Influence of NH₄OH concentration in synthesis of bismuth oxide to physicochemical properties and photocatalytic activity in methyl orange degradation

Yayuk Astuti, Hartina Ningsih, Arneli, and Adi Darmawan

Citation: AIP Conference Proceedings **2026**, 020002 (2018); doi: 10.1063/1.5064962 View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5064962 View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/apc/2026/1 Published by the American Institute of Physics



Get 30% off all print proceedings!

Enter Promotion Code PDF30 at checkout

Influence of NH₄OH Concentration in Synthesis of Bismuth Oxide to Physicochemical Properties and Photocatalytic Activity in Methyl Orange Degradation

Yayuk Astuti^{1,a)}, Hartina Ningsih¹⁾, Arneli¹⁾, and Adi Darmawan¹⁾

¹Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science and Mathematics, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia 50275

^{a)}Corresponding author: yayuk.astuti@live.undip.ac.id

Abstract. Synthesis of bismuth oxide was undertaken by precipitation method using NH₄OH precipitating agent with various concentrations. The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of NH₄OH concentration on the physicochemical characteristics and photocatalytic activity of bismuth oxides produced. Bismuth oxide synthesis was conducted by varying the concentration of NH₄OH which was 1.5 M and 2.5 M. Synthesis was carried out through a reaction between HNO₃ and Bi(NO₃)₃.5H₂O then added NH₄OH with various concentrations. The resulting precipitate was then filtered and dried in an oven at 110 °C for 24 hours. After that, the oven product was calcined at 600 °C for 1 hour. The XRD results showed that bismuth oxide produced from precipitating agents with a concentration of 1.5 M and 2.5 M resulted in the same crystal structure mixture, namely α -Bi₂O₃ and γ -Bi₂O₃. Then the results of DRS-UV showed that products synthesized using 1.5 and 2.5 M NH₄OH have band gap of 2.65 eV and 2.67 eV, respectively. Bismuth oxides synthesized with NH₄OH 1.5 M and 2.5M has degradation rate constants of methyl orange of 4.46 x 10⁻² and 2.37 x 10⁻² M⁻¹ min⁻¹ respectively with percent degradation for 120 minutes at 82.98% and 37.23%, consecutively.

INTRODUCTION

Bismuth oxide is a pale yellow solid, which has a melting point of 825 °C [1] and is known to have six forms of polymorphs, namely α -Bi₂O₃ (monoclinic), β -Bi₂O₃ (tetragonal), γ -Bi₂O₃ (body centered cubic), δ -Bi₂O₃ (face centered cubic), ϵ -Bi₂O₃ (orthorhombic) and ω -Bi₂O₃ (triclinic) [2, 3]. Bismuth oxide (Bi₂O₃) has optical and electrical properties, such as a refractive index, electrical conductivity, and good dielectric permittivity and has a band gap energy of 2-3.96 eV [2]. Therefore, Bi₂O₃ can be applied in various fields, such as solid oxide fuel cells [4], and photocatalysts [5, 6].

Bismuth oxide synthesis can be undertaken by several methods, including the solution combustion method [7, 8], hydrothermal method [9], sol gel method [1], and precipitation method [10, 11]. Synthesis using precipitation method has advantages compared to other methods, including easy control of chemical composition, low process temperature, and low cost. One of the factors that plays a role in the deposition process is the use of precipitating agent [12]. This factor affect the physicochemical properties of the products such as crystal structure, morphology, and band gap and photocatalytic activity. Some substances commonly used as precipitating agents are hydroxides, carbonates, sulfates and oxalates [13].

Previously we have synthesized bismuth oxide using precipitating agents of NaOH and NH₄OH with bismuth oxynitrat (Bi₅O(OH)₉(NO₃)₄) as a precursor. The results showed NH₄OH precipitating agent produced bismuth oxide with better photocatalytic activity than NaOH [11]. In addition, this research reported products synthesized using NH4OH and NaOH contained α -Bi₂O₃ and a mixture of α - and γ -Bi₂O₃, consecutively. Ramli et al. [14] reported a study on the effect of the concentration of NaOH precipitating agent in the synthesis of α -Bi₂O₃. The results indicated that variations in the concentration of NaOH as precipitating agent influenced the formation of crystal nucleation or crystal growth. While research on the effect of variations of NH₄OH concentration in bismuth

2nd International Conference on Chemistry, Chemical Process and Engineering (IC3PE) AIP Conf. Proc. 2026, 020002-1–020002-6; https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5064962 Published by AIP Publishing. 978-0-7354-1746-5/\$30.00 oxide synthesis has never been undertaken till recently. Therefore, this research studies the effect of NH₄OH concentration variation on physicochemical character and photocatalytic activity of resulting products.

EXPERIMENT

Material

The materials used in this study were bismuth nitrate pentahydrate ($Bi(NO)_3.5H_2O$, (Sigma Al-drich), nitric acid (HNO_3) 65% (Merck), ammonium hydroxide (NH_4OH) (Merck), distilled water, and metyl orange (Merck).

Bismuth Oxide Synthesis

5 ml of 65% HNO₃ was poured into a glass beaker and then added 2.5 gram of $Bi(NO_3)_3.5H_2O$. The mixture was stirred using the stirrer until the solution became homogeneous. The process continued with the addition of NH_4OH precipitating agent to form precipitate with a pH of 9-10. Then proceed the stirring process for 1 hour at a speed of 667 rpm [15]. The variation of NH_4OH precipitating agent used was 1.5 M, and 2.5 M. The precipitate formed was then filtered and dried at 110 °C for 24 hours in an oven. After that, calcined at 600 °C for 1 hour. After calcination, a pale yellow powder was formed which was then used for further characterization. This procedure followed the procedure reported by Iyyapushpam et al. [16] with a slight modification, namely the variation in the concentration of NH_4OH .

Characterization

The resulting products were characterized using FTIR, XRD, and DRS-UV. FTIR analysis was applied to determine the functional groups that exist in the products. XRD analysis was undertaken to identify the crystal structure of the resulting products and DRS-UV analysis was performed to determine the band gap of the synthesized material.

Photocatalytic Activity Test

Photocatalytic activity of the resulting products was tested using the previously reported procedure by Astuti et al. [11]. 0.1 gram of bismuth oxide was added to 50 mL of 5 ppm methyl orange (MO). Then it was stirred at medium speed (667 rpm). The variation of time taken was 2 hours without light to find out whether there was an adsorption process or not, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 120 minutes with UV light A. The suspension after the photocatalysis process was then centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 5 minutes to separate the photocatalyst (resulting products) from MO solution. The supernatant concentration was then measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 463 nm.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Bismuth oxide synthesis by precipitation method using various NH₄OH concentrations in the first step, namely, drying in an oven at 110 °C for 24 hours, the resulting products showed white powder in colour which might be the formation of $(Bi(OH)_3)$ [17] as shown in Fig. 1(a) and Fig. 1(c) for 1.5 M and 2.5 M NH₄OH, respectively. After calcination at 600 °C for 1 hour, the white powder changed color to yellow as seen in Fig. 1(b) and Fig. 1(d). It is assumed that the color change from white to yellow indicated that bismuth oxide was formed.



FIGURE 1. Resulting products after heating for 24 hours at 110 °C in an oven and calcination at 600 °C for 1 hour using NH₄OH 1.5 M (a), (b), and NH₄OH 2.5 M (c), (d), respectively.

FTIR spectra presented in Fig. 2 indicate the presence of Bi-O-Bi vibrations as observed at vibration mode around 840 cm⁻¹ (Bartonickova et al., 2007); while at vibration mode around 1380 cm⁻¹ indicated the stretching vibration of Bi-O [18] although in this absorption band some references mention the existence of NO_3^- [15].



FIGURE 2. FTIR spectra of resulting products using various concentrations of NH₄OH

Fig. 3 shows the diffractograms of the resulting products synthesized by NH₄OH precipitating agent with a concentration of 1.5 and 2.5M. Both resulting products contain a mixture of the same crystal structure, namely, monoclinic structure (α -Bi₂O₃) and tetragonal (γ -Bi₂O₃) according to JSPS database no. 41-1449 for α -Bi₂O₃ and 45-1344 for γ -Bi₂O₃.



FIGURE 3. Diffractograms of resulting products synthesized by NH₄OH with concentrations of 1.5 and 2.5 M



FIGURE 4. DRS-UV spectra of resulting products synthesized using NH₄OH with concentration of (a) 1.5 M and (b) 2.5M

The results of DRS-UV analysis of the two products show that the synthesized products with $NH_4OH 1.5$ and 2.5 M have a band gap of 2.65 and 2.67 eV, respectively as shown in Fig. 4(a) and Fig. 4(b).



FIGURE 5. Photocatalytic activity of resulting products on MO degradation

Photocatalytic activity of bismuth oxide particles synthesized with variations in concentration of $NH_4OH 1.5$ M and 2.5 M precipitating agents was evaluated by studying the degradation of 5 ppm methyl orange solution under UV light A. Fig. 5 shows photocatalytic activity of bismuth oxide synthesized using different concentration of NH_4OH . The photodegradation of methyl orange by resulting products was undertaken in variations of times, i.e. 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, and 120 minutes. Methyl orange (MO) degradation by bismuth oxide synthesized using $NH_4OH 1.5$ M is higher than that of bismuth oxide synthesized using $NH_4OH 1.5$ M. Therefore, it can be concluded that bismuth oxide synthesized using $NH_4OH 1.5$ M gives better photocatalytic activity compared to $NH_4OH 2.5$ M with percent degradation of 82.98% and 37.23%, respectively for 120 minutes of irradiation.

Kinetic evaluation shows that the rate of MO degradation by synthesized products follows the second-order kinetics model with the equation (1).

$$\frac{1}{ct} = kt + \frac{1}{co} \tag{1}$$

where Co is the initial concentration of MO solution, Ct is the concentration of metyl orange at different times of (t) irradiation, k is the constant rate of MO degradation, and t is the radiation time. Fig. 6 shows a linear plot of 1/Ct vs t. The results of the calculation show that the product synthesized with 1.5 M NH₄OH has a degradation rate constant of 4.64 x 10^{-2} M⁻¹ min⁻¹; while with 2.5 M NH₄OH the product has a degradation rate constant of 2.37 x 10^{-2} M⁻¹ min⁻¹.



FIGURE 6. First order kinetics of MO degradation rate

CONCLUSION

The use of variation of weak base concentration (NH₄OH) as a precipitating agent in the synthesis of bismuth oxide generated the same crystal structure, namely, α -Bi₂O₃ and γ -Bi₂O₃. The band gap of bismuth oxide produced using 1.5 M NH₄OH is almost the same as that of using 2.5 M NH₄OH. In addition, the photocatalytic activity of Bi₂O₃ synthesized using 1.5 M NH₄OH showed better activity in the degradation of methyl orange than Bi₂O₃ synthesized using 2.5 M NH₄OH with a degradation rate constant of 4.46 x 10⁻² M⁻¹min⁻¹ and 2.37 x 10⁻² M⁻¹min⁻¹, consecutively.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author and co-author would like to thank Diponegoro University for financial support with the grant no. 831.1-05/UN7.P4.3/PP/2017 through Riset Publikasi Internasional (RPI) 2017-2018.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Mallahi, A. Shokuhfar, M. R.Vaezi, A. Esmaeilirad, and V. Mazinani, AJER, 3, 162-165 (2014).
- 2. F. T. Li, R. Jingrun, J. Mietek and Z. Q. Shi, Nanoscale, 7, 42, 17590-17610 (2015).
- 3. W. C. Schumb and E.S. Rittner, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 65, 6,1055-1060 (1943).

- 4. N. M. Sammes, G. A. Tompsett, H. Näfea, and F. Aldinger Sammes, J. Eur. Ceram. Soc. 19, 10, 1801-1826 (1999).
- 5. C. M. Bedoya Hincapie, M. J. P. Cárdenas, J. E. A. Orjeula, E. R. Parra, and J. J. O. Florez, Dyna. 79, 176, 139-148 (2012).
- 6. M. Jalalah, M. Faisal, H. Bouzid, J. G. Parkc, S. A. Al-Sayari, and A. A. Ismail, J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 30, 183-189 (2015).
- 7. J. La, Y. Huang, G. Luo, J. Lai, C. Liu, and G. Chu, Part. Sci. Technol. 31, 3, 287-290 (2013).
- Y. Astuti, A. Fauziyah, S. Nurhayati, A. D. Wulansari, R. Andianingrum, A. R. Hakim and G. Bhaduri Astuti, Synthesis of α-Bismuth oxide using solution combustion method and its photocatalytic properties. in IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. 2016.
- 9. C. Wu, L. Shen, Q. Huang, and Y. C. Zhang, Mater. Lett. 65, 7, 1134-1136 (2011).
- 10. Y. Astuti, A. Rizka, W. A. Dista, Pardoyo, Arnelli, and H. Abdul, Adv. Sci. Lett. 23, 7, 6521-6523 (2017).
- 11. Y. Astuti, Arnelli, Pardoyo, A. Fauziyah, S. Nurhayati, A. D. Wulansari, R. Ardianingrum, H. Widiyandari, and G. A. Bhaduri, Bull. Chem. Reac. Eng. Catal. 12, 3, 478-484 (2017).
- 12. D. A. Skoog, Fundamentals of analytical chemistry (Nelson Education, 2013).
- 13. S. M. Khopkar, Basic concepts of analytical chemistry (New Age International, 1988).
- 14. I. Ramli, C.M. Tza, and T. Y. Y. Hin, Solid State Sci. Technol, 15, 1, 30-42 (2007).
- 15. E. A. Abdullah, A. H. Abdullah, Z. Zainal, M. Z. Hussein, and T. K. Ban, J. Chem. 9, 4, 2429-2438 (2012).
- 16. S. Iyyapushpam, S. Nishanthi, and D. P. Padiyan, J. Alloys Compd. 563, 104-107 (2013).
- 17. A. I. Vogel, *A Text-Book of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis Theory and Practice*. (Longmans, Green and Co. London, New York, Toronto, 2013).
- 18. S. Bandyopadhyay and A. Dutta, J. Phys. Chem. Solids. 102, 12-20 (2017).