Nama Jurnal	: Journal Processes
Volume	: 8, Issue 10, Oktober, 2020
No. ISSN	: ISSN 22279717
DOI	: 0.3390/pr8101276;
H Index	: 20
Impact factor	: 2,973 (2019)
SJR Index	: 0,403 (2019)
Reputasi	: Terindeks SCOPUS, Q2

Judul Paper: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study

Item	Halaman
Submission Received+Original Paper (21 September 2020)	2-22
Assistant Editor Assigned (22 September 2020)	23-24
Comment Reviewer-1/ Major Revision (28 September 2020)	25-29
Revision Reminder (6 Oktober 2020)	30-31
Re-Submitted / Revised Version-1 (6 Oktober 2020)	32-55
Revised Version Received (6 Oktober 2020)	56-57
Accepted for Publication (8 Oktober 2020)	58-59
Final Proofread (9 Oktober 2020)	60-62
Comment Reviewer-2 (9 Oktober 2020)	63-81
Re-Submitted / Revised Version-2 (10 Oktober 2020)	82-101
Paper has been Published (12 Oktober 2020)	102-105

1

SUBMISSION RECEIVED (21 September 2020)

Editorial

>

Office <processes@mdpi.com

reply-to:	processes@mdpi.com
to:	Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id></aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
CC:	Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id></widayat@live.undip.ac.id></moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id></teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>
date:	Sep 21, 2020, 10:43 AM
subject:	[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes- 955989 - Submission Received
mailed-by:	mdpi.com

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thank you very much for uploading the following manuscript to the MDPI submission system. One of our editors will be in touch with you soon.

signed-by: mdpi.com

Journal name: Processes Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id

You can follow progress of your manuscript at the following link (login required): https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

The following points were confirmed during submission:

1. Processes is an open access journal with publishing fees of 1500 CHF for

an accepted paper (see https://www.mdpi.com/about/apc/ for details). This manuscript, if accepted, will be published under an open access Creative Commons CC BY license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), and I agree to pay the Article Processing Charges as described on the journal webpage (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/apc). See https://www.mdpi.com/about/openaccess for more information about open access publishing.

Please note that you may be entitled to a discount if you have previously received a discount code or if your institute is participating in the MDPI Institutional Open Access Program (IOAP), for more information see https://www.mdpi.com/about/ioap. If you have been granted any other special discounts for your submission, please contact the Processes editorial office.

2. I understand that:

a. If previously published material is reproduced in my manuscript, I will provide proof that I have obtained the necessary copyright permission. (Please refer to the Rights & Permissions website: https://www.mdpi.com/authors/rights).

b. My manuscript is submitted on the understanding that it has not been published in or submitted to another peer-reviewed journal. Exceptions to this rule are papers containing material disclosed at conferences. I confirm that I will inform the journal editorial office if this is the case for my manuscript. I confirm that all authors are familiar with and agree with submission of the contents of the manuscript. The journal editorial office reserves the right to contact all authors to confirm this in case of doubt. I will provide email addresses for all authors, and an institutional e-mail address for at least one of the co-authors, and specify the name, address and e-mail for invoicing purposes.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Processes editorial office at processes@mdpi.com

3

Kind regards,

Processes Editorial Office St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland E-Mail: processes@mdpi.com Tel. +41 61 683 77 34 Fax: +41 61 302 89 18

*** This is an automatically generated email ***

ORIGINAL PAPER

Article

Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study

Aji Prasetyaningrum1*, Teguh Riyanto1, Muhamad Djaeni1, Widayat Widayat1

- ¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. Soedarto S.H., Tembalang, Semarang 50239, Indonesia; teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id (T.R.); <u>moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id</u> (M.D.); widayat@live.undip.ac.id (W.W.)
- * Correspondence: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id; Tel.: +62-24-7460058

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: An investigation of the process of ozone combined with ultraviolet radiation has been carried out in order to establish the kinetics for photochemical oxidation of copper (Cu) from electroplating wastewater. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value on the photochemical oxidation of Cu have been studied comprehensively. The Cu concentration during the reaction was identified using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) method. The solid product was analyzed using X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscope–Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) methods. It was found that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals. It was also found that solid product from UV-Ozone process was CuO monoclinic crystal phase. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value were significantly affected the Cu removal efficiency. On the other hand, the UV irradiation intensity was not significant; however, it has responsibility in promoting the ozone photolysis. The kinetics model for the photochemical oxidation of Cu was established following the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the reaction mechanism was also developed.

Keywords: photochemical oxidation; Cu; kinetics; ozone; ultraviolet irradiation; advanced oxidation process

1. Introduction

Heavy metals have become a global issue of environmental and public health concern because of their toxicity and bioaccumulation in the human body and food chain [1]. The effects of urbanization and industrialization cause an increase in heavy metal pollution to the environment [2]. High toxicity and non-biodegradability of heavy metals caused a number of environmental problems [3]. The accumulation of heavy metals in the atmosphere is responsible for both natural and anthropogenic activities [4]. Copper (Cu), as an essential trace element, is required by biological systems for the activation of some enzymes during photosynthesis. However, at higher concentrations, it shows harmful effects on the human body. Continuous exposure may lead to kidney damage and even death. Cu is also toxic to a variety of aquatic organisms even at very low concentrations. Mining, metallurgy and industrial applications are the major sources of Cu exposure in the environment [5].

There were several techniques for the treatment of industrial wastewater containing heavy metals, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, coagulation-flocculation, flotation, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, magnetic separation and purification, biosorption, and

nanotechnology [6]. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are promising, efficient, and environmentally friendly methods for the removal of wastewater contaminants [7]. The AOPs can be photochemical AOP, sonochemical AOP, and electrochemical AOP [7]. The basic principles of AOPs are the in situ generations of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the oxidation process. The hydroxyl radicals can be produced from hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), ozone, photo-catalysis, or oxidants in combination with ultraviolet (UV) radiation [8].

Ozone is an active oxidant which is commercially available and widely used in municipal water treatment and wastewater treatment. Besides having the ability as an oxidizing agent, wastewater treatment with ozone is an environmentally friendly method. The pollutants such as color, odor, and microorganisms are oxidized directly without generating harmful chlorinated by-products or substantial residues [9]. In order to increase the effectivity of the ozonation process, it is necessary to combine the ozone process with another process which can increase the reaction efficiency between ozone and pollutant. The promising process is the combination of ozone and UV irradiation that could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) as the main oxidant compounds in AOP [10]. The combination of UV-Ozone process has been reported as a promising process for HO• radical formation [11–13]. Therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Based on this characteristic, the combination of UV-Ozone process has a potential option for wastewater treatment [14].

Since the UV-Ozone process has high beneficial result in wastewater treatment; therefore, it is important to investigate this process comprehensively. However, the utilization of the UV-Ozone process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is still limited. Therefore, the comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone processes is investigated in this study. Furthermore, the effect of several operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value, on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through photochemical (UV-Ozone) oxidation process is also comprehensively studied. In addition, the kinetic study of this process is important for scale-up processing. The study of the kinetics of wastewater treatment with a combination of UV-Ozone process for organic compound degradation has been investigated by several previous researchers [15,16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies concerning the kinetic study in Cu from electroplating wastewater by UV-Ozone process. In this study, the kinetic models of the Cu removal process are investigated in order to determine the order of the kinetic rate. Furthermore, the relation of operating parameters with the kinetic parameter is also investigated comprehensively.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

This experiment was carried out using synthetic wastewater with an initial copper concentration of 145.73 mg/L. The initial concentration of samples was prepared based on the composition of copper from industrial electroplating wastewater which located at Juwana, Pati, Central of Java Indonesia. Synthesized wastewater with varying copper concentrations (145.73 mg/L; 72.86 mg/L; and 36.9 mg/L) was prepared by dissolving the corresponding amount of CuSO4.5H2O (>98%, Merck) in deionized (DI) water. The initial pH value of the solution was adjusted using HCl (37%, Merck) and NaOH (>98%, Merck).

2.2. Experimental system set-up

The experimental study on photochemical oxidation of copper by ozone combined with UV irradiation was conducted in a bubble column reactor made of borosilicate glass. The reactor was equipped with a low-pressure mercury UV Light (Philips–TUV 8 Watt, main emission line at 253.7 nm). Ozone gas generated from ozone generator type dielectric barrier discharge (Dipo Technology, Diponegoro University) connected to reactors UV-Ozone (**Figure 1**). The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The UV-Ozone photoreactor was performed under a semi-batch condition reaction. The reactor was an open-top cylindrical tank with a fixed top suspension with a low-pressure mercury

UV Light. UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². The UV irradiation intensity was adjusted by varying the number of UV light. A fritted glass diffuser was placed at the bottom of the reactor to allow the continuous injection of ozonized gas. Ozone was produced from the air which flows into the ozone generator. The reaction was adjusted for different time periods (i.e. 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 min) and initial pH (3, 6, 8, and 10) during UV-Ozone treatment. All oxidation reaction processes were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The initial pH was adjusted using NaOH and HCl solutions.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of UV-Ozone treament equipment

2.3. Analytical Methods

A pH meter analyzer (EZDO PH-5011A) was used during the procedure for assessing the pH of the response solution. An Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS – Quantachrome series 11.0) was used to analyzed Cu concentration and wastewater samples. The solid product was also analyzed using X-ray Diffraction (XRD) (Shimadzu 7000) method. The Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) was operated at 30 mA and 30 kV. The diffraction patterns were generated at 2 θ angle ranges of 20–70° with a scanning speed of 4° min⁻¹. The surface morphology and metal oxide composition of the solid product was analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscope–Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) (SEM-EDX JEOL JSM-6510LA) method. The removal efficiency was calculated using Equation (1), when η is the Cu removal efficiency (%), C_0 is the initial Cu concentration (mg/L) and C_t (mg/L) is the Cu concentration at time t.

$$\eta(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_r}{C_0} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Comparation of UV, Ozone and combination UV-Ozone

In order to study the different effects of UV, Ozone, and UV–Ozone combination processes on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, these three processes are compared. The result is shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 2. Comparison of UV, Ozone and UV-Ozone combination processes

Figure 2 depicted the comparison of UV, Ozone, and combined UV-Ozone treatment on Cu removal efficiency from electroplating wastewater. As can be seen, at 60 minutes of reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained from the UV irradiation process is 69.08%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained from Ozone treatment is 72.64%. Interestingly, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased up to 78.8% when the UV and Ozone processes are combined. This high Cu removal efficiency can be obtained by the enhancement of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the combination of UV and Ozone processes. As reported by Halena et al. [10], the combination of UV irradiation and ozone could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals; therefore the oxidation process could be accelerated. Furthermore, it is suggested that the combination of UV irradiation and Ozone treatment can increase the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. It is true since the mechanism of Cu oxidation through AOP is initiated by the presence of HO• radicals. Equations (2) and (3) represent the oxidation process of Cu through AOP. As can be seen in Equation (2), the Cu²⁺ ion is oxidized by HO• radicals producing solid CuO and water molecules.

$$Cu^{2+} + 2HO \longrightarrow CuO_{\perp} + H_2O \tag{2}$$

$$Cu^{2+} + O_3 \to CuO_\downarrow + O_2 \tag{3}$$

Based on Equation (2), the important thing in Cu removal through AOP is the presence of HO• radicals. Therefore, it is suggested that the process of producing more HO• radicals is the preferred process. As can be seen in **Figure 2**, the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process is the lowest compared to the other processes. It is true since the UV irradiation process without the presence of ozone in the system is only produces HO• radicals through the photolysis of H₂O molecule [12,17]. The photolysis of the H₂O molecule by UV irradiation is shown in Equation (4) [12]. The other possible mechanisms in HO• production through the UV irradiation process are shown in Equations (5) and (6) [18].

$$H_2O + hv \to HO\Box + H\Box \tag{4}$$

$$H_2O + hv \to H_2O^* \tag{5}$$

$$H_2 O^* + hv \to HO \square + H \square \tag{6}$$

For the Ozone treatment, as depicted in **Figure 2**, the Cu removal efficiency is higher than the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process. This is due to the fact that the Cu oxidation

through Ozone treatment can be initiated by HO• radicals and directly oxidized by the ozone molecule (Equation (3)). These two reactions occurred in Ozone treatment, therefore; the Cu removal efficiency obtained by Ozone treatment is higher than obtained by UV treatment. The chemical reaction of HO• radicals formation through Ozone treatment is shown in Equation (7) [12].

$$3O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HO \square + 4O_2 \tag{7}$$

As mentioned before, the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained by the UV-Ozone process due to the high HO• radical formation during the combination of the UV irradiation process and the Ozone process. Besides, the presence of ozone can also increase the oxidation process due to the direct oxidation process. The formation of HO• radicals in the UV-Ozone process has been widely reported. Some proposed HO• radical formation during UV-Ozone process follow the reaction mechanism as described in Equation (8-10) [12,19]:

$$O_3 + H_2O + hv \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{8}$$

$$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2HO$$

$$H_2O_2 + H_2O \to H_3O^+ + HO_2^-$$
 (10)

$$O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO^- + O_2 + O_2^-$$

$$\tag{11}$$

$$O_3 + O_2 \Box \to O_2 + O_3 \Box \tag{12}$$

$$O_3\Box + H_2O \to HO\Box + HO^- + O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$O_3 + H_2 O_2 \to HO\Box + O_2 + HO_2\Box \tag{14}$$

$$H_2O_2 + HO \square \to HO_2\square + H_2O \tag{15}$$

As can be seen, in the UV-Ozone process, an additional oxidant, H₂O₂, is generated through O₃ photolysis (Equation (8)) [12]. O₃ reacts with H₂O to form H₂O₂ under UV irradiation. This oxidant can produce more HO• radicals. H₂O₂ absorbs UV light to generate HO• radicals. By this fact, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased following the reaction shown in Equation (2) in the combination of UV and Ozone process. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies. In dyehouse wastewater subjected to the combination of UV-Ozone treatment, a significant color reduction was obtained up to 98.3% [13]. For another reason, Bes-Piá et al. [11] studied the UV-Ozone process for textile wastewater treatment. They reported that the combination of UV irradiation with ozone could significantly reduce the operating time to reach the same COD removal efficiency.

3.2. Characterisation of the solid product

As reported in the previous section (Section 3.1), the UV-Ozone process has the highest Cu removal efficiency compared to the other processes. The Cu removal through this process produces solid product which is proposed as CuO, as shown in Equation (2) and (3). In order to prove that the solid product is CuO, the solid product was analyzed. **Figure 3** shows the XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from the UV-Ozone process. Based on **Figure 3**, the characteristic peaks appear at 20 of 32.54°, 35.56°, 38.74°, 48.68°, 53.62°, 58.16°, 61.62°, 66.12° and 68.12°, respectively. These peaks correspond to the CuO (Tenorite phase) according to the JCPDS card number 96-900-8962. These also indicate that the formation of monoclinic crystal structure [20]. On the other hand, several studies reported that two peaks at $2\theta = 35.6^{\circ}$ [102] and $2\theta = 38.8^{\circ}$ [111] observed in the diffraction patterns are ascribed to the formation of the CuO (space group C2/c) monoclinic crystal phase [21,22]. In this study, these peaks appear at $2\theta = 35.6^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = 38.74^{\circ}$. Therefore, it is confirmed that the solid product produced from UV-Ozone process is CuO in monoclinic crystal phase.

(9)



Figure 3. XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from UV-Ozone process



Figure 4. Composition of metal oxide in the solid product (a) and surface morphology (right) and crystal structure model (left) of the solid product (b).

The morphology and the metal oxide composition of the solid product from UV-Ozone process are identified using SEM analysis. Based on the result of SEM-EDX analysis (**Figure 4(a)**), it is confirmed that the most metal oxide presence in the solid product is CuO. The CuO content detected by SEM-EDX analysis in the solid product is 83.52%. The other components detected may be produced from the impurities in the wastewater. However, this fact confirmed that the solid product is CuO. **Figure 4(b)** is the appearance of the solid product surface (right) and the model of the CuO monoclinic crystal structure (left). As can be seen, the surface morphology of the CuO is agglomerated nanorods. Manyasree et al. [23] reported that the surface morphology the CuO nanoparticle which was synthesized from copper sulfate and sodium hydroxide through coprecipitation process is flowershaped structure.

3.3. The effect of operating parameters

3.3.1. Effect of initial concentration

In order to study the effect of the initial Cu concentration, the photochemical oxidation process was conducted with the variation of the initial Cu concentration. The initial Cu concentration was varied at 145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L. The monitored parameter is the Cu removal efficiency. The effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in **Figure 5**.



Figure 5. Effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 5**, the Cu removal efficiency is significantly affected by the initial Cu concentration. During the oxidation process for 60 minutes, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 145.73 mg/L is 34.39%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 72.86 mg/L is 54.36%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 36.9 mg/L is 68.46%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the initial Cu concentration has a significant effect on the Cu removal efficiency decreases significantly with the increase in the initial Cu concentration from 36.9 mg/L to 145.73 mg/L. The decrease in Cu removal efficiency at high initial Cu concentration is due to the presence of a high amount of hydroxyl radical scavengers. When the initial Cu concentration is high, the photochemical oxidation process is overloaded. As a result, the Cu in the solution competes with each other during the oxidation reaction process [24]. On the other hand, in the UV irradiation process, the permeation of photons is reduced at high solution concentration; therefore, the concentration of hydroxyl radical produced is low [24]. Therefore, the oxidation of Cu decreases at high initial Cu concentration. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies in AOP. Hassan et al. [25] reported that the decolorization of Direct

Yellow 50 dye in seawater through the UV-Ozone process was highly affected by the initial dye concentration which the decolorization rate decreased by increasing the dye concentration. Dai et al. [26] also reported a similar finding in the degradation of carbamazepine in water through AOP. They reported that the degradation percentage of carbamazepine decreased from 34% to 13% with the increase in the initial carbamazepine concentration from $4.2 \,\mu$ M to $42.3 \,\mu$ M. Jing et al. [27] also reported that the initial aniline concentration affected the aniline degradation process through ozonation. They reported that aniline degradation decreased with the increase in the initial aniline concentration due to the overloaded of ozonation process.

3.3.2. Effect of ozone dosage

In this study, the effect of ozone dosage on the Cu removal process through the photochemical oxidation process is studied by varying the ozone dosage. The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The Cu removal efficiency was monitored to study this matter. The effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in **Figure 6**.



Figure 6. Effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency through a photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 6**, the Cu removal efficiency increases significantly with increasing the ozone dosage. At 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 5 mg/h is 48.31%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 10 mg/h is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 15 mg/h is 78.80%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the Cu removal efficiency in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process is highly affected by the ozone dosage. As the increase in ozone dosage, the Cu removal efficiency increases. It is true since in the ozonation process, the oxidation of Cu can occur through two different mechanisms, i.e. oxidation by HO• radicals (Equation (2)) and direct oxidation by ozone (Equation (3)). As reported by Wang et al. [28], in the ozone-based oxidation process, there are two methods, namely indirect reaction of free radicals and direct reaction.

Pertaining to the effect of ozone dosage on HO• radical formation, when ozone dosage increases, more HO• radicals are formed. Under UV irradiation, H₂O₂ can be formed through the reaction of ozone with H₂O (Equation (8)). Furthermore, this H₂O₂ molecule absorbs the UV light to generate the HO• radicals (Equation (9)) [19]. Ozone molecules also can react with H₂O₂ molecules to produce HO• radicals. Besides, the ozone also can also directly react with H₂O to generate HO• radicals (Equation (7)). Then, Cu is oxidized by HO• radicals. Therefore, the photochemical oxidation rate of Cu increases with the increase in ozone dosage. As a comparison, Wang et al. [28] reported that the decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by the ozone-based oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Ren et al. [19] reported that the removal efficiency of polyacrylamide through the photochemical oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Guo et al. [29] also reported the same result in the degradation process of sulfadiazine in water by the UV-Ozone process.

3.3.3. Effect of UV irradiation intensity

In order to study the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the photochemical process was conducted by varying the UV irradiation intensity. The UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². **Figure 7** shows the result of the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency.



Figure 7. Effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As shown in **Figure 7**, at 60 minutes reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 20 mW/cm² is 65.09%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 40 mW/cm² is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is 69.08%. It can be concluded that the Cu photochemical oxidation rate slightly increases with the increase in UV radiation intensity. The Cu removal efficiency slightly increases for UV irradiation of 20 to 40 mW/cm². Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency remains unchanged for UV irradiation intensity of 40 to 60 mW/cm².

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity is due to the high possibility to produce HO• radicals at high UV irradiation intensity. The possible process of HO• radical formation during UV irradiation is the photolytic dissociation of water by UV irradiation at a wavelength of 254 nm [30]. However, this process is still unclear since some studies reported that the photolytic dissociation of water to HO• radicals is only can be conducted at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Deng and Zhao [12] claimed that this process occurs at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Furthermore, Jin et al. [17] reported that the HO• radicals could be formed through irradiation on liquid water by UV light in the range of 150 – 200 nm. As the UV irradiation wavelength used in this study is around 253.7 nm, the increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity from 20 to 40 mW/cm² is attributed to the formation of HO• radicals through ozone photolysis producing H₂O₂ (Equation (8)). However, high UV irradiation intensity in the ozonation process is not good. It is true since, at high UV irradiation intensity, ozone molecule could be degraded to oxygen [31]. Therefore, the formation of HO• radicals is low at high UV irradiation intensity. Furthermore, it is suggested that the unchanged Cu removal efficiency at UV irradiation intensity. Furthermore, it to the degradation of the ozone molecule resulting in the low formation of HO• radicals.

3.3.4. Effect of pH

The efficiency of AOP can be influenced by various factors, such as the pH of the solution [32–34]. In order to study the effect of pH on Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through photochemical oxidation process, the pH of solution was varied at 3, 6, 8 and 10. The result is depicted in **Figure 8**.



Figure 8. Effect of pH on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 8**, at 60 minutes reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 3 is 46.02%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 6 is 68.46%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 8 is 80.09%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 10 is 70.46%. It can be seen that the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained at a pH of 8. Hence, it can be concluded that the photochemical oxidation of Cu from electroplating wastewater increases with the increase in pH from 3 to 8, then it decreases with a further increase in pH to 10.

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in pH value is attributed to the high rate of HO• radical formation at high pH conditions. As reported by Muniyasamy et al. [34], in the oxidation process, pH influences the process by altering the chemical nature of ozone. At low pH conditions (acidic conditions), the decomposition of the ozone molecule to produce HO• radicals is relatively slow [35]. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone was sluggish below the pH of 4 [36]. Furthermore, ozone tends to remain in the molecular state at acidic conditions; however, ozone can react directly as ozone radical with the contaminants at alkaline conditions [33,37]. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency is low at low pH value. Furthermore, the higher the pH value, the higher the Cu removal efficiency. This tendency is attributed to the high formation of HO• radicals at the alkaline conditions. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone in water is better at higher pH values [38]. At alkaline conditions, ozone is unstable and rapidly decomposes into HO• radical [34,35]. The HO• radical formation through ozone decomposition occurs as follows:

$$O_3 + OH^- \to HO_2^- + O_2 \tag{16}$$

$$2O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO\Box + O_2^-\Box + O_2 \tag{17}$$

As can be seen in Equation (16) and (17), the HO• radical which is the primary oxidant in indirect oxidation [34] is produced at high pH level represented as OH-. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value since the HO• radical formation is favored at a high pH level. However, the Cu removal efficiency decrease at a pH of 10. At higher pH value, CuO can dissolve to the aqueous phase due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [39]. Therefore, Cu removal efficiency decreases. The possible dissolution mechanism of CuO at high pH value was proposed by Khan et al. [39] as follows:

$$CuO_{(s)} + OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{-}_{3(aq)}$$
 (18)

$$CuO_{(s)} + 2OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{2-}_{4(aq)}$$
 (19)

3.4. Kinetic study

3.4.1. Determination of the kinetic rate order

Three classical kinetic models are proposed to describe the Cu removal process and to determine the order or kinetic rate. These kinetic models include first-order model, second-order model, and pseudo-first-order model. The compatibility of these models is evaluated by the R^2 value as reported elsewhere [40]. In this work, the kinetic study of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is considered for various initial Cu concentration (*C*₀), ozone dosage (*C*₀₃), UV irradiation intensity (*Iuv*), and initial pH condition.

In this advanced oxidation process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the mass conservation of Cu in the process can be generally expressed as:

$$-\frac{dC_t}{dt} = (-r) \tag{20}$$

where C_t (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at time t (min), (–r) (mg/L.min) is the rate of Cu removal. For first order model (– $r=k_1C_t$), integration of Equation (20) at the initial concentration of C_0 , gives:

$$-\ln\left(\frac{C_i}{C_0}\right) = k_1 t \tag{21}$$

where k_1 (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant for first order. Further simplification of Equation (21) will give the time-dependent concentration of Cu (Equation (22)).

$$C_t = C_0 e^{-k_t t} \tag{22}$$

For second-order model $(-r=k_2C_{l^2})$, the integration of Equation (20) will give:

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = k_2 t + \frac{1}{C_0}$$
(23)

where k_2 (L/mg.min) is the kinetic rate constant of the second-order model. For the pseudo-first-order model ($-r=k_p(C_t - C_t)$), the time-dependent concentration of Cu through the integration of Equation (20) is obtained as:

$$C_{t} = C_{e} + (C_{0} - C_{e})e^{-k_{p}t}$$
(24)

where C_e (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at equilibrium condition and k_p (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant of pseudo-first-order model.

The kinetic parameters were determined using the least-square method. As can be seen, the obtained equation for first-order and second-order kinetic models (Equations (21) and (23)) are linear equations. Therefore, the kinetic parameters (k_1 and k_2) can be obtained from the linear plot relating to these equations using the linear regression method. However, the equation derived from the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not linear. Therefore, the kinetic parameters of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not linear. Therefore, the kinetic parameters of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model second an on-linear regression method. On the other hand, to measure the goodness of the kinetic models proposed, the squared-correlation coefficient, R^2 , was used as the parameter [40,41]. The obtained kinetic parameters and R^2 values for first-order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order kinetic models are shown in **Table 1**.

As can be seen in **Table 1**, all proposed models fit the data as the R² values are close to unity. However, compared to the other proposed models, the R² value of the second-order model is far enough from unity. Besides, the values of R² obtained are not uniform for all data. Therefore, it is suggested that the second-order model is excluded as the proposed model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal. Hence, the first order and pseudo-first-order models are then considered as the most suitable proposed models to describe the kinetics of Cu removal. Considering the R² values of the first order and pseudo-first-order models, both these two models have high goodness in describing the kinetic rate of Cu removal. However, at the initial Cu concentration, Co, of 145.73 and 72.86 mg/L, the value of the equilibrium concentrations, Ce, obtained are zero. It indicates that at a high initial concentration of Cu in the wastewater, the kinetic rate will be increased. Furthermore, some of the Ce values are relatively low. In some cases, the theoretical value of Ce might be negative when the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is forcibly used [41]. In those cases, the pseudo-first-order model cannot be used to describe the kinetic rate. Obviously, if the value of the equilibrium concentration is zero or low enough, the pseudo-first-order model gets back to the first-order model [40,41]. Therefore, it is suggested that the most suitable model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-ozone process, is the first-order model.

		Соз (mg/h)			Kinetic parameters						
C0 (mg/L)	Iuv		лH	First o	order	Second o	rder	Pseu	der		
	(mW/cm ²)		pii	k1 (1/min)	R ²	k2 (L/mg.min)	R ²	<i>k_p</i> (1/min)	Ce (mg/L)	R ²	
	145.73	10	40	6	0.0069	0.9997	5.673×10-5	0.9921	0.0069	0.000	0.9994
	72.86	10	40	6	0.0128	0.9993	2.451×10-4	0.9678	0.0127	0.000	0.9983
	36.9	10	40	6	0.0196	0.9995	8.898×10-4	0.9707	0.0237	3.769	0.9995
	36.9	5	40	6	0.0111	0.9998	3.972×10-4	0.9875	0.0116	1.223	0.9993
	36.9	15	40	6	0.0267	0.9959	1.480×10^{-3}	0.9624	0.0395	5.528	0.9979
	36.9	10	20	6	0.0173	0.9996	7.407×10-4	0.9609	0.0188	1.850	0.9990
	36.9	10	60	6	0.0198	0.9989	9.031×10-4	0.9654	0.0252	4.663	0.9969
	36.9	10	40	3	0.0116	0.9847	4.160×10-4	0.9790	0.0330	12.126	0.9940
	36.9	10	40	8	0.0282	0.9980	1.650×10-3	0.9359	0.0376	4.170	0.9962
	36.9	10	40	10	0.0226	0 9904	1 100×10-3	0 9909	0.0381	7 725	0 9970

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of the first order, second-order and pseudo-first-order models

The kinetic study of Cu removal using an advanced oxidation process has been widely reported. However, the kinetic study of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, UV-ozone process, is still limited. The most-reported process is the electrochemical/electrocoagulation process. Al-Shannag et al. [40] reported that the electrocoagulation of heavy metals from wastewater, including Cu, followed a pseudo-first-order model. Using the same method, Vasudevan and Lakshmi [42] reported that the electrocoagulation of Cu from water follows the second-order model. Furthermore, Khattab et al. [43] reported that Cu removal through the electrochemical process follows the first-order kinetic model.

3.4.2. The effect of operating parameters on kinetic rate constant

The kinetic rate behavior of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, combined UVozone process, follows the first-order kinetic model as reported in the previous section (Section 3.3.1). The value of the kinetic rate constant of the first-order model, k_1 , is presented in **Table 1**. As can be observed, the value of k_1 is varied as the variation of operating parameters, including C_0 , C_{O3} , Iuv, and pH. The value of k_1 increases with a decrease in C_0 . Furthermore, the value of k_1 increases with the increase in C_{O3} , Iuv, and pH. However, at a pH of 10, the value of k_1 decreases. Even though, these phenomena indicate that the value of the observed k_1 is affected by the operating parameters. This is in accordance with some previous studies that the kinetic rate constant of the advanced oxidation process, especially the UV-ozone process, is affected by the operating parameters [19,44]. The operating parameters-dependent of the kinetic rate constant can be mathematically written as Equations (25) and (26) where ε is the pre-exponent constant while *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* are the exponent constant characteristic of *C*₀, *C*₀₃, *Iuv*, and pH, respectively. The linear form of Equation (26) is shown as Equation (27).

$$k_{1} = f\left(C_{0}, C_{O_{3}}, I_{UV}, pH\right)$$
(25)

$$k_1 = \varepsilon C_0^a C_{O_3}^b I_{UV}^c p H^d \tag{26}$$

$$\ln k_{1} = \ln \varepsilon + a \ln C_{0} + b \ln C_{0} + c \ln I_{UV} + d \ln pH$$
(27)

Based on Equation (27), the value of ε , *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* can be obtained using multiple regression analysis which also has been used elsewhere [44]. However, the value of k_1 at a pH of 10 is not included in this calculation because it doesn't follow the tendency. **Table 2** shows the result of the multiple regression analysis. As can be seen, the *P-value* of the coefficients obtained for each parameter is lower than 0.05. This indicates that the coefficients obtained are significant. However, the *P-value* of ln *Iuv* coefficient is higher than 0.05 which indicates that this coefficient is not significant. **Table 3** shows the result of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the multiple regression analysis. As can be observed, the value of multiple R, R² and adjusted R² is close to unity. These indicate that the obtained regression equation fits the data. Furthermore, the obtained *F*-value (113.4928) is higher than the *F*-table or theoretical *F*-value. The theoretical *F*-value [F0.05(4,7)] is 6.09.

Table 2. Multiple regression analysis result

Parameters	Coefficients	Standard Error	P-value
Intercept	-5.1661	0.3951	3.5663×10-6
ln Co	-0.7401	0.0441	6.4919×10-7
ln Co3	0.8154	0.0778	1.5626×10-5
ln Iuv	0.1441	0.0778	0.1064
ln pH	0.8407	0.0839	2.1105×10-5

Table 3. Summary of the analysis of vari	iance (ANOVA
--	--------------

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	4	1.7467	0.4367	113.4928	1.9188×10-6
Residual	7	0.0269	0.0038		
Total	11	1.7736			
Multiple R	0.9924				
R ²	0.9848				
Adjusted R ²	0.9761				
Standard Error	0.0620				

Based on the result of the multiple regression analysis (**Table 2**), Equation (27) can be rewritten as Equation (28). Furthermore, Equation (26) can be rewritten as Equation (29) with the value of ε constant was calculated from the natural exponential of the intercept of Equation (28). The obtained value of k_1 was then compared to the calculated value of k_1 using Equation (29). The comparison of the observed k_1 and calculated k_1 is depicted in **Figure 9**. It is clear that the observed and calculated values of k_1 are in good fit as the R² is close to unity (0.9848). By combining the Equations (1), (22) and (29), the calculated Cu removal efficiency can be rewritten as Equation (30). Furthermore, the comparison of the Cu removal efficiency between the observed value and the calculated value is also depicted in **Figure 10**.



$$k_{1} = \left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_{0}^{-0.7401} C_{O_{3}}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$\eta_{cal}(\%) = 100 - 100 \exp\left(-\left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_0^{-0.7401} C_{O_3}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407} t\right)$$
(30)



Figure 9. Comparison of observed and calculated value of *k*¹



Figure 10. Comparison of data and calculated the Cu removal efficiency at (a) varied C_{θ_r} (b) varied $C_{03,r}$ (c) varied I_{UV_r} and (d) varied pH.

As can be seen in **Table 2** and Equation (29), the exponent characteristic value of C_0 is in a negative value. It indicates that the value of the initial Cu concentration is inversely proportional to the *k*¹ value. This finding is in accordance with the finding of Ren et al. [19]. They reported that the initial concentration of polyacrylamide in the UV-Ozone process of polyacrylamide oxidation is inversely proportional to the kinetic rate constant value. Concerning the effect of UV and ozone treatment in this oxidation process, the value of the exponent characteristic of Co3 and Iuv can be used to study this matter [44]. As can be seen, the exponent characteristic value of Co3 is higher than Iuv. It is suggested that the ozone dosage is more significant in this oxidation process than UV irradiation intensity. This finding is in accordance with the previous study of the UV-Ozone process. The UV-Ozone process was conducted for κ-Carrageenan treatment and the result showed that the ozone dosage was more significant than UV irradiation intensity [44]. Furthermore, the value of the exponent characteristic of Iuv is low enough and it is close to zero. It indicates that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant enough for the Cu removal process from wastewater. In the case of the effect of pH on k_1 value, it is clear that the pH has a significant effect on k_1 value as the value of the exponent characteristic of pH is high. It is true because the metal oxidation process in an aqueous solution is affected by the presence of OH- ions which can promote the formation of HO• radicals. On the other hand, the solubility of metal in aqueous solution is also affected by the pH value [39].

3.5. Proposed mechanism

Based on the explanation at previous sections, the mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through UV-Ozone process is developed. **Figure 11** shows the proposed mechanism in this study. As reported in several studies, the oxidation through AOPs is initiated by the formation of HO• radical because the main oxidating agent is HO• radical [45,46]. Based on several findings obtained in this study (reported in the previous sections), the HO• radical formation takes place through three different steps. In **Figure 11**, these steps are represented in orange, blue, and red arrows. The orange arrows represent the HO• radical formation through UV-Ozone process. The blue arrow represents the direct ozonation of water producing HO• radicals releasing O₂ molecules. Furthermore, the red arrows represent the ozone degradation producing HO• radicals in alkaline condition releasing O₂ molecules. The red arrow is depicted in dash-line arrow type, this indicates that this process doesn't occur due to no OH⁻ ions presence.



Figure 11. Proposed mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through UV-Ozone process

After the formation of HO• radicals occurred, the next step is oxidation process. This process is depicted in black arrows, includes direct and indirect oxidation since the oxidating agents in this UV-Ozone process are HO• radicals and ozone molecules. Both HO• radicals and O₃ react with Cu²⁺ to form solid CuO. The oxidation process of Cu²⁺ to CuO by HO• radicals is called indirect oxidation, while the oxidation process of Cu²⁺ by O₃ molecules is called direct oxidation. Based on **Figure 11**, as the HO• radicals and O₃ molecules increase in the system, the CuO product produced increases. It means that the Cu removal from the electroplating wastewater increases. However, this CuO product can dissolve in alkaline condition. As can be seen in **Figure 11**, if the OH⁻ ions is excessively present in the system, the CuO product will dissolve. This process is depicted as a dash-arrow in green indicating that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of excessive OH⁻ ions. The dissolution of CuO to the aqueous phase in alkaline condition is due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [39].

Based on this proposed mechanism (Figure 11), it can be observed that the presence of ozone molecule is important in this oxidation process. The ozone molecules have role both to generate the HO• radicals and to oxidize the Cu directly. This is in accordance with the finding reported in the previous section (section 3.4) that the ozone dosage is significantly affected the kinetic rate. On the other hand, the presence of UV irradiation assists the ozone photolysis process to produce HO• radicals through the formation of intermediate oxidating agent, H₂O₂. Furthermore, the pH is also important in this mechanism. As can be seen in Figure 11, suitable alkaline condition accelerates the formation of HO• radicals. However, high alkaline condition has negative effect to the Cu removal process due to the CuO dissolution process in high alkaline condition. The excessive OH⁻ ions catch the solid CuO to form soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes decreasing the Cu removal efficiency.

4. Conclusions

The photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater has been investigated. It is shown that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals as the oxidant and due to the direct oxidation by ozone. Furthermore, this process produced CuO monoclinic crystal phase as the solid product. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value have a significant effect on Cu removal efficiency. The initial Cu concentration significantly reduces Cu removal efficiency. As expected, the ozone dosage increases the Cu removal efficiency since it is responsible for HO• radical formation and direct oxidation. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value from 3 to 8. However, a further increase in pH value reduces the Cu removal efficiency due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes of Cu at the alkaline conditions. Interestingly, it is found that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater. However, it is responsible to promote the ozone photolysis producing HO• radicals through H2O2 formation. It is found that the kinetic behavior of the photochemical oxidation of Cu follows the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the relation between the operating parameters and kinetic rate constant is also established. In addition, the mechanism of Cu removal through UV-Ozone process was also proposed concerning the findings obtained in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P., M.D. and T.R.; methodology, A.P.; software, T.R.; validation, A.P., T.R., M.D. and W.W.; formal analysis, A.P.; investigation, A.P., M.D.; resources, T.R.; data curation, W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P., T.R.; writing—review and editing, W.W.; visualization, A.P.; supervision, A.P.; project administration, A.P.; funding acquisition, W.W.

Funding: This research and the APC were funded by the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia through the research project of Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi (PTUPT) with contract number: 225-138/UN7.6.1/PP/2020.

Acknowledgments: The authors also would like to acknowledge the technical assistence of Mr. G. R. Prinanda for the Laboratory analysis of samples.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Rahman, M.S.; Sathasivam, K. V. Heavy Metal Adsorption onto Kappaphycus sp. from Aqueous Solutions: The Use of Error Functions for Validation of Isotherm and Kinetics Models. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 126298.
- Ali, M.M.; Ali, M.L.; Islam, M.S.; Rahman, M.Z. Preliminary assessment of heavy metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh. *Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag.* 2016, 5, 27–35.
- Islam, M.S.; Ahmed, M.K.; Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M.; Islam, K.N.; Ibrahim, M.; Masunaga, S. Arsenic and lead in foods: a potential threat to human health in Bangladesh. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2014, *31*, 1982– 1992.
- Khan, S.; Cao, Q.; Zheng, Y.M.; Huang, Y.Z.; Zhu, Y.G. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2008, 152, 686–692.
- Gautam, R.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Mahiya, S.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Contamination of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Media: Transport, Toxicity and Technologies for Remediation. In *Heavy Metals In Water*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2014; pp. 1–24 ISBN 9781782620174.
- Majumder, S.; Gangadhar, G.; Raghuvanshi, S.; Gupta, S. A comprehensive study on the behavior of a novel bacterial strain Acinetobacter guillouiae for bioremediation of divalent copper. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 2015, 38, 1749–1760.
- Oturan, M.A.; Aaron, J.J. Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: Principles and applications. A review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 44, 2577–2641.
- Krishnan, S.; Rawindran, H.; Sinnathambi, C.M.; Lim, J.W. Comparison of various advanced oxidation processes used in remediation of industrial wastewater laden with recalcitrant pollutants. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2017, 206, 012089.
- Al-Kdasi, A.; Idris, A.; Saed, K.; Guan, C.T. Treatment of textile wastewater by advanced oxidation processes– A review. *Glob. Nest Int. J.* 2004, 6, 222–230.
- Hanela, S.; Durán, J.; Jacobo, S. Removal of iron-cyanide complexes from wastewaters by combined UV-ozone and modified zeolite treatment. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 1794–1801.
- Bes-Piá, A.; Mendoza-Roca, J.A.; Roig-Alcover, L.; Iborra-Clar, A.; Iborra-Clar, M.I.; Alcaina-Miranda, M.I. Comparison between nanofiltration and ozonation of biologically treated textile wastewater for its reuse in the industry. *Desalination* 2003, 157, 81–86.
- 12. Deng, Y.; Zhao, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Wastewater Treatment. Curr. Pollut. Reports 2015, 1, 167–176.
- Perkowski, J.; Kos, L. Decolouration of model dyehouse wastewater with advanced oxidation processes. Fibres Text. East. Eur. 2003, 11, 67–71.
- Sharrer, M.J.; Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation followed by ultraviolet irradiation provides effective bacteria inactivation in a freshwater recirculating system. *Aquac. Eng.* 2007, 37, 180–191.
- Chen, Z.; Fang, J.; Fan, C.; Shang, C. Oxidative degradation of N-Nitrosopyrrolidine by the ozone/UV process: Kinetics and pathways. *Chemosphere* 2016, 150, 731–739.
- Dai, Q.; Chen, L.; Chen, W.; Chen, J. Degradation and kinetics of phenoxyacetic acid in aqueous solution by ozonation. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 142, 287–292.
- Jin, F.; Wei, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, Y. The mechanism for the formation of OH radicals in condensed-phase water under ultraviolet irradiation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 21453–21460.
- Attri, P.; Kim, Y.H.; Park, D.H.; Park, J.H.; Hong, Y.J.; Uhm, H.S.; Kim, K.N.; Fridman, A.; Choi, E.H. Generation mechanism of hydroxyl radical species and its lifetime prediction during the plasma-initiated ultraviolet (UV) photolysis. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 9332.
- 19. Ren, G.; Sun, D.; Chung, J.S. Kinetics study on photochemical oxidation of polyacrylamide by ozone combined with hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet radiation. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2006**, *18*, 660–664.

- Shi, L.B.; Tang, P.F.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Y.P.; Zhang, L.C.; Zhang, H. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Cassia auriculata leaf extract and in vitro evaluation of their biocompatibility with rheumatoid arthritis macrophages (RAW 264.7). Trop. J. Pharm. Res. 2017, 16, 185–192.
- Etefagh, R.; Azhir, E.; Shahtahmasebi, N. Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles and fabrication of nanostructural layer biosensors for detecting Aspergillus niger fungi. *Sci. Iran.* 2013, 20, 1055–1058.
- Lanje, A.S.; Sharma, S.J.; Pode, R.B.; Ningthoujam, R.S. Synthesis and optical characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles. *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2010, 1, 36–40.
- Manyasree, D.; Peddi, K.M.; Ravikumar, R. CuO nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and their bactericidal efficacy. Int. J. Appl. Pharm. 2017, 9, 71–74.
- 24. Zhu, G.; Sun, Q.; Wang, C.; Yang, Z.; Xue, Q. Removal of Sulfamethoxazole, Sulfathiazole and Sulfamethazine in their Mixed Solution by UV/H₂O₂ Process. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 1797.
- Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Application of Ozonation and UV assisted Ozonation for Decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 in Sea water. *Pharm. Chem. J.* 2016, *3*, 131–138.
- Dai, C.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, Y.; Qiang, Z.; Zhang, T.C. Comparative study of the degradation of carbamazepine in water by advanced oxidation processes. *Environ. Technol.* 2012, 33, 1101–1109.
- Jing, Z.; Cao, S.; Yu, T.; Hu, J. Degradation Characteristics of Aniline with Ozonation and Subsequent Treatment Analysis. J. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1–6.
- Wang, Z.; Li, J.; Song, W.; Zhang, X.; Song, J. Decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by ozone-based advanced oxidation process. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2019, 79, 589–596.
- Guo, W.; Yang, Z.; Du, J.; Yin, R.; Zhou, X.; Jin, S.; Ren, N. Degradation of sulfadiazine in water by a UV/O₃ process: performance and degradation pathway. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 57138–57143.
- Barakat, M.A. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. Arab. J. Chem. 2011, 4, 361– 377.
- Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation and UV irradiation—an introduction and examples of current applications. Aquac. Eng. 2003, 28, 21–36.
- Khan, S.; Sayed, M.; Sohail, M.; Shah, L.A.; Raja, M.A. Advanced Oxidation and Reduction Processes. In Advances in Water Purification Techniques; Ahuja, S., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2019; pp. 135– 164 ISBN 9780128147917.
- 33. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Advanced oxidation processes of Mordant Violet 40 dye in freshwater and seawater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 1–9.
- Muniyasamy, A.; Sivaporul, G.; Gopinath, A.; Lakshmanan, R.; Altaee, A.; Achary, A.; Velayudhaperumal Chellam, P. Process development for the degradation of textile azo dyes (mono-, di-, poly-) by advanced oxidation process - Ozonation: Experimental & partial derivative modelling approach. *J. Environ. Manage.* 2020, 265, 110397.
- 35. Colindres, P.; Yee-Madeira, H.; Reguera, E. Removal of Reactive Black 5 from aqueous solution by ozone for water reuse in textile dyeing processes. *Desalination* **2010**, *258*, 154–158.
- Zhang, F.; Yediler, A.; Liang, X.; Kettrup, A. Effects of dye additives on the ozonation process and oxidation by-products: a comparative study using hydrolyzed C.I. Reactive Red 120. *Dye. Pigment.* 2004, 60, 1–7.
- Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Testing the advanced oxidation processes on the degradation of Direct Blue 86 dye in wastewater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 11–19.
- Munter, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes Current Status and Prospects. Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. Chem. 2001, 50, 59–80.
- Khan, R.; Inam, M.A.; Zam Zam, S.; Akram, M.; Shin, S.; Yeom, I.T. Coagulation and Dissolution of CuO Nanoparticles in the Presence of Dissolved Organic Matter Under Different pH Values. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 2825.
- Al-Shannag, M.; Al-Qodah, Z.; Bani-Melhem, K.; Qtaishat, M.R.; Alkasrawi, M. Heavy metal ions removal from metal plating wastewater using electrocoagulation: Kinetic study and process performance. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2015, 260, 749–756.
- Chen, X.; Ren, P.; Li, T.; Trembly, J.P.; Liu, X. Zinc removal from model wastewater by electrocoagulation: Processing, kinetics and mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 349, 358–367.
- 42. Vasudevan, S.; Lakshmi, J. Process conditions and kinetics for the removal of copper from water by electrocoagulation. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 2012, *29*, 563–572.

- Khattab, I.A.; Shaffei, M.F.; Shaaban, N.A.; Hussein, H.S.; Abd El-Rehim, S.S. Study the kinetics of electrochemical removal of copper from dilute solutions using packed bed electrode. *Egypt. J. Pet.* 2014, 23, 93–103.
- Prasetyaningrum, A.; Widayat, W.; Jos, B.; Dharmawan, Y.; Ratnawati, R. UV Irradiation and Ozone Treatment of κ-Carrageenan: Kinetics and Products Characteristics. *Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Catal.* 2020, 15, 319–330.
- Muruganandham, M.; Suri, R.P.S.; Jafari, S.; Sillanpää, M.; Lee, G.-J.; Wu, J.J.; Swaminathan, M. Recent Developments in Homogeneous Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2014, 2014, 821674.
- Asghar, A.; Raman, A.A.A.; Daud, W.M.A.W. Advanced oxidation processes for in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for textile wastewater treatment: A review. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 87, 826– 838.



@ 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

ASSISTANT EDITOR ASSIGNED (22 September 2020)

Diana

Radulescu < diana.radulescu@mdpi.com

>

reply-to:	diana.radulescu@mdpi.com
to:	Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id></aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
CC:	Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>, Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com></processes@mdpi.com></widayat@live.undip.ac.id></moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id></teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id></diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>
date:	Sep 22, 2020, 4:00 PM
subject:	[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes- 955989 - Assistant Editor Assigned
nailed-by:	mdpi.com
igned-by:	mdpi.com

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Your manuscript has been assigned to Diana Radulescu for further processing who will act as a point of contact for any questions related to your paper.

Journal: Processes Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto , Mohamad Djaeni , Widayat Widayat

Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: ajj.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id

You can find it here:

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9d b9266 Best regards, Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (<u>https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes</u>) www.mdpi.com

Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

COMMENT REVIEWER-1 / MAJOR REVISIONS (28 September 2020) Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com

>

reply-to: diana.radulescu@mdpi.com

- Aji Prasetyaningrum to: <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
- Teguh Riyanto CC: <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com>
- date: Sep 28, 2020, 2:38 PM

subject:

[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Major Revisions Due Date on 8 October 2020

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thank you for submitting the following manuscript to Processes:

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_enviromental

It has been reviewed by experts in the field and we request that you make major revisions before it is processed further.

25

Two additional reviewers agreed to review the original version of the manuscript, but the reports submitted delay for some reason.Please kindly modify the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments in the latest version. Besides, if the third and fourth reviewer gives the review report, we will send it to you. Please take the reports into account.

Please find your manuscript and the review reports at the following link: <u>https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266</u>

Your co-authors can also view this link if they have an account in our submission system using the e-mail address in this message.

Please revise the manuscript according to the reviewers' comments and upload the revised file within 10 days. Use the version of your manuscript found at the above link for your revisions, as the editorial office may have made formatting changes to your original submission. Any revisions should be clearly highlighted, for example using the "Track Changes" function in Microsoft Word, so that changes are easily visible to the editors and reviewers. Please provide a cover letter to explain point-by-point the details of the revisions in the manuscript and your responses to the reviewers' comments. Please include in your rebuttal if you found it impossible to address certain comments. The revised version will be inspected by the editors and reviewers. Please detail the revisions that have been made, citing the line number and exact change, so that the editor can check the changes expeditiously. Simple statements like 'done' or 'revised as requested' will not be accepted unless the change is simply a typographical error.

Please carefully read the guidelines outlined in the 'Instructions for Authors' on the journal website

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/instructions and ensure that your manuscript resubmission adheres to these guidelines. In particular, please ensure that abbreviations have been defined in parentheses the first time they appear in the abstract, main text, and in figure or table captions; citations within the text are in the correct format; references at the end of the text are in the correct format; figures and/or tables are placed at appropriate positions within the text and are of suitable quality; tables are prepared in MS Word table format, not as images; and permission has been obtained and there are no copyright issues.

If the reviewers have suggested that your manuscript should undergo extensive English editing, please have the English in the manuscript thoroughly checked and edited for language and form. Alternatively, MDPI provides an English editing service checking grammar, spelling, punctuation and some improvement of style where necessary for an additional charge (extensive re-writing is not included), see details at https://www.mdpi.com/authors/english.

Do not hesitate to contact us if you have any questions regarding the

revision of your manuscript or if you need more time. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards, Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes) www.mdpi.com

Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

REVIEWER 1

English language and style () Extensive editing of English language and style required () Moderate English changes required () English language and style are fine/minor spell check required (x) I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style Can be improved Must be improved Not applicable Yes Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? () () (x) () Is the research design appropriate? (x) () () () Are the methods adequately described? () () (x) () Are the results clearly presented? () (x) () () Are the conclusions supported by the results? () (x) () ()

Comments and Suggestions for Authors

The paper entitled Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study, deals with a very important environmental protection topic.

The authors proposed a model for photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater, by using CuSO4 solution and varying different reaction conditions.

However, there are some uncertain aspects that the authors should clarify them

- 1. For example, why the authors used only UV radiation instead of visible one, because copper sulphate have a large area of wavelengths in the visible part of the spectrum?
- 2. This could be an explanation for the conclusion written by the authors -UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater-
- **3.** Also, the authors should improve the photochemical part, by explaining the method(s) of light intensity determination (actinometry, dosimetry, etc).
- A spectrophotometric experiment should be included in the paper, and the decrease of copper sulphate concentration should be evaluated by UV-Vis spectra, not only by atomic absorption spectroscopy.
- 5. Figure 11 I think that should be redrawn, Is difficult for readers to understand the significance of some coloured lines.

I suggest the paper to be improved and re-submit after that for evaluation

REVIEWER 2

English language and style

() Extensive editing of English language and style required () Moderate English changes required

(x) English language and style are fine/minor spell check required

() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

Yes Can be improved Must be improved Not applicable

Does the introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references? (x) () () () Is the research design appropriate? () (x) () () Are the methods adequately described? (x) () () () Are the results clearly presented? () (x) () () Are the conclusions supported by the results? () (x) () ()

The authors presented their work in a clear and linear way. Results are presented and discussed properly, with reference to the literature. I have a general doubt concerning the novelty of the study. For sure they conducted a structured investigation of the effect of different parameters, nontheless, many publication concerning wastewater treatment assess copper photochemical oxidation, together with other metals and/or organic materials. One example which focus on copper is the following:

Photochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment - I. Litter, Marta; Quici, Natalia - Recent Patents on Engineering, Volume 4, Number 3, 2010, pp. 217-241(25) DOI: https://doi.org/10.2174/187221210794578574

Fig. 11 is not readable in my pdf, probably a problem in file format convertion

REVISION REMINDER DUE DATE (6 OKTOBER 2020)

Diana

Radulescu < diana.radulescu@mdpi.com

>

to:	Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id></aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
CC:	Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com></processes@mdpi.com></widayat@live.undip.ac.id></moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id></teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>
date:	Oct 6, 2020, 10:32 AM
subject:	[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes- 955989 - Revision Reminder due date on 8 October
mailed-by:	mdpi.com
signed-by:	mdpi.com

reply-to: diana.radulescu@mdpi.com

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

We sent a revision request for the following manuscript on 28 September 2020.

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_environmental May we kindly ask you to update us on the progress of your revisions? If you

have finished your revisions, please upload the revised version together with

your responses to the reviewers as soon as possible.

You can find your manuscript and review reports at this link: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

Thank you in advance for your kind cooperation and we look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards, Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes) www.mdpi.com

Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

MANUSCRIPT RESUBMITTED (6 OKTOBER 2020) Submission

System <submission@mdpi.com

>

reply-to: Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com>

> to: Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>

cc: Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>

date: Oct 6, 2020, 1:35 PM

subject: [Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Manuscript Resubmitted

signed-by: mdpi.com Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thank you very much for resubmitting the modified version of the following manuscript:

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes,

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_environmental https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

> A member of the editorial office will be in touch with you soon regarding progress of the manuscript.

REVISION NOTE BASED ON REVIEWERS COMMENTS

Journal Name: Processes

Manuscript ID: processes-955989

Title: "Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study"

Author(s): Aji Prasetyaningrum, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, and Widayat Widayat

REVISION NOTE BASED ON REVIEWERS COMMENTS

Journal Name: Processes

Manuscript ID: processes-955989

Title: "Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study"

Author(s): Aji Prasetyaningrum, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, and Widayat Widayat

REVIEWER 1

The paper entitled Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study, deals with a very important environmental protection topic.

The authors proposed a model for photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater, by using CuSO₄ solution and varying different reaction conditions. However, there are some uncertain aspects that the authors should clarify them

			1
1	Comment 1	:	For example, why the authors used only UV radiation instead of visible
			one, because copper sulphate have a large area of wavelengths in the
			visible part of the spectrum?
			This could be an explanation for the conclusion written by the authors
			-UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical
			oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater-
	Response	:	In this study, we are using UV irradiation because we study the Cu
			removal through the oxidation using HO• radicals and ozone (O ₃) (Line
			141, equation (2) and (3) in the revised manuscript). The HO• radicals
			can be formed through ozonation process (Line 155, equation (7) in the
			revised manuscript). However, it is still can be improved through UV
			irradiation. The UV irradiation assists the ozone photolysis to produce
			HO• radicals through the formation of H ₂ O ₂ as the intermediate
			oxidating agent (Line 161, equation (8) in the revised manuscript).
			However, based on some previous studies, this process can only be
			conducted at wavelength below 300 nm. Therefore, we use UV
			irradiation rather than visible light. This statement is included in the
			revised manuscript (Line 164).

2	Comment 2	:	Also, the authors should improve the photochemical part, by explaining
			the method(s) of light intensity determination (actinometry, dosimetry,
			etc).
	Response	:	The UV irradiation intensity was measured using an instrument. A J-
			225 Black Ray intensity meter was used as the instrument to measure
			the UV irradiation intensity. This statement is included in the revised
			manuscript (Line 99).
3	Comment 3	:	A spectrophotometric experiment should be included in the paper, and
			the decrease of copper sulphate concentration should be evaluated by
			UV-Vis spectra, not only by atomic absorption spectroscopy.
	Response	:	We agree that UV-vis spectroscopy can be used to measure the Cu
			concentration in the solution. However, we don't use this method due
			to some shortcomings. One of the shortcomings is due to the shift on
			maximum wavelength absorbance at different pH. This is reported in
			some studies, including:
			• Aravinda, C.L., Mayanna, S.M. & Muralidharan, V.S.
			Electrochemical behaviour of alkaline copper complexes. I Chem Sci
			112, 543–550 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02709287
			• Mali, S.C., Raj, S. & Trivedi, R. Biosynthesis of copper oxide
			nanoparticles using Enicostemma axillare (Lam.) leaf extract.
			Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports 20, 100699 (2019).
			https://doi.org/10.1016/i.bbrep.2019.100699
			• Martí I Ferrer A Escoribuela I Burguete MI & Luis SV
			Copper(II) complexes of his(amino amide) ligands: effect of changes
			in the amino acid residue Dalton Trans 41 6764–6776 (2012)
			https://doi.org/10.1039/C2DT12459A
			The shift in maximum wavelength absorbance could affect to the
			inaccuracy in the measurement. It should be noted that we performed
			this oxidation process at different nH Therefore we used another better
			method to measure the Cu concentration in the solution. The method
			used was atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS)
			Some statements regarding this answer is included in the revised
			manuscrint (Line 111)
4	Comment 4		Figure 11 I think that should be redrawn. Is difficult for readers to
-	Comment 4	•	understand the significance of some coloured lines.
	Response	•	The figure 11 was edited. The previous figure in the submitted
	response		manuscript is in "Enhanced Metafile" format In the revised
			manuscript, we used the "IPG" format as attached bellow. Hopefully,
			it can provide better presentation (Line 450)
			it can provide better presentation (Ente 100).
			H_{O} + O_{H}^{-} H_{O} $Cu(OH)_{-}^{-}$
			$H_2O = O_3 + O_1$
			Cu ²⁺ —— CuO
			H_2O_2 $HO_2^ HO_2^-$
			UV light sort
1			HO

REVISION NOTE BASED ON REVIEWERS COMMENTS

Journal Name: Processes

Manuscript ID: processes-955989

Title: "Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study"

Author(s): Aji Prasetyaningrum, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, and Widayat Widayat

REVIEWER 2

1	Comment 1	:	The authors presented their work in a clear and linear way. Results are presented and discussed properly, with reference to the literature. I have a general doubt concerning the novelty of the study. For sure they conducted a structured investigation of the effect of different parameters, nontheless, many publication concerning wastewater treatment assess copper photochemical oxidation, together with other metals and/or organic materials. One example which focus on copper is the following: Photochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment - I. Litter, Marta; Quici, Natalia - Recent Patents on Engineering, Volume 4, Number 3, 2010, pp. 217-241(25) DOI: https://doi.org/10.2174/187221210794578574
	Response	:	The novelty of this study is strengthened. Some previous studies on Cu or other metals removal from wastewater are focused on investigating the process parameters affecting the process. Based on these understandings, we investigate the kinetic models of the Cu removal process in order to determine the order of the kinetic rate. In addition, the relation of operating parameters with the kinetic parameter is also investigated comprehensively. Extendedly, the correlation between process parameters and kinetic parameter is developed. This correlation accommodates the prediction of the kinetic parameter on different process conditions. Therefore, the kinetic rate of Cu removal through photochemical oxidation can be easily predicted. We also addressed the possible reaction mechanism. These statements are included in the revised manuscript (Line 72)
2	Comment 2	:	Fig. 11 is not readable in my pdf, probably a problem in file format convertion.
	Response	:	The figure 11 was edited. The previous figure in the submitted manuscript is in "Enhanced Metafile" format. In the revised manuscript, we used the "JPG" format as attached bellow. Hopefully, it can provide better presentation (Line 450).


REVISED VERSION_1

Article Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study

Aji Prasetyaningrum 1,*, Teguh Riyanto 1, Mohamad Djaeni 1 and Widayat Widayat 1

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. Soedarto S.H., Tembalang, Semarang 50275, Indonesia; teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id (T.R.); <u>moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id</u> (M.D.); widayat@live.undip.ac.id (W.W.)

* Correspondence: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id; Tel.: +62-24-7460058

Received: date; Accepted: date; Published: date

Abstract: An investigation of the process of ozone combined with ultraviolet radiation has been carried out in order to establish the kinetics for photochemical oxidation of copper (Cu) from electroplating wastewater. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value on the photochemical oxidation of Cu have been studied comprehensively. The Cu concentration during the reaction was identified using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) method. The solid product was analyzed using X-ray Diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscope–Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) methods. It was found that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals. It was also found that a solid product from the UV-Ozone process was CuO monoclinic crystal phase. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value were significantly affected the Cu removal efficiency. On the other hand, the UV irradiation intensity was not significant; however, it has responsibility in promoting the ozone photolysis. The kinetics model for the photochemical oxidation of Cu was established following the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the reaction mechanism was also developed.

Keywords: photochemical oxidation; Cu; kinetics; ozone; ultraviolet irradiation; advanced oxidation process

1. Introduction

Heavy metals have become a global issue of environmental and public health concern because of their toxicity and bioaccumulation in the human body and food chain [1]. The effects of urbanization and industrialization cause an increase in heavy metal pollution to the environment [2]. High toxicity and non-biodegradability of heavy metals caused a number of environmental problems [3]. The accumulation of heavy metals in the atmosphere is responsible for both natural and anthropogenic activities [4]. Copper (Cu), as an essential trace element, is required by biological systems for the activation of some enzymes during photosynthesis. However, at higher concentrations, it shows harmful effects on the human body. Continuous exposure may lead to kidney damage and even death. Cu is also toxic to a variety of aquatic organisms even at very low concentrations. Mining, metallurgy, and industrial applications are the major sources of Cu exposure in the environment [5].

There were several techniques for the treatment of industrial wastewater containing heavy metals, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, coagulation-flocculation, flotation, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, magnetic separation and purification, biosorption, and nanotechnology [6]. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are promising, efficient, and

environmentally friendly methods for the removal of wastewater contaminants [7]. The AOPs can be photochemical AOP, sonochemical AOP, and electrochemical AOP [7]. The basic principles of AOPs are the in situ generations of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the oxidation process. The hydroxyl radicals can be produced from hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), ozone, photo-catalysis, or oxidants in combination with ultraviolet (UV) radiation [8].

Ozone is an active oxidant which is commercially available and widely used in municipal water treatment and wastewater treatment. Besides having the ability as an oxidizing agent, wastewater treatment with ozone is an environmentally friendly method. The pollutants such as color, odor, and microorganisms are oxidized directly without generating harmful chlorinated by-products or substantial residues [9]. In order to increase the effectivity of the ozonation process, it is necessary to combine the ozone process with another process which can increase the reaction efficiency between ozone and pollutant. The promising process is the combination of ozone and UV irradiation that could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) as the main oxidant compounds in AOP [10]. The combination of UV-Ozone process has been reported as a promising process for HO• radical formation [11–13]. Therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Based on this characteristic, the combination of UV-Ozone process has a potential option for wastewater treatment [14].

Since the UV-Ozone process has high beneficial result in wastewater treatment; therefore, it is important to investigate this process comprehensively. However, the utilization of the UV-Ozone process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is still limited. Therefore, the comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone processes is investigated in this study. Furthermore, the effect of several operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value, on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through photochemical (UV-Ozone) oxidation process is also comprehensively studied. In addition, the kinetic study of this process is important for scale-up processing. The study of the kinetics of wastewater treatment with a combination of UV-Ozone process for organic compound degradation has been investigated by several previous researchers [15,16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies concerning the kinetic study in Cu from electroplating wastewater by UV-Ozone process. In this study, the kinetic models of the Cu removal process are investigated in order to determine the order of the kinetic rate. Furthermore, the relation of operating parameters with the kinetic parameter is also investigated comprehensively. The possible reaction mechanism is also addressed in this study.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials

This experiment was carried out using synthetic wastewater with an initial copper concentration of 145.73 mg/L. The initial concentration of samples was prepared based on the composition of copper from industrial electroplating wastewater which located at Juwana, Pati, Central of Java Indonesia. Synthesized wastewater with varying copper concentrations (145.73 mg/L; 72.86 mg/L; and 36.9 mg/L) was prepared by dissolving the corresponding amount of CuSO₄.5H₂O (>98%, Merck) in deionized (DI) water. The initial pH value of the solution was adjusted using HCl (37%, Merck) and NaOH (>98%, Merck).

2.2. Experimental system set-up

The experimental study on photochemical oxidation of copper by ozone combined with UV irradiation was conducted in a bubble column reactor made of borosilicate glass. The reactor was equipped with a low-pressure mercury UV Light (Philips–TUV 8 Watt, main emission line at 253.7 nm). Ozone gas generated from ozone generator type dielectric barrier discharge (Dipo Technology, Diponegoro University) connected to reactors UV-Ozone (**Figure 1**). The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The UV-Ozone photoreactor was performed under a semi-batch condition reaction. The reactor was an open-top cylindrical tank with a fixed top suspension with a low-pressure mercury UV Light. UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². The UV irradiation intensity

was adjusted by varying the number of UV light. A fritted glass diffuser was placed at the bottom of the reactor to allow the continuous injection of ozonized gas. Ozone was produced from the air which flows into the ozone generator. The reaction was adjusted for different time periods (i.e. 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 min) and initial pH (3, 6, 8, and 10) during UV-Ozone treatment. All oxidation reaction processes were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The initial pH was adjusted using NaOH and HCl solutions.



Figure 12. Schematic diagram of UV-Ozone treament equipment

2.3. Analytical Methods

A pH meter analyzer (EZDO PH-5011A) was used during the procedure for assessing the pH of the response solution. An Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS – Quantachrome series 11.0) was used to analyzed Cu concentration and wastewater samples. The solid product was also analyzed using X-ray Diffraction (XRD) (Shimadzu 7000) method. The Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) was operated at 30 mA and 30 kV. The diffraction patterns were generated at 2 θ angle ranges of 20–70° with a scanning speed of 4° min⁻¹. The surface morphology and metal oxide composition of the solid product were analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscope–Energy Dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) (SEM-EDX JEOL JSM-6510LA) method. The removal efficiency was calculated using Equation (1), when η is the Cu removal efficiency (%), *C*₀ is the initial Cu concentration (mg/L) and *C*_t (mg/L) is the Cu concentration at time *t*.

$$\eta(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Comparation of UV, Ozone and combination UV-Ozone

In order to study the different effects of UV, Ozone, and UV–Ozone combination processes on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, these three processes are compared. The result is shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 13. Comparison of UV, Ozone and UV-Ozone combination processes

Figure 2 depicted the comparison of UV, Ozone, and combined UV-Ozone treatment on Cu removal efficiency from electroplating wastewater. As can be seen, at 60 minutes of reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained from the UV irradiation process is 69.08%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained from Ozone treatment is 72.64%. Interestingly, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased up to 78.8% when the UV and Ozone processes are combined. This high Cu removal efficiency can be obtained by the enhancement of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the combination of UV and Ozone processes. As reported by Halena et al. [10], the combination of UV irradiation and ozone could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals; therefore the oxidation process could be accelerated. Furthermore, it is suggested that the combination of UV irradiation and Ozone treatment can increase the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. It is true since the mechanism of Cu oxidation through AOP is initiated by the presence of HO• radicals. Equations (2) and (3) represent the oxidation process of Cu through AOP. As can be seen in Equation (2), the Cu²⁺ ion is oxidized by HO• radicals producing solid CuO and water molecules.

$$Cu^{2+} + 2HO \longrightarrow CuO_{\downarrow} + H_2O \tag{2}$$

$$Cu^{2+} + O_3 \to CuO_{\downarrow} + O_2 \tag{3}$$

Based on Equation (2), the important thing in Cu removal through AOP is the presence of HO• radicals. Therefore, it is suggested that the process of producing more HO• radicals is the preferred process. As can be seen in **Figure 2**, the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process is the lowest compared to the other processes. It is true since the UV irradiation process without the presence of ozone in the system is only produces HO• radicals through the photolysis of the H₂O molecule [12,17]. The photolysis of the H₂O molecule by UV irradiation is shown in Equation (4) [12]. The other possible mechanisms in HO• production through the UV irradiation process are shown in Equations (5) and (6) [18].

$$H_2O + hv \to HO\Box + H\Box \tag{4}$$

$$H_2 O + hv \to H_2 O^* \tag{5}$$

$$H_2 O^* + hv \to HO \square + H \square \tag{6}$$

For the Ozone treatment, as depicted in **Figure 2**, the Cu removal efficiency is higher than the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process. This is due to the fact that the Cu oxidation

through Ozone treatment can be initiated by HO• radicals and directly oxidized by the ozone molecule (Equation (3)). These two reactions occurred in Ozone treatment, therefore; the Cu removal efficiency obtained by Ozone treatment is higher than obtained by UV treatment. The chemical reaction of HO• radicals formation through Ozone treatment is shown in Equation (7) [12].

$$3O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow 2HO\Box + 4O_2 \tag{7}$$

As mentioned before, the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained by the UV-Ozone process due to the high HO• radical formation during the combination of the UV irradiation process and the Ozone process. Besides, the presence of ozone can also increase the oxidation process due to the direct oxidation process. The formation of HO• radicals in the UV-Ozone process has been widely reported. Some proposed HO• radical formation during the UV-Ozone process follow the reaction mechanism as described in Equation (8-10) [12,19]:

$$O_3 + H_2O + h\nu \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{8}$$

$$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2HO$$

$$H_2O_2 + H_2O \to H_3O^+ + HO_2^-$$
 (10)

$$O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO^- + O_2 + O_2^- \tag{11}$$

$$O_3 + O_2 \Box \to O_2 + O_3 \Box \tag{12}$$

$$O_3\Box + H_2O \to HO\Box + HO^- + O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$O_3 + H_2 O_2 \to HO\Box + O_2 + HO_2\Box \tag{14}$$

$$H_2O_2 + HO \square \to HO_2\square + H_2O \tag{15}$$

As can be seen, in the UV-Ozone process, an additional oxidant, H₂O₂, is generated through O₃ photolysis (Equation (8)) [12]. O₃ reacts with H₂O to form H₂O₂ under UV irradiation. This oxidant can produce more HO• radicals. H₂O₂ absorbs UV light to generate HO• radicals. By this fact, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased following the reaction shown in Equation (2) in the combination of UV and Ozone process. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies. In dyehouse wastewater subjected to the combination of UV-Ozone treatment, a significant color reduction was obtained up to 98.3% [13]. For another reason, Bes-Piá et al. [11] studied the UV-Ozone process for textile wastewater treatment. They reported that the combination of UV irradiation with ozone could significantly reduce the operating time to reach the same COD removal efficiency.

3.2. Characterisation of the solid product

As reported in the previous section (Section 3.1), the UV-Ozone process has the highest Cu removal efficiency compared to the other processes. The Cu removal through this process produces a solid product that is proposed as CuO, as shown in Equation (2) and (3). In order to prove that the solid product is CuO, the solid product was analyzed. **Figure 3** shows the XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from the UV-Ozone process. Based on **Figure 3**, the characteristic peaks appear at 20 of 32.54°, 35.56°, 38.74°, 48.68°, 53.62°, 58.16°, 61.62°, 66.12°, and 68.12°, respectively. These peaks correspond to the CuO (Tenorite phase) according to the JCPDS card number 96-900-8962. These also indicate that the formation of monoclinic crystal structure [20]. On the other hand, several studies reported that two peaks at $2\theta = 35.6^\circ$ [102] and $2\theta = 38.8^\circ$ [111] observed in the diffraction patterns are ascribed to the formation of the CuO (space group C2/c) monoclinic crystal phase [21,22]. In this study, these peaks appear at $2\theta = 35.6^\circ$ and $2\theta = 38.74^\circ$. Therefore, it is confirmed that the solid product produced from the UV-Ozone process is CuO in the monoclinic crystal phase.

(9)



Figure 14. XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from UV-Ozone process



Figure 15. Composition of metal oxide in the solid product (a) and surface morphology (right) and crystal structure model (left) of the solid product (b).

The morphology and the metal oxide composition of the solid product from the UV-Ozone process are identified using SEM analysis. Based on the result of SEM-EDX analysis (Figure 4(a)), it is confirmed that the most metal oxide present in the solid product is CuO. The CuO content detected by SEM-EDX analysis in the solid product is 83.52%. The other components detected may be produced from the impurities in the wastewater. However, this fact confirmed that the solid product is CuO. Figure 4(b) is the appearance of the solid product surface (right) and the model of the CuO monoclinic crystal structure (left). As can be seen, the surface morphology of the CuO nanoparticle which was synthesized from copper sulfate and sodium hydroxide through the coprecipitation process is a flower-shaped structure.

3.3. The effect of operating parameters

3.3.1. Effect of initial concentration

In order to study the effect of the initial Cu concentration, the photochemical oxidation process was conducted with the variation of the initial Cu concentration. The initial Cu concentration was varied at 145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L. The monitored parameter is the Cu removal efficiency. The effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in **Figure 5**.



Figure 16. Effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 5**, the Cu removal efficiency is significantly affected by the initial Cu concentration. During the oxidation process for 60 minutes, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 145.73 mg/L is 34.39%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 72.86 mg/L is 54.36%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 36.9 mg/L is 68.46%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the initial Cu concentration has a significant effect on the Cu removal efficiency decreases significantly with the increase in the initial Cu concentration from 36.9 mg/L to 145.73 mg/L. The decrease in Cu removal efficiency at high initial Cu concentration is due to the presence of a high amount of hydroxyl radical scavengers. When the initial Cu concentration is high, the photochemical oxidation process is overloaded. As a result, the Cu in the solution competes with each other during the oxidation reaction process [24]. On the other hand, in the UV irradiation process, the permeation of photons is reduced at high solution concentration; therefore, the concentration of hydroxyl radical produced is low [24]. Therefore, the oxidation of Cu decreases at high initial Cu concentration. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies in AOP. Hassan et al. [25] reported that the decolorization of Direct

Yellow 50 dye in seawater through the UV-Ozone process was highly affected by the initial dye concentration which the decolorization rate decreased by increasing the dye concentration. Dai et al. [26] also reported a similar finding in the degradation of carbamazepine in water through AOP. They reported that the degradation percentage of carbamazepine decreased from 34% to 13% with an increase in the initial carbamazepine concentration from $4.2 \,\mu\text{M}$ to $42.3 \,\mu\text{M}$. Jing et al. [27] also reported that the initial aniline concentration affected the aniline degradation process through ozonation. They reported that aniline degradation decreased with the increase in the initial aniline concentration due to the overloaded of the ozonation process.

3.3.2. Effect of ozone dosage

In this study, the effect of ozone dosage on the Cu removal process through the photochemical oxidation process is studied by varying the ozone dosage. The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The Cu removal efficiency was monitored to study this matter. The effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in **Figure 6**.



Figure 17. Effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency through a photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 6**, the Cu removal efficiency increases significantly with increasing the ozone dosage. At 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 5 mg/h is 48.31%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 10 mg/h is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 15 mg/h is 78.80%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the Cu removal efficiency in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process is highly affected by the ozone dosage. As the increase in ozone dosage, the Cu removal efficiency increases. It is true since in the ozonation process, the oxidation of Cu can occur through two different mechanisms, i.e. oxidation by HO• radicals (Equation (2)) and direct oxidation by ozone (Equation (3)). As reported by Wang et al. [28], in the ozone-based oxidation process, there are two methods, namely indirect reaction of free radicals and direct reaction.

Pertaining to the effect of ozone dosage on HO• radical formation, when ozone dosage increases, more HO• radicals are formed. Under UV irradiation, H₂O₂ can be formed through the reaction of ozone with H₂O (Equation (8)). Furthermore, this H₂O₂ molecule absorbs the UV light to generate the HO• radicals (Equation (9)) [19]. Ozone molecules also can react with H₂O₂ molecules to produce HO• radicals. Besides, the ozone also can also directly react with H₂O to generate HO• radicals (Equation (7)). Then, Cu is oxidized by HO• radicals. Therefore, the photochemical oxidation rate of Cu increases with the increase in ozone dosage. As a comparison, Wang et al. [28] reported that the decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by the ozone-based oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Ren et al. [19] reported that the removal efficiency of polyacrylamide through the

photochemical oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Guo et al. [29] also reported the same result in the degradation process of sulfadiazine in water by the UV-Ozone process.

3.3.3. Effect of UV irradiation intensity

In order to study the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the photochemical process was conducted by varying the UV irradiation intensity. The UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². **Figure 7** shows the result of the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency.



Figure 18. Effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As shown in **Figure 7**, at 60 minutes reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 20 mW/cm² is 65.09%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 40 mW/cm² is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is 69.08%. It can be concluded that the Cu photochemical oxidation rate slightly increases with the increase in UV radiation intensity. The Cu removal efficiency slightly increases for UV irradiation of 20 to 40 mW/cm². Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency remains unchanged for the UV irradiation intensity of 40 to 60 mW/cm².

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity is due to the high possibility to produce HO• radicals at high UV irradiation intensity. The possible process of HO• radical formation during UV irradiation is the photolytic dissociation of water by UV irradiation at a wavelength of 254 nm [30]. However, this process is still unclear since some studies reported that the photolytic dissociation of water to HO• radicals is only can be conducted at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Deng and Zhao [12] claimed that this process occurs at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Furthermore, Jin et al. [17] reported that the HO• radicals could be formed through irradiation on liquid water by UV light in the range of 150 – 200 nm. As the UV irradiation wavelength used in this study is around 253.7 nm, the increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity from 20 to 40 mW/cm² is attributed to the formation of HO• radicals through ozone photolysis producing H₂O₂ (Equation (8)). However, high UV irradiation intensity in the ozonation process is not good. It is true since, at high UV irradiation intensity, the ozone molecule could be degraded to oxygen [31]. Therefore, the formation of HO• radicals is low at high UV irradiation intensity. Furthermore, it is suggested that the unchanged Cu removal efficiency at a UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is due to the degradation of the ozone molecule resulting in the low formation of HO• radicals.

3.3.4. Effect of pH

The efficiency of AOP can be influenced by various factors, such as the pH of the solution [32–34]. In order to study the effect of pH on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, the pH of solution was varied at 3, 6, 8, and 10. The result is depicted in **Figure 8**.



Figure 19. Effect of pH on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process

As can be seen in **Figure 8**, at 60 minutes reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 3 is 46.02%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 6 is 68.46%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 8 is 80.09%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 10 is 70.46%. It can be seen that the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained at a pH of 8. Hence, it can be concluded that the photochemical oxidation of Cu from electroplating wastewater increases with the increase in pH from 3 to 8, then it decreases with a further increase in pH to 10.

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in pH value is attributed to the high rate of HO• radical formation at high pH conditions. As reported by Muniyasamy et al. [34], in the oxidation process, pH influences the process by altering the chemical nature of ozone. At low pH conditions (acidic conditions), the decomposition of the ozone molecule to produce HO• radicals is relatively slow [35]. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone was sluggish below the pH of 4 [36]. Furthermore, ozone tends to remain in the molecular state at acidic conditions; however, ozone can react directly as ozone radical with the contaminants at alkaline conditions [33,37]. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency is low at a low pH value. Furthermore, the higher the pH value, the higher the Cu removal efficiency. This tendency is attributed to the high formation of HO• radicals at the alkaline conditions. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone in water is better at higher pH values [38]. At alkaline conditions, ozone is unstable and rapidly decomposes into HO• radical [34,35]. The HO• radical formation through ozone decomposition occurs as follows:

$$O_3 + OH^- \to HO_2^- + O_2 \tag{16}$$

$$2O_3 + HO_2^- \rightarrow HO\Box + O_2^-\Box + O_2 \tag{17}$$

As can be seen in Equation (16) and (17), the HO• radical which is the primary oxidant in indirect oxidation [34] is produced at high pH level represented as OH⁻. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value since the HO• radical formation is favored at a high pH level. However, the Cu removal efficiency decrease at a pH of 10. At higher pH value, CuO can dissolve to the aqueous phase due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [39]. Therefore, Cu removal efficiency decreases. The possible dissolution mechanism of CuO at high pH value was proposed by Khan et al. [39] as follows:

$$CuO_{(s)} + OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{-}_{3(aq)}$$
 (18)

$$CuO_{(s)} + 2OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{2-}_{4 (aq)}$$
(19)

3.4. Kinetic study

3.4.1. Determination of the kinetic rate order

Three classical kinetic models are proposed to describe the Cu removal process and to determine the order or kinetic rate. These kinetic models include first-order model, second-order model, and pseudo-first-order model. The compatibility of these models is evaluated by the R^2 value as reported elsewhere [40]. In this work, the kinetic study of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is considered for various initial Cu concentration (*Co*), ozone dosage (*Cos*), UV irradiation intensity (*Iuv*), and initial pH condition.

In this advanced oxidation process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the mass conservation of Cu in the process can be generally expressed as:

$$-\frac{dC_t}{dt} = (-r) \tag{20}$$

where C_t (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at time t (min), (–r) (mg/L.min) is the rate of Cu removal. For first order model (– $r=k_1C_t$), integration of Equation (20) at the initial concentration of C_0 , gives:

$$-\ln\left(\frac{C_t}{C_0}\right) = k_1 t \tag{21}$$

where k_1 (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant for first order. Further simplification of Equation (21) will give the time-dependent concentration of Cu (Equation (22)).

$$C_t = C_0 e^{-k_t t} \tag{22}$$

For second-order model $(-r=k_2C_{l^2})$, the integration of Equation (20) will give:

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = k_2 t + \frac{1}{C_0}$$
(23)

where k_2 (L/mg.min) is the kinetic rate constant of the second-order model. For the pseudo-first-order model ($-r=k_p(C_t - C_t)$), the time-dependent concentration of Cu through the integration of Equation (20) is obtained as:

$$C_{t} = C_{e} + (C_{0} - C_{e})e^{-k_{p}t}$$
(24)

where C_e (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at equilibrium condition and k_p (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant of pseudo-first-order model.

The kinetic parameters were determined using the least-square method. As can be seen, the obtained equation for first-order and second-order kinetic models (Equations (21) and (23)) are linear equations. Therefore, the kinetic parameters (k_1 and k_2) can be obtained from the linear plot relating to these equations using the linear regression method. However, the equation derived from the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not linear. Therefore, the kinetic parameters of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model using a non-linear regression method. On the other hand, to measure the goodness of the kinetic models proposed, the squared-correlation coefficient, R^2 , was used as the parameter [40,41]. The obtained kinetic parameters and R^2 values for first-order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order kinetic models are shown in **Table 1**.

As can be seen in **Table 1**, all proposed models fit the data as the R² values are close to unity. However, compared to the other proposed models, the R² value of the second-order model is far enough from unity. Besides, the values of R² obtained are not uniform for all data. Therefore, it is suggested that the second-order model is excluded as the proposed model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal. Hence, the first order and pseudo-first-order models are then considered as the most suitable proposed models to describe the kinetics of Cu removal. Considering the R² values of the first order and pseudo-first-order models, both these two models have high goodness in describing the kinetic rate of Cu removal. However, at the initial Cu concentration, Co, of 145.73 and 72.86 mg/L, the value of the equilibrium concentrations, Ce, obtained are zero. It indicates that at a high initial concentration of Cu in the wastewater, the kinetic rate will be increased. Furthermore, some of the Ce values are relatively low. In some cases, the theoretical value of Ce might be negative when the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is forcibly used [41]. In those cases, the pseudo-first-order model cannot be used to describe the kinetic rate. Obviously, if the value of the equilibrium concentration is zero or low enough, the pseudo-first-order model gets back to the first-order model [40,41]. Therefore, it is suggested that the most suitable model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-ozone process, is the first-order model.

		Iuv (mW/cm²)		Kinetic parameters						
<i>C₀</i> (mg/L)	Соз (mg/h)		рН	First order		Second order		Pseudo-first order		
				k1 (1/min)	R ²	k2 (L/mg.min)	R ²	<i>k_p</i> (1/min)	Ce (mg/L)	R ²
145.73	10	40	6	0.0069	0.9997	5.673×10-5	0.9921	0.0069	0.000	0.9994
72.86	10	40	6	0.0128	0.9993	2.451×10-4	0.9678	0.0127	0.000	0.9983
36.9	10	40	6	0.0196	0.9995	8.898×10-4	0.9707	0.0237	3.769	0.9995
36.9	5	40	6	0.0111	0.9998	3.972×10-4	0.9875	0.0116	1.223	0.9993
36.9	15	40	6	0.0267	0.9959	1.480×10^{-3}	0.9624	0.0395	5.528	0.9979
36.9	10	20	6	0.0173	0.9996	7.407×10-4	0.9609	0.0188	1.850	0.9990
36.9	10	60	6	0.0198	0.9989	9.031×10-4	0.9654	0.0252	4.663	0.9969
36.9	10	40	3	0.0116	0.9847	4.160×10-4	0.9790	0.0330	12.126	0.9940
36.9	10	40	8	0.0282	0.9980	1.650×10-3	0.9359	0.0376	4.170	0.9962
36.9	10	40	10	0.0226	0.9904	1.100×10-3	0.9909	0.0381	7.725	0.9970

Table 4. Kinetic parameters of the first order, second-order and pseudo-first-order models

The kinetic study of Cu removal using an advanced oxidation process has been widely reported. However, the kinetic study of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, UV-ozone process, is still limited. The most-reported process is the electrochemical/electrocoagulation process. Al-Shannag et al. [40] reported that the electrocoagulation of heavy metals from wastewater, including Cu, followed a pseudo-first-order model. Using the same method, Vasudevan and Lakshmi [42] reported that the electrocoagulation of Cu from water follows the second-order model. Furthermore, Khattab et al. [43] reported that Cu removal through the electrochemical process follows the first-order kinetic model.

3.4.2. The effect of operating parameters on kinetic rate constant

The kinetic rate behavior of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, combined UVozone process, follows the first-order kinetic model as reported in the previous section (Section 3.3.1). The value of the kinetic rate constant of the first-order model, k_1 , is presented in **Table 1**. As can be observed, the value of k_1 is varied as the variation of operating parameters, including C_0 , C_{O3} , Iuv, and pH. The value of k_1 increases with a decrease in C_0 . Furthermore, the value of k_1 increases with the increase in C_{O3} , Iuv, and pH. However, at a pH of 10, the value of k_1 decreases. Even though, these phenomena indicate that the value of the observed k_1 is affected by the operating parameters. This is in accordance with some previous studies that the kinetic rate constant of the advanced oxidation process, especially the UV-ozone process, is affected by the operating parameters [19,44]. The operating parameters-dependent of the kinetic rate constant can be mathematically written as Equations (25) and (26) where ε is the pre-exponent constant while *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* are the exponent constant characteristic of *C*₀, *C*₀₃, *Iuv*, and pH, respectively. The linear form of Equation (26) is shown as Equation (27).

$$k_{1} = f(C_{0}, C_{O_{3}}, I_{UV}, pH)$$
(25)

$$k_1 = \varepsilon C_0^a C_{O_3}^b I_{UV}^c p H^d \tag{26}$$

$$\ln k_{1} = \ln \varepsilon + a \ln C_{0} + b \ln C_{0_{3}} + c \ln I_{UV} + d \ln pH$$
(27)

Based on Equation (27), the value of ε , *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* can be obtained using multiple regression analysis which also has been used elsewhere [44]. However, the value of k_1 at a pH of 10 is not included in this calculation because it doesn't follow the tendency. **Table 2** shows the result of the multiple regression analysis. As can be seen, the *P-value* of the coefficients obtained for each parameter is lower than 0.05. This indicates that the coefficients obtained are significant. However, the *P-value* of ln *Iuv* coefficient is higher than 0.05 which indicates that this coefficient is not significant. **Table 3** shows the result of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the multiple regression analysis. As can be observed, the value of multiple R, R² and adjusted R² is close to unity. These indicate that the obtained regression equation fits the data. Furthermore, the obtained *F*-value (113.4928) is higher than the *F*-table or theoretical *F*-value. The theoretical *F*-value [F0.05(4,7)] is 6.09.

Table 5. Multiple regression analysis result

Parameters	Coefficients	Standard Error	P-value
Intercept	-5.1661	0.3951	3.5663×10-6
ln Co	-0.7401	0.0441	6.4919×10-7
ln Co3	0.8154	0.0778	1.5626×10-5
ln Iuv	0.1441	0.0778	0.1064
ln pH	0.8407	0.0839	2.1105×10-5

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	4	1.7467	0.4367	113.4928	1.9188×10-6
Residual	7	0.0269	0.0038		
Total	11	1.7736			
Multiple R	0.9924				
R ²	0.9848				
Adjusted R ²	0.9761				
Standard Error	0.0620				

Based on the result of the multiple regression analysis (**Table 2**), Equation (27) can be rewritten as Equation (28). Furthermore, Equation (26) can be rewritten as Equation (29) with the value of ε constant was calculated from the natural exponential of the intercept of Equation (28). The obtained value of k_1 was then compared to the calculated value of k_1 using Equation (29). The comparison of the observed k_1 and calculated k_1 is depicted in **Figure 9**. It is clear that the observed and calculated values of k_1 are in good fit as the R² is close to unity (0.9848). By combining the Equations (1), (22) and (29), the calculated Cu removal efficiency can be rewritten as Equation (30). Furthermore, the comparison of the Cu removal efficiency between the observed value and the calculated value is also depicted in **Figure 10**.



$$k_{1} = \left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_{0}^{-0.7401} C_{0_{3}}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$\eta_{cal}(\%) = 100 - 100 \exp\left(-\left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_0^{-0.7401} C_{O_3}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407} t\right)$$
(30)



Figure 20. Comparison of observed and calculated value of k1



Figure 21. Comparison of data and calculated the Cu removal efficiency at (a) varied *Co*, (b) varied *Co*, (c) varied *Iuv*, and (d) varied pH.

As can be seen in **Table 2** and Equation (29), the exponent characteristic value of C_0 is in a negative value. It indicates that the value of the initial Cu concentration is inversely proportional to the k₁ value. This finding is in accordance with the finding of Ren et al. [19]. They reported that the initial concentration of polyacrylamide in the UV-Ozone process of polyacrylamide oxidation is inversely proportional to the kinetic rate constant value. Concerning the effect of UV and ozone treatment in this oxidation process, the value of the exponent characteristic of Co3 and Iuv can be used to study this matter [44]. As can be seen, the exponent characteristic value of C_{03} is higher than I_{UV} . It is suggested that the ozone dosage is more significant in this oxidation process than UV irradiation intensity. This finding is in accordance with the previous study of the UV-Ozone process. The UV-Ozone process was conducted for κ-Carrageenan treatment and the result showed that the ozone dosage was more significant than UV irradiation intensity [44]. Furthermore, the value of the exponent characteristic of Iuv is low enough and it is close to zero. It indicates that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant enough for the Cu removal process from wastewater. In the case of the effect of pH on k_1 value, it is clear that the pH has a significant effect on k_1 value as the value of the exponent characteristic of pH is high. It is true because the metal oxidation process in an aqueous solution is affected by the presence of OH- ions which can promote the formation of HO• radicals. On the other hand, the solubility of metal in aqueous solution is also affected by the pH value [39].

3.5. Proposed mechanism

Based on the explanation in previous sections, the mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the UV-Ozone process is developed. Figure 11 shows the proposed mechanism in this study. As reported in several studies, the oxidation through AOPs is initiated by the formation of HO• radical because the main oxidating agent is HO• radical [45,46]. Based on several findings obtained in this study (reported in the previous sections), the HO• radical formation takes place through three different steps. In Figure 11, these steps are represented in orange, blue, and red arrows. The orange arrows represent the HO• radical formation through the UV-Ozone process. The blue arrow represents the direct ozonation of water producing HO• radicals releasing O₂ molecules. Furthermore, the red arrows represent the ozone degradation producing HO• radicals in alkaline condition releasing O₂ molecules. The red arrow is depicted in dash-line arrow type, this indicates that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of OH⁻ ions. At acid condition, this process doesn't occur due to no OH⁻ ions presence.



Figure 22. Proposed mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through UV-Ozone process

After the formation of HO• radicals occurred, the next step is the oxidation process. This process is depicted in black arrows, includes direct and indirect oxidation since the oxidating agents in this UV-Ozone process are HO• radicals and ozone molecules. Both HO• radicals and O₃ react with Cu²⁺ to form solid CuO. The oxidation process of Cu²⁺ to CuO by HO• radicals is called indirect oxidation, while the oxidation process of Cu²⁺ by O₃ molecules is called direct oxidation. Based on **Figure 11**, as the HO• radicals and O₃ molecules increase in the system, the CuO product produced increases. It means that the Cu removal from the electroplating wastewater increases. However, this CuO product can dissolve in an alkaline condition. As can be seen in **Figure 11**, if the OH⁻ ions are excessively present in the system, the CuO product will dissolve. This process is depicted as a dash-arrow in green indicating that this process occurs in alkaline conditions only due to the presence of excessive OH⁻ ions. The dissolution of CuO to the aqueous phase in the alkaline condition is due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [39].

Based on this proposed mechanism (**Figure 11**), it can be observed that the presence of the ozone molecule is important in this oxidation process. The ozone molecules have roles both to generate the HO• radicals and to oxidize the Cu directly. This is in accordance with the finding reported in the previous section (section 3.4) that the ozone dosage significantly affected the kinetic rate. On the other hand, the presence of UV irradiation assists the ozone photolysis process to produce HO• radicals through the formation of an intermediate oxidating agent, H₂O₂. Furthermore, the pH is also important in this mechanism. As can be seen in **Figure 11**, suitable alkaline condition accelerates the formation of HO• radicals. However, the high alkaline condition has a negative effect on the Cu removal process due to the CuO dissolution process in high alkaline conditions. The excessive OH⁻ ions catch the solid CuO to form soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes decreasing the Cu removal efficiency.

4. Conclusions

The photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater has been investigated. It is shown that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals as the oxidant and due to the direct oxidation by ozone. Furthermore, this process produced a CuO monoclinic crystal phase as the a solid product. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value have a significant effect on Cu removal efficiency. The initial Cu concentration significantly reduces Cu removal efficiency. As expected, the ozone dosage increases the Cu removal efficiency since it is responsible for HO• radical formation and direct oxidation. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value from 3 to 8. However, a further increase in pH value reduces the Cu removal efficiency due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes of Cu at the alkaline conditions. Interestingly, it is found that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater. However, it is responsible to promote the ozone photolysis producing HO• radicals through H2O2 formation. It is found that the kinetic behavior of the photochemical oxidation of Cu follows the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the relation between the operating parameters and kinetic rate constant is also established. In addition, the mechanism of Cu removal through the UV-Ozone process was also proposed concerning the findings obtained in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P., M.D. and T.R.; methodology, A.P.; software, T.R.; validation, A.P., T.R., M.D. and W.W.; formal analysis, A.P.; investigation, A.P., M.D.; resources, T.R.; data curation, W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P., T.R.; writing—review and editing, W.W.; visualization, A.P.; supervision, A.P.; project administration, A.P.

Funding: This research and the APC were funded by the Ministry of Education and Culture Indonesia through the research project of *Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi* (PTUPT) with contract number: 225-138/UN7.6.1/PP/2020.

Acknowledgments: The authors also would like to acknowledge the technical assistence of Mr. G. R. Prinanda for the Laboratory analysis of samples.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. In addition, the funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Rahman, M.S.; Sathasivam, K. V. Heavy Metal Adsorption onto Kappaphycus sp. from Aqueous Solutions: The Use of Error Functions for Validation of Isotherm and Kinetics Models. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 126298.
- Ali, M.M.; Ali, M.L.; Islam, M.S.; Rahman, M.Z. Preliminary assessment of heavy metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh. *Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag.* 2016, 5, 27–35.
- Islam, M.S.; Ahmed, M.K.; Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M.; Islam, K.N.; Ibrahim, M.; Masunaga, S. Arsenic and lead in foods: a potential threat to human health in Bangladesh. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2014, *31*, 1982– 1992.
- Khan, S.; Cao, Q.; Zheng, Y.M.; Huang, Y.Z.; Zhu, Y.G. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2008, 152, 686–692.
- Gautam, R.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Mahiya, S.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Contamination of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Media: Transport, Toxicity and Technologies for Remediation. In *Heavy Metals In Water*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, 2014; pp. 1–24 ISBN 9781782620174.
- Majumder, S.; Gangadhar, G.; Raghuvanshi, S.; Gupta, S. A comprehensive study on the behavior of a novel bacterial strain Acinetobacter guillouiae for bioremediation of divalent copper. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 2015, 38, 1749–1760.
- Oturan, M.A.; Aaron, J.J. Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: Principles and applications. A review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 44, 2577–2641.
- Krishnan, S.; Rawindran, H.; Sinnathambi, C.M.; Lim, J.W. Comparison of various advanced oxidation processes used in remediation of industrial wastewater laden with recalcitrant pollutants. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2017, 206, 012089.
- Al-Kdasi, A.; Idris, A.; Saed, K.; Guan, C.T. Treatment of textile wastewater by advanced oxidation processes– A review. *Glob. Nest Int. J.* 2004, 6, 222–230.
- Hanela, S.; Durán, J.; Jacobo, S. Removal of iron-cyanide complexes from wastewaters by combined UV-ozone and modified zeolite treatment. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 1794–1801.
- Bes-Piá, A.; Mendoza-Roca, J.A.; Roig-Alcover, L.; Iborra-Clar, A.; Iborra-Clar, M.I.; Alcaina-Miranda, M.I. Comparison between nanofiltration and ozonation of biologically treated textile wastewater for its reuse in the industry. *Desalination* 2003, 157, 81–86.
- 12. Deng, Y.; Zhao, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Wastewater Treatment. Curr. Pollut. Reports 2015, 1, 167–176.
- 13. Perkowski, J.; Kos, L. Decolouration of model dyehouse wastewater with advanced oxidation processes. *Fibres Text. East. Eur.* 2003, 11, 67–71.
- 14. Sharrer, M.J.; Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation followed by ultraviolet irradiation provides effective bacteria inactivation in a freshwater recirculating system. *Aquac. Eng.* **2007**, *37*, 180–191.
- Chen, Z.; Fang, J.; Fan, C.; Shang, C. Oxidative degradation of N-Nitrosopyrrolidine by the ozone/UV process: Kinetics and pathways. *Chemosphere* 2016, 150, 731–739.
- Dai, Q.; Chen, L.; Chen, W.; Chen, J. Degradation and kinetics of phenoxyacetic acid in aqueous solution by ozonation. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 142, 287–292.
- 17. Jin, F.; Wei, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, Y. The mechanism for the formation of OH radicals in condensed-phase water under ultraviolet irradiation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 21453–21460.
- Attri, P.; Kim, Y.H.; Park, D.H.; Park, J.H.; Hong, Y.J.; Uhm, H.S.; Kim, K.N.; Fridman, A.; Choi, E.H. Generation mechanism of hydroxyl radical species and its lifetime prediction during the plasma-initiated ultraviolet (UV) photolysis. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 9332.
- 19. Ren, G.; Sun, D.; Chung, J.S. Kinetics study on photochemical oxidation of polyacrylamide by ozone combined with hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet radiation. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2006**, *18*, 660–664.
- Shi, L.B.; Tang, P.F.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Y.P.; Zhang, L.C.; Zhang, H. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Cassia auriculata leaf extract and in vitro evaluation of their biocompatibility with rheumatoid arthritis macrophages (RAW 264.7). *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* 2017, *16*, 185–192.

- Etefagh, R.; Azhir, E.; Shahtahmasebi, N. Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles and fabrication of nanostructural layer biosensors for detecting Aspergillus niger fungi. *Sci. Iran.* 2013, 20, 1055–1058.
- Lanje, A.S.; Sharma, S.J.; Pode, R.B.; Ningthoujam, R.S. Synthesis and optical characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles. *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2010, 1, 36–40.
- Manyasree, D.; Peddi, K.M.; Ravikumar, R. CuO nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and their bactericidal efficacy. Int. J. Appl. Pharm. 2017, 9, 71–74.
- 24. Zhu, G.; Sun, Q.; Wang, C.; Yang, Z.; Xue, Q. Removal of Sulfamethoxazole, Sulfathiazole and Sulfamethazine in their Mixed Solution by UV/H₂O₂ Process. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 1797.
- Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Application of Ozonation and UV assisted Ozonation for Decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 in Sea water. *Pharm. Chem. J.* 2016, *3*, 131–138.
- Dai, C.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, Y.; Qiang, Z.; Zhang, T.C. Comparative study of the degradation of carbamazepine in water by advanced oxidation processes. *Environ. Technol.* 2012, 33, 1101–1109.
- 27. Jing, Z.; Cao, S.; Yu, T.; Hu, J. Degradation Characteristics of Aniline with Ozonation and Subsequent Treatment Analysis. J. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1–6.
- Wang, Z.; Li, J.; Song, W.; Zhang, X.; Song, J. Decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by ozone-based advanced oxidation process. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2019, 79, 589–596.
- Guo, W.; Yang, Z.; Du, J.; Yin, R.; Zhou, X.; Jin, S.; Ren, N. Degradation of sulfadiazine in water by a UV/O₃ process: performance and degradation pathway. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 57138–57143.
- Barakat, M.A. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. Arab. J. Chem. 2011, 4, 361– 377.
- Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation and UV irradiation—an introduction and examples of current applications. Aquac. Eng. 2003, 28, 21–36.
- Khan, S.; Sayed, M.; Sohail, M.; Shah, L.A.; Raja, M.A. Advanced Oxidation and Reduction Processes. In Advances in Water Purification Techniques; Ahuja, S., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2019; pp. 135– 164 ISBN 9780128147917.
- Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Advanced oxidation processes of Mordant Violet 40 dye in freshwater and seawater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 1–9.
- Muniyasamy, A.; Sivaporul, G.; Gopinath, A.; Lakshmanan, R.; Altaee, A.; Achary, A.; Velayudhaperumal Chellam, P. Process development for the degradation of textile azo dyes (mono-, di-, poly-) by advanced oxidation process - Ozonation: Experimental & partial derivative modelling approach. *J. Environ. Manage.* 2020, 265, 110397.
- 35. Colindres, P.; Yee-Madeira, H.; Reguera, E. Removal of Reactive Black 5 from aqueous solution by ozone for water reuse in textile dyeing processes. *Desalination* **2010**, *258*, 154–158.
- Zhang, F.; Yediler, A.; Liang, X.; Kettrup, A. Effects of dye additives on the ozonation process and oxidation by-products: a comparative study using hydrolyzed C.I. Reactive Red 120. *Dye. Pigment.* 2004, 60, 1–7.
- Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Testing the advanced oxidation processes on the degradation of Direct Blue 86 dye in wastewater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 11–19.
- Munter, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes Current Status and Prospects. Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. Chem. 2001, 50, 59–80.
- Khan, R.; Inam, M.A.; Zam Zam, S.; Akram, M.; Shin, S.; Yeom, I.T. Coagulation and Dissolution of CuO Nanoparticles in the Presence of Dissolved Organic Matter Under Different pH Values. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 2825.
- Al-Shannag, M.; Al-Qodah, Z.; Bani-Melhem, K.; Qtaishat, M.R.; Alkasrawi, M. Heavy metal ions removal from metal plating wastewater using electrocoagulation: Kinetic study and process performance. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2015, 260, 749–756.
- 41. Chen, X.; Ren, P.; Li, T.; Trembly, J.P.; Liu, X. Zinc removal from model wastewater by electrocoagulation: Processing, kinetics and mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2018**, *349*, 358–367.
- 42. Vasudevan, S.; Lakshmi, J. Process conditions and kinetics for the removal of copper from water by electrocoagulation. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 563–572.
- Khattab, I.A.; Shaffei, M.F.; Shaaban, N.A.; Hussein, H.S.; Abd El-Rehim, S.S. Study the kinetics of electrochemical removal of copper from dilute solutions using packed bed electrode. *Egypt. J. Pet.* 2014, 23, 93–103.

- Prasetyaningrum, A.; Widayat, W.; Jos, B.; Dharmawan, Y.; Ratnawati, R. UV Irradiation and Ozone Treatment of κ-Carrageenan: Kinetics and Products Characteristics. *Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Catal.* 2020, 15, 319–330.
- Muruganandham, M.; Suri, R.P.S.; Jafari, S.; Sillanpää, M.; Lee, G.-J.; Wu, J.J.; Swaminathan, M. Recent Developments in Homogeneous Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2014, 2014, 821674.
- Asghar, A.; Raman, A.A.A.; Daud, W.M.A.W. Advanced oxidation processes for in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for textile wastewater treatment: A review. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 87, 826– 838.



@ 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

REVISED_1 VERSION RECEIVED (6 OKTOBER 2020) [Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Revised Version Received Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com< th=""><th></th></diana.radulescu@mdpi.com<>	
(6 reply-to:	diana.radulescu@mdpi.com
to:	Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id></aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
CC:	Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com></processes@mdpi.com></widayat@live.undip.ac.id></moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id></teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>
date:	Oct 6, 2020, 3:29 PM
subject:	[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes- 955989 - Revised Version Received
mailed-by:	mdpi.com
signed-by:	mdpi.com

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thank you very much for providing the revised version of your paper:

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes

Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications <u>https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_enviroment</u> <u>al</u> <u>https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9d</u> b9266

We will continue processing your paper and will keep you informed about the submission status.

Kind regards,

Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (<u>https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes</u>) www.mdpi.com

Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

(8 OKTOBER 2020)

[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 -Accepted for Publication Diana

Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>

Diana Radulescu reply-to: <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com> Aji Prasetyaningrum to: <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id> CC: Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com>, Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com> date: Oct 8, 2020, 12:42 PM subject: [Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Accepted for Publication mailed-by: mdpi.com signed-by: mdpi.com

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

We are pleased to inform you that the following paper has been officially accepted for publication:

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_enviromental https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

We will now make the final preparations for publication, then return the manuscript to you for your approval.

If, however, extensive English edits are required to your manuscript, we will need to return the paper requesting improvements throughout. We encourage you to set up your profile at SciProfiles.com, MDPI's researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of your publications, citations, as well as your other academic contributions.

We also invite you to contribute to Encyclopedia (<u>https://encyclopedia.pub</u>), a scholarly platform providing accurate information about the latest research results. You can adapt parts of your paper to provide valuable reference information for others in the field.

Kind regards, Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (<u>https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes</u>) www.mdpi.com

Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

FINAL PROOFREADING (9 OCTOBER 2020)

[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Final Proofreading Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com< th=""><th></th></diana.radulescu@mdpi.com<>	
reply-to:	diana.radulescu@mdpi.com
to:	Aji Prasetyaningrum <a>aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
CC:	Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com></processes@mdpi.com></widayat@live.undip.ac.id></moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id></teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>
date:	Oct 9, 2020, 12:53 PM
subject:	[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes- 955989 - Final Proofreading in 24 Hours Before Publication
mailed-by:	mdpi.com
signed-by:	mdpi.com
:	Important according to Google magic

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thanks again for your submission.

Please pay attention to the listed 3 tips during the revision:

1) Some English language and style changes were made and shown in the PDF version. Please check it and reply to us if it's acceptable.

2) Please response to the comments and revise on the word file.

3) Please confirm there is no copyright issue in all of figures.

Please read the following instructions carefully before proofreading:

1) Download the manuscript from the link provided at the end of this message and upload the final proofed version at the same link within 24 hours (1 working day). If you experience any difficulties, please contact the Processes Editorial Office.

2) Please use Microsoft Word's built-in track changes function to highlight any changes you make, or send a comprehensive list of changes in a separate document. Note that this is the *last chance* to make textual changes to the manuscript. Some style and formatting changes may have been made by the production team, please do not revert these changes.

3) All authors must agree to the final version. Check carefully that authors' names and affiliations are correct, and that funding sources are correctly acknowledged. Incorrect author names or affiliations are picked up by indexing databases, such as the Web of Science or PubMed, and can be difficult to correct.

After proofreading, final production will be carried out. Note that changes to the position of figures and tables may occur during the final steps. Changes can be made to a paper published online only at the discretion of the Editorial Office. In this case, a separate Correction or Addendum will be published and we reserve the right to charge 50 CHF per Correction (including changes to author names or affiliations).

Please download the final version of your paper for proofreading here:

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/proof/file/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db92 66

and upload here:

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/resubmit/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db92 66

Supplementary and other additional files can be found at the second link. We look forward to hearing from you soon.

Kind regards,

Diana Radulescu Assistant Editor MDPI Open Access Publishing Romania SRL Str. Avram Iancu, 454, 407280 Cluj, Romania Processes (<u>https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes</u>) www.mdpi.com Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.Disclaimer: MDPI recognizes the importance of data privacy and protection. We treat personal data in line with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and with what the community expects of us. The information contained in this message is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this message in error, please notify me and delete this message from your system. You may not copy this message in its entirety or in part, or disclose its contents to anyone.

COMMENT REVIEWER-2

Article

Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study

Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni and Widayat Widayat

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. Soedarto S.H., Tembalang, Semarang 50275, Indonesia; teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id (T.R.);

moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id (M.D.); widayat@live.undip.ac.id (W.W.)

* Correspondence: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id; Tel.: +62-24-746-0058

Received: 21 September 2020; Accepted: 8 October 2020; Published: date

Abstract: An investigation of the process of ozone combined with ultraviolet radiation has been carried out in order to establish the kinetics for photochemical oxidation of copper (Cu) from electroplating wastewater. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value on the photochemical oxidation of Cu have been studied comprehensively. The Cu concentration during the reaction was identified using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) method. The solid product was analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscope–energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) methods. It was found that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO radicals. It was also found that the solid product from the UV-Ozone process was CuO monoclinic crystal phase. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value were significantly affected the Cu removal efficiency. On the other hand, the UV irradiation intensity was not significant; however, it has responsibility in promoting the ozone photolysis. The kinetics model for the photochemical oxidation of Cu was established following the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the reaction mechanism was also developed.

Keywords: photochemical oxidation; Cu; kinetics; ozone; ultraviolet irradiation; advanced oxidation process

1. Introduction

Heavy metals have become a global issue of environmental and public health concern because of their toxicity and bioaccumulation in the human body and food chain [1]. The effects of urbanization and industrialization cause an increase in heavy metal pollution to the environment [2]. High toxicity and nonbiodegradability of heavy metals caused a number of environmental problems [3]. The accumulation of heavy metals in the atmosphere is responsible for both natural and anthropogenic activities [4]. Copper (Cu), as an essential trace element, is required by biological systems for the activation of some enzymes during photosynthesis. However, at higher concentrations, it shows harmful effects on the human body. Continuous exposure may lead to kidney damage and even death. Cu is also toxic to a variety of aquatic organisms even at very low concentrations. Mining, metallurgy, and industrial applications are the major sources of Cu exposure in the environment [5].

There were several techniques for the treatment of industrial wastewater containing heavy metals, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, coagulation–flocculation, flotation, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, magnetic separation and purification, biosorption, and nanotechnology [6]. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are promising, efficient, and

Commented [M1]: We noticed that you did not provide the graphic abstract for this paper yet. The graphic abstract gives readers an impression of the contents of a paper. It need not be detailed, or be one of the figures in the paper, or include any text. The best graphic abstracts are simple, with color and convey the main finding of the paper in a visual way. Preferred file types are JPG, TIFF, PNG or GIF.

Commented [M2]: Please carefully check the accuracy of names and affiliations. Changes will not be possible after proofreading.

Commented [M3]: Is the dot necessary?

environmentally friendly methods for the removal of wastewater contaminants [7]. The AOPs can be photochemical AOP, sonochemical AOP, and electrochemical AOP [7]. The basic principles of AOPs are the in situ generations of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the oxidation process. The hydroxyl radicals can be produced from hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), ozone, photocatalysis, or oxidants in combination with ultraviolet (UV) radiation [8].

Ozone is an active oxidant, which is commercially available and widely used in municipal water treatment and wastewater treatment. Moreover, wastewater treatment with ozone is an environmentfriendly method. The pollutants such as color, odor, and microorganisms are oxidized directly without generating harmful chlorinated by-products or substantial residues [9]. In order to increase the effectivity of the ozonation process, it is necessary to combine the ozone process with another process that can increase the reaction efficiency between ozone and pollutant. The promising process is the combination of ozone and UV irradiation that could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) as the main oxidant compounds in AOP [10]. The combination of UV-Ozone process has been reported as a promising process for HO• radical formation [11–13]. Therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Based on this characteristic, the combination of UV-Ozone process has a potential option for wastewater treatment [14].

Since the UV-Ozone process has high beneficial result in wastewater treatment, it is important to investigate this process comprehensively. However, the utilization of the UV-Ozone process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is still limited. Therefore, the comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone processes is investigated in this study. Furthermore, the effect of several operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value, on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through photochemical (UV-Ozone) oxidation process is also comprehensively studied. In addition, the kinetic study of this process is important for scale-up processing. The study of the kinetics of wastewater treatment with a combination of UV-Ozone process for organic compound degradation has been investigated by several previous researchers [15,16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies concerning the kinetic study in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater by UV-Ozone process. Some previous studies on Cu or other metals removal from wastewater are focused on investigating the process parameters affecting the process [17,18]. Based on these understandings, in this study, the kinetic models of the Cu removal process are investigated in order to determine the order of the kinetic rate. In addition, the relation of operating parameters with the kinetic parameter is also investigated comprehensively. Extendedly, the correlation between process parameters and kinetic parameter is developed. This correlation accommodates the prediction of the kinetic parameter on different process conditions. Therefore, the kinetic rate of Cu removal through photochemical oxidation can be easily predicted. The possible reaction mechanism of Cu removal using UV-Ozone process is also addressed in this study.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials

This experiment was carried out using synthetic wastewater with an initial copper concentration of 145.73 mg/L. The initial concentration of samples was prepared based on the composition of copper from industrial electroplating wastewater from a plant located at Juwana, Pati, Central of Java Indonesia. Synthesized wastewater with varying copper concentrations (145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L) was prepared by dissolving the corresponding amount of CuSO4.5H2O (>98%, Merck) in deionized (DI) water. The initial pH value of the solution was adjusted using HCl (37%, Merck) and NaOH (>98%, Merck).

Commented [MB4]: Verify.

Commented [MB5]: Verify.

2.2. Experimental System Setup

The experimental study on photochemical oxidation of copper by ozone combined with UV irradiation was conducted in a bubble column reactor made of borosilicate glass. The reactor was equipped with a low-pressure mercury UV light (Philips-TUV 8 Watt, main emission line at 253.7 nm). Ozone gas generated from ozone generator type dielectric barrier discharge (Dipo Technology, Diponegoro University) connected to reactors UV-Ozone (Figure 1). The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The UV-Ozone photoreactor was performed under a semibatch condition reaction. The reactor was an open-top cylindrical tank with a fixed top suspension with a low-pressure mercury UV light. UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². The UV irradiation intensity was adjusted by varying the number of UV light. The UV irradiation intensity was measured using a J-225 Black Ray intensity meter. A fritted glass diffuser was placed at the bottom of the reactor to allow the continuous injection of ozonized gas. Ozone was produced from the air, which flows into the ozone generator. The reaction was adjusted for different time periods (i.e., 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min) and initial pH (3, 6, 8, and 10) during UV-Ozone treatment. All oxidation reaction processes were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The initial pH was adjusted using NaOH and HCl solutions.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of UV-Ozone treatment equipment.

2.3. Analytical Methods

A pH meter analyzer (EZDO PH-5011A) was used during the procedure for assessing the pH of the response solution. An atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS—Quantachrome series 11.0) was used to analyze Cu concentration and wastewater samples. Even though the UV-VIS method can be used to analyzed Cu concentration in the solutions, the shift on maximum wavelength absorbance at different pH could affect the inaccuracy in the measurement [19–21] since we variated the pH as one of the process parameters investigated. The solid product was also analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Shimadzu 7000) method. The Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) was operated at 30 mA and 30 kV. The diffraction patterns were generated at 20 angle ranges of 20–70° with a scanning speed of 4° min⁻¹. The surface morphology and metal oxide composition of the solid product were analyzed using scanning electron microscope–energy dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) (SEM-EDX JEOL JSM-6510LA) method. The **Commented [M6]:** Please ensure all source information is given for this software: product name, version number, company, city, state abbreviation (if applicable), country.

Commented [M7]: Please ensure all source information is given for this software: product name, version number, company, city, state abbreviation (if applicable), country.

Commented [M8]: Please ensure all source information is given for this software: product name, version number, company, city, state abbreviation (if applicable), country.

Commented [M9]: Please ensure all source information is given for this software: product name, version number, company, city, state abbreviation (if applicable), country.

Commented [M10]: Please ensure all source information is given for this software: product name, version number, company, city, state abbreviation (if applicable), country.

removal efficiency was calculated using Equation (1), when η is the Cu removal efficiency (%), C_0 is the initial Cu concentration (mg/L), and C_t (mg/L) is the Cu concentration at time t.

$$\eta(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100 .$$
 (1)

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Comparation of UV, Ozone. and Combination UV-Ozone

In order to study the different effects of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone combination processes on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, these three processes are compared. The result is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone combination processes.

Figure 2 depicted the comparison of UV, Ozone, and combined UV-Ozone treatment on Cu removal efficiency from electroplating wastewater. As can be seen, at 60 min of reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained from the UV irradiation process is 69.08%, whereas the Cu removal efficiency obtained from Ozone treatment is 72.64%. Interestingly, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased up to 78.8% when the UV and Ozone processes are combined. This high Cu removal efficiency can be obtained by the enhancement of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the combination of UV and Ozone processes. As reported by Hanela et al. [10], the combination of UV irradiation and ozone could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals; therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Furthermore, it is suggested that the combination of UV irradiation and Ozone treatment can increase the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. It is true since the mechanism of Cu oxidation through AOP is initiated by the presence of HO• radicals. Equations (2) and (3) represent the oxidation process of Cu through AOP. As can be seen in Equation (2), the Cu²⁺ ion is oxidized by HO• radicals producing solid CuO and water molecules.

$$Cu^{2+} + 2HO \longrightarrow CuO_{\downarrow} + H_2O \tag{2}$$

$$Cu^{2+} + O_3 \to CuO_{\downarrow} + O_2. \tag{3}$$

Based on Equation (2), the important thing in Cu removal through AOP is the presence of HO• radicals. Therefore, it is suggested that the process of producing more HO• radicals is the preferred process. As can be seen in Figure 2, the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process is the lowest compared to the other processes. It is true since the UV irradiation process without the presence of ozone in the system only produces HO• radicals through the photolysis of the H₂O molecule [12,22]. The photolysis of the H₂O molecule by UV irradiation is shown in Equation (4) [12].

The other possible mechanisms in HO• production through the UV irradiation process are shown in Equations (5) and (6) [23].

$$H_2O + hv \to HO\Box + H\Box \tag{4}$$

$$H_2O + hv \to H_2O^* \tag{5}$$

$$H_2 O^* + hv \to HO\Box + H\Box. \tag{6}$$

For the Ozone treatment, as depicted in Figure 2, the Cu removal efficiency is higher than the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process. This is due to the fact that the Cu oxidation through Ozone treatment can be initiated by HO• radicals and directly oxidized by the ozone molecule (Equation (3)). These two reactions occurred in Ozone treatment, therefore; the Cu removal efficiency obtained by Ozone treatment is higher than obtained by UV treatment. The chemical reaction of HO• radicals formation through Ozone treatment is shown in Equation (7) [12].

$$3O_3 + H_2O \to 2HO\Box + 4O_2. \tag{7}$$

As mentioned before, the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained by the UV-Ozone process due to the high HO• radical formation during the combination of the UV irradiation process and the Ozone process. Besides, the presence of ozone can also increase the oxidation process due to the direct oxidation process. The formation of HO• radicals in the UV-Ozone process has been widely reported. Some proposed HO• radical formation during the UV-Ozone process follow the reaction mechanism as described in Equations (8)–(10) [12,24]:

$$O_3 + H_2O + hv \rightarrow O_2 + H_2O_2 \tag{8}$$

$$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow 2HO$$

$$H_2O_2 + H_2O \to H_3O^+ + HO_2^-$$
 (10)

$$O_3 + HO_2^- \rightarrow HO^- + O_2 + O_2^-$$
 (11)

$$O_3 + O_2 \Box \to O_2 + O_3 \Box \tag{12}$$

$$O_3\Box + H_2O \to HO\Box + HO^- + O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$O_3 + H_2 O_2 \to HO \Box + O_2 + HO_2 \Box \tag{14}$$

$$H_2O_2 + HO \square \to HO_2 \square + H_2O . \tag{15}$$

As can be seen, in the UV-Ozone process, an additional oxidant, H₂O₂, is generated through O₃ photolysis (Equation (8)) [12]. O₃ reacts with H₂O to form H₂O₂ under UV irradiation. This oxidant can produce more HO• radicals. H₂O₂ absorbs UV light to generate HO• radicals. It should be noted that this process can occur only at the presence in light sources with wavelength below 300 nm [17]. Therefore, UV light is preferred rather than visible light in this study. By this fact, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased following the reaction shown in Equation (2) in the combination of UV and Ozone process. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies. In dyehouse wastewater subjected to the combination of UV-Ozone treatment, a significant color reduction, up to 98.3%, was obtained [13]. For another reason, Bes-Piá et al. [11] studied the UV-Ozone process for textile wastewater treatment. They reported that the combination of UV irradiation with ozone could significantly reduce the operating time to reach the same COD removal efficiency.

3.2. Characterization of the Solid Product

(9)

As reported in Section 3.1, the UV-Ozone process has the highest Cu removal efficiency compared to the other processes. The Cu removal through this process produces a solid product that is proposed as CuO, as shown in Equations (2) and (3). In order to prove that the solid product is CuO, the solid product was analyzed. Figure 3 shows the XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from the UV-Ozone process. Based on Figure 3, the characteristic peaks appear at 20 of 32.54° , 35.56° , 38.74° , 48.68° , 53.62° , 58.16° , 61.62° , 66.12° , and 68.12° . These peaks correspond to the CuO (tenorite phase) according to the JCPDS card number 96-900-8962. These also indicate that the formation of monoclinic crystal structure [25]. On the other hand, several studies reported that two peaks at $20 = 35.6^{\circ}$ (002) and $20 = 38.8^{\circ}$ (111) observed in the diffraction patterns are ascribed to the formation of the CuO (space group C2/c) monoclinic crystal phase [26,27]. In this study, these peaks appear at $20 = 35.6^{\circ}$ and $20 = 38.74^{\circ}$. Therefore, it is confirmed that the solid product produced from the UV-Ozone process is CuO in the monoclinic crystal phase.



Figure 3. XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from UV-Ozone process.

The morphology and the metal oxide composition of the solid product from the UV-Ozone process are identified using SEM analysis. Based on the result of SEM-EDX analysis (Figure 4a), it is confirmed that the most metal oxide present in the solid product is CuO. The CuO content detected by SEM-EDX analysis in the solid product is 83.52%. The other components detected may be produced from the impurities in the wastewater. However, it is confirmed that the solid product is CuO. Figure 4b shows the appearance of the solid product surface (right) and the model of the CuO monoclinic crystal structure (left). As can be seen, the surface morphology of the CuO is agglomerated nanorods. Manyasree et al. [28] reported that the surface morphology of the CuO nanoparticle, which was synthesized from copper sulfate and sodium hydroxide through the coprecipitation process, is a flower-shaped structure. **Commented [M11]:** Brackets '[]' are usually expressed as a mathematics range, reference citation and chemical formula. Please confirm with if we could change [] to ()



Figure 4. Composition of metal oxide in the solid product (**a**) and surface morphology (right) and crystal structure model (left) of the solid product (**b**).

Commented [M12]: Here is a suggestion. Wouldn't be better to change (right) with (c) and (left) with (b)

3.3. The Effect of Operating Parameters

3.3.1. Effect of Initial Concentration

In order to study the effect of the initial Cu concentration, the photochemical oxidation process was conducted with the variation of the initial Cu concentration. The initial Cu concentration was varied at 145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L. The monitored parameter is the Cu removal efficiency. The effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 5, the Cu removal efficiency is significantly affected by the initial Cu concentration. During the oxidation process for 60 min, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 145.73 mg/L is 34.39%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 72.86 mg/L is 54.36%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 36.9 mg/L is 68.46%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the initial Cu concentration has a significant effect on the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency decreases significantly with the increase in the initial Cu concentration from 36.9 to 145.73 mg/L. The decrease in Cu removal efficiency at high initial Cu concentration is due to the presence of a high amount of hydroxyl radical scavengers. When the initial Cu concentration is high, the photochemical oxidation process is overloaded. As a result, the Cu in the solution competes with each other during the oxidation reaction process [29]. On the other hand, in the UV irradiation process, the permeation of photons is reduced at high solution concentration; therefore, the concentration of hydroxyl radical produced is low [29]. Therefore, the oxidation of Cu decreases at high initial Cu concentration. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies in AOP. Hassan et al. [30] reported that the decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 dye in seawater through the UV-Ozone process was highly affected by the initial dye concentration, i.e., the decolorization rate decreased by increasing the dye concentration. Dai et al. [31] also reported a similar finding in the degradation of carbamazepine in water through AOP. They reported that the degradation percentage of carbamazepine decreased from 34% to 13% with an increase in the initial carbamazepine concentration from 4.2 to 42.3 μ M. Jing et al. [32] also reported that the initial aniline concentration affected the aniline degradation process through ozonation. They reported that aniline degradation decreased with the increase in the initial aniline concentration due to the overload of the ozonation process.

3.3.2. Effect of Ozone Dosage

In this study, the effect of ozone dosage on the Cu removal process through the photochemical oxidation process is studied by varying the ozone dosage. The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. Cu removal efficiency was monitored to study this matter. The effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency through a photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 6, the Cu removal efficiency increases significantly with increasing the ozone dosage. At 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 5 mg/h is 48.31%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 10 mg/h is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 15 mg/h is 78.80%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the Cu removal efficiency in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process is highly affected by the ozone dosage. As the ozone dosage increases, the Cu removal efficiency increases. It is true since in the ozonation process, the oxidation of Cu can occur through two different mechanisms, i.e., oxidation by HO• radicals (Equation (2)) and direct oxidation by ozone (Equation (3)). As reported by Wang et al. [33], in the ozone-based oxidation process, there are two methods, namely, indirect reaction of free radicals and direct reaction.

Pertaining to the effect of ozone dosage on HO• radical formation, when ozone dosage increases, more HO• radicals are formed. Under UV irradiation, H₂O₂ can be formed through the reaction of ozone with H₂O (Equation (8)). Furthermore, this H₂O₂ molecule absorbs the UV light to generate the HO• radicals (Equation (9)) [24]. Ozone molecules also can react with H₂O₂ molecules to produce HO• radicals. Besides, the ozone also can also directly react with H₂O to generate HO• radicals (Equation (7)). Then, Cu is oxidized by HO• radicals. Therefore, the photochemical oxidation rate of Cu increases with the increase in ozone dosage. As a comparison, Wang et al. [33] reported that the decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by the ozone-based oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Ren et al. [24] reported that the removal efficiency of polyacrylamide through the photochemical oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Guo et al. [34] also reported the same result in the degradation process of sulfadiazine in water by the UV-Ozone process.

3.3.3. Effect of UV Irradiation Intensity

In order to study the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the photochemical process was conducted by varying the UV irradiation intensity. The UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². Figure 7 shows the result of the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency.



Figure 7. Effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As shown in Figure 7, at 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 20 mW/cm² is 65.09%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 40 mW/cm² is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is 69.08%. It can be concluded that the Cu photochemical oxidation rate slightly increases with the

increase in UV radiation intensity. The Cu removal efficiency slightly increases for UV irradiation of 20–40 mW/cm². Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency remains unchanged for UV irradiation intensity of 40–60 mW/cm².

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity is due to the high possibility to produce HO• radicals at high UV irradiation intensity. The possible process of HO• radical formation during UV irradiation is the photolytic dissociation of water by UV irradiation at a wavelength of 254 nm [35]. However, this process is still unclear since some studies reported that the photolytic dissociation of water to HO• radicals only can be conducted at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Deng and Zhao [12] claimed that this process occurs at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Furthermore, Jin et al. [22] reported that the HO• radicals could be formed through irradiation on liquid water by UV light in the range of 150–200 nm. As the UV irradiation wavelength used in this study is around 253.7 nm, the increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity from 20 to 40 mW/cm² is attributed to the formation of HO• radicals through ozone photolysis producing H₂O₂ (Equation (8)). However, high UV irradiation intensity in the ozonation process is not good. It is true since, at high UV irradiation intensity, the ozone molecule could be degraded to oxygen [36]. Therefore, the formation of HO• radicals is low at high UV irradiation intensity. Furthermore, it is suggested that the unchanged Cu removal efficiency at a UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is due to the degradation of the ozone molecule resulting in the low formation of HO• radicals.

3.3.4. Effect of pH

The efficiency of AOP can be influenced by various factors, such as the pH of the solution [37–39]. In order to study the effect of pH on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, the pH of solution was varied at 3, 6, 8, and 10. The result is depicted in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Effect of pH on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 8, at 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 3 is 46.02%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 6 is 68.46%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 8 is 80.09%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 10 is 70.46%. It can be seen that the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained at a pH of 8. Hence, it can be concluded that the photochemical oxidation of Cu from electroplating wastewater increases with the increase in pH from 3 to 8, then it decreases with a further increase in pH to 10.
The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in pH value is attributed to the high rate of HO• radical formation at high pH conditions. As reported by Muniyasamy et al. [39], in the oxidation process, pH influences the process by altering the chemical nature of ozone. At low pH conditions (acidic conditions), the decomposition of the ozone molecule to produce HO• radicals is relatively slow [40]. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone was sluggish below the pH of 4 [41]. Furthermore, ozone tends to remain in the molecular state at acidic conditions; however, ozone can react directly as ozone radical with the contaminants at alkaline conditions [38,42]. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency is low at a low pH value. Furthermore, the higher the pH value, the higher the Cu removal efficiency. This tendency is attributed to the high formation of HO• radicals at the alkaline conditions. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone in water is better at higher pH values [43]. At alkaline conditions, ozone is unstable and rapidly decomposes into HO• radical [39,40]. The HO• radical formation through ozone decomposition occurs as follows:

$$O_3 + OH^- \to HO_2^- + O_2 \tag{16}$$

$$2O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO\Box + O_2^-\Box + O_2. \tag{17}$$

As can be seen in Equations (16) and (17), the HO• radical, which is the primary oxidant in indirect oxidation [39], is produced at high pH level represented as OH⁻. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value since the HO• radical formation is favored at a high pH level. However, the Cu removal efficiency decrease at a pH of 10. At higher pH value, CuO can dissolve to the aqueous phase due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [44]. Therefore, Cu removal efficiency decreases. The possible dissolution mechanism of CuO at high pH value was proposed by Khan et al. [44] as follows:

$$CuO_{(s)} + OH_{(aq)}^{-} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)_{3(aq)}^{-}$$
 (18)

$$CuO_{(s)} + 2OH^{-}_{(aa)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{2-}_{A_{(aa)}}.$$
 (19)

3.4. Kinetic Study

3.4.1. Determination of the Kinetic Rate Order

Three classical kinetic models are proposed to describe the Cu removal process and to determine the order or kinetic rate. These kinetic models include first-order model, second-order model, and pseudo-first-order model. The compatibility of these models is evaluated by the R^2 value as reported elsewhere [45]. In this work, the kinetic study of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is considered for various initial Cu concentration (*C*₀), ozone dosage (*C*₀₃), UV irradiation intensity (*Iuv*), and initial pH condition.

In this advanced oxidation process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the mass conservation of Cu in the process can be generally expressed as:

$$-\frac{dC_i}{dt} = (-r), \tag{20}$$

where C_t (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at time t (min) and (-r) (mg/L.min) is the rate of Cu removal. For first order model ($-r = k_1C_t$), integration of Equation (20) at the initial concentration of C_0 , gives:

$$\ln\left(\frac{C_{t}}{C_{0}}\right) = k_{1}t, \qquad (21)$$

where k_1 (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant for first order. Further simplification of Equation (21) will give the time-dependent concentration of Cu (Equation (22)).

$$C_t = C_0 e^{-k_t t} . (22)$$

For second-order model ($-r = k_2C_{t^2}$), the integration of Equation (20) will give:

$$\frac{1}{C_{t}} = k_{2}t + \frac{1}{C_{0}}, \qquad (23)$$

where k_2 (L/mg.min) is the kinetic rate constant of the second-order model. For the pseudo-first-order model ($-r = k_p(C_t - C_t)$), the time-dependent concentration of Cu through the integration of Equation (20) is obtained as:

$$C_{t} = C_{e} + (C_{0} - C_{e})e^{-k_{p}t}, \qquad (24)$$

where C_e (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at equilibrium condition and k_p (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant of pseudo-first-order model.

The kinetic parameters were determined using the least-square method. As can be seen, the obtained equation for first-order and second-order kinetic models (Equations (21) and (23)) are linear equations. Therefore, the kinetic parameters (k_1 and k_2) can be obtained from the linear plot relating to these equations using the linear regression method. However, the equation derived from the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not linear. Therefore, the kinetic parameters of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model using a nonlinear regression method. On the other hand, to measure the goodness of the kinetic models proposed, the squared-correlation coefficient, R^2 , was used as the parameter [40,41]. The obtained kinetic parameters and R^2 values for first-order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order kinetic models are shown in Table 1.

As can be seen in Table 1, all proposed models fit the data as the R² values are close to unity. However, compared to the other proposed models, the R² value of the second-order model is far enough from unity. Besides, the values of R² obtained are not uniform for all data. Therefore, it is suggested that the second-order model is excluded as the proposed model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal. Hence, the first order and pseudo-first-order models are then considered as the most suitable proposed models to describe the kinetics of Cu removal. Considering the R² values of the first order and pseudo-first-order models, both these two models have high goodness in describing the kinetic rate of Cu removal. However, at the initial Cu concentration, Co, of 145.73 and 72.86 mg/L, the value of the equilibrium concentrations, Ce, obtained are zero. It indicates that at a high initial concentration of Cu in the wastewater, the kinetic rate will be increased. Furthermore, some of the Ce values are relatively low. In some cases, the theoretical value of Ce might be negative when the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is forcibly used [46]. In those cases, the pseudo-first-order model cannot be used to describe the kinetic rate. Obviously, if the value of the equilibrium concentration is zero or low enough, the pseudo-first-order model gets back to the first-order model [45,46]. Therefore, it is suggested that the most suitable model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-Ozone process, is the first-order model.

Table 7. Kinetic parameters of the first order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order models.

						Kinetio	Paramete	rs		
Co	Соз	Iuv	-U	First-O	Order	Second-O	rder	Pseu	do-First-O	rder
(mg/L)	(mg/h)	(mW/cm²)	рп	k1 (1/min)	R ²	k2 (L/mg.min)	R ²	k _p (1/min)	Ce (mg/L)	\mathbb{R}^2
145.73	10	40	6	0.0069	0.9997	5.673 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.9921	0.0069	0.000	0.9994
72.86	10	40	6	0.0128	0.9993	2.451×10^{-4}	0.9678	0.0127	0.000	0.9983
36.9	10	40	6	0.0196	0.9995	8.898×10^{-4}	0.9707	0.0237	3.769	0.9995
36.9	5	40	6	0.0111	0.9998	3.972×10^{-4}	0.9875	0.0116	1.223	0.9993
36.9	15	40	6	0.0267	0.9959	1.480×10^{-3}	0.9624	0.0395	5.528	0.9979
36.9	10	20	6	0.0173	0.9996	7.407×10^{-4}	0.9609	0.0188	1.850	0.9990
36.9	10	60	6	0.0198	0.9989	9.031 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.9654	0.0252	4.663	0.9969
36.9	10	40	3	0.0116	0.9847	4.160×10^{-4}	0.9790	0.0330	12.126	0.9940
36.9	10	40	8	0.0282	0.9980	1.650×10^{-3}	0.9359	0.0376	4.170	0.9962
36.9	10	40	10	0.0226	0.9904	1.100×10^{-3}	0.9909	0.0381	7.725	0.9970

The kinetic study of Cu removal using an advanced oxidation process has been widely reported. However, the kinetic study of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, UV-Ozone Commented [M13]: We changed with hyphen "-" to make it the same with the text. Please confirm process, is still limited. The most-reported process is the electrochemical/electrocoagulation process. Al-Shannag et al. [45] reported that the electrocoagulation of heavy metals from wastewater, including Cu, followed a pseudo-first-order model. Using the same method, Vasudevan and Lakshmi [47] reported that the electrocoagulation of Cu from water follows the second-order model. Furthermore, Khattab et al. [48] reported that Cu removal through the electrochemical process follows the first-order kinetic model.

3.4.2. The Effect of Operating Parameters on Kinetic Rate Constant

The kinetic rate behavior of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-Ozone process, follows the first-order kinetic model as reported in Section 3.3.1. The value of the kinetic rate constant of the first-order model, k_1 , is presented in Table 1. As can be observed, the value of k_1 is varied as the variation of operating parameters, including C_0 , C_{03} , Iuv, and pH. The value of k_1 increases with a decrease in C_0 . Furthermore, the value of k_1 increases with the increase in C_{03} , Iuv, and pH. However, at a pH of 10, the value of k_1 decreases. These phenomena indicate that the value of the observed k_1 is affected by the operating parameters. This is in accordance with some previous studies that the kinetic rate constant of the advanced oxidation process, especially the UV-Ozone process, is affected by the operating parameters [24,49]. The operating parameters-dependent of the kinetic rate constant can be mathematically written as Equations (25) and (26) where ε is the pre-exponent constant, whereas *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* are the exponent constant characteristic of C_0 , C_{03} , Iuv, and pH, respectively. The linear form of Equation (26) is shown as Equation (27).

$$k_{1} = f\left(C_{0}, C_{O_{3}}, I_{UV}, pH\right)$$
(25)

$$k_1 = \varepsilon C_0^a C_{O_1}^b I_{UV}^c p H^d \tag{26}$$

$$\ln k_{1} = \ln \varepsilon + a \ln C_{0} + b \ln C_{0} + c \ln I_{UV} + d \ln pH .$$
(27)

Based on Equation (27), the value of ε , *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* can be obtained using multiple regression analysis which also has been used elsewhere [49]. However, the value of k_1 at a pH of 10 is not included in this calculation because it does not follow the tendency. Table 2 shows the result of the multiple regression analysis. As can be seen, the *p*-value of the coefficients obtained for each parameter is lower than 0.05. This indicates that the coefficients obtained are significant. However, the *p*-value of ln *Iuv* coefficient is higher than 0.05, which indicates that this coefficient is not significant. Table 3 shows the result of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the multiple regression analysis. As can be observed, the value of multiple R, R², and adjusted R² is close to unity. These indicate that the obtained regression equation fits the data. Furthermore, the obtained *F*-value (113.4928) is higher than the *F*-table or theoretical *F*-value. The theoretical *F*-value (F0.054.7) is 6.09.

Table 2. Multiple regression analysis result.						
Parameters	Coefficients	Standard Error	<i>p</i> -value			
Intercept	-5.1661	0.3951	3.5663 × 10-6			
ln Co	-0.7401	0.0441	6.4919×10^{-7}			
ln Co3	0.8154	0.0778	1.5626×10^{-5}			
ln Iuv	0.1441	0.0778	0.1064			
ln pH	0.8407	0.0839	2.1105 × 10-			

Commented [M14]: Please confirm this is correct

Table 3. Summary of the analysis of variance (ANOVA).

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	4	1.7467	0.4367	113.4928	1.9188×10^{-6}
Residual	7	0.0269	0.0038		
Total	11	1.7736			
Multiple R	0.9924				
R^2	0.9848				
Adjusted R ²	0.9761				
Standard error	0.0620				

Based on the result of the multiple regression analysis (Table 2), Equation (27) can be rewritten as Equation (28). Furthermore, Equation (26) can be rewritten as Equation (29) with the value of ε constant calculated from the natural exponential of the intercept of Equation (28). The obtained value of k_1 was then compared to the calculated value of k_1 using Equation (29). The comparison of the observed k_1 and calculated k_1 is depicted in Figure 9. It is clear that the observed and calculated values of k_1 are in good fit as the R² is close to unity (0.9848). By combining the Equations (1), (22), and (29), the calculated Cu removal efficiency can be rewritten as Equation (30). Furthermore, the comparison of the Cu removal efficiency between the observed value and the calculated value is also depicted in Figure 10.

 $\ln k_1 = -5.1661 - 0.7401 \ln C_0 + 0.8154 \ln C_{O_3} + 0.1441 \ln I_{UV} + 0.8407 \ln pH$ (28)

$$k_1 = \left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_0^{-0.7401} C_{O_3}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$\eta_{cal}(\%) = 100 - 100 \exp\left(-\left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_0^{-0.7401} C_{O_2}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407} t\right).$$
(30)



Figure 9. Comparison of observed and calculated value of k1.



Figure 230. Comparison of data and calculated Cu removal efficiency at (a) varied C_{0} , (b) varied C_{03} , (c) varied Iuv, and (d) varied pH.

As can be seen in Table 2 and Equation (29), the exponent characteristic value of C_0 is in a negative value. It indicates that the value of the initial Cu concentration is inversely proportional to the k1 value. This finding is in accordance with the finding of Ren et al. [24]. They reported that the initial concentration of polyacrylamide in the UV-Ozone process of polyacrylamide oxidation is inversely proportional to the kinetic rate constant value. Concerning the effect of UV and ozone treatment in this oxidation process, the value of the exponent characteristic of Co3 and Iuv can be used to study this matter [49]. As can be seen, the exponent characteristic value of C_{03} is higher than Iuv. It is suggested that the ozone dosage is more significant in this oxidation process than UV irradiation intensity. This finding is in accordance with the previous study of the UV-Ozone process. The UV-Ozone process was conducted for κ-Carrageenan treatment, and the result showed that the ozone dosage was more significant than UV irradiation intensity [49]. Furthermore, the value of the exponent characteristic of Iuv is low enough, and it is close to zero. It indicates that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant enough for the Cu removal process from wastewater. In the case of the effect of pH on k_1 value, it is clear that the pH has a significant effect on k_1 value as the value of the exponent characteristic of pH is high. It is true because the metal oxidation process in an aqueous solution is affected by the presence of OH- ions, which can promote the formation of HO• radicals. On the other hand, the solubility of metal in aqueous solution is also affected by the pH value [44].

3.5. Proposed Mechanism

Based on the explanation in previous sections, the mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the UV-Ozone process is developed. Figure 11 shows the proposed mechanism in this study. As reported in several studies, the oxidation through AOPs is initiated by the formation of HO• radical because the main oxidating agent is HO• radical [50,51]. Based on several findings

obtained in this study (reported in the previous sections), the HO• radical formation takes place through three different steps. In Figure 11, these steps are represented in orange, blue, and red arrows. The orange arrows represent the HO• radical formation through the UV-Ozone process. The blue arrow represents the direct ozonation of water producing HO• radicals releasing O₂ molecules. Furthermore, the red arrows represent the ozone degradation producing HO• radicals in alkaline condition releasing O₂ molecules. The red arrow is depicted in dash-line arrow type, this indicates that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of OH⁻ ions. At acidic condition, this process does not occur as OH⁻ ions are not present.



Figure 11. Proposed mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through UV-Ozone process.

After the formation of HO• radicals occurred, the next step is the oxidation process. This process, depicted in black arrows, includes direct and indirect oxidation since the oxidating agents in this UV-Ozone process are HO• radicals and ozone molecules. Both HO• radicals and O₃ react with Cu^{2+} to form solid CuO. The oxidation process of Cu^{2+} to CuO by HO• radicals is called indirect oxidation, whereas the oxidation process of Cu^{2+} by O₃ molecules is called direct oxidation. Based on Figure 11, as the HO• radicals and O₃ molecules increase in the system, the CuO product produced increases. It means that the Cu removal from the electroplating wastewater increases. However, this CuO product can dissolve in an alkaline condition. As can be seen in Figure 11, if the OH⁻ ions are excessively present in the system, the CuO product will dissolve. This process is depicted as a dash-arrow in green indicating that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of excessive OH⁻ ions. The dissolution of CuO to the aqueous phase in the alkaline condition is due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [44].

Based on this proposed mechanism (Figure 11), it can be observed that the presence of the ozone molecule is important in this oxidation process. The ozone molecules have roles both to generate the HO• radicals and to oxidize the Cu directly. This is in accordance with the finding reported in Section 3.4 that the ozone dosage significantly affected the kinetic rate. On the other hand, the presence of UV irradiation assists the ozone photolysis process to produce HO• radicals through the formation of an intermediate oxidating agent, H₂O₂. Furthermore, the pH is also important in this mechanism. As can be seen in Figure 11, suitable alkaline condition accelerates the formation of HO• radicals. However, the high alkaline condition has a negative effect on the Cu removal process due to the CuO dissolution process in high alkaline condition. The excessive OH⁻ ions catch the solid CuO to form soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes decreasing the Cu removal efficiency.

4. Conclusions

The photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater has been investigated. It is shown that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals as the oxidant and due to the direct oxidation by ozone. Furthermore, this process produced a CuO monoclinic crystal phase as a solid product. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value have a significant effect on Cu removal efficiency. The initial Cu concentration significantly reduces Cu removal efficiency. As expected, the ozone dosage increases the Cu removal efficiency since it is responsible for HO• radical formation and direct oxidation. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value from 3 to 8. However, a further increase in pH value reduces the Cu removal efficiency due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes of Cu at the alkaline conditions. Interestingly, it is found that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater. However, it is responsible to promote the ozone photolysis producing HO• radicals through H2O2 formation. It is found that the kinetic behavior of the photochemical oxidation of Cu follows the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the relation between the operating parameters and kinetic rate constant is also established. In addition, the mechanism of Cu removal through the UV-Ozone process was also proposed concerning the findings obtained in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P., M.D., and T.R.; methodology, A.P.; software, T.R.; validation, A.P., T.R., M.D., and W.W.; formal analysis, A.P.; investigation, A.P. and M.D.; resources, T.R.; data curation, W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P. and T.R.; writing—review and editing, W.W.; visualization, A.P.; supervision, A.P.; project administration, A.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research and the APC were funded by the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia, through the research project of *Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi* (PTUPT) with contract number: 225-138/UN7.6.1/PP/2020.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia, for the financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. In addition, the funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Rahman, M.S.; Sathasivam, K. V. Heavy Metal Adsorption onto Kappaphycus sp. from Aqueous Solutions: The Use of Error Functions for Validation of Isotherm and Kinetics Models. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 126298.
- Ali, M.M.; Ali, M.L.; Islam, M.S.; Rahman, M.Z. Preliminary assessment of heavy metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh. *Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag.* 2016, 5, 27–35.
- S. Islam, M.S.; Ahmed, M.K.; Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M.; Islam, K.N.; Ibrahim, M.; Masunaga, S. Arsenic and lead in foods: a potential threat to human health in Bangladesh. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2014, *31*, 1982– 1992.
- Khan, S.; Cao, Q.; Zheng, Y.M.; Huang, Y.Z.; Zhu, Y.G. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2008, 152, 686–692.
- Gautam, R.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Mahiya, S.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Contamination of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Media: Transport, Toxicity and Technologies for Remediation. In *Heavy Metals In Water*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2014. pp. 1–24.
- Majumder, S.; Gangadhar, G.; Raghuvanshi, S.; Gupta, S. A comprehensive study on the behavior of a novel bacterial strain Acinetobacter guillouiae for bioremediation of divalent copper. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 2015, 38, 1749–1760.
- 7. Oturan, M.A.; Aaron, J.J. Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: Principles and applications. A review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 44, 2577–2641.
- Krishnan, S.; Rawindran, H.; Sinnathambi, C.M.; Lim, J.W. Comparison of various advanced oxidation processes used in remediation of industrial wastewater laden with recalcitrant pollutants. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2017, 206, 012089.

Commented [M15]: Please check if the individual contribution of each co-author has been stated.

Commented [M16]: Ref 43: please add days, month

- 9. Al-Kdasi, A.; Idris, A.; Saed, K.; Guan, C.T. Treatment of textile wastewater by advanced oxidation processes–A review. *Glob. Nest Int. J.* 2004, *6*, 222–230.
- Hanela, S.; Durán, J.; Jacobo, S. Removal of iron-cyanide complexes from wastewaters by combined UVozone and modified zeolite treatment. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 1794–1801.
- 11. Bes-Piá, A.; Mendoza-Roca, J.A.; Roig-Alcover, L.; Iborra-Clar, A.; Iborra-Clar, M.I.; Alcaina-Miranda, M.I. Comparison between nanofiltration and ozonation of biologically treated textile wastewater for its reuse in the industry. *Desalination* 2003, 157, 81–86.
- 12. 12. Deng, Y.; Zhao, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Wastewater Treatment. *Curr. Pollut. Reports* 2015, 1, 167–176.
- 13. 13. Perkowski, J.; Kos, L. Decolouration of model dyehouse wastewater with advanced oxidation processes. *Fibres Text. East. Eur.* **2003**, *11*, 67–71.
- 14. 14. Sharrer, M.J.; Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation followed by ultraviolet irradiation provides effective bacteria inactivation in a freshwater recirculating system. *Aquac. Eng.* **2007**, *37*, 180–191.
- 15. 15. Chen, Z.; Fang, J.; Fan, C.; Shang, C. Oxidative degradation of N-Nitrosopyrrolidine by the ozone/UV process: Kinetics and pathways. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *150*, 731–739.
- 16. Dai, Q.; Chen, L.; Chen, W.; Chen, J. Degradation and kinetics of phenoxyacetic acid in aqueous solution by ozonation. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 142, 287–292.
- 17. I. Litter, M.; Quici, N. Photochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Recent Patents Eng.* 2011, 4, 217–241.
- 18. Badmus, M.A.O.; Audu, T.O.K.; Anyata, B.U. Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewater using hydrogen peroxide. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2007, 6, 238–242.
- 19. Aravinda, C.L.; Mayanna, S.M.; Muralidharan, V.S. Electrochemical behaviour of alkaline copper complexes. J. Chem. Sci. 2000, 112, 543–550.
- 20. Chand Mali, S.; Raj, S.; Trivedi, R. Biosynthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using Enicostemma axillare (Lam.) leaf extract. *Biochem. Biophys. Reports* 2019, 20, 100699.
- 21. 21. Martí, I.; Ferrer, A.; Escorihuela, J.; Burguete, M.I.; Luis, S. V. Copper(II) complexes of bis(amino amide) ligands: effect of changes in the amino acid residue. *Dalt. Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 6764–6776.
- 22. 22. Jin, F.; Wei, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, Y. The mechanism for the formation of OH radicals in condensed-phase water under ultraviolet irradiation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *19*, 21453–21460.
- 23. Attri, P.; Kim, Y.H.; Park, D.H.; Park, J.H.; Hong, Y.J.; Uhm, H.S.; Kim, K.N.; Fridman, A.; Choi, E.H. Generation mechanism of hydroxyl radical species and its lifetime prediction during the plasma-initiated ultraviolet (UV) photolysis. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 9332.
- 24. Ren, G.; Sun, D.; Chung, J.S. Kinetics study on photochemical oxidation of polyacrylamide by ozone combined with hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet radiation. J. Environ. Sci. 2006, 18, 660–664.
- 25. Shi, L.B.; Tang, P.F.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Y.P.; Zhang, L.C.; Zhang, H. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Cassia auriculata leaf extract and in vitro evaluation of their biocompatibility with rheumatoid arthritis macrophages (RAW 264.7). *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* 2017, *16*, 185–192.
- 26. Etefagh, R.; Azhir, E.; Shahtahmasebi, N. Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles and fabrication of nanostructural layer biosensors for detecting Aspergillus niger fungi. *Sci. Iran.* 2013, 20, 1055–1058.
- 27. 27. Lanje, A.S.; Sharma, S.J.; Pode, R.B.; Ningthoujam, R.S. Synthesis and optical characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles. *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.* **2010**, *1*, 36–40.
- 28. Manyasree, D.; Peddi, K.M.; Ravikumar, R. CuO nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and their bactericidal efficacy. Int. J. Appl. Pharm. 2017, 9, 71–74.
- Zhu, G.; Sun, Q.; Wang, C.; Yang, Z.; Xue, Q. Removal of Sulfamethoxazole, Sulfathiazole and Sulfamethazine in their Mixed Solution by UV/H2O2 Process. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 1797.
- 30. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Application of Ozonation and UV assisted Ozonation for Decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 in Sea water. *The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal.* 2016, *3*, 131–138.
- 31. Dai, C.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, Y.; Qiang, Z.; Zhang, T.C. Comparative study of the degradation of carbamazepine in water by advanced oxidation processes. *Environ. Technol.* 2012, 33, 1101–1109.
- 32. Jing, Z.; Cao, S.; Yu, T.; Hu, J. Degradation Characteristics of Aniline with Ozonation and Subsequent Treatment Analysis. J. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1–6.
- 33. Wang, Z.; Li, J.; Song, W.; Zhang, X.; Song, J. Decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by ozonebased advanced oxidation process. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2019, 79, 589–596.

- 34. Guo, W.; Yang, Z.; Du, J.; Yin, R.; Zhou, X.; Jin, S.; Ren, N. Degradation of sulfadiazine in water by a UV/O₃ process: performance and degradation pathway. *RSC Adv.* **2016**, *6*, 57138–57143.
- 35. Barakat, M.A. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. Arab. J. Chem. 2011, 4, 361–377.
- 36. Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation and UV irradiation—an introduction and examples of current applications. *Aquac. Eng.* **2003**, *28*, 21–36.
- 37. Khan, S.; Sayed, M.; Sohail, M.; Shah, L.A.; Raja, M.A. Advanced Oxidation and Reduction Processes. In Advances in Water Purification Techniques; Ahuja, S., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2019; pp. 135– 164 ISBN 9780128147917.
- 38. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Advanced oxidation processes of Mordant Violet 40 dye in freshwater and seawater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 1–9.
- 39. Muniyasamy, A.; Sivaporul, G.; Gopinath, A.; Lakshmanan, R.; Altaee, A.; Achary, A.; Velayudhaperumal Chellam, P. Process development for the degradation of textile azo dyes (mono-, di-, poly-) by advanced oxidation process - Ozonation: Experimental & partial derivative modelling approach. *J. Environ. Manage.* 2020, 265, 110397.
- 40. Colindres, P.; Yee-Madeira, H.; Reguera, E. Removal of Reactive Black 5 from aqueous solution by ozone for water reuse in textile dyeing processes. *Desalination* **2010**, *258*, 154–158.
- 41. Zhang, F.; Yediler, A.; Liang, X.; Kettrup, A. Effects of dye additives on the ozonation process and oxidation by-products: a comparative study using hydrolyzed C.I. Reactive Red 120. Dye. Pigment. 2004, 60, 1–7.
- 42. 42. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Testing the advanced oxidation processes on the degradation of Direct Blue 86 dye in wastewater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* **2017**, *43*, 11–19.
- 43. Munter, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes Current Status and Prospects. In Proceeding of The Estonian Academy Sciences, Tartu, Estonia, Days Month 2001, pp. 59–80.
- 44. Khan, R.; Inam, M.A.; Zam Zam, S.; Akram, M.; Shin, S.; Yeom, I.T. Coagulation and Dissolution of CuO Nanoparticles in the Presence of Dissolved Organic Matter Under Different pH Values. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 2825.
- 45. Al-Shannag, M.; Al-Qodah, Z.; Bani-Melhem, K.; Qtaishat, M.R.; Alkasrawi, M. Heavy metal ions removal from metal plating wastewater using electrocoagulation: Kinetic study and process performance. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2015, *260*, 749–756.
- 46. Chen, X.; Ren, P.; Li, T.; Trembly, J.P.; Liu, X. Zinc removal from model wastewater by electrocoagulation: Processing, kinetics and mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 349, 358–367.
- 47. 47. Vasudevan, S.; Lakshmi, J. Process conditions and kinetics for the removal of copper from water by electrocoagulation. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 563–572.
- 48. Khattab, I.A.; Shaffei, M.F.; Shaaban, N.A.; Hussein, H.S.; Abd El-Rehim, S.S. Study the kinetics of electrochemical removal of copper from dilute solutions using packed bed electrode. *Egypt. J. Pet.* 2014, 23, 93–103.
- 49. Prasetyaningrum, A.; Widayat, W.; Jos, B.; Dharmawan, Y.; Ratnawati, R. UV Irradiation and Ozone Treatment of κ-Carrageenan: Kinetics and Products Characteristics. *Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Catal.* 2020, 15, 319–330.
- 50. Muruganandham, M.; Suri, R.P.S.; Jafari, S.; Sillanpää, M.; Lee, G.-J.; Wu, J.J.; Swaminathan, M. Recent Developments in Homogeneous Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Int. J. Photoenergy* 2014, 2014, 821674.
- S1. Asghar, A.; Raman, A.A.A.; Daud, W.M.A.W. Advanced oxidation processes for in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for textile wastewater treatment: A review. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 87, 826– 838.



52.

© 2020 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

RESUBMITTED_REVISED VERSION_2

[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 -Manuscript Resubmitted

Submission

System <submission@mdpi.com

>

- reply-to: Diana Radulescu <diana.radulescu@mdpi.com>, Processes Editorial Office <processes@mdpi.com>
 - to: Aji Prasetyaningrum <aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id>
 - cc: Teguh Riyanto <teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id>, Mohamad Djaeni <moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id>, Widayat Widayat <widayat@live.undip.ac.id>

date: Oct 10, 2020, 12:06 PM

Dear Dr. Prasetyaningrum,

Thank you very much for resubmitting the modified version of the following manuscript:

Manuscript ID: processes-955989 Type of manuscript: Article Title: Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study Authors: Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni, Widayat Widayat Received: 21 September 2020 E-mails: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id, teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id Submitted to section: Green Processes, https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/sections/green_processes Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_enviromental https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fbe99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

A member of the editorial office will be in touch with you soon regarding progress of the manuscript.

REVISED VERSION_2

Article

Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study

Aji Prasetyaningrum *, Teguh Riyanto, Mohamad Djaeni and Widayat Widayat

Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Diponegoro University, Jl. Prof. Soedarto S.H., Tembalang, Semarang 50275, Indonesia; teguh_ryt@student.undip.ac.id (T.R.);

moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac.id (M.D.); widayat@live.undip.ac.id (W.W.)

* Correspondence: aji.prasetyaningrum@che.undip.ac.id; Tel.: +62-24-746-0058

Received: 21 September 2020; Accepted: 8 October 2020; Published: date

Abstract: An investigation of the process of ozone combined with ultraviolet radiation has been carried out in order to establish the kinetics for photochemical oxidation of copper (Cu) from electroplating wastewater. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value on the photochemical oxidation of Cu have been studied comprehensively. The Cu concentration during the reaction was identified using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) method. The solid product was analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscope–energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) methods. It was found that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals. It was also found that the solid product from the UV-Ozone process was CuO monoclinic crystal phase. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value were significantly affected the Cu removal efficiency. On the other hand, the UV irradiation intensity was not significant; however, it has responsibility in promoting the ozone photolysis. The kinetics model for the photochemical oxidation of Cu was established following the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the reaction mechanism was also developed.

Keywords: photochemical oxidation; Cu; kinetics; ozone; ultraviolet irradiation; advanced oxidation process

1. Introduction

Heavy metals have become a global issue of environmental and public health concern because of their toxicity and bioaccumulation in the human body and food chain [1]. The effects of urbanization and industrialization cause an increase in heavy metal pollution to the environment [2]. High toxicity and nonbiodegradability of heavy metals caused a number of environmental problems [3]. The accumulation of heavy metals in the atmosphere is responsible for both natural and anthropogenic activities [4]. Copper (Cu), as an essential trace element, is required by biological systems for the activation of some enzymes during photosynthesis. However, at higher concentrations, it shows harmful effects on the human body. Continuous exposure may lead to kidney damage and even death. Cu is also toxic to a variety of aquatic organisms even at very low concentrations. Mining, metallurgy, and industrial applications are the major sources of Cu exposure in the environment [5].

There were several techniques for the treatment of industrial wastewater containing heavy metals, including chemical precipitation, ion exchange, coagulation–flocculation, flotation, membrane filtration, electrochemical treatment, magnetic separation and purification, biosorption, and nanotechnology [6]. Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) are promising, efficient, and environmentally friendly methods for the removal of wastewater contaminants [7]. The AOPs can be

photochemical AOP, sonochemical AOP, and electrochemical AOP [7]. The basic principles of AOPs are the in situ generations of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the oxidation process. The hydroxyl radicals can be produced from hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), ozone, photocatalysis, or oxidants in combination with ultraviolet (UV) radiation [8].

Ozone is an active oxidant, which is commercially available and widely used in municipal water treatment and wastewater treatment. Moreover, wastewater treatment with ozone is an environment-friendly method. The pollutants such as color, odor, and microorganisms are oxidized directly without generating harmful chlorinated by-products or substantial residues [9]. In order to increase the effectivity of the ozonation process, it is necessary to combine the ozone process with another process that can increase the reaction efficiency between ozone and pollutant. The promising process is the combination of ozone and UV irradiation that could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) as the main oxidant compounds in AOP [10]. The combination of UV-Ozone process has been reported as a promising process for HO• radical formation [11–13]. Therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Based on this characteristic, the combination of UV-Ozone process has a potential option for wastewater treatment [14].

Since the UV-Ozone process has high beneficial result in wastewater treatment, it is important to investigate this process comprehensively. However, the utilization of the UV-Ozone process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is still limited. Therefore, the comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone processes is investigated in this study. Furthermore, the effect of several operating parameters, including initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, UV irradiation intensity, and pH value, on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through photochemical (UV-Ozone) oxidation process is also comprehensively studied. In addition, the kinetic study of this process is important for scale-up processing. The study of the kinetics of wastewater treatment with a combination of UV-Ozone process for organic compound degradation has been investigated by several previous researchers [15,16]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no previous studies concerning the kinetic study in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater by UV-Ozone process. Some previous studies on Cu or other metals removal from wastewater are focused on investigating the process parameters affecting the process [17,18]. Based on these understandings, in this study, the kinetic models of the Cu removal process are investigated in order to determine the order of the kinetic rate. In addition, the relation of operating parameters with the kinetic parameter is also investigated comprehensively. Extendedly, the correlation between process parameters and kinetic parameter is developed. This correlation accommodates the prediction of the kinetic parameter on different process conditions. Therefore, the kinetic rate of Cu removal through photochemical oxidation can be easily predicted. The possible reaction mechanism of Cu removal using UV-Ozone process is also addressed in this study.

2. Materials and Method

2.1. Materials

This experiment was carried out using synthetic wastewater with an initial copper concentration of 145.73 mg/L. The initial concentration of samples was prepared based on the composition of copper from industrial electroplating wastewater from a plant located at Juwana, Pati, Central of Java Indonesia<u>which has copper concentration of 145.73 mg/L</u>. Synthesized wastewater with varying copper concentrations (145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L) was prepared by dissolving the corresponding amount of CuSO4.5H₂O (>98%, Merck) in deionized (DI) water. The initial pH value of the solution was adjusted using HCl (37%, Merck) and NaOH (>98%, Merck).

2.2. Experimental System Setup

The experimental study on photochemical oxidation of copper by ozone combined with UV irradiation was conducted in a bubble column reactor made of borosilicate glass. The reactor was equipped with a low-pressure mercury UV light (Philips–TUV 8 Watt<u>Koninklijke Philips N.V.</u>) with main emission line at 253.7 nm. Ozone gas generated from ozone generator type dielectric barrier discharge (Dipo Technology, Diponegoro University) connected to reactors UV-Ozone (Figure 1). The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. The UV-Ozone photoreactor was performed under a semibatch condition reaction. The reactor was an open-top cylindrical tank with a fixed top suspension with a low-pressure mercury UV light. UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². The UV irradiation intensity was adjusted by varying the number of UV light. The UV irradiation intensity was measured using a J-225 Black Ray intensity meter <u>(Analytik Jena US)</u>. A fritted glass diffuser was placed at the bottom of the reactor to allow the continuous injection of ozonized gas. Ozone was produced from the air, which flows into the ozone generator. The reaction was adjusted for different time periods (i.e., 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min) and initial pH (3, 6, 8, and 10) during UV-Ozone treatment. All oxidation reaction processes were conducted at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. The initial pH was adjusted using NaOH and HCl solutions.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of UV-Ozone treatment equipment.

2.3. Analytical Methods

A pH meter analyzer (EZDO PH-5011A) was used during the procedure for assessing the pH of the response solution. An atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) (Shimadzu AA 6300, Japan) was used to analyze Cu concentration and wastewater samples. Even though the UV-VIS method can be used to analyzed Cu concentration in the solutions, the shift on maximum wavelength absorbance at different pH could affect the inaccuracy in the measurement [19–21] since we variated the pH as one of the process parameters investigated. The solid product was also analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Shimadzu XRD-7000, Japan) method. The Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54$ Å) was operated at 30 mA and 30 kV. The diffraction patterns were generated at 2 θ angle ranges of 20–70° with a scanning speed of 4° min⁻¹. The surface morphology and metal oxide composition of the solid product were analyzed using scanning electron microscope–energy dispersive X-ray (SEM–EDX) (SEM–EDX JEOL JSM-6510LA, Japan) method. The removal efficiency was calculated using Equation (1), when η is the Cu removal efficiency (%), C_0 is the initial Cu concentration (mg/L), and C_t (mg/L) is the Cu concentration at time t.

$$\eta(\%) = \frac{C_0 - C_i}{C_0} \times 100 \,. \tag{1}$$

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Comparation of UV, Ozone. and Combination UV-Ozone

In order to study the different effects of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone combination processes on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, these three processes are compared. The result is shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Comparison of UV, Ozone, and UV-Ozone combination processes.

Figure 2 depicted the comparison of UV, Ozone, and combined UV-Ozone treatment on Cu removal efficiency from electroplating wastewater. As can be seen, at 60 min of reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained from the UV irradiation process is 69.08%, whereas the Cu removal efficiency obtained from Ozone treatment is 72.64%. Interestingly, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased up to 78.8% when the UV and Ozone processes are combined. This high Cu removal efficiency can be obtained by the enhancement of hydroxyl radicals (HO•) during the combination of UV and Ozone processes. As reported by Hanela et al. [10], the combination of UV irradiation and ozone could enhance the production of hydroxyl radicals; therefore, the oxidation process could be accelerated. Furthermore, it is suggested that the combination of UV irradiation and Ozone treatment can increase the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. It is true since the mechanism of Cu oxidation through AOP is initiated by the presence of HO• radicals. Equations (2) and (3) represent the oxidation process of Cu through AOP. As can be seen in Equation (2), the Cu²⁺ ion is oxidized by HO• radicals producing solid CuO and water molecules.

$$Cu^{2+} + 2HO \longrightarrow CuO_{\downarrow} + H_2O \tag{2}$$

$$Cu^{2+} + O_3 \to CuO_{\downarrow} + O_2. \tag{3}$$

Based on Equation (2), the important thing in Cu removal through AOP is the presence of HO• radicals. Therefore, it is suggested that the process of producing more HO• radicals is the preferred process. As can be seen in Figure 2, the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process is the lowest compared to the other processes. It is true since the UV irradiation process without the presence of ozone in the system only produces HO• radicals through the photolysis of the H₂O molecule [12,22]. The photolysis of the H₂O molecule by UV irradiation is shown in Equation (4) [12]. The other possible mechanisms in HO• production through the UV irradiation process are shown in Equations (5) and (6) [23].

$$H_2O + hv \to HO\Box + H\Box \tag{4}$$

86

$$H_2 O + hv \to H_2 O^* \tag{5}$$

$$H_2 O^* + hv \to HO \square + H \square. \tag{6}$$

For the Ozone treatment, as depicted in Figure 2, the Cu removal efficiency is higher than the Cu removal efficiency obtained by the UV irradiation process. This is due to the fact that the Cu oxidation through Ozone treatment can be initiated by HO• radicals and directly oxidized by the ozone molecule (Equation (3)). These two reactions occurred in Ozone treatment, therefore; the Cu removal efficiency obtained by Ozone treatment is higher than obtained by UV treatment. The chemical reaction of HO• radicals formation through Ozone treatment is shown in Equation (7) [12].

$$3O_3 + H_2O \to 2HO\Box + 4O_2. \tag{7}$$

As mentioned before, the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained by the UV-Ozone process due to the high HO• radical formation during the combination of the UV irradiation process and the Ozone process. Besides, the presence of ozone can also increase the oxidation process due to the direct oxidation process. The formation of HO• radicals in the UV-Ozone process has been widely reported. Some proposed HO• radical formation during the UV-Ozone process follow the reaction mechanism as described in Equations (8)–(10) [12,24]:

$$_{3} + H_{2}O + hv \rightarrow O_{2} + H_{2}O_{2} \tag{8}$$

$$H_2O_2 + hv \to 2HO \Box \tag{9}$$

$$H_2O_2 + H_2O \to H_2O^+ + HO_2^-$$
 (10)

$$O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO^- + O_2 + O_2^- \tag{11}$$

$$O_3 + O_2 \Box \to O_2 + O_3 \Box \tag{12}$$

$$O_3\Box + H_2O \to HO\Box + HO^- + O_2 \tag{13}$$

$$O_3 + H_2 O_2 \to HO\Box + O_2 + HO_2\Box \tag{14}$$

$$H_2O_2 + HO \square \to HO_2 \square + H_2O . \tag{15}$$

As can be seen, in the UV-Ozone process, an additional oxidant, H₂O₂, is generated through O₃ photolysis (Equation (8)) [12]. O₃ reacts with H₂O to form H₂O₂ under UV irradiation. This oxidant can produce more HO• radicals. H₂O₂ absorbs UV light to generate HO• radicals. It should be noted that this process can occur only at the presence in light sources with wavelength below 300 nm [17]. Therefore, UV light is preferred rather than visible light in this study. By this fact, the Cu removal efficiency can be increased following the reaction shown in Equation (2) in the combination of UV and Ozone process. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies. In dyehouse wastewater subjected to the combination of UV-Ozone treatment, a significant color reduction, up to 98.3%, was obtained [13]. For another reason, Bes-Piá et al. [11] studied the UV-Ozone process for textile wastewater treatment. They reported that the combination of UV irradiation with ozone could significantly reduce the operating time to reach the same COD removal efficiency.

3.2. Characterization of the Solid Product

As reported in Section 3.1, the UV-Ozone process has the highest Cu removal efficiency compared to the other processes. The Cu removal through this process produces a solid product that is proposed as CuO, as shown in Equations (2) and (3). In order to prove that the solid product is CuO, the solid product was analyzed. Figure 3 shows the XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from the UV-Ozone process. Based on Figure 3, the characteristic peaks appear at 20 of 32.54°, 35.56°, 38.74°, 48.68°,

53.62°, 58.16°, 61.62°, 66.12°, and 68.12°. These peaks correspond to the CuO (tenorite phase) according to the JCPDS card number 96-900-8962. These also indicate that the formation of monoclinic crystal structure [25]. On the other hand, several studies reported that two peaks at $2\theta = 35.6^{\circ}$ (002) and $2\theta = 38.8^{\circ}$ (111) observed in the diffraction patterns are ascribed to the formation of the CuO (space group C2/c) monoclinic crystal phase [26,27]. In this study, these peaks appear at $2\theta = 35.6^{\circ}$ and $2\theta = 38.7^{\circ}$. Therefore, it is confirmed that the solid product produced from the UV-Ozone process is CuO in the monoclinic crystal phase.



Figure 3. XRD pattern of the solid product obtained from UV-Ozone process.

The morphology and the metal oxide composition of the solid product from the UV-Ozone process are identified using SEM analysis. Based on the result of SEM-EDX analysis (Figure 4a), it is confirmed that the most metal oxide present in the solid product is CuO. The CuO content detected by SEM-EDX analysis in the solid product is 83.52%. The other components detected may be produced from the impurities in the wastewater. However, it is confirmed that the solid product is CuO. Figure 4b shows the appearance of the solid product surface (right) and the model of the CuO monoclinic crystal structure (left). As can be seen, the surface morphology of the CuO is agglomerated nanorods. Manyasree et al. [28] reported that the surface morphology of the CuO nanoparticle, which was synthesized from copper sulfate and sodium hydroxide through the coprecipitation process, is a flower-shaped structure.



Figure 4. Composition of metal oxide in the solid product (a) and surface morphology (right) and crystal structure model (left) of the solid product (b).

3.3. The Effect of Operating Parameters

3.3.1. Effect of Initial Concentration

In order to study the effect of the initial Cu concentration, the photochemical oxidation process was conducted with the variation of the initial Cu concentration. The initial Cu concentration was varied at 145.73, 72.86, and 36.9 mg/L. The monitored parameter is the Cu removal efficiency. The effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Effect of initial Cu concentration on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 5, the Cu removal efficiency is significantly affected by the initial Cu concentration. During the oxidation process for 60 min, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 145.73 mg/L is 34.39%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 72.86 mg/L is 54.36%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at the initial Cu concentration of 36.9 mg/L is 68.46%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the initial Cu concentration has a significant effect on the Cu removal efficiency in the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency decreases significantly with the increase in the initial Cu concentration from 36.9 to 145.73 mg/L. The decrease in Cu removal efficiency at high initial Cu concentration is due to the presence of a high amount of hydroxyl radical scavengers. When the initial Cu concentration is high, the photochemical oxidation process is overloaded. As a result, the Cu in the solution competes with each other during the oxidation reaction process [29]. On the other hand, in the UV irradiation process, the permeation of photons is reduced at high solution concentration; therefore, the concentration of hydroxyl radical produced is low [29]. Therefore, the oxidation of Cu decreases at high initial Cu concentration. This finding is in accordance with several previous studies in AOP. Hassan et al. [30] reported that the decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 dye in seawater through the UV-Ozone process was highly affected by the initial dye concentration, i.e., the decolorization rate decreased by increasing the dye concentration. Dai et al. [31] also reported a similar finding in the degradation of carbamazepine in water through AOP. They reported that the degradation percentage of carbamazepine decreased from 34% to 13% with an increase in the initial carbamazepine concentration from 4.2 to 42.3 µM. Jing et al. [32] also reported that the initial aniline concentration affected the aniline degradation process through ozonation. They reported that aniline degradation decreased with the increase in the initial aniline concentration due to the overload of the ozonation process.

3.3.2. Effect of Ozone Dosage

In this study, the effect of ozone dosage on the Cu removal process through the photochemical oxidation process is studied by varying the ozone dosage. The ozone dosage was varied at 5, 10, and 15 mg/h. Cu removal efficiency was monitored to study this matter. The effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency is depicted in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Effect of ozone dosage on Cu removal efficiency through a photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 6, the Cu removal efficiency increases significantly with increasing the ozone dosage. At 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 5 mg/h is

48.31%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 10 mg/h is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at ozone dosage of 15 mg/h is 78.80%. Based on these results, it is suggested that the Cu removal efficiency in Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process is highly affected by the ozone dosage. As the ozone dosage increases, the Cu removal efficiency increases. It is true since in the ozonation process, the oxidation of Cu can occur through two different mechanisms, i.e., oxidation by HO• radicals (Equation (2)) and direct oxidation by ozone (Equation (3)). As reported by Wang et al. [33], in the ozone-based oxidation process, there are two methods, namely, indirect reaction of free radicals and direct reaction.

Pertaining to the effect of ozone dosage on HO• radical formation, when ozone dosage increases, more HO• radicals are formed. Under UV irradiation, H₂O₂ can be formed through the reaction of ozone with H₂O (Equation (8)). Furthermore, this H₂O₂ molecule absorbs the UV light to generate the HO• radicals (Equation (9)) [24]. Ozone molecules also can react with H₂O₂ molecules to produce HO• radicals. Besides, the ozone also can also directly react with H₂O to generate HO• radicals (Equation (7)). Then, Cu is oxidized by HO• radicals. Therefore, the photochemical oxidation rate of Cu increases with the increase in ozone dosage. As a comparison, Wang et al. [33] reported that the decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by the ozone-based oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Ren et al. [24] reported that the removal efficiency of polyacrylamide through the photochemical oxidation process increased with the increase in ozone dosage. Guo et al. [34] also reported the same result in the degradation process of sulfadiazine in water by the UV-Ozone process.

3.3.3. Effect of UV Irradiation Intensity

In order to study the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the photochemical process was conducted by varying the UV irradiation intensity. The UV irradiation intensity was varied at 20, 40, and 60 mW/cm². Figure 7 shows the result of the effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency.



Figure 7. Effect of UV irradiation intensity on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As shown in Figure 7, at 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at a UV irradiation intensity of 20 mW/cm² is 65.09%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 40 mW/cm² is 68.46%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is 69.08%. It can be concluded that the Cu photochemical oxidation rate slightly increases with the increase in UV radiation intensity. The Cu removal efficiency slightly increases for UV irradiation of

20–40 mW/cm². Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency remains unchanged for UV irradiation intensity of 40-60 mW/cm².

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity is due to the high possibility to produce HO• radicals at high UV irradiation intensity. The possible process of HO• radical formation during UV irradiation is the photolytic dissociation of water by UV irradiation at a wavelength of 254 nm [35]. However, this process is still unclear since some studies reported that the photolytic dissociation of water to HO• radicals only can be conducted at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Deng and Zhao [12] claimed that this process occurs at a wavelength of less than 242 nm. Furthermore, Jin et al. [22] reported that the HO• radicals could be formed through irradiation on liquid water by UV light in the range of 150–200 nm. As the UV irradiation wavelength used in this study is around 253.7 nm, the increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in UV irradiation intensity from 20 to 40 mW/cm² is attributed to the formation of HO• radicals through ozone photolysis producing H₂O₂ (Equation (8)). However, high UV irradiation intensity in the ozonation process is not good. It is true since, at high UV irradiation intensity, the ozone molecule could be degraded to oxygen [36]. Therefore, the formation of HO• radicals is low at high UV irradiation intensity. Furthermore, it is suggested that the unchanged Cu removal efficiency at a UV irradiation intensity of 60 mW/cm² is due to the degradation of the ozone molecule resulting in the low formation of HO• radicals.

3.3.4. Effect of pH

The efficiency of AOP can be influenced by various factors, such as the pH of the solution [37–39]. In order to study the effect of pH on the Cu removal process from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, the pH of solution was varied at 3, 6, 8, and 10. The result is depicted in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Effect of pH on Cu removal efficiency through photochemical process.

As can be seen in Figure 8, at 60 min reaction, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 3 is 46.02%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 6 is 68.46%, the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 8 is 80.09%, while the Cu removal efficiency obtained at pH of 10 is 70.46%. It can be seen that the highest Cu removal efficiency is obtained at a pH of 8. Hence, it can be concluded that the photochemical oxidation of Cu from electroplating wastewater increases with the increase in pH from 3 to 8, then it decreases with a further increase in pH to 10.

The increase in Cu removal efficiency with the increase in pH value is attributed to the high rate of HO• radical formation at high pH conditions. As reported by Muniyasamy et al. [39], in the oxidation process, pH influences the process by altering the chemical nature of ozone. At low pH conditions (acidic conditions), the decomposition of the ozone molecule to produce HO• radicals is relatively slow [40]. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone was sluggish below the pH of 4 [41].

Furthermore, ozone tends to remain in the molecular state at acidic conditions; however, ozone can react directly as ozone radical with the contaminants at alkaline conditions [38,42]. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency is low at a low pH value. Furthermore, the higher the pH value, the higher the Cu removal efficiency. This tendency is attributed to the high formation of HO• radicals at the alkaline conditions. It was reported that the decomposition rate of ozone in water is better at higher pH values [43]. At alkaline conditions, ozone is unstable and rapidly decomposes into HO• radical [39,40]. The HO• radical formation through ozone decomposition occurs as follows:

$$O_3 + OH^- \to HO_2^- + O_2 \tag{16}$$

$$2O_3 + HO_2^- \to HO\Box + O_2^-\Box + O_2. \tag{17}$$

As can be seen in Equations (16) and (17), the HO• radical, which is the primary oxidant in indirect oxidation [39], is produced at high pH level represented as OH-. Therefore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value since the HO• radical formation is favored at a high pH level. However, the Cu removal efficiency decrease at a pH of 10. At higher pH value, CuO can dissolve to the aqueous phase due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [44]. Therefore, Cu removal efficiency decreases. The possible dissolution mechanism of CuO at high pH value was proposed by Khan et al. [44] as follows:

$$CuO_{(s)} + OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{-}_{3(aa)}$$
 (18)

$$CuO_{(s)} + 2OH^{-}_{(aq)} + H_2O_{(l)} \square Cu(OH)^{2-}_{4(aq)}.$$
 (19)

3.4. Kinetic Study

3.4.1. Determination of the Kinetic Rate Order

Three classical kinetic models are proposed to describe the Cu removal process and to determine the order or kinetic rate. These kinetic models include first-order model, second-order model, and pseudo-first-order model. The compatibility of these models is evaluated by the R^2 value as reported elsewhere [45]. In this work, the kinetic study of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater is considered for various initial Cu concentration (*Co*), ozone dosage (*Cos*), UV irradiation intensity (*Iuv*), and initial pH condition.

In this advanced oxidation process for Cu removal from electroplating wastewater, the mass conservation of Cu in the process can be generally expressed as:

$$-\frac{dC_i}{dt} = (-r), \tag{20}$$

where C_t (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at time t (min) and (-r) (mg/L.min) is the rate of Cu removal. For first order model ($-r = k_1C_t$), integration of Equation (20) at the initial concentration of C_0 , gives:

$$-\ln\left(\frac{C_{t}}{C_{0}}\right) = k_{1}t, \qquad (21)$$

where k_1 (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant for first order. Further simplification of Equation (21) will give the time-dependent concentration of Cu (Equation (22)).

$$C_{t} = C_{0} e^{-k_{1} t} . (22)$$

For second-order model ($-r = k_2C_{t^2}$), the integration of Equation (20) will give:

$$\frac{1}{C_t} = k_2 t + \frac{1}{C_0},$$
(23)

where k_2 (L/mg.min) is the kinetic rate constant of the second-order model. For the pseudo-first-order model ($-r = k_p(C_t - C_t)$), the time-dependent concentration of Cu through the integration of Equation (20) is obtained as:

$$C_t = C_e + (C_0 - C_e) e^{-k_p t}, (24)$$

where C_e (mg/L) is the concentration of Cu at equilibrium condition and k_p (1/min) is the kinetic rate constant of pseudo-first-order model.

The kinetic parameters were determined using the least-square method. As can be seen, the obtained equation for first-order and second-order kinetic models (Equations (21) and (23)) are linear equations. Therefore, the kinetic parameters (k_1 and k_2) can be obtained from the linear plot relating to these equations using the linear regression method. However, the equation derived from the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is not linear. Therefore, the kinetic parameters of the pseudo-first-order kinetic model using a nonlinear regression method. On the other hand, to measure the goodness of the kinetic models proposed, the squared-correlation coefficient, R^2 , was used as the parameter [40,41]. The obtained kinetic parameters and R^2 values for first-order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order kinetic models are shown in Table 1.

As can be seen in Table 1, all proposed models fit the data as the R² values are close to unity. However, compared to the other proposed models, the R² value of the second-order model is far enough from unity. Besides, the values of R² obtained are not uniform for all data. Therefore, it is suggested that the second-order model is excluded as the proposed model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal. Hence, the first order and pseudo-first-order models are then considered as the most suitable proposed models to describe the kinetics of Cu removal. Considering the R² values of the first order and pseudo-first-order models, both these two models have high goodness in describing the kinetic rate of Cu removal. However, at the initial Cu concentration, Co, of 145.73 and 72.86 mg/L, the value of the equilibrium concentrations, Ce, obtained are zero. It indicates that at a high initial concentration of Cu in the wastewater, the kinetic rate will be increased. Furthermore, some of the C_e values are relatively low. In some cases, the theoretical value of Ce might be negative when the pseudo-first-order kinetic model is forcibly used [46]. In those cases, the pseudo-first-order model cannot be used to describe the kinetic rate. Obviously, if the value of the equilibrium concentration is zero or low enough, the pseudo-first-order model gets back to the first-order model [45,46]. Therefore, it is suggested that the most suitable model to describe the kinetic rate of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-Ozone process, is the first-order model.

						Kinetic	Parameter	s		
C ₀	Соз	Iuv	-U	First-O	Order	Second-O	rder	Pseud	do-First-O	rder
(mg/L)	(mg/h)	(mW/cm ²)	pm	k_1	R ²	k_2	R ²	k_p	Ce	R ²
				(1/min)	ĸ	(L/mg.min)	N	(1/min)	(mg/L)	ĸ
145.73	10	40	6	0.0069	0.9997	5.673 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.9921	0.0069	0.000	0.9994
72.86	10	40	6	0.0128	0.9993	2.451×10^{-4}	0.9678	0.0127	0.000	0.9983
36.9	10	40	6	0.0196	0.9995	8.898×10^{-4}	0.9707	0.0237	3.769	0.9995
36.9	5	40	6	0.0111	0.9998	3.972×10^{-4}	0.9875	0.0116	1.223	0.9993
36.9	15	40	6	0.0267	0.9959	1.480×10^{-3}	0.9624	0.0395	5.528	0.9979
36.9	10	20	6	0.0173	0.9996	7.407×10^{-4}	0.9609	0.0188	1.850	0.9990
36.9	10	60	6	0.0198	0.9989	9.031×10^{-4}	0.9654	0.0252	4.663	0.9969
36.9	10	40	3	0.0116	0.9847	4.160×10^{-4}	0.9790	0.0330	12.126	0.9940
36.9	10	40	8	0.0282	0.9980	1.650×10^{-3}	0.9359	0.0376	4.170	0.9962
36.9	10	40	10	0.0226	0.9904	1.100×10^{-3}	0.9909	0.0381	7.725	0.9970

Table 8. Kinetic parameters of the first order, second-order, and pseudo-first-order models.

The kinetic study of Cu removal using an advanced oxidation process has been widely reported. However, the kinetic study of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, UV-Ozone process, is still limited. The most-reported process is the electrochemical/electrocoagulation process. Al-Shannag et al. [45] reported that the electrocoagulation of heavy metals from wastewater, including Cu, followed a pseudo-first-order model. Using the same method, Vasudevan and Lakshmi [47] reported that the electrocoagulation of Cu from water follows the second-order model. Furthermore, Khattab et al. [48] reported that Cu removal through the electrochemical process follows the first-order kinetic model.

3.4.2. The Effect of Operating Parameters on Kinetic Rate Constant

The kinetic rate behavior of Cu removal using the photochemical oxidation process, combined UV-Ozone process, follows the first-order kinetic model as reported in Section 3.3.1. The value of the kinetic rate constant of the first-order model, k_1 , is presented in Table 1. As can be observed, the value of k_1 is varied as the variation of operating parameters, including C_0 , C_{03} , Iuv, and pH. The value of k_1 increases with a decrease in C_0 . Furthermore, the value of k_1 increases with the increase in C_{03} , Iuv, and pH. However, at a pH of 10, the value of k_1 decreases. These phenomena indicate that the value of the observed k_1 is affected by the operating parameters. This is in accordance with some previous studies that the kinetic rate constant of the advanced oxidation process, especially the UV-Ozone process, is affected by the operating parameters [24,49]. The operating parameters-dependent of the kinetic rate constant can be mathematically written as Equations (25) and (26) where ε is the pre-exponent constant, whereas *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* are the exponent constant characteristic of C_0 , C_{03} , Iuv, and pH, respectively. The linear form of Equation (26) is shown as Equation (27).

$$k_1 = f(C_0, C_{O_3}, I_{UV}, pH)$$
(25)

$$k_1 = \varepsilon C_0^a C_{O_3}^b I_{UV}^c p H^d \tag{26}$$

$$\ln k_1 = \ln \varepsilon + a \ln C_0 + b \ln C_{O_3} + c \ln I_{UV} + d \ln pH .$$
(27)

Based on Equation (27), the value of ε , *a*, *b*, *c*, and *d* can be obtained using multiple regression analysis which also has been used elsewhere [49]. However, the value of k_1 at a pH of 10 is not included in this calculation because it does not follow the tendency. Table 2 shows the result of the multiple regression analysis. As can be seen, the *p*-value of the coefficients obtained for each parameter is lower than 0.05. This indicates that the coefficients obtained are significant. However, the *p*-value of ln *Iuv* coefficient is higher than 0.05, which indicates that this coefficient is not significant. Table 3 shows the result of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the multiple regression analysis. As can be observed, the value of multiple R, R², and adjusted R² is close to unity. These indicate that the obtained regression equation fits the data. Furthermore, the obtained *F*-value (113.4928) is higher than the *F*-table or theoretical *F*-value. The theoretical *F*-value (F0.05(4.7)) is 6.09.

Coefficients Standard Error *p*-value Parameters Intercept -5.1661 0.3951 3.5663 × 10-6 ln Co -0.7401 0.0441 6.4919×10^{-7} 1.5626×10^{-5} In Co3 0.8154 0.0778 0.0778 ln Iuv 0.1441 0.1064ln pH 0.84070.0839 2.1105×10^{-10}

Table 2. Multiple regression analysis result.

Table 3. Summary of the analysis of variance (ANOVA).

	df	SS	MS	F	Significance F
Regression	4	1.7467	0.4367	113.4928	1.9188×10^{-6}
Residual	7	0.0269	0.0038		
Total	11	1.7736			
Multiple R	0.9924				
R^2	0.9848				
Adjusted R ²	0.9761				
Standard error	0.0620				

Based on the result of the multiple regression analysis (Table 2), Equation (27) can be rewritten as Equation (28). Furthermore, Equation (26) can be rewritten as Equation (29) with the value of ε constant calculated from the natural exponential of the intercept of Equation (28). The obtained value of k_1 was then compared to the calculated value of k_1 using Equation (29). The comparison of the observed k_1 and calculated k_1 is depicted in Figure 9. It is clear that the observed and calculated values of k_1 are in good fit as the R² is close to unity (0.9848). By combining the Equations (1), (22), and (29), the calculated Cu removal efficiency can be rewritten as Equation (30). Furthermore, the comparison of the Cu removal efficiency between the observed value and the calculated value is also depicted in Figure 10.

 $\ln k_1 = -5.1661 - 0.7401 \ln C_0 + 0.8154 \ln C_{O_3} + 0.1441 \ln I_{UV} + 0.8407 \ln pH$ (28)

$$k_{1} = \left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_{0}^{-0.7401} C_{O_{3}}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407}$$
⁽²⁹⁾

$$\eta_{cal}(\%) = 100 - 100 \exp\left(-\left(5.7068 \times 10^{-3}\right) C_0^{-0.7401} C_{O_2}^{0.8154} I_{UV}^{0.1441} p H^{0.8407} t\right).$$
(30)



Figure 9. Comparison of observed and calculated value of k1.



Figure 240. Comparison of data and calculated Cu removal efficiency at (a) varied C_{0} , (b) varied C_{03} , (c) varied Iuv, and (d) varied pH.

As can be seen in Table 2 and Equation (29), the exponent characteristic value of C_0 is in a negative value. It indicates that the value of the initial Cu concentration is inversely proportional to the k_1 value. This finding is in accordance with the finding of Ren et al. [24]. They reported that the initial concentration of polyacrylamide in the UV-Ozone process of polyacrylamide oxidation is inversely proportional to the kinetic rate constant value. Concerning the effect of UV and ozone treatment in this oxidation process, the value of the exponent characteristic of Co3 and Iuv can be used to study this matter [49]. As can be seen, the exponent characteristic value of C_{03} is higher than I_{UV} . It is suggested that the ozone dosage is more significant in this oxidation process than UV irradiation intensity. This finding is in accordance with the previous study of the UV-Ozone process. The UV-Ozone process was conducted for κ-Carrageenan treatment, and the result showed that the ozone dosage was more significant than UV irradiation intensity [49]. Furthermore, the value of the exponent characteristic of *Iuv* is low enough, and it is close to zero. It indicates that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant enough for the Cu removal process from wastewater. In the case of the effect of pH on k1 value, it is clear that the pH has a significant effect on k1 value as the value of the exponent characteristic of pH is high. It is true because the metal oxidation process in an aqueous solution is affected by the presence of OH- ions, which can promote the formation of HO• radicals. On the other hand, the solubility of metal in aqueous solution is also affected by the pH value [44].

3.5. Proposed Mechanism

Based on the explanation in previous sections, the mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through the UV-Ozone process is developed. Figure 11 shows the proposed mechanism in

this study. As reported in several studies, the oxidation through AOPs is initiated by the formation of HO• radical because the main oxidating agent is HO• radical [50,51]. Based on several findings obtained in this study (reported in the previous sections), the HO• radical formation takes place through three different steps. In Figure 11, these steps are represented in orange, blue, and red arrows. The orange arrows represent the HO• radical formation through the UV-Ozone process. The blue arrow represents the direct ozonation of water producing HO• radicals releasing O₂ molecules. Furthermore, the red arrows represent the ozone degradation producing HO• radicals in alkaline condition releasing O₂ molecules. The red arrow is depicted in dash-line arrow type, this indicates that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of OH⁻ ions. At acidic condition, this process does not occur as OH⁻ ions are not present.



Figure 11. Proposed mechanism of Cu removal from electroplating wastewater through UV-Ozone process.

After the formation of HO• radicals occurred, the next step is the oxidation process. This process, depicted in black arrows, includes direct and indirect oxidation since the oxidating agents in this UV-Ozone process are HO• radicals and ozone molecules. Both HO• radicals and O₃ react with Cu^{2+} to form solid CuO. The oxidation process of Cu^{2+} to CuO by HO• radicals is called indirect oxidation, whereas the oxidation process of Cu^{2+} by O₃ molecules is called direct oxidation. Based on Figure 11, as the HO• radicals and O₃ molecules increase in the system, the CuO product produced increases. It means that the Cu removal from the electroplating wastewater increases. However, this CuO product can dissolve in an alkaline condition. As can be seen in Figure 11, if the OH⁻ ions are excessively present in the system, the CuO product will dissolve. This process is depicted as a dash-arrow in green indicating that this process occurs in alkaline condition only due to the presence of excessive OH⁻ ions. The dissolution of CuO to the aqueous phase in the alkaline condition is due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes [44].

Based on this proposed mechanism (Figure 11), it can be observed that the presence of the ozone molecule is important in this oxidation process. The ozone molecules have roles both to generate the HO• radicals and to oxidize the Cu directly. This is in accordance with the finding reported in Section 3.4 that the ozone dosage significantly affected the kinetic rate. On the other hand, the presence of UV irradiation assists the ozone photolysis process to produce HO• radicals through the formation of an intermediate oxidating agent, H₂O₂. Furthermore, the pH is also important in this mechanism. As can be seen in Figure 11, suitable alkaline condition accelerates the formation of HO• radicals. However, the high alkaline condition has a negative effect on the Cu removal process due to the CuO dissolution process in high alkaline condition. The excessive OH- ions catch the solid CuO to form soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes decreasing the Cu removal efficiency.

4. Conclusions

The photochemical oxidation process of Cu from electroplating wastewater has been investigated. It is shown that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO• radicals as the oxidant and due to the direct oxidation by ozone. Furthermore, this process produced a CuO monoclinic crystal phase as a solid product. The initial Cu concentration, ozone dosage, and pH value have a significant effect on Cu removal efficiency. The initial Cu concentration significantly reduces Cu removal efficiency. As expected, the ozone dosage increases the Cu removal efficiency since it is responsible for HO• radical formation and direct oxidation. Furthermore, the Cu removal efficiency increases with the increase in pH value from 3 to 8. However, a further increase in pH value reduces the Cu removal efficiency due to the formation of soluble hydroxy and hydroxide complexes of Cu at the alkaline conditions. Interestingly, it is found that the UV irradiation intensity is not significant in the photochemical oxidation process on Cu from electroplating wastewater. However, it is responsible to promote the ozone photolysis producing HO• radicals through H2O2 formation. It is found that the kinetic behavior of the photochemical oxidation of Cu follows the first-order kinetic model. Furthermore, the relation between the operating parameters and kinetic rate constant is also established. In addition, the mechanism of Cu removal through the UV-Ozone process was also proposed concerning the findings obtained in this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.P., M.D., and T.R.; methodology, A.P.; software, T.R.; validation, A.P., T.R., M.D., and W.W.; formal analysis, A.P.; investigation, A.P. and M.D.; resources, T.R.; data curation, W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, A.P. and T.R.; writing—review and editing, W.W.; visualization, A.P.; supervision, A.P.; project administration, A.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research and the APC were funded by the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia, through the research project of *Penelitian Terapan Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi* (PTUPT) with contract number: 225-138/UN7.6.1/PP/2020.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to acknowledge the Ministry of Education and Culture, Indonesia, for the financial support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. In addition, the funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Rahman, M.S.; Sathasivam, K. V. Heavy Metal Adsorption onto Kappaphycus sp. from Aqueous Solutions: The Use of Error Functions for Validation of Isotherm and Kinetics Models. *Biomed Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 126298.
- Ali, M.M.; Ali, M.L.; Islam, M.S.; Rahman, M.Z. Preliminary assessment of heavy metals in water and sediment of Karnaphuli River, Bangladesh. *Environ. Nanotechnology, Monit. Manag.* 2016, 5, 27–35.
- Islam, M.S.; Ahmed, M.K.; Habibullah-Al-Mamun, M.; Islam, K.N.; Ibrahim, M.; Masunaga, S. Arsenic and lead in foods: a potential threat to human health in Bangladesh. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2014, *31*, 1982– 1992.
- Khan, S.; Cao, Q.; Zheng, Y.M.; Huang, Y.Z.; Zhu, Y.G. Health risks of heavy metals in contaminated soils and food crops irrigated with wastewater in Beijing, China. *Environ. Pollut.* 2008, 152, 686–692.
- S. Gautam, R.K.; Sharma, S.K.; Mahiya, S.; Chattopadhyaya, M.C. Contamination of Heavy Metals in Aquatic Media: Transport, Toxicity and Technologies for Remediation. In *Heavy Metals In Water*; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2014. pp. 1–24.
- Majumder, S.; Gangadhar, G.; Raghuvanshi, S.; Gupta, S. A comprehensive study on the behavior of a novel bacterial strain Acinetobacter guillouiae for bioremediation of divalent copper. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 2015, 38, 1749–1760.
- 7. Oturan, M.A.; Aaron, J.J. Advanced oxidation processes in water/wastewater treatment: Principles and applications. A review. Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 44, 2577–2641.

- 8. Krishnan, S.; Rawindran, H.; Sinnathambi, C.M.; Lim, J.W. Comparison of various advanced oxidation processes used in remediation of industrial wastewater laden with recalcitrant pollutants. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2017, 206, 012089.
- 63. 9. Al-Kdasi, A.; Idris, A.; Saed, K.; Guan, C.T. Treatment of textile wastewater by advanced oxidation processes–A review. *Glob. Nest Int. J.* **2004**, *6*, 222–230.
- 10. Hanela, S.; Durán, J.; Jacobo, S. Removal of iron-cyanide complexes from wastewaters by combined UVozone and modified zeolite treatment. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 2015, 3, 1794–1801.
- 11. Bes-Piá, A.; Mendoza-Roca, J.A.; Roig-Alcover, L.; Iborra-Clar, A.; Iborra-Clar, M.I.; Alcaina-Miranda, M.I. Comparison between nanofiltration and ozonation of biologically treated textile wastewater for its reuse in the industry. *Desalination* 2003, 157, 81–86.
- Deng, Y.; Zhao, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) in Wastewater Treatment. Curr. Pollut. Reports 2015, 1, 167–176.
- 13. Perkowski, J.; Kos, L. Decolouration of model dyehouse wastewater with advanced oxidation processes. *Fibres Text. East. Eur.* 2003, 11, 67–71.
- 14. Sharrer, M.J.; Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation followed by ultraviolet irradiation provides effective bacteria inactivation in a freshwater recirculating system. *Aquac. Eng.* 2007, 37, 180–191.
- 15. Chen, Z.; Fang, J.; Fan, C.; Shang, C. Oxidative degradation of N-Nitrosopyrrolidine by the ozone/UV process: Kinetics and pathways. *Chemosphere* 2016, 150, 731–739.
- 16. Dai, Q.; Chen, L.; Chen, W.; Chen, J. Degradation and kinetics of phenoxyacetic acid in aqueous solution by ozonation. Sep. Purif. Technol. 2015, 142, 287–292.
- 17. I. Litter, M.; Quici, N. Photochemical Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Recent Patents Eng.* 2011, 4, 217–241.
- 18. Badmus, M.A.O.; Audu, T.O.K.; Anyata, B.U. Removal of heavy metal from industrial wastewater using hydrogen peroxide. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2007, 6, 238–242.
- 19. Aravinda, C.L.; Mayanna, S.M.; Muralidharan, V.S. Electrochemical behaviour of alkaline copper complexes. J. Chem. Sci. 2000, 112, 543–550.
- Chand Mali, S.; Raj, S.; Trivedi, R. Biosynthesis of copper oxide nanoparticles using Enicostemma axillare (Lam.) leaf extract. *Biochem. Biophys. Reports* 2019, 20, 100699.
- 21. Martí, I.; Ferrer, A.; Escorihuela, J.; Burguete, M.I.; Luis, S. V. Copper(II) complexes of bis(amino amide) ligands: effect of changes in the amino acid residue. *Dalt. Trans.* 2012, *41*, 6764–6776.
- 22. Jin, F.; Wei, M.; Liu, C.; Ma, Y. The mechanism for the formation of OH radicals in condensed-phase water under ultraviolet irradiation. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 19, 21453–21460.
- 23. Attri, P.; Kim, Y.H.; Park, D.H.; Park, J.H.; Hong, Y.J.; Uhm, H.S.; Kim, K.N.; Fridman, A.; Choi, E.H. Generation mechanism of hydroxyl radical species and its lifetime prediction during the plasma-initiated ultraviolet (UV) photolysis. *Sci. Rep.* 2015, *5*, 9332.
- 24. Ren, G.; Sun, D.; Chung, J.S. Kinetics study on photochemical oxidation of polyacrylamide by ozone combined with hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet radiation. J. Environ. Sci. 2006, 18, 660–664.
- 25. Shi, L.B.; Tang, P.F.; Zhang, W.; Zhao, Y.P.; Zhang, L.C.; Zhang, H. Green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles using Cassia auriculata leaf extract and in vitro evaluation of their biocompatibility with rheumatoid arthritis macrophages (RAW 264.7). *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* 2017, *16*, 185–192.
- 26. Etefagh, R.; Azhir, E.; Shahtahmasebi, N. Synthesis of CuO nanoparticles and fabrication of nanostructural layer biosensors for detecting Aspergillus niger fungi. Sci. Iran. 2013, 20, 1055–1058.
- 27. Lanje, A.S.; Sharma, S.J.; Pode, R.B.; Ningthoujam, R.S. Synthesis and optical characterization of copper oxide nanoparticles. *Adv. Appl. Sci. Res.* 2010, 1, 36–40.
- 28. Manyasree, D.; Peddi, K.M.; Ravikumar, R. CuO nanoparticles: Synthesis, characterization and their bactericidal efficacy. Int. J. Appl. Pharm. 2017, 9, 71–74.
- 29. Zhu, G.; Sun, Q.; Wang, C.; Yang, Z.; Xue, Q. Removal of Sulfamethoxazole, Sulfathiazole and Sulfamethazine in their Mixed Solution by UV/H2O2 Process. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2019, 16, 1797.
- 30. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Application of Ozonation and UV assisted Ozonation for Decolorization of Direct Yellow 50 in Sea water. *The Pharmaceutical and Chemical Journal.* 2016, 3, 131–138.
- 31. Dai, C.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, Y.; Qiang, Z.; Zhang, T.C. Comparative study of the degradation of carbamazepine in water by advanced oxidation processes. *Environ. Technol.* 2012, 33, 1101–1109.

- 32. Jing, Z.; Cao, S.; Yu, T.; Hu, J. Degradation Characteristics of Aniline with Ozonation and Subsequent Treatment Analysis. J. Chem. 2015, 2015, 1–6.
- 33. Wang, Z.; Li, J.; Song, W.; Zhang, X.; Song, J. Decomplexation of electroplating wastewater by ozonebased advanced oxidation process. *Water Sci. Technol.* 2019, 79, 589–596.
- Guo, W.; Yang, Z.; Du, J.; Yin, R.; Zhou, X.; Jin, S.; Ren, N. Degradation of sulfadiazine in water by a UV/O3 process: performance and degradation pathway. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 57138–57143.
- 35. Barakat, M.A. New trends in removing heavy metals from industrial wastewater. Arab. J. Chem. 2011, 4, 361–377.
- 36. Summerfelt, S.T. Ozonation and UV irradiation—an introduction and examples of current applications. Aquac. Eng. 2003, 28, 21–36.
- 37. Khan, S.; Sayed, M.; Sohail, M.; Shah, L.A.; Raja, M.A. Advanced Oxidation and Reduction Processes. In Advances in Water Purification Techniques; Ahuja, S., Ed.; Elsevier Inc.: Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2019; pp. 135– 164 ISBN 9780128147917.
- 92. 38. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Advanced oxidation processes of Mordant Violet 40 dye in freshwater and seawater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* 2017, 43, 1–9.
- 39. Muniyasamy, A.; Sivaporul, G.; Gopinath, A.; Lakshmanan, R.; Altaee, A.; Achary, A.; Velayudhaperumal Chellam, P. Process development for the degradation of textile azo dyes (mono-, di-, poly-) by advanced oxidation process - Ozonation: Experimental & partial derivative modelling approach. *J. Environ. Manage*. 2020, 265, 110397.
- 94. 40. Colindres, P.; Yee-Madeira, H.; Reguera, E. Removal of Reactive Black 5 from aqueous solution by ozone for water reuse in textile dyeing processes. *Desalination* **2010**, *258*, 154–158.
- 41. Zhang, F.; Yediler, A.; Liang, X.; Kettrup, A. Effects of dye additives on the ozonation process and oxidation by-products: a comparative study using hydrolyzed C.I. Reactive Red 120. *Dye. Pigment.* 2004, 60, 1–7.
- 96. 42. Hassaan, M.A.; El Nemr, A.; Madkour, F.F. Testing the advanced oxidation processes on the degradation of Direct Blue 86 dye in wastewater. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* **2017**, *43*, 11–19.
- 43. Munter, R. Advanced Oxidation Processes Current Status and Prospects. In Proceeding of The Estonian Academy Sciences, Tartu, Estonia, <u>1 January Days Month</u> 2001, pp. 59–80.
- 44. Khan, R.; Inam, M.A.; Zam Zam, S.; Akram, M.; Shin, S.; Yeom, I.T. Coagulation and Dissolution of CuO Nanoparticles in the Presence of Dissolved Organic Matter Under Different pH Values. *Sustainability* 2019, 11, 2825.
- 45. Al-Shannag, M.; Al-Qodah, Z.; Bani-Melhem, K.; Qtaishat, M.R.; Alkasrawi, M. Heavy metal ions removal from metal plating wastewater using electrocoagulation: Kinetic study and process performance. *Chem. Eng.* J. 2015, 260, 749–756.
- Chen, X.; Ren, P.; Li, T.; Trembly, J.P.; Liu, X. Zinc removal from model wastewater by electrocoagulation: Processing, kinetics and mechanism. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2018, 349, 358–367.
- 101. 47. Vasudevan, S.; Lakshmi, J. Process conditions and kinetics for the removal of copper from water by electrocoagulation. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 563–572.
- 102. 48. Khattab, I.A.; Shaffei, M.F.; Shaaban, N.A.; Hussein, H.S.; Abd El-Rehim, S.S. Study the kinetics of electrochemical removal of copper from dilute solutions using packed bed electrode. *Egypt. J. Pet.* 2014, 23, 93–103.
- 103. 49. Prasetyaningrum, A.; Widayat, W.; Jos, B.; Dharmawan, Y.; Ratnawati, R. UV Irradiation and Ozone Treatment of κ-Carrageenan: Kinetics and Products Characteristics. *Bull. Chem. React. Eng. Catal.* 2020, 15, 319–330.
- 104. 50. Muruganandham, M.; Suri, R.P.S.; Jafari, S.; Sillanpää, M.; Lee, G.-J.; Wu, J.J.; Swaminathan, M. Recent Developments in Homogeneous Advanced Oxidation Processes for Water and Wastewater Treatment. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2014**, 2014, 821674.
- 105. 51. Asghar, A.; Raman, A.A.A.; Daud, W.M.A.W. Advanced oxidation processes for in-situ production of hydrogen peroxide/hydroxyl radical for textile wastewater treatment: A review. J. Clean. Prod. 2015, 87, 826– 838.





PAPER HAS BEEN PUBLISHED (12 OKTOBER 2020)

[Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989 - Published Paper Info

processes@mdpi.com

reply-to: diana.radulescu@mdpi.co m, processes@mdpi.com aji.prasetyaningrum@che. to: undip.ac.id, $teguh_ryt@student.undip.$ ac.id, moh.djaeni@live.undip.ac. id, widayat@live.undip.ac.id CC: date: Oct 12, 2020, 4:20 PM subject: [Processes] Manuscript ID: processes-955989; doi: 10.3390/pr8101276. Paper has been published. mailed-by: mdpi.com signed-by: mdpi.com

Dear Authors,

We are pleased to inform you that your article "Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and Kinetic Study" has been published in Processes as part of the Special Issue Emerging Technologies for Biorefining, Food and Environmental Applications and is available online:

Abstract: <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/8/10/1276</u> PDF Version: <u>https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/8/10/1276/pdf</u> The meta data of your article, the manuscript files and a publication

certificate are available here (only available to corresponding authors after

login):

https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/b638de7fb e99a08f6e1bfabfb9db9266

Special Issue:

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/special_issues/biorefining_food_environmental

Please take a moment to check that everything is correct. You can reply to this email if there is a problem. Note that at this stage we will not accept

further changes to the manuscript text.

To encourage open scientific discussions and increase the visibility of

published articles, MDPI recently implemented interactive commenting and

recommendation functionalities on all article webpages (side bar on the

right). We encourage you to forward the article link to your colleagues and

peers.

We encourage you to set up your profile at <u>www.SciProfiles.com</u>, MDPI's

researcher network platform. Articles you publish with MDPI will be linked to

your SciProfiles page, where colleagues and peers will be able to see all of

your publications, citations, as well as your other academic contributions.

Please also feel free to send us feedback on the platform that we can improve

it quickly and make it useful for scientific communities.

You can also share the paper on various social networks by clicking the links

on the article webpage. Alternatively, MDPI can post an announcement of your

article on our Twitter channel

(https://twitter.com/MDPIOpenAccess), please

provide the doi number of the manuscript and a text of up to 117 characters

with spaces to <u>socialmedia@mdpi.com</u>. Please note that our service

Scitations.net will automatically notify authors cited in your article. For

further paper promotion guidelines, please refer to the following link:

https://res.mdpi.com/data/paper_promotion_tips.pdf

We would be happy to keep you updated about new issue releases of processes.

Please enter your e-mail address in the box at

https://www.mdpi.com/journal/processes/toc-alert/ to receive notifications.

After issue release, a version of your paper including the issue cover will

be available to download from the article abstract page.

To order high quality reprints of your article in quantities of 25-1000,

visit: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9717/8/10/1276/reprints

We support the multidisciplinary preprint platform /Preprints/, which

permanently archives full text documents and datasets of working papers in

all subject areas. Posting on the platform is entirely free of charge, and

full details can be viewed at http://www.preprints.org.

We are dedicated to providing an outstanding publishing service, and we

invite you to complete our author satisfaction survey <u>https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/authorfeedbackmdpi</u>. The survey contains 20

short questions and will only take a couple of minutes to complete.

Thank you for choosing Processes to publish your work, we look forward to receiving further contributions from your research group in the future.

Kind regards,

MDPI

Postfach, CH - 4020 Basel, Switzerland Office: St. Alban-Anlage 66, 4052 Basel, Switzerland Tel. +41 61 683 77 34 Fax: +41 61 302 89 18 E-mail: <u>website@mdpi.com</u> <u>https://www.mdpi.com/</u>

Processes 2020, 8(10), 1276; https://doi.org/10.3390/pr8101276

Received: 21 September 2020 / Revised: 6 October 2020 / Accepted: 8 October 2020 / Published: 12 October 2020

→ X @ mdpi.com/22	227-9717/8/10/1276		☆ ֎ ֎ ≱ ≕ (
	processes	Cym Acres Mers	share
	Submit to this Journal	Photochemical Oxidation Process of Copper from	announcement
	Review for the Journal	Electroplating Wastewater: Process Performance and	thumb_up
	Edit e Special Issue	Kinetic Study	taxteme
	Article Menu	By Adj Prosequeringrum "English reyeats" (Contemport of Contemport Operating Contemport Op	lexisms
	Article Ossender	Semarang 50275, indonesia Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.	-
	A side over approving arrow_down.	Processes 2020, 6(10), 1276; https://doi.org/10.3360/pd101276	
	Open Access and Permissions	Received: 21 September 2020 / Revised: 6 October 2020 / Accepted: 8 October 2020 / Published: 12 October 2020	
	- Share and Cite	(This article belongs to the Special Issue Emerging Technologies for Biowlining, Food and Environmental Applications)	
	Pelated Articles Order Article Reprints	View Full Text Download PDF Browso Figures Citation Export	
	Article Versionsboard arrow down		
		Abstract	
	Related Info.4585 and arrow_down	An investigation of the process of coore contined with ultraviolet radiation has been carried out in order to establish the kinetics for photochemical outstation of cooper (Qu) from electropiating waterwater. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Concentration, experimental outstation of cooper (Qu) from electropiating waterwaters. The effects of operating parameters, including initial Concentration, endowed and concentration balance in outstation and outstation of Qu have been childred and an endowed and an endowed and an endowed and an effect of operating parameters, which are also and the operating parameters and the operating parameters. The effect of the been childred and the operating parameters are also and the oper	
	More by Auf28(a).4888_arrow_down	concentration, each subject of indication meaning, this product of the productional induction of each other being back- comprehensive). The Cu concentration during the reaction was identified using advance absorption spectroscopy (AAS) method. The solid product was analyzed using X-ray difficution (IRD) and szaming electron microscope energy-dispersive X-ray (SEM-	
		EDX) methods. It was found that the UV-Ozone process has high performance on Cu removal compared to UV and Ozone processes due to the high production rate of HO- radicals. It was also found that the solid product from the UV-Ozone process	
		vice DuD menotices crystal phase. The helds Du concentrators, crose dosage, and privilar value avere significantly disclared the Cu removal efficacies, Co the share band, the UV instalance intentity winn all capitations therease, this materixability is parenting the score photosysts. The kitedical model for the photochemical audition of Cu was established following the first-order limits	
		indea: Furthermore, the reaction mechanism was also developed, view Full-lexit Keywords: photochemical oxidation, Cu, kinetics; ozone, ultraviolet irradiation; advanced oxidation process	
		Y Show Figures	
		a Color-sea manuf (Color-sea (Col	