

Bukti sebagai reviewer pada Jurnal **Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences (JJBS)** (Q3) yaitu Jurnal Internasional Bereputasi :





Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences (JJBS) ISSN 1995-6673 (Print), 2307-7166 (Online)

http://jjbs.hu.edu.jo

Scientific Research and Innovation Support Fund Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences

The Hashemite University Deanship of Scientific Research

Manuscript Evaluation Report- Referee2

Manuscript Number (JJBS 123/R2//22) Due date: Feb 6, 2022

Identification of Qualitative and Quantitative Traits of the Ancestors to Produce New Strain of Indonesian Native Laving Hens

1	New Strain of Indonesian Native Laying Hens Reviewer Data							
	Full Name		Dr. Yoyok Budi Pramono					
	P.O. Box		City Semarang	Postal Code 50275		Country Indonesia		
	(024) 76910211. (024) 7474750 University\ Institute Co		No. (Office) Mobile No. 7474750 08157932269					
			(024) 7474750	E-mail: yoyokbudip	ramono@lecturer.undip.ac.id			
				llege d Agricultural Sciences		Department Agricultural		
	Reviewer's Signature:			Date:Pebruary, 6, 2023				



Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences (JJBS) ISSN 1995- 6673 (Print), 2307- 7166 (Online)

http://jjbs.hu.edu.jo

Scientific Research and Innovation Support Fund Jordan Journal of Biological Sciences Hashemite University Deanship of Scientific Resear

Manuscript Evaluation Report- Referee 2

Manuscript Number (JJBS 123/R2//22) Due date: Feb 6, 2023

MS Title: Identification of Qualitative and Quantitative Traits of the Ancestors to Produce New Strain of Indonesian Native Laying Hens

Type of Article: Review Article	Research Paper	□ Case Report
-----------------------------------	----------------	---------------

PART A:

On a scale of 1 – 5 (1 being lowest and 5 being highest), rate the manuscript based on the following criteria;

NO.	Criteria	Score		
110.				
1	Is the topic of the manuscript within the scope of the journal?	3		
2	Does the title clearly and sufficiently reflect its content?	4		
3	Are the keywords and abstracts sufficient and informative?	3		
4	What is the scholarly quality of the manuscript?	3		
- 5	Is this a new and/or original contribution?			
6	Is the research methodology utilized appropriate and properly	3		
	administered?			
7	Are the methods of data analysis acceptable?	3		
8	Are the results and conclusions clear, adequately presented, and	3		
	organized in relation to rest of manuscript?			
9	Are the illustrations and tables necessary and in an acceptable	4		
	format?			
10	Are the interpretations/ conclusions sound and justified by the data?	3		
11	Are the References in a proper format according to JJBS author	3		
	Instructions?			
12	Is the MS written in correct and satisfactory English?	3		

Please rate the priority for publication of this article (10 is the highest priority, 1 is the lowest priority)

6

JB j


jordan journal biological <jordanjournalbiological@hu.edu.jo> To: Yoyok Budi Pramono

Received with thanks. thanks a lot for your great effort we will be back for the final decision

Best Dr Manar Atoum

...

⊕ Reply ≪ Reply all → Forward □ □ □ □
 Sat 2/11/2023 1:48 PM



Author's Rebuttal/Statement (Suyatno: MS-123-R2-22)

Reviewer 1:

breeding

No.	Suggestion	Answer
1.	Coment 1: Tittle: Characterization of Indonesian Native Chickens because there is no egg production trais as a basic to selection developing laying hens	Thank you. I have revised the Title according the objectives of our research that we wanted to produce a new strain of the local super laying hens from 4 native chickens from East Java. In the early study, we have characterized quantitative and qualitative traits that related to egg production. Those traits will be used as indicators in selection program that include both of quantitative and qualitative traits to estimate egg production.
2.	Coment 2 : Short and informative : breeding program, native chickens, qualitative trait, quantitative trait	Roy H.S. Communets as Assoc. Editor. Please accept my apologies; I disagree with the referee's suggestion. First, the three keywords suggested by the referee (i.e., native chickens, qualitative trait, quantitative trait) are listed in the title. Including these three keywords above couldn't be effective/efficient in expanding searchable, for example, in Google Scholar. Next, why did the referee only suggest four keywords? JJBS allows up to eight keywords. Please, authors should take advantage of this provision to expand searchable in search engines to get lots of citations.
3.	Coment 3: Please correct it because there have been local chickens from selection such as KUB, Sensi, IPB D1 and others, such this the result of	Yes, thank you. I have added some new strains of super native chickens that produced by several research agent and university.



Thank you, yes, It's ready to publish

Warm Regards Dr. Yoyok Budi Pramono.





