a decision on declining a manuscript if there is some level of sound content and methodology. However, this is a rather unfortunate situation as this manuscript is written very poorly. The content of the abstract in general limits the readers to understand the purpose of the study and there was no clear indication whether this research was achieved by a specific methodology. The extremely poor writing structure did not constrain to some mechanical errors of writing structures or convention. In fact, much of the manuscript would require significant amount of rewrite in order to demonstrate some good foundational English.

Secondly, I am quite concerned whether the author(s) understood how to prepare a manuscript for an empirical study. The section on Previous Research seems very odd. Is this a literature review? If that's the case, the author(s) needs to rework it to build a proper literature review. It was only until I got to p. 7 to see a section on Research Method. However, there is no substantial discussion on the study design, study participants, data collection, data analysis and ethics approval. Because of these missing elements, it poses serious concerns on how the author(s) got to develop and present the results and discussion. I am also not sure what the author(s) presented in the results section actually reflects what the study was about.

Overall, to be quite honest I am not convinced the author(s) have completed a thorough and systematic job to present a rigor research study here. My initial assessment was to decline the submission. However, considering the potential merits of the topic, I suggest to the author(s) to rewrite the whole manuscript according to the standard format and resubmit for review

again. There are support services to help with English writing and I would highly recommend this for the author(s) to use them.

❖ **Suggestions to Author/s**

Subject: Fwd: 70790 Reminder of Revised Paper and Publication Fee
From: alexandra jenewa <jenewa.alexandra@gmail.com>
Date: 02/06/2020 13.00
To: warsono_hardi@live.undip.ac.id

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Asian Social Science** <ass@ccsenet.org>
Date: Wed, Nov 1, 2017 at 10:03 AM
Subject: 70790 Reminder of Revised Paper and Publication Fee
To: jenewa.alexandra <jenewa.alexandra@gmail.com>
Cc: jenewa.alexandra <jenewa.alexandra@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Hardi Warsono,

We are writing to ask whether you would still like your paper to be published in our journal as we haven't received your revised paper or your publication fee.

If you still want to publish with our journal, please send the revised paper and pay the publication fee of 400USD before November 10, 2017. Your paper will be assigned to the Vol. 13, No. 12, December, 2017 issue. Please find payment information at
http://payment.ccsenet.org

If you do not want to publish with us, please withdraw your submission via this email and we will respect all your decisions on the paper.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any question. Thank you.

Best Regards,

Jenny Zhang
Editorial Assistant, Asian Social Science
Canadian Center of Science and Education
****
1120 Finch Avenue West, Suite 701-309
Toronto, ON., M3J 3H7, Canada
Tel: 1-416-642-2606 ext.202
Fax: 1-416-642-2608
E-mail: ass@ccsenet.org
Website: ass.ccsenet.org