# Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 22-Feb-2021 12:30 WIB
ID: 1514953443
Word Count: 5654
Submitted: 1

| Similarity Index | Similarity by Source | |
|---|---|---|
| **23%** | Internet Sources: | 23% |
| | Publications: | 9% |
| | Student Papers: | N/A |

15. 2020_Do The Investors React to Risk
Management Disclosure By 15. 2020_ Do The
Investors React To Risk Management

8% match (Internet from 28-Dec-2020)
https://www.scilit.net/journal/799635

2% match (Internet from 16-Jun-2019)
https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/full/10.1108/JAAR-10-2014-0106

2% match (Internet from 04-Dec-2020)
http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/reaksi/article/download/10100/6080

1% match ()
http://hdl.handle.net/1893/25494

1% match (Internet from 18-Jan-2021)
https://research.icanig.org/documents/5th-AIACP.pdf

1% match ()
https://uwe-
repository.worktribe.com/886480/1/Mandatory%20and%20Voluntary%20Disclosures%20in%20GCC%20Listed%20Firms%202.pdf

1% match (Internet from 22-Sep-2020)
http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/reaksi/article/download/9492/5086

1% match (Internet from 12-Jul-2019)
https://studylib.net/doc/8083555/1---page-deconstruction-value-added-statement-with

1% match (Internet from 28-Apr-2020)
https://www.scribd.com/document/391081370/PROCEEDING-ICFBE-2018-1-pdf

1% match (Internet from 14-Dec-2020)
https://doczz.net/doc/420366/apcaf-2015-part-13---centro-multi-akuntansi

< 1% match (Internet from 16-Jul-2020)
http://www.theibfr2.com/RePEc/ibf/acttax/at-v3n2-2011/AT-V3N2-2011-5.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 12-Nov-2020)
http://eprints.undip.ac.id/73224/

< 1% match (Internet from 13-Sep-2020)
https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JAAR-10-2014-0106/full/html

< 1% match ()
http://hdl.handle.net/10071/16108

< 1% match (Internet from 24-Nov-2020)
http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/11172/1/Sumon_Das(000169827).pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Nov-2020)
http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/reaksi/article/view/10406

< 1% match (Internet from 12-Oct-2018)
http://www.iwnest.com/old/IJAG/2015/Special%20IPN%20November/1-9.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 22-Oct-2018)
https://bucklandpreschool.org/macquarie-bank-essay/

< 1% match (Internet from 01-Jan-2021)
http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/reaksi/article/download/9338/5084

< 1% match ()
http://eprints.glos.ac.uk/4135/1/Al-Maghzom%20PhD%20Thesis%202016.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Oct-2020)
https://www.abacademies.org/articles/sustainability-reporting-and-firm-performance-a-bidirectional-approach-7323.html

< 1% match (publications)
Hichem Khlif, Khaled Samaha, Islam Azzam. "Disclosure, ownership structure, earnings announcement lag and cost of equity capital
in emerging markets", Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 2015

< 1% match ()
http://eprints.glos.ac.uk/3429/1/THESIS_DWI%20NITA%20ARYANI_AFTER%20VIVA_16_final_Redacted_Signature_only.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Apr-2019)
https://btp.press.vgtu.lt/lib/ajax_srv/generate_pdf.php?document_id=30315&file_id=0&readonly_preview=1

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Mar-2016)
http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/3367/1/slack.richard_phd%20by%20published%20work.pdf

< 1% match (publications)
Merve Kılıç, Cemil Kuzey. "Assessing current company reports according to the IIRC integrated reporting framework", Meditari Accountancy Research, 2018

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Nov-2020)
https://archive.org/stream/20144_201406/%E7%8E%B0%E4%BB%A3%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%A1%E4%B8%8E%E5%AE%A1%E8%AE%A12014

< 1% match (Internet from 30-Nov-2020)
https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/AJAR-09-2018-0029/full/html

< 1% match ()
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0

< 1% match (Internet from 25-Nov-2020)
http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/6993/1/Thesis_Whole_Document_%5Bfinal_draft%5D.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 29-May-2018)
http://eprints.unm.ac.id/8735/1/PROCEEDING%20OF%20PASSK%202018%20%281%29.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 18-Jun-2020)
http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/16662/1/15520138.pdf

< 1% match (Internet from 28-Mar-2019)
https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/full/10.1108/SAMPJ-05-2017-0046

< 1% match (Internet from 23-Sep-2019)
https://air.unimi.it/retrieve/handle/2434/675318/1307377/217-99Z_Book%20Manuscript-952-2-10-20170327.pdf

< 1% match (publications)
Grigoris Giannarakis, Eleni Zafeiriou, Nikolaos Sariannidis. "The Impact of Carbon Performance on Climate Change Disclosure", Business Strategy and the Environment, 2017

< 1% match (publications)
Guy Dinesh Fernando, Justin Giboney, Richard A. Schneible. "Voluntary disclosures and market response to earnings announcements", Review of Accounting and Finance, 2018

< 1% match (publications)
Kun Tracy Wang, Dejia Li. "Market Reactions to the First-Time Disclosure of Corporate Social Responsibility Reports: Evidence from China", Journal of Business Ethics, 2015

JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia URL : http://journals.ums.ac.id/index.php/reaksi/index Do The Investors React to Risk Management Disclosure? (an Empirical Study on Companies in Property, Real Estate, and Building Construction Industry Listed on The Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2016 - 2018) Putri Novitasari1, Rr. Sri Handayani2 ABSTRACT 1Undergraduate Student of Accounting The purpose of this research is to examine the quality of risk FEB UNDIP, 2Accounting Lecturer FEB management according to investor reactions in property, real UNDIP estate, and building construction companies listed on Bursa Efek putrinovita258@gmail.com Indonesia (BEI) in 2016-2018. The reaction of investors in this research is indicated by stock returns. In addition, this research also Keywords: Disclosure of Risks examines wider and more complete disclosure of the company can Management, Investor Reaction, Stock reduce information asymmetry with investors to make investment Return, signaling theory decisions. Based on the signaling theory, companies that disclose more information will be used as good news by investors and getting a positive reaction. The population in this research are property company, real estate company, and construction building company which registered on Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) in 2016 – 2018. This research is using a sampling method (purposive judgment sampling) for getting 25 from 74 company which registered on Bursa Efek Indonesia (BEI) with 75 samples. This research using multiple regression analysis.The results from the research provided that the disclosure of operations risk and empowerment risk has a positive and significant effect on stock returns while strategic risk, integrity risk, and information processing and technology risks have no significant effect on stock returns. According to this result provided that more disclosure on operations risk management and the risk of corporate empowerment can affect stock returns as a form of investor reaction, while the things that companies need to pay attention to in voluntary disclosure as additional are influence of strategic risk disclosure, integrity risk, and information processing and technology risks. 193 INTRODUCTION Stock return is a form of investor reaction to the quality of voluntary disclosure from the company. Investor reaction is a response given by the investor as feedback to the company for the information signal given. According to Fernando et al. (2017), the share price will be low (high) if the level of disclosure before the announcement is high (low). In 2019, Indonesia has shown state-owned companies and well-known issuers who were under the spotlight due to the lack of transparency in the financial statements disclosed. The polemic occurring at PT Garuda Indonesia (Persero) resulted in investors leaving PT Garuda being as the disclosed 2018 financial statements did not meet standards. After providing transparent information through announcements on the IDX website and public exposure, investors repurchased Garuda Indonesia shares, thus soaring the share price of PT Garuda Indonesia (Persero) (Warta Ekonomi. co.id). This event proves that voluntary disclosure is an important factor for investors in making the decision because it is perceived the company provides transparent information. In general, companies tend to disclose non- financial information voluntarily that is commonly described due to the lack of focus on risk management. Based on Linsley and Shrives (2006), voluntary risk management disclosure includes operational risk, strategic risk, empowerment risk, integrity risk, and information processing and technology risk. Besides Guidry and Patten (2010), Elshandidy and Shrives (2016) discovered that investor reaction showed a positive response to the disclosure of good quality information reported in the annual report. This result contradicts research conducted by Fernando et al. (2017) showing that stock returns were negatively related to the level of voluntary disclosure. As per Bokpin's (2013) research, it is confirmed that there was no positive relationship between disclosure and share prices, it indicates that disclosure does not affect market reactions. Given those results revealing the inconsistency of previous studies, this issue has become an important topic for research. When investors experience a lack of information related to risk management information encountered by companies, actions must be taken to boost investors' trust in the companies' performance. Therefore, the disclosure of risk management information

can provide a good quality of disclosure and can incite investors' trust in investing. Hence, the purpose of this study is to examine the effect of risk management disclosure on investors' reactions. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT The signaling theory according to Connelly et al. (2011) elaborates that the use of information is undertaken by two parties with granted access – the signaler party who chooses to communicate (or signal) information and the receiver party who receives and interprets the information (signal). The signaling theory states that a wider and more complete disclosure will provide a positive signal to the company shown by the increasing share price (Suparsa, Ramantha, and Badera, 2017). Investors can respond to the information disclosure as good news to invest, or bad news as the consideration to hold back investment making the company's share price low. In explaining the relationship between the reaction of investors and the company, signaling theory states that increasing voluntary risk management disclosure will become good news for investors that will be shown by share purchasing. The reaction displayed by investors will affect the movement of the share price because the more attractive shares will increase the share price. So, the stock return will be expected by investors and if the return obtained exceeds the expectation, it can be considered an abnormal return. Disclosure of company operational risks will be used to provide information to interested parties, such as potential investors, current investors, and creditors. Signaling theory explains that disclosure can be measured by how extensive and complete the information shows future risk exposure that investors will respond to as a form of feedback response to the company (Suparsa et al. 2017). Research by Abdullah et al. (2015) shows that the more risk management disclosures, the better the company's performance is. Likewise, according to Guidry and Patten (2010), it proves that the quality of reports on social and environmental disclosures has a positive and significant effect on abnormal returns as the market reaction that emerges. It differs from the research conducted by Fernando et al. (2017) discovering that the greater the voluntary disclosure of the company, the lower the absolute abnormal returns at the time of the announcement. Milgrom (1981) suggests that disclosure of good news about a company's prospects should always result in an increase in the company's share price. A positive relationship is perceived as relevant for conducting this research. The more exposures focused on operational risk through voluntary disclosure, investors can predict the company risk in the future. When the uncertainty of the company risk is low (high), it may trigger investors to react to the disclosure as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, the greater and more complete the operational risk information contained in the company's annual report will affect the increase in stock returns which will result in abnormal returns. H1: Quality of Operational Risk Management Disclosure Positively Affects Investor Reactions Each company has its own strategy to achieve its goals. In Oliveira et al. (2006), it is stated that by disclosing strategic risk companies will provide additional information to investors about strategic risk management applied by the company. Signaling theory explains that disclosure can be measured by how extensive and complete the information shows future risk exposure that investors will respond to as a form of feedback response to the company (Suparsa et al. 2017). Fernando et al. (2017) state that the greater the voluntary disclosure of the company, the lower the absolute abnormal returns at the time of the announcement. However, according to research conducted by Guidry and Patten (2010), the quality of the report on social and environmental disclosures has a positive and significant effect on abnormal returns as a market reaction. In addition, Iatridis (2013) states that the quality of environmental disclosure is positively related to share prices. Milgrom (1981) suggests that disclosure of good news about a company's prospects should always result in an increase in the company's share price. A positive relationship is perceived as relevant for conducting this research. The more exposures focused on strategic risk through voluntary disclosure, investors can predict the company risk in the future. When the uncertainty of the company risk is low (high), it may trigger investors to react to the disclosure as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, the greater and more complete the strategic risk information contained in the company's annual report will affect the increase in stock returns which will result in abnormal returns. H2: The Quality of Strategic Risk Management Disclosure Positively Affects Investor Reactions The purpose of empowering human resources is to allow companies to compete with worldwide markets. Investors will consider the company, for instance, by assessing HR management. Signaling theory explains that disclosure can be measured by how extensive and complete the information shows future risk exposure that investors will respond to as a form of feedback response to the company (Suparsa et al. 2017). Previous research conducted by Iatridis (2013) discovers that the quality of environmental disclosure is positively related to share prices. Abdullah et al. (2015) show that the more risk management disclosures, the better the company's performance is. This result proves that better quality of company disclosure will affect the increase in share prices. Different from the research by Nègre et al. (2017), it explains that when the downsizing operation was announced through a proactive press release, it was more likely to receive a negative reaction from the market. Milgrom (1981) suggests that disclosure of good news about a company's prospects should always result in an increase in the company's share price. A positive relationship is perceived as relevant for conducting this research. The quality of disclosure is obtained from how extensive and complete disclosures of empowerment risk that investors can use to predict future company risks. When the uncertainty of the company risk is low (high), it may trigger investors to react to the disclosure as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, the greater and more complete the empowerment risk information contained in the company's annual report will affect the increase in stock returns which will result in abnormal returns. H3: Quality of Empowerment Risk Management Disclosure Positively Affects Investor Reaction Integrity is defined as a benchmark of the competence and professionalism of employees in carrying out their jobs and responsibilities by avoiding corruption and other illegal acts (Amstrong 2005, Akir 2012, in Hanim, et al. 2017). A broad and complete strategic risk (integrity risk) disclosure can be responded by investors as good news for investing, or otherwise, as bad news so that investors hold back which results in share price movements. According to Linsley and Shrives (2006) opinion, disclosure of integrity risk is one of the disclosures that affect the performance of the company. Bokpin's (2013) research shows that voluntary disclosure had a positive but insignificant effect on share prices. It emphasizes the interrelationship of several factors that determine the effect of disclosure. Meanwhile, according to Fernando et al. (2017), the greater the voluntary disclosure of the company, the lower the absolute abnormal returns at the time of the announcement. Milgrom (1981) suggests that disclosure of good news about a company's prospects should always result in an increase in the company's share price. A positive relationship is perceived as relevant for conducting this research. The more exposures focused on integrity risk through voluntary disclosure, investors can predict the company risk in the future. When the uncertainty of the company risk is low (high), it may trigger investors to react to the disclosure as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, the greater and more complete the integrity risk information contained in the company's annual report will affect the increase in stock returns which will result in abnormal returns. H4: Quality of Integrity Risk Disclosure positively Affects Investor Reactions Nowadays, some big companies have used sophisticated technology to support company works and there is more information available. In the signaling theory, disclosure can be measured by how extensive and complete the information shows future risk exposure that investors will respond to as a form of feedback response to the company (Suparsa et al. 2017). How broad and complete is the strategic risk (information and technology risk) disclosure can be responded by investors as good news for investing, or otherwise, as bad news so that investors hold back which results in share price movements. Research conducted by Bokpin (2013) states that voluntary disclosure had a positive but insignificant effect on share prices. In contrast to the research of Fernando et al. (2017), the greater the voluntary disclosure of the company, the lower the absolute abnormal returns at the time of the announcement. Milgrom (1981) suggests that disclosure of good news about a company's prospects should always result in an increase in the company's share price. A positive relationship is perceived as relevant for conducting this research. The more exposures focused on integrity risk (information and technology risk) through voluntary disclosure, investors can predict the company risk in the future. When the uncertainty of the company risk is low (high), it may trigger investors to react to the disclosure as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, the greater and more complete the integrity risk (information and technology risk) contained in the company's annual report will affect the increase in stock returns which will result in abnormal returns. H5: The Quality of Information and Technology Management Risk Disclosure Positive Affects Investor Reactions. RESEARCH METHOD Research Variable The dependent variable in this study is the stock return which is a proxy for investors' reactions. To calculate abnormal returns using individual and combined market price index based on Charles et al. (2009) is using the following

formula: The operational risk variable used content analysis with coding assistance according to Abdullah et al. (2015), namely by giving score 1 for disclosed indicators and 0 not disclosed indicators. Then, it was calculated using the number of items disclosed divided by the number of items that should be disclosed. Strategic risk variable used content analysis with coding assistance according to Abdullah et al. (2015), namely by giving score 1 for disclosed indicators and 0 for not disclosed indicators. Then, it was calculated using the number of items disclosed divided by the number of items that should be disclosed. The empowerment risk variable used content analysis with coding assistance according to Abdullah et al. (2015), namely by giving score 1 for disclosed indicators and 0 for not disclosed indicators. Then, it was calculated using the number of items disclosed divided by the number of items that should be disclosed. The integrity risk variable used content analysis with coding assistance according to Abdullah et al. (2015), namely by giving score 1 for disclosed indicators and 0 for not disclosed indicators. Then, it was calculated using the number of items disclosed divided by the number of items that should be disclosed. The risk variable for technology and information processing used content analysis with coding assistance according to Abdullah et al. (2015), namely by giving score 1 for disclosed indicator and 0 for not disclosed indicators. Then, it was calculated using the number of items disclosed divided by the number of items that should be disclosed. The total Asset control variable in Abdullah et al. (2015) and Linsley and Shrives (2006) used natural logarithms to calculate the firm size (Firm Size = Ln (Total Assets)). Profitability control variable used the calculation of net profit which is proxied by return on equity (ROE is calculated as Net Profit divided by Total Equity). The leverage control variable was calculated by considering the level of debt used to finance the capital. The level of leverage (debt to equity ratio) was calculated by dividing total liabilities by equity (Keni and Sofia 2013). The growth control variable of a company was measured by net sales of the company. (Abdullah et al. (2015), and Linsley and Shrives, (2006)) in calculating growth, it uses the calculation of current sales and previous sales (current sales divided by previous sales). Sample Determination The sample in this study was obtained using a non-probability judgment sampling and purposive judgment sampling methods, in which the samples are predetermined based on the aims and objectives during the research (Sekaran, 2006). The sample of this study is outlined in Table 1. The samples used in the study are 75 companies in the property, real estate, and building construction industries listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2016 to 2018 after being selected based on the criteria. Table 1. Sampling Data Information 2016 2017 2018 Property, Real Estate, and Building construction companies listed on BEI 62 Companies that do not disclose annual reports consecutively in the 2016-2018 period (8) Companies that experience delisting from windows period (29) Companies with complete data 25 Total sample 75 66 (3) (38) 25 74 (13) (36) 25 Source: Indonesia Stock Exchange, Secondary Data processed in 2019 Analysis Method In this study, multiple linear regression analysis was used to test the relationship among variables as follows: CAR = α + β0 . OPSRISK + β1 . STRARISK + β2 . EMRISK + β3 . INTERISK + β4 . ITSRISK + β5 . LnFs + β6 . PROFIT + β7 . LEVERAGE + β7 . GROWTH + ε Information, CAR OPSRISK : Cumulative Abnormal Return : Operation Risk RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Descriptive statistics STRARISK : Strategic Risk EMPRISK : Empowerment Risk INTERISK : Integrity Risk ITRISK : Information Processing and Technology Risk LnFs : Natural Logarithm of Firm Size PROFIT : Profitability LEV : Leverage GROWTH : Sales Growth α : Constant β : Regression Coefficient E : Error Table 2. Descriptive Statistics N Minimum Maximum Mean Std. Deviation OPSRISK STRARISK EMRISK INTERISK ITRISK 75 75 75 75 ,22 ,18 ,00 ,00 75 ,00 1,00 ,78 ,91 ,80 1,00 ,5452 ,5745 ,3093 ,5333 ,3467 ,11286 ,16383 ,22128 ,23887 ,22844 ABNRETURN 75 -2,01 1,55 -,3020 ,73467 N Minimum Maximum Mean Std. Deviation Total Aset 75 25,87 31,67 29,7617 1,39730 Profit 75 -,24 ,32 ,0769 ,07158 Leverage 75 ,04 5,26 1,1616 ,90140 Growth 75 ,57 9,04 1,2019 ,97906 Source: Secondary data processed using SPSS 22, 2019 From Table 2, it displays that the mean value for risk management disclosures with investor reactions as measured by stock returns showed the highest value on strategic risk disclosure. The average value of strategic risk was 0.5745. Besides, there was a relatively far range of mean values, namely the strategic risk (STRARISK) of 0.5745 and the empowerment risk (EMRISK) of 0.3093. The value of the stock return was measured using the amount of abnormal return. From Table 2, it can be determined that the mean value shown by the abnormal return was negative (-0.3020), which implies that the stock return has decreased. In addition, there were control variables that supported the hypothesis in this research. The highest mean value was shown by total assets of 29.7617. Discussion of Research Results A T-test was performed to find the effect of each independent variable on the dependent variable. To interpret the coefficient of the independent variables in this study, regression analysis was utilized. There is a limit to the level of significance in which the results cannot exceed 0.05. The regression analysis used in this study shows that 2 of the 5 independent variables in the hypothesis have a positive and significant effect on the dependent variable, which are operational risk and empowerment risk. Each variable was measured by calculating the percentage of risk disclosed divided by the total item. Table 3. Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results Hypothesis B Sig. Testing Result Operational Risk Management Disclosure positively affects Stock Returns 2,136 Strategic Risk Management Disclosure positively affects Stock Returns -1,154 Empowerment Risk Management Disclosure positively affects Stock Returns 1,069 Integrity Risk Management Disclosure positively affects Stock Returns ,590 Information Processing and Technology Risk Management Disclosure positively affects Stock Returns ,237 ,004 ,042 ,012 ,109 ,536 Accepted Rejected Rejected Rejected Rejected Source: Secondary data processed using SPSS 22, 2019 In the first statistical test, the independent variable OPSRISK in Table 4.3 shows the positive and significant effect on investor reactions. The positive t value was 2.958 and the b value was 2.136 with a significance level below 0.5, which was 0.004 or 0.4%. These results support the signaling theory that the quality of disclosure is measured by how extensive and complete the risk information so that it can be considered good news. With the operational risk disclosure, it can provide information to investors about the risks and opportunities in the company as well as information about the management that had been done and will be performed by the company. Therefore, the company tends to disclose operational risk with the purpose of obtaining positive feedback from investors. The test results show correspondence between the research conducted by Abdullah et al. (2015) and Guidry and Patten (2010). The results of the second statistical test are that the independent variable STRARISK that could not support the hypothesis (rejected). The value shows a negative t of -2.071 and a negative beta coefficient of -1. 154 with a significance level of below 0.5, which was 0.042 or 4.2%. This test contradicts the signaling theory that broader and more extensive disclosures will give positive reactions from investors. The large number of disclosures dominated by strategic risks on the company's planning and strategy in the marketing cycle agitates investors about the company's future. More company disclosures are considered bad news by investors, resulting in a decrease in stock returns. This study is in accordance with previous research conducted by Fernando et al. (2017). In the third test, the independent variable EMRISK shows a positive t value of 2. 593 and beta coefficient value of 1.069 with a significance level of 0.012 or 1.2%, which was below the reasonable limit of 0.5 (5%). It denotes that the third hypothesis in this study is accepted with a positive and significant effect on investors' reactions. In accordance with the signaling theory, a company that discloses non-financial information is considered to have high performance and value. Given these figures, it shows that the empowerment risk disclosure is very important so the company will attempt to increase risk disclosure to achieve better quality disclosure, therefore, investors' responses are always positive and stock returns continue to increase. The test results show correlations between the research conducted by Iatridis (2013) and Abdullah et al. (2015). The fourth test with the independent variable INTERISK shows the significance level exceeding the reasonable limit of 0.5 or 5%, which was 0.109 or 10.9% with a positive t value of 1.627 and beta coefficient value of 0. 590. These results show that the fourth hypothesis of this study does not affect so it is rejected. It contradicts the signaling theory that more disclosures will give a positive reaction from investors and are considered good news. Investors think that more integrity risk disclosures will hold back investors to invest due to concerns. When disclosure is related to reputation or good image related to outside parties, it will be considered bad news by investors. It is in line with research conducted by Bokpin (2013). In the fifth test with the independent variable ITRISK, the significance level exceeded the normal limit of 0.5 or 5%, which was 0.536 or 53.6% with a positive t value of 0. 622 and beta coefficient value of 0. 237. It is contrary to the signaling theory that a complete and good risk disclosure can improve the quality of the company and if the risk disclosure is incomplete it may cause information misleading and uninformative for its users. Currently, information technology is a benchmark for the quality of the company. The lack of information technology

risks disclosure of the company is considered the incapability to follow the competition in the digital era and cannot enter the international market. Given this thesis, the information about this risk is used as bad news by investors. These results support the evidence of research conducted by Bokpin (2013). CONCLUSION Nowadays, several studies have been conducted to evaluate the impact of voluntary disclosure on investor reactions and share prices, and generally show negative effects. However, to researchers' knowledge, no research clearly shows the effect of voluntary risk management disclosure on investors' reactions as indicated by stock returns. Therefore, this study fills this gap and shows that risk management disclosure can affect stock returns in 25 companies in the Property, Real Estate, and Building Construction sectors listed on BEI for 3 consecutive periods. In the first test, it was found that the operational risk disclosure and investor reactions had a positive and significant relationship. It means that the number of disclosures is considered as a quality of good news disclosures so that investors give a positive reaction. Second, the strategic risk disclosure on investors' reactions showed a significant negative value. This finding reflects the increasing number of company disclosures that are considered bad news by investors, resulting in a decrease in stock returns. Third, empowerment risk disclosure positively and significantly influenced investors' reactions. Empowerment risk disclosure is very important and the company considers the quality of disclosure to be good news so that it gets a positive response from the market and it increases stock returns. The fourth and fifth hypotheses showed the same results, the existence of risk disclosure, both integrity and management of technology and information, was proven insignificant to investors' reactions. This finding is based on the fact that investors consider the quality of bad news disclosure so that they are reluctant to invest and it causes the company's stock return to be more likely decreased. It can be concluded that voluntary disclosure has not been proven to have a good impact on investors' reactions to the company's stock return proxy. This finding supports the evidence from the research conducted by Fernando et al. (2017) that shows a negative relationship between voluntary disclosure and abnormal returns. The limitation of this research is that in the process of identifying the independent variable, it still used a partial discussion so that the testing remains subjective. So it is suggested for further research to conduct simultaneous testing by adding several aspects as the object. In addition, for companies, this research can be used as learning to focus more on improving the information that will be disclosed – strategic risk, integrity risk, and technology and information processing risk in order to attract investors' attention to make investments. REFERENCE Abdullah, M. et al. (2015) 'Risk management disclosure: A study on the effect of voluntary risk management disclosure toward firm value', Journal of Applied Accounting Research, 16(3), pp. 400–432. doi: https:// doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-10-2014-0106. Bokpin, G. A. (2013) 'Determinants and value relevance of corporate disclosure Evidence from the emerging capital market of Ghana', Journal of Applied Accounting, 14(2), pp. 127–146. doi: 10.1108/09675421311291883. Charles, J. P. et al. (2009) Investment : Analysis And Management (An Indonesia Adaptation). Salemba Empat. Connelly, B. L. et al. (2011) 'Signaling Theory : A Review and Assessment', Journal of Management, 31(1), pp. 39–67. doi: 10.1177/0149206310388419. Elshandidy, T., Fraser, I. and Hussainey, K. (2013) 'International Review of Financial Analysis Aggregated , voluntary , and mandatory risk disclosure incentives : Evidence from UK FTSE all-share companies ☆', International Review of Financial Analysis. Elsevier Inc., 30, pp. 320–333. doi: 10.1016/j. irfa.2013.07.010. Elshandidy, T. and Shrives, P. J. (2016) 'Environmental Incentives for and Usefulness of Textual Risk Reporting : Evidence from Germany', International Journal of Accounting. University of Illinois, 51(4), pp. 464–486. doi: 10.1016/j.intacc.2016.10.001. Fathoni, N. (2014) MANAJEMEN RISIKO PEMBIAYAAN MURABAHAH DI PT BPRS SUKOWATI KANTOR CABANG BOYOLALI. Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta. Fernando, G., Giboney, J. and Schneible, R. (2017) 'Voluntary disclosure and market response to earnings announcements', review of accounting and finance. doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/RAF-06-2016-0087. Ghozali, I. (2016) Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 23. 8th edn. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. Ghozali, I. and Chariri, A. (2007) Teori Akuntansi. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. Guidry, R. P. and Patten, D. M. (2010) 'Market reactions to the first-time issuance of corporate sustainability reports Evidence that quality matters', management and policy journal, 1(1), pp. 33–50. doi: 10.1108/20408021011059214. Gultom, I. H. and Fachrudin, K. A. (2013) 'Analisis risiko saham perusahaan', Jurnal Media Informasi Manajemen, 1(3). Hanim, H., Norazida, M. B. and SAID, M. J. (2017) 'Mitigating asset misappropriation through integrity and fraud risk elements: evidence emerging economies', Journal of Financial Crime, 24(2), p. doi: http:// dx.doi.org/10.1108/JFC-04-2016-0024. Hassan, O. A. G. et al. (2009) 'The value relevance of disclosure : Evidence from the emerging capital market of Egypt ☆', International Journal of Accounting. University of Illinois, 44(1), pp. 79–102. doi: 10.1016/j. intacc.2008.12.005. Iatridis, G. E. (2013) 'Environmental disclosure quality: Evidence on environmental performance, corporate governance and value relevance', Emerging Markets Review. Elsevier B.V., 14(1), pp. 55–75. doi: 10.1016/j.ememar.2012.11.003. ICAEW (2011) Reporting Business Risks: Meeting Expectations, Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Jogiyanto (2003) Teori Portofolio dan Analisis Investasi. ketiga. Yogyakarta: BPFE. Lang, M. H., Lins, K. V and Miller, D. P. (2003) 'ADRs , Analysts , and Accuracy : Does Cross Listing in the United States Improve a Firm ' s Information Environment and Increase Market Value ?', Journal of Accounting Research, 41(2). doi: doi:10.1111/1475-679x.00106. Linsley, P. M. and Shrives, P. J. (2006) 'Risk reporting : A study of risk disclosures in the annual reports of UK companies. The British Accounting Review', 38(4), pp. 387–404. doi: 10.1016/j.bar.2006.05.002. Lynn, D. M. (2011) 'The Dodd-Frank Act ' s Specialized Corporate Disclosure : Using the Securities Laws to Address Public Policy Issues The Dodd-Frank Act ' s Specialized Corporate Disclosure : Using the Securities Laws to Address', Journal of Business and Technology Law, 6(2), pp. 327–355. Nègre, E. et al. (2017) 'Disclosure strategies and investor reactions to downsizing announcements : A legitimacy perspective', J . Account . Public Policy. doi: 10.1016/j.jaccpubpol.2017.03.003. Ningsih, L. (2019) 'Alhamdulillah! Garuda Sudah Terbuka, Investor Berlapang Dada', wartaekonomi.co.id. Nurdin, E. and Cahyandito, M. F. (2006) Pengungkapan Tema-Tema Sosial dan Lingkungan dalam Laporan Tahunan Perusahaan Terhadap Reaksi Investor. Universitas Padjadjaran. Nurhayati, M. (2013) 'Profitabilitas likuiditas dan ukuran per', jurnal keuangan dan bisnis, 5(July). Nuryaman, N. (2009) 'PENGARUH KONSENTRASI KEPEMILIKAN, UKURAN PERUSAHAAN, DAN MEKANISME CORPORATE GOVERNANCE TERHADAP PENGUNGKAPAN SUKARELA', Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia, 6(1), pp. 89–116. doi: doi:10.21002/jaki.2009.05. Oliveira, L., Lima Rodrigues, L. and Craig, R. (2006) 'Firm-specific determinants of Portuguese intangibles reporting : evidence from the Portuguese stock market', Journal of human resource costing & accounting, 10(1), pp. 11–33. doi: 10.1108/14013380610672657. Pena, A. et al. (2018) 'Flexible inverse adaptive fuzzy inference model to identify the evolution of operational value at risk for improving operational risk management.', Applied Soft Computing, 65, p. 6140631. doi: 10.1016/j.asoc.2018.01.024. Prima, S. and Keni (2013) 'Pengaruh Umur Perusahaan, Profitabilitas, Ukuran Perusahaan dan Leverage Terhadap Pengungkapan Tanggungjawab Sosial Perusahaan', Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi, 15(1), pp. 1–12. Sekaran, U. (2006) Metodologi Penelitian untuk Bisnis. buku 1. Edited by S. Empat. Jakarta. Solomon, J. F. et al. (2011) 'Private climate change reporting: An emerging discourse of risk and opportunity?', Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal, 24(8), pp. 1119–1148. doi: 10.1108/09513571111184788. Suhardjanto, D. and Supriyono, E. (2013) 'Praktik pengungkapan sosial: studi komparatif di asia tenggara', Fakultas Hukum UII, 17(207). Supardi (2011) 'Analisis Pengaruh Return Saham dan Volume Perdagangan Saham Terhadap Bid Ask Spread Pra dan Pasca Pengumuman Laporan Keuangan pada LQ 45', E-Jurnal STIE Nusa Megarkencana Yogyakarta, 14(11), pp. 52–72. Suparsa, J., Ramantha and Badera, I. D. N. (2017) 'Kemampuan Good Corporate Governance dalam Memoderasi Pengaruh Intellectual Capital Disclosure dan Reputasi Auditor pada Nilai Perusahaan', E-Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Udayana, 6(2), pp. 505–532. Uyar, A. and Kılıç, M. (2012) 'Value relevance of voluntary disclosure : evidence from Turkish firms', Journal of Intellectual Capital, 13(3), pp. 363–376. doi: 10.1108/14691931211248918. Wagenhofer, A. (2004) Accounting and economics: what we learn from analytical models in financial accounting and reporting. The Econom. Oxford University Press. Wang, Z. et al. (2013) 'Value relevance of voluntary disclosure and the global financial crisis : evidence from China', Managerial Auditing Journal, 28(5), pp. 444–468. doi: 10.1108/02686901311327218. Yuliana, R. (2008) '( CSR ) DAN DAMPAKNYA TERHADAP REAKSI INVESTOR', Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia, 5(2), pp. 245–276. doi: doi:10.21002/jaki.2008.12. Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 Vol.5 No.2 September

2020 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 p-ISSN:1411-6510 e-ISSN :2541-6111 JURNAL Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia Vol.5 No.2 September 2020 Do The Investors React to Risk Management Disclosure? (an Empirical Study on Companies in Property,... 194 195 Putri Novitasari, Rr. Sri Handayani Do The Investors React to Risk Management Disclosure? (an Empirical Study on Companies in Property,... 196 197 Putri Novitasari, Rr. Sri Handayani Do The Investors React to Risk Management Disclosure? (an Empirical Study on Companies in Property,... 198 199 Putri Novitasari, Rr. Sri Handayani Do The Investors React to Risk Management Disclosure? (an Empirical Study on Companies in Property,... 200 201 Putri Novitasari, Rr. Sri Handayani