

KORESPONDENSI ARTIKEL ILMIAH

Keperluan Pengajuan Kepangkatan Asisten Ahli



Disusun oleh:

**AULIA VIDYA ALMADANA
NPPU. H.7.199201222022041001**

**DEPARTEMEN MANAJEMEN
FAKULTAS EKONOMIKA DAN BISNIS
UNIVERSITAS DIPONEGORO
SEMARANG
2024**

DAFTAR ISI


Proses submit draft artikel	3
Pembenahan hal teknis di dalam draft artikel	3
Pemberitahuan revisi draft artikel	4
Komentar reviewer I	5
Komentar reviewer II	5
Pemberitahuan draft artikel diterima	6
Pemberitahuan draft artikel dipublikasikan	7

Korespondensi artikel dengan judul:


Work engagement through social exchange mechanisms: does the role of knowledge-sharing behavior matter?

Penulis : Aulia Vidya Almadana, Suharnomo, dan Mirwan Surya Perdhana
Afiliasi : Departement of Management, Diponegoro University, Semarang, Indonesia
Jurnal : VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems, dengan publisher Emerald Publishing Limited
Nomor DOI : <https://doi.org/10.1108/VJIKMS-05-2022-0149>


1. Tanggal 16 Mei 2022 draft artikel pertama kali disubmit ke jurnal bernama VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems. Berikut disertakan bukti korespondensi draft artikel tersebut:



2. Tanggal 24 Mei 2022 menerima email informasi bahwa draft artikel tersebut terlebih dahulu harus dibenahi mengenai hal-hal teknis, seperti contohnya adalah pembenahan afiliasi dan keywords. Setelah dibenahi, segera dilakukan submit ulang untuk draft artikel tersebut. Berikut disertakan bukti korespondensi draft artikel tersebut:



3. Pada tanggal 27 Agustus 2022, editor mengirimkan email berupa informasi bahwa artikel telah dilakukan proses review oleh 2 (dua) reviewer dengan menggunakan sistem *double blinded review*, sehingga penulis dan reviewer sama-sama tidak mengetahui identitas masing-masing. Editor tidak menyebutkan tenggat waktu yang spesifik mengenai batas waktu revisi, namun di email tertulis bahwa draft artikel harus direvisi sesegera mungkin. Oleh sebab itu penulis segera melakukan revisi dan proses submit kembali terhadap draft artikel tersebut dalam kurun waktu 1 (satu) bulan. Berikut disertakan bukti korespondensi draft artikel tersebut:



Komentar reviewer I:

Reviewer(s) and Associate Editor Comments to Author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Accept

Comments:

Practical implication of the research are clearly indicated.

Additional Questions:

Title of the paper: Does the title of the paper reflect the content?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Literature review: Are all significant previous work included?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Methodology: Are the methods employed well designed and appropriate?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Results and conclusion: Are the results and conclusion presented clearly and analysed appropriately?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Impact of research: How do you rate the impact /usefulness/ applications/ of the research to the discipline or society?: Good

Quality of Communication: Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Overall academic rating of the paper: Adequate

Komentar reviewer II:

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Reject

Comments:

Thank you for tackling such research area. However, you need to include recent studies published in 2021 and 2022. Population and sampling is not included. Also, 107 is questionable. Authors should increase the response rate much more. In addition, no need to explain Sobel-based mediation test, as scholars and researchers know. The discussion part is so weak. Thus, you should improve it much better, and in great details.

Additional Questions:

Title of the paper: Does the title of the paper reflect the content?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: No

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Literature review: Are all significant previous work included?: No

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below: Authors should include recent studies published in 2021 and 2022.

Methodology: Are the methods employed well designed and appropriate?: No

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below: Population and sampling is not included. Also, 107 is questionable. Authors should increase the response rate much more. In addition, no need to explain Sobel-based mediation test, as scholars and researchers know.

Results and conclusion: Are the results and conclusion presented clearly and analysed appropriately?: No

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below: The discussion part is so weak.

Impact of research: How do you rate the impact /usefulness/ applications/ of the research to the discipline or society?: Low


Quality of Communication: Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.?: Yes

If you have answered NO, please state the areas that need to improve/correct below:

Overall academic rating of the paper: Poor

Kedua reviewer tersebut memberikan pandangan berbeda terhadap draft artikel, dimana reviewer I menghendaki draft artikel dapat diterima dengan syarat melakukan perbaikan (*minor revision*) sedangkan reviewer II menghendaki untuk menolak draft artikel tersebut. Oleh sebab itu editor memutuskan untuk memberikan kesempatan kepada penulis guna melakukan revisi besar (*major revision*) terhadap draft artikel yang bersangkutan.

4. Setelah penulis melakukan dan submit draft artikel yang bersangkutan, penulis menerima email kembali di tanggal 26 Oktober 2022 yang menerangkan bahwa artikel diterima dan dapat dipublikasikan di jurnal VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems (Scopus Q1). Berikut disertakan bukti korespondensi draft artikel tersebut:



5. Setelah melakukan konfirmasi terhadap draft artikel yang diterima, maka proses selanjutnya adalah menunggu proses publikasi. Setelah melakukan proses editing pada draft artikel, pada tanggal 7 Desember 2022 penulis mendapatkan email berupa informasi bahwa artikel sudah dapat diunduh di website jurnal atau sudah terpublikasi. Berikut disertakan bukti korespondensi draft artikel tersebut:

[View this message online](#) | [Forward to a friend](#)



**** Please note that this email has been sent from a mailbox which is not monitored. If you have a query in relation to your role as an author, please refer to the FAQs within this message. ****

Dear Mr. Almadana,

Your work has now been published as EarlyCite to ensure there is no delay in your research being read and driving change. It's the final version of your work and can be cited, downloaded and shared. It does not yet have an issue number or page number, but you will be contacted again when this has been finalised.

To thank you for publishing '[Work engagement through social exchange mechanisms: does the role of knowledge-sharing behavior matter?](#)' in *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, we have given you access to our extensive collection of peer-reviewed journals (excluding Backfiles) where you'll be able to download up to 40 articles for your own personal use, within 90 days of receiving this email.

Download your articles [now](#).

For a detailed **step-by-step guide** on how to use your tokens please visit this [website](#).

If you have any questions regarding your access, please contact support@emerald.com.

Need help with your article?

If you have a query that relates to one of the below, please contact your Supplier Project Manager (SPM). Their details can be found on the journal homepage.

- Post-publication corrections
- I have found an error in my paper
- When will I be given an issue number or a page number?
- My affiliation has changed
- The publication year on my published article is wrong

For all other queries relating to your article please view our [FAQs](#).